Section 1: 10-K (FORM 10-K)

Yes ____ No _X_

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 Commission File No.: 000-29283

UNITED BANCSHARES, INC.

(exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

OHIO 34-1516518
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer I.D. No.)

100 S. High Street, Columbus Grove, Ohio 45830

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(419) 659-2141**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock, no par value – NASDAQ Global Market (Title of class)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No _X
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No _X_
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes X No
Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act: Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller Reporting Company _X_
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$26,338,728, based upon the last sales price as quoted on the NASDAQ Global Market as of June 30, 2012.

The number of shares of Common Stock, no par value outstanding as of January 29, 2013: 3,446,746.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Annual Report to Shareholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 are incorporated by reference into Part II. Portions of the Proxy Statement dated March 20, 2013 for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 24, 2013 are incorporated by reference into Part III.

INDEX

Part I		Page(s)
Item 1.	Business	3-24
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	24-33
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	33
Item 2.	Properties	33-34
Item 2.	Legal Proceedings	34
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	34
110111 4.	Wille Safety Disclosures	34
Part II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	35
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	36
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	36
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	36
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	36
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	36
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	36-37
Item 9B.	Other Information	37
nem /b.	One information	31
Part III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance of the Registrant	38
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	38
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	38
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence	38
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	38
	·	
Part IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	39-40
Item 15.	Exhibits and Pinancial Statement Schedules	33-40
Signatures		41

Item 1. Business

General

United Bancshares, Inc. (the "Corporation"), an Ohio corporation, is a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and is subject to regulation by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve Board"). The Corporation was incorporated and organized in 1985. The executive offices of the Corporation are located at 100 S. High Street, Columbus Grove, Ohio 45830. The Corporation is a one-bank holding company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, The Union Bank Company, Columbus Grove, Ohio ("Bank") as that term is defined by the Federal Reserve Board. As of December 31, 2012, the Corporation employed approximately 138 full-time equivalent employees.

United Bancshares, Inc. has traded its common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol "UBOH" since March 2001.

The Corporation is registered as a Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "1934 Act") reporting company.

Forward Looking Statements

Certain matters disclosed herein may be deemed to be forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, including regulatory policy changes, interest rate fluctuations, loan demand, loan delinquencies and losses, general economic conditions, and other risks. Forward-looking statements are often characterized by the use of qualifying words and their derivatives such as "expects," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "plans," "projects," and other words and statements concerning opinions or judgments of the Corporation and its management about future events. Actual strategies and results in future time periods may differ materially from those currently expected. Such forward-looking statements represent management's judgment as of the current date. The Corporation disclaims, however, any intent or obligation to update such forward-looking statements.

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based on management's beliefs, assumptions, current expectations, estimates and projections about the financial services industry, the economy, and the Corporation. Forward-looking statements are identifiable by words or phrases such as "outlook", "plan" or "strategy"; that an event or trend "may", "should", "will", "is likely", or is "probably" to occur or "continue", has "begun" or "is scheduled" or "on track" or that the Corporation or its management "anticipates", "believes", "estimates", "plans", "forecasts", "intends", "predicts", "projects", or "expects" a particular result, or is "committed", "confident", "optimistic" or has an "opinion" that an event will occur, or other words or phrases such as "ongoing", "future", "signs", "efforts", "tend", "exploring", "appearing", "until", "near term", "going forward", "starting" and variations of such words and similar expressions. Such statements are based upon current beliefs and expectations and involve substantial risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These statements include, among others, statements related to real estate valuation, future levels of non-performing loans, the rate of asset dispositions, dividends, future growth and funding sources, future liquidity levels, future profitability levels, the effects on earnings of changes in interest rates and the future level of other revenue sources. Management's determination of the provision and allowance for loan losses, the appropriate carrying value of intangible assets (including goodwill and mortgage servicing rights), deferred tax assets, other real estate owned, and the fair value of investment securities (including whether any impairment on any investment security is temporary or other-than-temporary and the amount of any impairment) and other financial instruments, involves judgments that are inherently forward-looking. All statements with references to future time periods are forward-looking. All of the information concerning interest rate sensitivity is forward-looking. Management's assumptions regarding pension and other post retirement plans involve judgments that are inherently forward-looking. Our ability to successfully implement new programs and initiatives, increase efficiencies, respond to declines in collateral values and credit quality, maintain our current level of deposits and other sources of funding, and improve profitability is not entirely within our control and is not assured. The future effect of changes in the real estate, financial and credit markets and the national and regional economy on the banking industry, generally, and the Corporation, specifically, are also inherently uncertain. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions ("risk factors") that are difficult to predict with regard to timing, extent, likelihood and degree of occurrence. Therefore, actual results and outcomes may materially differ from what may be expressed or forecasted in such forward-looking statements.

General Description of Bank Subsidiary

The Bank is engaged in the business of commercial banking. The Bank is an Ohio state-chartered bank, which serves the Ohio counties of Allen, Hancock, Putnam, Sandusky, Van Wert and Wood, with office locations in Bowling Green, Columbus Grove, Delphos, Findlay, Gibsonburg, Kalida, Leipsic, Lima, Ottawa, and Pemberville, Ohio.

The Bank offers a full range of commercial banking services, including checking accounts, savings and money market accounts; time certificates of deposit; automatic teller machines; commercial, consumer, agricultural, residential mortgage and home equity loans; safe deposit box rentals; and other personalized banking services.

Competition

The Corporation competes for deposits with other savings associations, commercial banks and credit unions and issuers of commercial paper and other securities, such as shares in money market mutual funds. Primary factors in competing for deposits include customer service, interest rates and convenience. In making loans, the Corporation competes with other commercial banks, savings associations, consumer finance companies, credit unions, leasing companies, mortgage companies and other lenders. Competition is affected by, among other things, the general availability of lendable funds, general and local economic conditions, current interest rate levels and other factors that are not readily predictable. The number of financial institutions competing with the Corporation may increase as a result of changes in statutes and regulations eliminating various restrictions on interstate and interindustry branching and acquisitions. Such increased competition may have an adverse effect upon the Corporation.

Effect of Environmental Regulation

Compliance with federal, state and local provisions regulating the discharge of material into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment, have not had a material effect upon the capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position of the Corporation and its subsidiary. The Corporation believes that the nature of the operations of its subsidiary has little, if any, environmental impact. The Corporation, therefore, anticipates no material capital expenditures for environmental control facilities for its current fiscal year or for the foreseeable future. The Corporation's subsidiary may be required to make capital expenditures for environmental control facilities related to properties, which they may acquire through foreclosure proceedings in the future; however, the amount of such capital expenditures, if any, is not currently determinable.

Supervision and Regulation

Other Statutes and Regulations

The following is a summary of certain other statutes and regulations affecting the Corporation and its subsidiary. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to such statutes and regulations.

The Corporation is a bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, which restricts the activities of the Corporation and the acquisition by the Corporation of voting shares or assets of any bank, savings association or other company. The Corporation is also subject to the reporting requirements of, and examination and regulation by, the Federal Reserve Board. Subsidiary banks of a bank holding company are subject to certain restrictions imposed by the Federal Reserve Act on transactions with affiliates, including any loans or extensions of credit to the bank holding company or any of its subsidiaries, investments in the stock or other securities thereof and the taking of such stock or securities as collateral for loans or extensions of credit to any borrower; the issuance of guarantees, acceptances or letters of credit on behalf of the bank holding company and its subsidiary; purchases or sales of securities or other assets; and the payment of money or furnishing of services to the bank holding company and other subsidiaries. Bank holding companies are prohibited from acquiring direct or indirect control of more than 5% of any class of voting stock or substantially all of the assets of any bank holding company without the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board. A bank holding company and its subsidiaries are prohibited from engaging in certain tying arrangements in connection with extensions of credit and/or the provision of other property or services to a customer by the bank holding company or its subsidiaries.

As an Ohio state-chartered bank, the Bank is supervised and regulated by the Ohio Division of Financial Institutions and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"). The deposits of the Bank are insured by the FDIC and the Bank is subject to the applicable provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act. A subsidiary of a bank holding company can be liable to reimburse the FDIC if the FDIC incurs or anticipates a loss because of a default of another FDIC-insured subsidiary of the bank holding company or in connection with FDIC assistance provided to such subsidiary in danger of default. In addition, the holding company of any insured financial institution that submits a capital plan under the federal banking agencies' regulations on prompt corrective action guarantees a portion of the institution's capital shortfall, as discussed below.

Various requirements and restrictions under the laws of the United States and the State of Ohio affect the operations of the Bank, including requirements to maintain reserves against deposits, restrictions on the nature and amount of loans which may be made and the interest that may be charged thereon, restrictions relating to investments and other activities, limitations on credit exposure to correspondent banks, limitations on activities based on capital and surplus, limitations on payment of dividends, and limitations on branching.

The Federal Reserve Board has adopted risk-based capital guidelines for bank holding companies. The risk-based capital guidelines include both a definition and a framework for calculating risk weighted assets by assigning assets and off-balance sheet items to broad risk categories. The minimum ratio of total capital to risk weighted assets (including certain off-balance sheet items, such as standby letters of credit) is 8%. At least 4% is to be comprised of common shareholders' equity (including retained earnings but excluding treasury stock), noncumulative perpetual preferred stock, a limited amount of cumulative perpetual preferred stock, and minority interest in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, less goodwill and certain other intangible assets ("Tier 1 capital"). The remainder ("Tier 2 capital") may consist, among other things, of mandatory convertible debt securities, a limited amount of subordinated debt, other preferred stock and a limited amount of allowance for loan losses. The Federal Reserve Board also imposes a minimum leverage ratio (Tier 1 capital to total assets) of 3% for bank holding companies and state member banks that meet certain specified conditions, including having the highest regulatory rating. The minimum leverage ratio is 1%-2% higher for other bank holding companies and state member banks based on their particular circumstances and risk profiles and for those banks experiencing or anticipating significant growth. State non-member bank subsidiaries, such as the Bank are subject to similar capital requirements adopted by the FDIC.

In June 2012, the Federal Reserve Board and Joint Agencies issued three proposed rules that would revise and replace the Agencies' current capital rules to align with the BASEL III capital standards and meet certain requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act. Certain requirements of the proposed rules would establish more restrictive capital definitions, higher risk-weightings for certain asset classes, capital buffers and higher minimum capital ratios. The proposed rules were out for comment until October 22, 2012, and those comments are currently being reviewed by the Agencies.

The Corporation and its subsidiary currently satisfy all capital requirements. Failure to meet applicable capital guidelines could subject a banking institution to a variety of enforcement remedies available to federal and state regulatory authorities, including the termination of deposit insurance by the FDIC. The junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures issued in 2003, as described in Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements contained in the Corporation's Annual Report, currently qualify as Tier I capital for regulatory purposes. However, it is possible that regulations could change so that such securities do not qualify.

The federal banking regulators have established regulations governing prompt corrective action to resolve capital deficient banks. Under these regulations, institutions, which become undercapitalized, become subject to mandatory regulatory scrutiny and limitations that increase as capital decreases. Such institutions are also required to file capital plans with their primary federal regulator, and their holding companies must guarantee the capital shortfall up to 5% of the assets of the capital deficient institution at the time it becomes undercapitalized.

The ability of a bank holding company to obtain funds for the payment of dividends and for other cash requirements is largely dependent on the amount of dividends that may be declared by its subsidiary bank and other subsidiaries. However, the Federal Reserve Board expects the Corporation to serve as a source of strength to its subsidiary bank, which may require it to retain capital for further investment in the subsidiary, rather than for dividends for shareholders of the Corporation. The Bank may not pay dividends to the Corporation if, after paying such dividends, it would fail to meet the required minimum levels under the risk-based capital guidelines and the minimum leverage ratio requirements. The Bank must have the approval of its regulatory authorities if a dividend in any year would cause the total dividends for that year to exceed the sum of the current year's net income and the retained net income for the preceding two years, less required transfers to surplus. Payment of dividends by a bank subsidiary may be restricted at any time at the discretion of the regulatory authorities, if they deem such dividends to constitute an unsafe and/or unsound banking practice. These provisions could have the effect of limiting the Corporation's ability to pay dividends on its outstanding common shares.

Deposit Insurance Assessments and Recent Legislation

The Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005 and the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Conforming Amendments Act of 2005 (collectively, the "Deposit Insurance Reform Acts") were both signed into law during February, 2006. The provisions of the Deposit Insurance Reform Acts included, among other things, merging the Bank Insurance Fund and the Savings Association Insurance Fund into a new fund called the Deposit Insurance Fund, which merger was effective March 31, 2006; increasing insurance coverage for retirement accounts from \$100,000 to \$250,000, effective April 1, 2006; adjusting deposit insurance levels of \$100,000 for non-retirement accounts and \$250,000 for retirement accounts every five years based on an inflation index, with the first adjustment to be effective on January 1, 2011; eliminating a 1.25% hard target Designated Reserve Ratio, as defined, and giving the FDIC discretion to set the Designated Reserve Ratio within a range of 1.15% to 1.50% for any given year; eliminating certain restrictions on premium rates the FDIC charges covered institutions and establishing a risk-based premium system; and providing for a one-time credit for institutions that paid premiums to the Bank Insurance Fund or the Savings Association Insurance Fund prior to December 31, 1996.

Current economic conditions have increased bank failures and expectations for further failures, in which case the FDIC insures payment of deposits up to insured limits from the Deposit Insurance Fund. In late 2008, the FDIC announced an increase in insurance premium rates of seven basis points for the first quarter of 2009. On February 27, 2009, the FDIC announced its adoption of an interim final rule imposing a one-time special assessment of up to 20 basis points and a final rule adjusting the risk-based calculation used to determine the premiums due from each financial institution. On March 5, 2009, the FDIC announced its plan to reduce the special assessment to 10 basis points. The special assessment and the changes in the premium calculation significantly increased the Corporation's FDIC insurance expense in 2009 and possibly thereafter. On September 29, 2009, the FDIC adopted a Notice of Proposed Rule making it mandatory that insured depository institutions prepay their quarterly risk-based assessments to the FDIC on December 30, 2009 for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for the years 2010 through 2012. The FDIC will apply the Bank's first two quarterly assessments in 2013 against the remaining prepaid balance and will refund to the Bank any remaining prepaid balance at the end of the second quarter of 2013.

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Act") was signed into law, which, in part, permanently raises the current standard maximum deposit insurance amount to \$250,000. On November 9, 2010, the FDIC issued a Final Rule implementing section 343 of the Act that provides for unlimited insurance coverage of noninterest-bearing transaction accounts. Beginning December 31, 2010, through December 31, 2012, all noninterest-bearing transaction accounts are fully insured, regardless of the balance of the account, at all FDIC-insured institutions. The unlimited insurance coverage is available to all depositors, including consumers, businesses, and government entities. Under the final rule, a noninterest-bearing transaction account is defined as a deposit account where interest is neither accrued nor paid; depositors are permitted to make an unlimited number of transfers and withdrawals; and the bank does not reserve the right to require advance notice of an intended withdrawal.

Monetary Policy and Economic Conditions

The commercial banking business is affected not only by general economic conditions, but also by the policies of various governmental regulatory authorities, including the Federal Reserve Board. The Federal Reserve Board regulates money and credit conditions and interest rates in order to influence general economic conditions primarily through open market operations in U.S. Government securities, changes in the discount rate on bank borrowings and changes in reserve requirements against bank deposits. These policies and regulations significantly affect the overall growth and distribution of bank loans, investments and deposits, and the interest rates charged on loans as well as the interest rates paid on deposits and accounts.

The monetary policies of the Federal Reserve Board have had a significant effect on the operating results of commercial banks in the past and are expected to continue to have significant effects in the future. In view of the changing conditions in the economy and the money market and the activities of monetary and fiscal authorities, no definitive predictions can be made as to future changes in interest rates, credit availability or deposit level.

Statistical Financial Information Regarding the Corporation

The following schedules and table analyze certain elements of the consolidated balance sheets and statements of income of the Corporation and its subsidiary, as required under Securities Act Industry Guide 3 promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, and should be read in conjunction with the narrative analysis presented in ITEM 7, MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION and the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Corporation, both of which are included in the 2012 Annual Report.

Available Information

The Corporation files various reports with the SEC, including Forms 10-Q, 10-K, 11-K and 8-K as required. The public may read and copy any filed materials with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site (http://www.sec.gov) that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information that the Corporation electronically files with the SEC.

Various information on the Corporation may also be obtained from the Corporation's maintained website at http://www.theubank.com.

- I. DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY; INTEREST RATES AND INTEREST DIFFERENTIAL
- A. The following are the average balance sheets for the years ended December 31:

SETS 2012		2012		2011		2010	
			(dollars	in thousands)			
Interest-earning assets							
Securities (1)							
Taxable	\$	121,376	\$	104,755	\$	101,132	
Non-taxable		46,390		46,981		48,616	
Interest bearing deposits		37,625		41,802		33,357	
Federal funds sold		46		82		210	
Loans (2)		325,114		360,669		398,378	
Total interest-earning assets		530,551		554,289		581,693	
Non-interest-earning assets							
Cash and due from banks		7,242		6,944		7,202	
Premises and equipment, net		9,385		9,728		9,823	
Accrued interest receivable and other assets		28,773		31,266		32,885	
Allowance for loan losses		(7,485)		(8,762)		(6,322)	
	\$	568,466	\$	593,465	\$	625,281	
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY							
Interest-bearing liabilities							
Deposits							
Savings and interest-bearing demand deposits	\$	194,590	\$	171,142	\$	172,224	
Time deposits	Ψ	208.982	Ψ	256,953	Ψ	273,418	
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures		10,300		10,300		10,300	
Other borrowings		27,488		41,058		61,918	
Total interest-bearing liabilities		441,360		479,453	_	517,860	
Non-interest-bearing liabilities		441,500		477,433		317,000	
Demand deposits		60,876		53,505		47,447	
Accrued interest payable and other		00,070		22,232		.,,,	
Liabilities		4,196		3,078		4,128	
Shareholders' equity (3)		62,034		57,429		55,846	
Similarionalis equity (3)		02,034		31,429		33,640	
	\$	568,466	\$	593,465	\$	625,281	

⁽¹⁾ Securities include securities available-for-sale, which are carried at fair value, and Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock carried at cost. The average balance includes monthly average balances of fair value adjustments and daily average balances for the amortized cost of securities.

⁽²⁾ Loan balances include principal balances of non-accrual loans and loans held for sale.

⁽³⁾ Shareholders' equity includes average net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on securities available-for-sale, net of tax.

⁽⁴⁾ Financial data for 2010 includes the impact of the March 2010 Findlay branch acquisition.

- I. DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY; INTEREST RATES AND INTEREST DIFFERENTIAL (CONTINUED)
- B. The following tables set forth, for the years indicated, the condensed average balances of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, the interest earned or paid on such amounts, and the average interest rates earned or paid thereon.

		2012					
	Average				Average		
		Balance		Interest	Rate		
INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS			(do	llars in thousands)			
Securities (1)							
Taxable	\$	121,376	\$	2,813	2.32%		
Non-taxable (2)		46,390		2,708	5.84%		
Loans (3, 4)		325,114		17,922	5.51%		
Other		37,671		78	0.21%		
Total interest-earning assets	\$	530,551	\$	23,521	4.43%		
INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES							
Deposits							
Savings and interest-bearing demand deposits	\$	194,590	\$	286	0.15%		
Time deposits		208,982		3,062	1.47%		
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures		10,300		368	3.57%		
Other borrowings		27,488		960	3.49%		
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$	441,360	\$	4,676	1.06%		
Net interest income, tax equivalent basis			\$	18,845			
Net interest income as a percent of average interest-earning assets				_	3.55%		

⁽¹⁾ Securities include securities available-for-sale, which are carried at fair value, and FHLB stock carried at cost. The average balance includes monthly average balances of fair value adjustments and daily average balances for the amortized cost of securities.

⁽²⁾ Computed on tax equivalent basis for non-taxable securities (34% statutory rate).

⁽³⁾ Loan balances include principal balance of non-accrual loans.

⁽⁴⁾ Interest income on loans includes fees of \$1,213,462.

I. DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY; INTEREST RATES AND INTEREST DIFFERENTIAL (CONTINUED)

		2011					
	Average				Average		
	1	Balance		Interest	Rate		
INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS			(dol	lars in thousands)			
Securities (1)							
Taxable	\$	104,755	\$	3,260	3.11%		
Non-taxable (2)		46,981		2,933	6.24%		
Loans (3, 4)		360,669		21,198	5.88%		
Other		41,884		96	0.23%		
Total interest-earning assets	\$	554,289	\$	27,487	4.96%		
INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES							
Deposits							
Savings and interest-bearing demand deposits	\$	171,142	\$	249	0.15%		
Time deposits		256,953		5,291	2.06%		
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures		10,300		350	3.40%		
Other borrowings		41,058		1,436	3.50%		
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$	479,453	\$	7,326	1.53%		
Net interest income, tax equivalent basis			\$	20,161			
Net interest income as a percent of average interest-earning assets					3.64%		

⁽¹⁾ Securities include securities available-for-sale, which are carried at fair value, and FHLB stock carried at cost. The average balance includes monthly average balances of market value adjustments and daily average balances for the amortized cost of securities.

⁽²⁾ Computed on tax equivalent basis for non-taxable securities (34% statutory rate).

⁽³⁾ Loan balances include principal balance of non-accrual loans.

⁽⁴⁾ Interest income on loans includes fees of \$922,947.

I. DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY; INTEREST RATES AND INTEREST DIFFERENTIAL (CONTINUED)

	2010 Average Balance Interest			nterest	Average Rate
INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS			(dollars	in thousands)	
Securities available-for-sale (1)			`	•	
Taxable	\$	101,132	\$	3,930	3.89%
Non-taxable (2)		48,616		3,011	6.19%
Loans (3, 4)		398,378		24,320	6.10%
Other		33,567		78	0.23%
Total interest-earning assets	\$	581,693	\$	31,339	5.39%
INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES Deposits					
Savings and interest-bearing demand deposits	\$	172,224	\$	600	0.35%
Time deposits	Ψ	273,418	Ψ	5,638	2.06%
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures		10,300		354	3.44%
Other borrowings		61,918		2,367	3.82%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$	517,860	\$	8,959	1.73%
Net interest income, tax equivalent basis			\$	22,380	
Net interest income as a percent of average interest-earning assets				=	3.85%

⁽¹⁾ Securities include securities available-for-sale, which are carried at fair value, and FHLB stock carried at cost. The average balance includes monthly average balances of market value adjustments and daily average balances for the amortized cost of securities.

⁽²⁾ Computed on tax equivalent basis for non-taxable securities (34% statutory rate).

⁽³⁾ Loan balances include principal balance of non-accrual loans and loans held for sale.

⁽⁴⁾ Interest income on loans includes fees of \$867,535.

- I. DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY; INTEREST RATES AND INTEREST DIFFERENTIAL (CONTINUED)
- C. The following tables set forth the effect of volume and rate changes on interest income and expenses for the periods indicated. For purposes of these tables, changes in interest due to volume and rate were determined as follows:

Volume variance - change in volume multiplied by the previous year's rate.

Rate variance - change in rate multiplied by the previous year's volume.

Rate/volume variance - change in volume multiplied by the change in rate.

• This variance was allocated to volume variances and rate variances in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amount of the change in each.

Interest on non-taxable securities has been adjusted to a fully tax equivalent basis using a statutory tax rate of 34% in all years presented.

	2012/2011					
	7	Γotal	Variance Attribut	table To		
	Variance		Volume	Rate		
INTEREST INCOME		(dolla	ars in thousands)			
Securities -						
Taxable	\$	(447) \$	467 \$	(914)		
Non-taxable		(225)	(37)	(188)		
Loans		(3,276)	(2,010)	(1,266)		
Other		(18)	(9)	(9)		
		(3,966)	(1,589)	(2,377)		
INTEREST EXPENSE						
Deposits -						
Savings and interest-bearing demand deposits		37	34	3		
Time deposits		(2,229)	(876)	(1,353)		
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures		18	-	18		
Other borrowings		(476)	(474)	(2)		
		(2,650)	(1,316)	(1,334)		
NET INTEREST INCOME	\$	(1,316) \$	(273) \$	(1,043)		

I. DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY; INTEREST RATES AND INTEREST DIFFERENTIAL (CONTINUED)

	2011/2010				
	Total		Variance Att	ributable To	
	V	ariance	Volume	Rate	
ITEREST INCOME		(dolla	rs in thousands)		
Securities -					
Taxable	\$	(670) \$	137	\$ ((807)
Non-taxable		(78)	(102)		24
Loans		(3,122)	(2,241)	((881)
Other		18	18		_
		(3,852)	(2,188)	(1,	,664)
ITEREST EXPENSE					
Deposits -					
Savings and interest-bearing demand deposits		(351)	(4)	((347)
Time deposits		(347)	(347)		-
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures		(4)	-		(4)
Other borrowings		(931)	(743)	((188)
		(1,633)	(1,094)	((539)
ET INTEREST INCOME	\$	(2,219) \$	(1,094)	\$ (1,	,125)

II. INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO

A. The carrying amounts of securities available-for-sale as of December 31 are summarized as follows:

	 2012		2011		2010
	 _	(dollar	s in thousands)		
U.S. Government agency securities	\$ 15,554	\$	7,521	\$	-
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	53,919		49,311		47,298
Mortgage-backed securities	107,607		94,599		92,633
Other	 528		525		509
	\$ 177,608	\$	151,956	\$	140,440

The above excludes Federal Home Loan Bank stock amounting to \$4,893,800 in 2012, 2011, and 2010.

B. The maturity distribution and weighted average yield of securities available-for-sale at December 31, 2012 are as follows (1):

				Matu	ırin	g		
				After One Year		After Five Years		
		Within One Year		But Within Five Years		But Within Ten Years		After Ten Years
		One Tear	_	(dollars in thousands)			_	Ten Tears
Agencies	\$	-	\$	8,032	\$	7,522	\$	-
Obligations of states and political subdivisions		2,239		4,667		15,798		31,215
Mortgage-backed securities (2)	_	23		95		4,545	_	102,944
	\$	2,262	\$	12,794	\$	27,865	\$	134,159
				Weighted Av	/era	ge Yield		
Agencies		-		1.04%		1.61%		-
Obligations of states and political subdivisions		4.08%		3.75%		3.22%		3.87%
Mortgage-backed securities (2)		4.00%		4.26%		4.25%		2.98%
Weighted Average Yield - Portfolio		4.08%		2.06%		2.95%		3.19%

⁽¹⁾ Table excludes Federal Home Loan Bank stock and \$527,855 of securities having no maturity date.

The weighted average interest rates are based on coupon rates for securities purchased at par value and on effective interest rates considering amortization or accretion if the securities were purchased at a premium or discount.

C. There were no securities which exceeded 10% of shareholders' equity at December 31, 2012.

⁽²⁾ Maturity based upon estimated weighted-average life.

III. LOAN PORTFOLIO

A. Types of Loans – Total loans, including loans held for sale, are comprised of the following classifications at December 31 for the years indicated:

	 2012	2011		2010		2009	2008
	 _	(d	ollar	s in thousand	ls)	_	
Commercial and agricultural	\$ 241,730	\$ 270,454	\$	305,657	\$	286,485	\$ 268,433
Real estate mortgage	61,276	64,888		70,331		107,515	130,289
Consumer loans	 4,396	5,358		7,919		13,815	 19,663
	\$ 307,402	\$ 340,700	\$	383,907	\$	407,815	\$ 418,385

Real estate mortgage loans include real estate construction loans of \$2.6 million in 2012, \$5.3 million in 2011, \$6.5 million in 2010, \$4.8 million in 2009, and \$20.9 million in 2008. There were no lease financing receivables in any year.

CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK – The Corporation's depository institution subsidiary grants commercial, real estate, installment, and credit card loans to customers primarily located in Northwestern and West Central Ohio. Commercial loans include loans collateralized by business assets and agricultural loans collateralized by farm equipment. As of December 31, 2012, commercial and agricultural loans make up 78.64% of the loan portfolio; the loans are expected to be repaid from cash flow from operations of the businesses. As of December 31, 2012, real estate mortgage loans make up 19.93% of the loan portfolio and are collateralized by first mortgages on residential real estate. As of December 31, 2012, consumer loans to individuals make up 1.43% of the loan portfolio and are primarily collateralized by consumer assets.

B. Maturities and Sensitivities of Loans to Changes in Interest Rates – The following table shows the amounts of commercial and agricultural loans outstanding as of December 31, 2012 which, based on remaining scheduled repayments of principal, are due in the periods indicated. Also, the amounts have been classified according to sensitivity to changes in interest rates for commercial and agricultural loans due after one year. (Variable-rate loans are those loans with floating or adjustable interest rates.)

Maturing	Commercial and Agricultural
- Mataring	(dollars in thousands)
Within one year	\$ 38,263
After one year but within five years	29,584
After five years	173,883
	\$ 241,730

III. LOAN PORTFOLIO (CONTINUED)

	Interest Sensitivity						
	Fixed		Fixed		xed Variable		
	Rate		Rate Rate		Total		
			(dollars	in thousands)			
Due after one year but within five years	\$	22,162	\$	7,422	\$ 29,584		
Due after five years		8,463		165,420	173,883		
	\$	30,625	\$	172,842	\$ 203,467		

C. Risk Elements – Non-accrual, Past Due, Restructured and Impaired Loans – The following table summarizes non-accrual, past due, restructured and impaired loans at December 31:

-	2	2012		2011	_	2010		2009	20	008	
				`		in thousand	· .				
(a) Loans accounted for on a non-accrual basis \$	6	17,171	\$	21,700	\$	16,497	\$	12,937	\$	3,074	
(b) Loans contractually past due 90 days or more as to											
interest or principal payments and still accruing interest		25		55		126		2,456		2,387	
(c) Loans not included in (a) or (b) which are "Troubled											
Debt Restructurings" as defined by accounting principles											
generally accepted in the United States of America		2,139		4,479	_	3,092				_	
	:	17,196	\$	26,234	\$	19,715	\$	15,393	\$	5,461	
Ψ	,	17,170	Ψ	20,234	Ψ	17,713	Ψ	13,373	Ψ	3,401	
The following is reported for the year ended December 31:											
The following is reported for the year chiefe December 31.		2012		2011		2010	1	200)0	200	18
	_	2012	_	2011		dollars in th			,,_		,,,
Gross interest income that would have been recorded on nor	1_				(,	aonais in th	ousand)			
accrual loans outstanding if the loans had been current, in	1-										
accordance with their original terms and had been											
outstanding throughout the period or since origination if hel	А										
for part of the period	.u \$	1	143	\$	1.438	\$	987	\$	1.015	\$	259
for part of the period	Ф	1,	143	Ф	1,430	Ф	901	φ	1,013	Ф	239
Interest income actually recorded on non-accrual loans and											
included in net income for the period			_		_		_		_		_
r	_		_								
Interest income not recognized during the period	\$	1,	143	\$	1,438	\$	987	\$	1,015	\$	259
	_	,			_						

III. LOAN PORTFOLIO (CONTINUED)

1. Discussion of the non-accrual policy

The accrual of interest on mortgage and commercial loans is generally discontinued at the time the loan is 90 days past due unless the credit is well-secured and in process of collection. Personal loans are typically charged-off no later than when they become 150 days past due. Past due status is based on contractual terms of the loan. In all cases, loans are placed on non-accrual or charged-off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful.

All interest accrued but not collected for loans that are placed on nonaccrual or charged-off is reversed against interest income. Interest on these loans is accounted for on the cash-basis or cost-recovery method, until qualifying for return to accrual. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

2. Potential problem loans

As of December 31, 2012, in addition to the \$17.2 million of loans reported under Item III C, there are approximately \$17.1 million of other outstanding loans where known information causes management to have doubts as to the ability of such borrowers to comply with the present loan repayment terms and which may result in disclosure of such loans pursuant to Item III C at some future date. Consideration was given to loans classified for regulatory purposes as substandard or special mention that have not been disclosed in Item III C above.

3. Foreign outstandings

None.

4. Loan concentrations

At December 31, 2012, loans outstanding relating to agricultural operations or collateralized by agricultural real estate aggregated \$43,068,272. At December 31, 2012, there were three borrowers with loans totaling \$1,683,743 in agricultural loans, which were accounted for on a non-accrual basis; and there were no accruing agricultural commercial loans which were contractually past due 90 days or more as to interest or principal payments.

D. Other interest-bearing assets

As of December 31, 2012, there were no other interest-bearing assets that are required to be disclosed.

IV. SUMMARY OF LOAN LOSS EXPERIENCE

A. The following schedule presents an analysis of the allowance for loan losses, average loan data and related ratios for the years ended December 31:

		2012	2011		2010		2009	 2008
LOANS			/ 1		1 1	,		
				onars	s in thousand	s)		
Loans outstanding at end of period (1)	\$	307,402	\$ 340,700	\$	383,907	\$	407,815	\$ 418,385
Average loans outstanding during period (1)	\$	325,114	\$ 360,669	\$	398,378	\$	417,913	\$ 400,823
ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES								
Balance at beginning of period	\$	8,543	\$ 8,017	\$	4,804	\$	3,198	\$ 2,233
Loans charged off -								
Commercial and agricultural		(2,103)	(3,635)		(3,107)		(5,471)	(512)
Real estate mortgage		(144)	(515)		(494)		(431)	(85)
Consumer loans to individuals		(14)	(88)		(223)		(366)	(942)
		(2,261)	(4,238)		(3,824)		(6,268)	(1,539)
Recoveries of loans previously charged off -								
Commercial and agricultural		379	162		282		53	40
Real estate mortgage		14	142		95		17	7
Consumer loans		43	85		110		279	262
		436	389		487		349	309
Net loans charged off		(1,826)	(3,849)		(3,337)		(5,919)	(1,230)
Provision for loan losses		200	4,375		6,550		7,525	2,195
Balance at end of period	\$	6,918	\$ 8,543	\$	8,017	\$	4,804	\$ 3,198
Ratio of net charge-offs during the period to average								
loans outstanding during the period	_	0.56%	1.07%		0.84%		1.42%	 0.31%

(1) Including loans held for sale.

The amount of loan charge-offs and recoveries fluctuate from year to year due to various factors relating to the condition of the general economy and specific business segments. The 2012 loan charge-offs included twenty-three commercial or agricultural credits, with the largest individual charge-off being \$509,000. The 2011 loan charge-offs included twenty-seven commercial or agricultural credits, with the largest individual charge-off being \$1,400,000. The 2010 loan charge-offs included nine commercial or agricultural credits, with the largest individual charge-off being \$585,000. The 2009 loan charge-offs included one commercial credit amounting to \$3,600,000 whose business operations ceased during the fourth quarter of 2009. The Bank incurred \$231,000 of additional charge-offs in 2010 related to the credit. In addition, 2009 net-loan charge-offs included five other commercial and/or commercial real estate credits, with the largest individual credit charge-off being \$775,000. Net loan charge-offs in 2008 included four commercial or commercial real estate credits in excess of \$100,000.

IV. SUMMARY OF LOAN LOSS EXPERIENCE (CONTINUED)

The Corporation recognized a provision for loan losses of \$200,000 in 2012. Problem and potential problem loans aggregated \$34.2 million at December 31, 2012 compared to \$45.6 million at December 31, 2011. The Corporation will continue to monitor the credit quality of its loan portfolio, and especially the quality of those credits identified as problem or potential problem credits, to ensure the allowance for loan losses is maintained at an appropriate level.

The allowance for loan losses balance and the provision for loan losses are judgmentally determined by management based upon periodic reviews of the loan portfolio. In addition, management considered the level of charge-offs on loans as well as the fluctuations of charge-offs and recoveries on loans including the factors which caused these changes. Estimating the risk of loans and the amount of loss is necessarily subjective. Accordingly, the allowance is maintained by management at a level considered adequate to cover losses that are currently anticipated based on past loss experience, general economic conditions, information about specific borrower situations including their financial position and collateral value and other factors and estimates which are subject to change over time.

IV. SUMMARY OF LOAN LOSS EXPERIENCE (CONTINUED)

B. The following schedule is a breakdown of the allowance for loan losses allocated by type of loan and related ratios.

		Allocation of the Allowance for Loan Losses					
			Percentage			Percentage	
			of Loans in			of Loans in	
			Each Category			Each Category	
	All	lowance	to Total	Allowance Amount rs in thousands)		to Total	
	A	mount	Loans			Loans	
			(dollars in t				
		December 3	31, 2012		December	r 31, 2011	
Commercial and agricultural	\$	6,268	78.7%	\$	7,444	79.4%	
Real Estate mortgages		602	19.9%		999	19.0%	
Consumer loans to individuals		47	1.4%		100	1.6%	
Unallocated		-	-		-	-	
	\$	6,918	100.0%	\$	8,543	100.0%	

		December 31,	2010	December 31, 2009			
Commercial and agricultural	\$	7,134	79.6% \$	3,714	70.2%		
Real Estate mortgages		323	18.3%	379	26.4%		
Consumer loans to individuals		125	2.1%	277	3.4%		
Unallocated		435	-	434	-		
	\$	8,017	100.0% \$	4,804	100.0%		

	 December 31, 200						
Commercial and agricultural	\$ 2,454	64.2%					
Real Estate mortgages	271	31.1%					
Consumer loans to individuals	386	4.7%					
Unallocated	87	-					
	\$ 3,198	100.0%					

The allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2012 included specific reserves for impaired loans amounting to \$2,922,000 compared to \$1,990,000 at December 31, 2011.

While the periodic analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses may require management to allocate portions of the allowance for specific problem loan situations, the entire allowance is available for any loan charge-offs that occur.

V. DEPOSITS

Deposits have traditionally been the Bank's primary funding source for use in lending and other investment activities. In addition to deposits, the Bank derives funds from interest and principal repayments on loans and income from other earning assets. Loan repayments are a relatively stable source of funds, while deposit inflows and outflows tend to fluctuate in response to economic conditions and interest rates. Deposits are attracted principally from within the Bank's designated market area by offering a variety of deposit instruments, including regular savings accounts, negotiable order of withdrawal ("NOW") accounts, money market deposit accounts, term certificate accounts, and individual retirement accounts ("IRAs"). Interest rates paid, maturity terms, service fees, and withdrawal penalties for the various types of accounts are established periodically by the Bank's management based on the Bank's liquidity requirements, growth goals, and market trends. From time to time, the Bank may also acquire brokered deposits. The amount of deposits from outside the Bank's market area is not significant.

A.&B. The average amount of deposits and average rates paid are summarized as follows for the years ended December 31:

	(dollars in thousands)															
	2012		2012		2012		2012		2012		2012		2012		2011	2011
		Average	Average	Average		Average										
	Amount		Rate		Amount	Rate										
Savings and interest- bearing demand deposits	\$	194,590	0.15%	\$	171,142	0.15%										
Time deposits	·	208,982	1.47%		256,953	2.06%										
Demand deposits (non-interest bearing)		60,876	-		53,505	-										
	\$	464,448		\$	481,600											
	_	2010 Average Amount	2010 Average Rate													
Savings and interest- bearing demand deposits	\$	172,224	0.35%													
Time deposits		273,418	2.06%													
Demand deposits (non-interest bearing)		47,447	-													
	\$	493,089														

C.&E. There were no foreign deposits in any periods presented.

V. DEPOSITS (CONTINUED)

D. Maturities of time certificates of deposit and other time deposits of \$100,000 or more outstanding at December 31, 2012 are summarized as follows:

Three months or less	\$ 8,023
Over three months and through six months	4,443
Over six months and through twelve months	9,398
Over twelve months	35,248
	\$ 57,112

VI. RETURN ON EQUITY AND ASSETS

The ratio of net income to average shareholders' equity and average total assets and certain other ratios are as follows:

	 2012	(do	2011 llars in thousands)		2010
Average total assets	\$ 568,466	\$	593,465	\$	625,281
Average shareholders' equity (1)	\$ 62,034	\$	57,429	\$	55,846
Net income	\$ 4,485	\$	2,943	\$	2,808
Cash dividends declared	\$ 172	\$	_	\$	1,550
Return on average total assets	 0.79%	_	0.50%		0.45%
Return on average shareholders' equity	 7.23%	_	5.12%	_	5.03%
Dividend payout ratio (2)	 3.84%	_	<u>-</u>		55.20%
Average shareholders' equity to average total assets	10.91%		9.68%		8.93%

⁽¹⁾ Average shareholders' equity includes average unrealized gains or losses on securities available-for-sale.

⁽²⁾ Dividends declared divided by net income.

VII. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

The Bank has established lines of credit with its major correspondent banks to purchase federal funds to meet liquidity needs. At December 31, 2012, the Bank did not have any federal funds purchased, out of the \$49.0 million available under such lines. The Bank also uses repurchase agreements as a source of funds. These agreements essentially represent borrowings by the Bank from customers with maturities of three months or less. Certain securities are pledged as collateral for these agreements. At December 31, 2012, the Bank had \$5,100,000 of repurchase agreements.

The following table sets forth the maximum month-end balance for the Bank's outstanding short-term borrowings (federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements), along with the average aggregate balances and weighted average interest for 2012, 2011, and 2010.

	2	012	2011	2010
		(dollar	s in thousands)	
Balance at year-end	\$	5,057 \$	5,216 \$	11,178
Maximum balance at month-end during the period	\$	5,164 \$	6,784 \$	11,178
Average balance	\$	4,126 \$	5,372 \$	6,693
Weighted average interest rate		0.17%	0.14%	0.56%

Item 1A.

RISK FACTORS

An investment in the Corporation's common stock is subject to risks inherent to the Corporation's business. The material risks and uncertainties that management believes affect the Corporation are described below. Before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below together with all of the other information included or incorporated by reference in this report. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing the Corporation. Additional risks and uncertainties that management is not aware of or focused on or that management currently deems immaterial may also impair the Corporation's business operations. This report is qualified in its entirety by these risk factors.

If any of the following risks actually occur, the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. If this were to happen, the value of the Corporation's common stock could decline significantly, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related to the Corporation's Business

The Corporation is Subject to Interest Rate Risk

The Corporation's earnings and cash flows are largely dependent upon its net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income earned on interest-earning assets such as loans and securities and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities such as deposits and borrowed funds. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond the Corporation's control, including general economic conditions and policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies and, in particular, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, could influence not only the interest the Corporation receives on loans and securities and the amount of interest it pays on deposits and borrowings, but such changes could also affect (i) the Corporation's ability to originate loans and obtain deposits, (ii) the fair value of the Corporation's financial assets and liabilities, and (iii) the average duration of the Corporation's mortgage-backed securities portfolio. If the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings increase at a faster rate than the interest rates received on loans and other investments, the Corporation's net interest income, and therefore earnings, could be adversely affected. Earnings could also be adversely affected if the interest rates received on loans and other investments fall more quickly than the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings.

Although management believes it has implemented effective asset and liability management strategies to reduce the potential effects of changes in interest rates on the Corporation's results of operations, any substantial, unexpected, prolonged change in market interest rates could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation is Subject to Lending Risk

There are inherent risks associated with the Corporation's lending activities. These risks include, among other things, the impact of changes in interest rates and changes in the economic conditions in the markets where the Corporation operates as well as those across the State of Ohio, the United States and abroad. Increases in interest rates and/or weakening economic conditions could adversely impact the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans or the value of the collateral securing these loans. The Corporation is also subject to various laws and regulations that affect its lending activities. Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations could subject the Corporation to regulatory enforcement action that could result in the assessment of significant civil monetary penalties against the Corporation.

The Corporation's level of non-performing loans has increased over the past couple of years, which could result in a net loss of earnings from these loans, an increase in the provision for possible loans losses and an increase in loan charge-offs, all of which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation is subject to liquidity risk in its operations, which could adversely affect the ability to fund various obligations.

Liquidity risk is the possibility of being unable to meet obligations as they come due, pay deposits when withdrawn, capitalize on growth opportunities as they arise, or pay dividends because of an inability to liquidate assets or obtain adequate funding on a timely basis, at a reasonable cost and within acceptable risk tolerances. Liquidity is derived primarily from retail deposit growth and retention, principal and interest payments on loans and investment securities, net cash provided from operation and access to other funding sources. Liquidity is essential to our business. We must maintain sufficient funds to respond to the needs of depositors and borrowers. An inability to raise funds through deposits, borrowings, the sale or pledging as collateral of loans and other assets could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity. Our access to funding sources in amounts adequate to finance our activities could be impaired by factors that affect us specifically or the financial services industry in general. Factors that could detrimentally impact our access to liquidity sources include a decrease in the level of our business activity due to a market downturn or regulatory action that limits or eliminates our access to alternate funding sources. Our ability to borrow could also be impaired by factors that are nonspecific to us, such as severe disruption of the financial markets or negative expectations about the prospects for the financial services industry as a whole, as evidenced by recent turmoil in the domestic and worldwide credit markets.

Changes in accounting standards could impact the Corporation's reported earnings.

Current accounting and tax rules, standards, policies and interpretations influence the methods by which financial institutions conduct business and govern financial reporting and disclosures. These laws, regulations, rules, standards, policies and interpretations are constantly evolving and may change significantly over time. Events that may not have a direct impact on the Corporation, such as bankruptcy of major U.S. companies, have resulted in legislators, regulators, and authoritative bodies, such as the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the Securities Exchange Commission, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and various taxing authorities, responding by adopting and/or proposing substantive revision to laws, regulations, rules, standards, policies and interpretations. New accounting pronouncements and varying interpretations of accounting pronouncements have occurred and may occur in the future. The Corporation's financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected by a change in accounting standards.

The Corporation's Allowance for Loan Losses May Be Insufficient

The Corporation maintains an allowance for loan losses, which is a reserve established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense, that represents management's best estimate of probable losses within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The level of the allowance reflects management's continuing evaluation of industry concentrations; specific credit risks; loan loss experience; current loan portfolio quality; present economic, political and regulatory conditions and unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio. The determination of the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses inherently involves a high degree of subjectivity and requires the Corporation to make significant estimates of current credit risks and future trends, all of which may undergo material changes. Changes in economic conditions affecting borrowers, new information regarding existing loans, identification of additional problem loans and other factors, both within and outside of the Corporation's control, may require a potentially significant increase in the allowance for loan losses. In addition, bank regulatory agencies periodically review the Corporation's allowance for loan losses and may require an increase in the provision for loan losses or the recognition of further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of management. In addition, if charge-offs in future periods exceed the allowance for loan losses, the Corporation will need additional provisions to increase the allowance for loan losses. Any increases in the allowance for loan losses will result in a decrease in net income and, possibly, capital, and may have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Prepayments of loans may negatively impact our business.

Generally, customers of the Bank may prepay the principal amount of their outstanding loans at any time. The speed at which such prepayments occur, as well as the size of such prepayments, are within such customers' discretion. If customers prepay the principal amount of their loans, and the Bank is unable to lend those funds to other borrowers or invest the funds at the same or higher interest rates, the Bank's interest income will be reduced. A significant reduction in interest income could have a negative impact on the Corporation's results of operations and financial condition.

The Corporation may face increasing pressure from historical purchasers of residential mortgage loans to repurchase those loans or reimburse purchasers for losses related to those loans.

The Corporation generally sells the fixed rate long-term residential mortgage loans it originates on the secondary market and retains adjustable rate mortgage loans for its portfolios. In response to the financial crisis, the Corporation believes that purchasers of residential mortgage loans, such as government sponsored entities, are increasing their efforts to seek to require sellers of residential mortgage loans to either repurchase loans previously sold or reimburse purchasers for losses related to loans previously sold when losses are incurred on a loan previously sold due to actual or alleged failure to strictly conform to the purchaser's purchase criteria. As a result, the Corporation may face increasing pressure from historical purchasers of its residential mortgage loans to repurchase those loans or reimburse purchasers for losses related to those loans and the Corporation may face increasing expenses to defend against such claims. If the Corporation is required in the future to repurchase loans previously sold, reimburse purchasers for losses related to loans previously sold, or if the Corporation incurs increasing expenses to defend against such claims, its financial condition and results of operations would be negatively affected. Additionally, such actions would lower the Corporation's capital ratios as a result of increased assets and reduced income through expenses and any losses incurred.

The Dodd-Frank Act may adversely impact the Corporation's results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), was signed into law by President Obama. The Dodd-Frank Act represents a comprehensive overhaul of the financial services industry within the United States, establishes the new federal Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (the "BCFP"), and will require the BCFP and other federal agencies to implement many new and significant rules and regulations. At this time, it is difficult to predict the extent to which the Dodd-Frank Act or the resulting rules and regulations will impact our business. Compliance with these new laws and regulations will likely result in additional costs, which could be significant and could adversely impact our results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

If the Corporation is required to write-down goodwill and other intangible assets, its financial condition and results of operations would be negatively affected.

A substantial portion of the value of the merger consideration paid in connection with recent branch acquisitions was allocated to goodwill and other intangible assets on the Corporation's consolidated balance sheet. The amount of the purchase price that is allocated to goodwill and other intangible assets is determined by the excess of the purchase price over the net identifiable assets acquired. The Corporation is required to conduct an annual review to determine whether goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets are impaired.

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually as of September 30th. An impairment test also could be triggered between annual testing dates if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value below the carrying amount. Examples of those events or circumstances would include a significant adverse change in business climate; a significant unanticipated loss of customers or assets under management; an unanticipated loss of key personnel; a sustained period of poor investment performance; a significant loss of deposits or loans; a significant reduction in profitability; or a significant change in loan credit quality.

The Corporation cannot assure that it will not be required to take an impairment charge in the future. Any material impairment charge would have a negative effect on the Corporation's financial results and shareholders' equity.

The Corporation's success depends primarily on the general economic conditions of the State of Ohio and the specific local markets in which the Corporation operates. Unlike larger national or other regional banks that are more geographically diversified, the Corporation provides banking and financial services to customers primarily in the Ohio counties of Allen, Hancock, Putnam, Sandusky, Van Wert, and Wood. The local economic conditions in these areas have a significant impact on the demand for the Corporation's products and services as well as the ability of the Corporation's customers to repay loans, the value of the collateral securing loans and the stability of the Corporation's deposit funding sources. A significant decline in general economic conditions, caused by inflation, recession, acts of terrorism, outbreak of hostilities or other international or domestic occurrences, unemployment, changes in securities markets or other factors could impact those local economic conditions and, in turn, have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation Operates in a Highly Competitive Industry and Market Area

The Corporation faces substantial competition in all areas of its operations from a variety of different competitors, many of whom are larger and may have more financial resources. Such competitors primarily include national, regional, and community banks within the various markets the Corporation operates. The Corporation also faces competition from many other types of financial institutions, including, without limitation, savings and loans, credit unions, finance companies, brokerage firms, insurance companies, factoring companies and other financial intermediaries. The financial services industry could become even more competitive as a result of legislative, regulatory and technological changes and continued consolidation. Banks, securities firms and insurance companies can merge under the umbrella of a financial holding company, which can offer virtually any type of financial service, including banking, securities underwriting, insurance (both agency and underwriting) and merchant banking. Also, technology has lowered barriers to entry and made it possible for non-banks to offer products and services traditionally provided by banks, such as automatic transfer and automatic payment systems. Many of the Corporation's competitors have fewer regulatory constraints and may have lower cost structures. Additionally, due to their size, many competitors may be able to achieve economies of scale and, as a result, may offer a broader range of products and services as well as better pricing for those products and services than the Corporation can.

The Corporation's ability to compete successfully depends on a number of factors, including, among other things:

- The ability to develop, maintain and build upon long-term customer relationships based on top quality service, high ethical standards and safe, sound assets.
- The ability to expand the Corporation's market position.
- The scope, relevance and pricing of products and services offered to meet customer needs and demands.
- The rate at which the Corporation introduces new products and services relative to its competitors.
- Customer satisfaction with the Corporation's level of service.
- Industry and general economic trends.

Failure to perform in any of these areas could significantly weaken the Corporation's competitive position, which could adversely affect the Corporation's growth and profitability, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation is subject to Extensive Government Regulation and Supervision

The Corporation, primarily through its wholly owned subsidiary, The Bank, is subject to extensive federal and state regulation and supervision. Banking regulations are primarily intended to protect depositors' funds, federal deposit insurance funds and the banking system as a whole, not shareholders. These regulations affect the Bank's lending practices, capital structure, investment practices, dividend policy and growth, among other things. Congress and federal regulatory agencies continually review banking laws, regulations and policies for possible changes. Changes to statutes, regulations or regulatory policies, including changes in interpretation or implementation of statutes, regulations or policies, could affect the Corporation in substantial and unpredictable ways. Such changes could subject the Corporation to additional costs, limit the types of financial services and products the Corporation may offer through the Bank and/or increase the ability of non-banks to offer competing financial services and products, among other things. Failure to comply with laws, regulations or policies could result in sanctions by regulatory agencies, civil money penalties and/or reputational damage, which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations. While the Corporation has policies and procedures designed to prevent any such violations, there can be no assurance that such violations will not occur.

The Corporation is subject to Environmental Liability Risk Associated with Lending Activities

A significant portion of the Corporation's loan portfolio is secured by real property. During the ordinary course of business, the Corporation may foreclose on and take title to properties securing certain loans. In doing so, there is a risk that hazardous or toxic substances could be found on these properties. If hazardous or toxic substances are found, the Corporation may be liable for remediation costs, as well as for personal injury and property damage. Environmental laws may require the Corporation to incur substantial expenses and may materially reduce the affected property's value or limit the Corporation's ability to use or sell the affected property. In addition, future laws or more stringent interpretations or enforcement policies with respect to existing laws may increase the Corporation's exposure to environmental liability. Although the Corporation may perform an environmental review before initiating any foreclosure action on real property, these reviews may not be sufficient to detect all potential environmental hazards. The remediation costs and any other financial liabilities associated with an environmental hazard could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation's Controls and Procedures May Fail or Be Circumvented

Management regularly reviews and updates the Corporation's internal controls, disclosure controls and procedures, and corporate governance policies and procedures. Any system of controls, however well designed and operated, is based in part on certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurances that the objectives of the system are met. Any failure or circumvention of the Corporation's controls and procedures or failure to comply with regulations related to controls and procedures could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, results of operations and financial condition.

The Corporation Relies On Dividends from Its Subsidiaries for Most of Its Revenue

The Corporation is a separate and distinct legal entity from its subsidiary. It receives substantially all of its revenue from dividends from its subsidiary. These dividends are the principal source of funds to pay dividends on the Corporation's common stock, interest and principal on the Corporation's debt, and other operating expenses. Various federal and/or state laws and regulations limit the amount of dividends that the Bank may pay to the Corporation. Also, the Corporation's right to participate in a distribution of assets upon a subsidiary's liquidation or reorganization is subject to the prior claims of the subsidiary's creditors. In the event the Bank is unable to pay dividends to the Corporation, the Corporation may not be able to service debt, pay obligations or pay dividends on the Corporation's common stock or trust preferred securities. The inability to receive dividends from the Bank could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation May Not Be Able To Attract and Retain Skilled People

The Corporation's success depends, in large part, on its ability to attract and retain key people. Competition for the best people in most activities engaged in by the Corporation can be intense and the Corporation may not be able to hire such people or to retain them. The unexpected loss of services of one or more of the Corporation's key personnel could have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's business because of their skills, knowledge of the Corporation's market, years of industry experience and the difficulty of promptly finding qualified replacement personnel.

The Corporation's Information Systems May Experience an Interruption or Breach in Security

The Corporation relies heavily on communications and information systems to conduct its business. Any failure, interruption, or breach in security of these systems could result in failures or disruptions in the Corporation's customer relationship management, general ledger, deposit, loan and other systems. While the Corporation has policies and procedures designed to prevent or limit the effect of the failure, interruption, or security breach of its information systems, there can be no assurance that any such failures, interruptions, or security breaches will not occur or, if they do occur, that they will be adequately addressed. The occurrence of any failures, interruptions, or security breaches of the Corporation's information systems could damage the Corporation's reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject the Corporation to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose the Corporation to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation Continually Encounters Technological Change

The financial services industry is continually undergoing rapid technological change with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. The effective use of technology increases efficiency and enables financial institutions to better serve customers and to reduce costs. The Corporation's future success depends, in part, upon its ability to address the needs of its customers by using technology to provide products and services that will satisfy customer demands, as well as to create additional efficiencies in the Corporation's operations. Many of the Corporation's competitors have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvements. The Corporation may not be able to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services or be successful in marketing these products and services to its customers. Failure to successfully keep pace with technological change affecting the financial services industry could have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's business and, in turn, the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation Is Subject To Claims and Litigation Pertaining to Fiduciary Responsibility

From time to time, customers make claims and take legal action pertaining to the Corporation's performance of its fiduciary responsibilities. Whether customer claims and legal action related to the Corporation's performance of its fiduciary responsibilities are founded or unfounded, if such claims and legal action are not resolved in a manner favorable to the Corporation they may result in significant financial liability and/or adversely affect the market perception of the Corporation and its products and services as well as impact customer demand for those products and services. Any financial liability or reputation damage could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Severe Weather, Natural Disasters, Acts of War Or Terrorism And Other External Events Could Significantly Impact The Corporation's Business

Severe weather, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism and other adverse external events could have a significant impact on the Corporation's ability to conduct business. Such events could affect the stability of the Corporation's deposit base, impair the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans, impair the value of collateral securing loans, cause significant property damage, result in loss of revenue and/or cause the Corporation to incur additional expenses. Although management has established disaster recovery policies and procedures, the occurrence of any such event could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Associated with the Corporation's Common Stock

The Corporation's Stock Price Can Be Volatile

Stock price volatility may make it more difficult for stockholders to resell their common stock at prices they find attractive. The Corporation's stock price can fluctuate significantly in response to a variety of factors including, among other things:

- Actual or anticipated variations in quarterly results of operations.
- Recommendations by securities analysts.
- Operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors deem comparable to the Corporation.
- News reports relating to trends, concerns and other issues in the financial services industry.
- Perceptions in the marketplace regarding the Corporation and/or its competitors.
- New technology uses, or services offered, by competitors.
- Significant acquisitions or business combinations, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments by or involving the Corporation or its competitors.
- Failure to integrate acquisitions or realize anticipated benefits from acquisitions.
- Changes in government regulations.
- Geopolitical conditions such as acts or threats of terrorism or military conflicts.

General market fluctuations, industry factors and general economic and political conditions and events, such as economic slowdowns or recessions, interest rate changes or credit loss trends, could also cause the Corporation's stock price to decrease regardless of operating results.

An Investment in the Corporation's Common Stock is NOT an Insured Deposit

The Corporation's common stock is not a bank deposit and, therefore, is not insured against loss by the FDIC, any other deposit insurance fund or by any other public or private entity. Investment in the Corporation's common stock is inherently risky for the reasons described in this "Risk Factors" section and elsewhere in this report and is subject to the same market forces that affect the price of common stock in many companies. As a result, individuals that acquire the Corporation's common stock, may lose some or all of their investment.

The Corporation's Articles of Incorporation and Regulations as well as Certain Banking Laws may have an Anti-Takeover Effect

Provisions of the Corporation's articles of incorporation and regulations and federal banking laws, including regulatory approval requirements, could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire the Corporation, even if doing so would be perceived to be beneficial to the Corporation's shareholders.

The combination of these provisions effectively inhibits a non-negotiated merger or other business combination, which, in turn, could adversely affect the market price of the Corporation's common stock.

Risks Associated with the Corporation's Industry

The Earnings of Financial Services Companies are significantly affected by General Business and Economic Conditions

The Corporation's operations and profitability are impacted by general business and economic conditions in the United States and abroad. These conditions include short-term and long-term interest rates, inflation, money supply, political issues, legislative and regulatory changes, fluctuations in both debt and equity capital markets, broad trends in industry and finance, and the strength of the U.S. economy and the local economies in which the Corporation operates, all of which are beyond the Corporation's control. Deterioration in economic conditions could result in an increase in loan delinquencies and non-performing assets, decreases in loan collateral values and a decrease in demand for the Corporation's products and services, among other things, any of which could have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Financial Services Companies Depend on the Accuracy and Completeness of Information about Customers and Counterparties

In deciding whether to extend credit or enter into other transactions, the Corporation may rely on information furnished by or on behalf of customers and counterparties, including financial statements, credit reports and other financial information. The Corporation may also rely on representations of those customers, counterparties or other third parties, such as independent auditors, as to the accuracy and completeness of that information. Reliance on inaccurate or misleading financial statements, credit reports or other financial information could have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's business and, in turn, the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Consumers May Decide Not To Use Banks to Complete their Financial Transactions

Technology and other changes are allowing parties to complete financial transactions that historically have involved banks through alternative methods. For example, consumers can now maintain funds that would have historically been held as bank deposits in brokerage accounts or mutual funds. Consumers can also complete transactions such as paying bills and/or transferring funds directly without the assistance of banks. The process of eliminating banks as intermediaries, known as "disintermediation," could result in the loss of fee income, as well as the loss of customer deposits and the related income generated from those deposits. The loss of these revenue streams and the lower cost deposits as a source of funds could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable

Item 2. Properties

The following is a listing and brief description of the properties owned by the Corporation and the Bank and used in its business:

- 1. The main office is a two-story brick building located at 100 South High Street, Columbus Grove, Ohio. The building was constructed in approximately 1900 and contains approximately 7,870 square feet.
- 2. A full service branch office is located at 110 East North Street, Kalida, Ohio. The building was constructed in 1994 and contains approximately 2,540 square feet.
- 3. A full service branch office is located at 3211 Elida Road, Lima, Ohio. The building was constructed in 1994 and contains approximately 4,000 square feet.
- 4. A full service branch office is located at 1410 Bellefontaine Avenue, Lima, Ohio. The building was constructed in 1998 and contains approximately 4,200 square feet.
- 5. A drive-thru facility containing approximately 720 square feet located at 101 Progressive Drive, Columbus Grove, Ohio was completed and opened in February 2007.
- 6. Two buildings located at 102 & 106 South High Street, Columbus Grove, Ohio were constructed in approximately 1930. They are both two-story buildings and together contain approximately 9,700 square feet. These facilities are used to house the operations areas of the Bank.
- 7. A full service branch office is located at 318 South Belmore Street, Leipsic, Ohio. It was constructed in 2001 and contains approximately 3,100 square feet.
- 8. A full service branch office is located at 114 East 3rd Street, Delphos, Ohio. The building was acquired as part of the Citizens Bank of Delphos Acquisition in 2001.

- 9. A full service branch office is located at 132 East Front Street, Pemberville, Ohio. The building was acquired as part of the RFCBC branch Acquisition in March 2003.
- 10. A full service branch office is located at 230 West Madison Street, Gibsonburg, Ohio. The building was acquired as part of the RFCBC branch Acquisition in March 2003.
- 11. A full service branch office is located at 1300 North Main Street, Bowling Green, Ohio. Construction was completed during the third quarter of 2007.
- 12. A full service branch office is located at 245 West Main Street, Ottawa, Ohio. The building was completed and opened during the fourth quarter of 2008, and contains approximately 3,100 square feet.
- 13. A full service branch office is located at 701 Shawnee Road, Lima, Ohio. The building was constructed in 1964 and contains approximately 2,400 square feet. The building was purchased, renovated and opened in December 2008.
- 14. A full service branch office is located at 1500 Bright Road, Findlay, Ohio. The building was acquired as part of The Home Savings and Loan Company branch acquisition in March 2010.

All of the properties are suitable for their intended use.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

As of March 27, 2013, there are no pending legal proceedings to which the Corporation or its subsidiary are a party or to which any of their property is subject except routine legal proceedings to which the Corporation or its subsidiary are a party incident to its banking business. None of such proceedings are considered by the Corporation to be material.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

- (a) None.
- (b) None.
- (c) The table below includes certain information regarding the Corporation's repurchase of United Bancshares, Inc. common stock during the quarterly period ended December 31, 2012:

Period		Total number of shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number shares purchased as part of publicly announced plan or program	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plan or program(i)
10/01/12-					
10/31/12	None		-	214,558	185,442
11/01/12					
11/01/12 -					
11/30/12	None		-	214,558	185,442
12/01/12 -					
12/31/12	None		-	214,558	185,442

(i) A stock repurchase program ("Plan") was announced on July 29, 2005 (100,000 shares authorized) and expanded by 100,000 shares on December 23, 2005 and 200,000 shares on March 20, 2007. The Plan authorizes the Corporation to repurchase up to 400,000 of the Corporation's common shares from time to time in a program of market purchases or in privately negotiated transactions as the securities laws and market conditions permit.

Additional information required herein is incorporated by reference from ("Market Price and Dividends on Common Stock") United Bancshares' Annual Report to Shareholders for 2012 ("Annual Report"), which is included herein as Exhibit 13.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The information required herein is incorporated by reference from ("Five Year Summary of Selected Financial Data") United Bancshares' Annual Report to Shareholders for 2012 ("Annual Report"), which is included herein as Exhibit 13.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The information required herein is incorporated by reference from page 4 through 18 ("Management's Discussion and Analysis") of United Bancshares' Annual Report to Shareholders for 2012 ("Annual Report"), which is included herein as Exhibit 13.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The information required herein is incorporated by reference from page 18 through 19 ("Management's Discussion and Analysis") of United Bancshares' Annual Report to Shareholders for 2012 ("Annual Report"), which is included herein as Exhibit 13.

<u>Item 8.</u> <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>

The information required herein is incorporated by reference from page 22 through 67 of United Bancshares' Annual Report to Shareholders for 2012 ("Annual Report"), which is included herein as Exhibit 13.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Management of the Corporation is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective disclosure controls and procedures, as defined under Rule 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. An evaluation was performed under the supervision, and with the participation, of the Corporation's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2012. Based on the results of the evaluation, and as of the time of that evaluation, the Corporation's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Corporation in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The Corporation is responsible for the preparation, integrity, and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements included in this annual report. Management of the Corporation and its subsidiary are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f). The Corporation's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. The purpose is to provide reasonable assurance to the Board of Directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Corporation's financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Management maintains internal controls over financial reporting. The internal controls contain control processes, and actions are taken to correct deficiencies as they are identified. The internal controls are evaluated on an ongoing basis by the Corporation's Management, and Audit Committee. Even effective internal controls, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations – including the possibility of circumvention or overriding of controls – and therefore can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation. Also, because of changes in conditions, internal control effectiveness may vary over time.

Management assessed the Corporation's internal controls as of December 31, 2012, in relation to criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting described in "Internal Control – Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2012, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting met the criteria.

There were no changes in the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the Corporation's fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2012, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required herein concerning Directors and Executive Officers is contained under the captions "Election of Directors" and "Directors and Executive Officers" of the Corporation's definitive proxy statement dated March 20, 2013, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Information required by this item concerning the Corporation's Audit Committee is contained under the caption "Audit Committee Report" of the Corporation's definitive proxy statement dated March 20, 2013, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Information required by this item concerning the Corporation's procedures for the nomination of Directors is contained under the caption "Committees of the Board of Directors" in the Corporation's definitive proxy statement dated March 20, 2013, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Information required by this item concerning compliance with section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is contained under the caption "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the Corporation's definitive proxy statement dated March 20, 2013, which is incorporated herein by reference.

On February 17, 2004, the Corporation adopted a Code of Ethics that is applicable to the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and other Senior Financial Officers. The Board of Directors reviews the Code of Ethics annually with the most recent review performed in February 2013. A copy of the Code of Ethics is available on the Corporation's website at www.theubank.com.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required herein concerning Directors and Executive Officers of the Corporation is contained under the caption "Compensation of Directors and Executive Officers" in the Corporation's definitive proxy statement dated March 20, 2013, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required herein is contained under the caption "Voting Securities" in the Corporation's definitive proxy statement dated March 20, 2013, which is incorporated herein by reference.

<u>Item 13.</u> <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>

In the ordinary course of conducting its business, the Corporation, for itself or through its bank subsidiary, may engage in transactions with the directors, employees, and managers of the Corporation or of the subsidiary which may include, but not be limited to, loans. As required by and in compliance with Ohio banking law, all banking transactions with directors, employees or managers of the Corporation are conducted on the same basis and terms as would be provided to any other bank customer, and do not involve more than the normal risk of collectibility or present any other unfavorable features.

Information required by this item concerning director independence is contained under the caption "Board of Directors Independence" in the Corporation's definitive proxy statement dated March 20, 2013, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Information required by this item is contained under the caption "Independent Public Accountants" in the Corporation's definitive proxy statement dated March 20, 2013, which is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a)(1) Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements (and reports thereon) are set forth on pages 22 through 67 of the Corporation's 2012 Annual Report to Shareholders (Exhibit 13 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K) and are incorporated herein by reference:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Income - Years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income - Years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity - Years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules

Financial statement schedules have been omitted either because they are not applicable or because the required information is provided in the Consolidated Financial Statements, including the notes thereto.

(a)(3) Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed with or incorporated by reference (in accordance with Item 601 of SEC Regulation S-K) in this filing:

Exhibit No.		
3.1	Articles of Incorporation	(1)
3.2	Regulations	(1)
10	Material Contracts	
10.1	Preferred Trust Securities, Placement and Debenture agreements	(2)
10.2	Agreement – Brian D. Young	(4)
10.3	Salary Continuation Agreement - Brian D. Young	(2)
10.4	Salary Continuation Agreement – Heather M. Oatman	(6)
10.6	Change in Control Agreement – Diana L. Engelhardt	(7)
13	2012 Annual Report to Shareholders	(3)
21	Subsidiaries	(3)
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	(3)
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) CEO's Certification	(3)
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) CFO's Certification	(3)
32.1	Section 1350 CEO's Certification	(3)
32.2	Section 1350 CFO's Certification	(3)
99	Safe Harbor under The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995	(3)

101.INS	XBRL Instance Document (a)	(3)
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema	(3)
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation	(3)
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition	(3)
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label	(3)
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation	(3)

- (a) Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are furnished and not deemed filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.
 - (1)Incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's Definitive Proxy Statement pursuant to Section 14(a) filed March 8, 2002, SEC file reference number 333-86543.
 - (2) Incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's 2004 Form 10K/A filed August 5, 2005, SEC file reference number 333-86543.
 - (3) Included herein.
 - (4) Incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's Form 8-K filed July 20, 2006.
 - (5) Incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's Form 10-K filed March 23, 2007.
 - (6) Incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's Form 10-K filed March 20, 2009.
 - (7) Incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's Form 8-K filed July 23, 2012.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

UNITED BANCSHARES, INC.

By: <u>/s/ BRIAN D. YOUNG</u>

Brian D. Young, CEO, President

By: /s/ DIANA L. ENGELHARDT

Diana L. Engelhardt Chief Financial Officer

Date: March 27, 2013

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signatures</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
/s/ BRIAN D. YOUNG Brian D. Young	Director	March 27, 2013
/s/ JAMES N. REYNOLDS James N. Reynolds	Director	March 27, 2013
/s/ H. EDWARD RIGEL H. Edward Rigel	Director	March 27, 2013
/s/ R. STEVEN UNVERFERTH R. Steven Unverferth	Director	March 27, 2013
/s/ ROBERT L. BENROTH Robert L. Benroth	Director	March 27, 2013
/s/ DAVID P. ROACH David P. Roach	Director	March 27, 2013
/s/ DANIEL W. SCHUTT Daniel W. Schutt	Director	March 27, 2013

(Back To Top)

Section 2: EX-13 (2012 ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS)

Exhibit 13

Table of Contents

	Page
	_
President's Letter	1
Market Price and Dividends on Common Stock	2
Five-Year Summary of Selected Financial Data	3

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	4
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	21
Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	22
Consolidated Statements of Income	23
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	24
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity	25
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	26
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	28
Directors and Officers	68
Employee Anniversaries	70

Shareholders, Customers, and Employees:

We are pleased to inform you that your Company reported approximately \$4.5 million, or \$1.30 basic earnings per share, for 2012. This 52% increase from 2011 was achieved despite market conditions that included slow economic growth and a low interest rate environment, both of which had a significant negative effect on net income. The most significant improvements from last year were the \$4.2 million decrease in the amount of provision for loan losses and the \$804,000 increase in gain on loan sales. Each of these improvements is the result of countless hours given by the Company's remarkable staff members, whom I am proud to represent in this role. I am pleased that, in part, due to this improved performance, the Board of Directors declared dividends payable to shareholders in November 2012 and March 2013.

We continue to believe that a solid, established, and financially strong community bank is essential to the success of our communities; just as strong communities are critical to the success of your Company. Consequently, we take seriously the opportunity we have been given to make a difference in our communities and improve the lives of its residents. This belief, and the desire to serve our neighbors, has led your Company to make many financial contributions that promote health, education, public safety, and economic development.

I am also proud of the bank's numerous team members who take time out of their personal lives to invest their talents and treasures for many worthwhile causes throughout our communities. In 2012, team members dedicated over 4,300 hours while participating in over 130 organizations throughout our communities. These actions are, in many cases, making an impact both locally and around the world, providing great benefit to our youth, our elderly, and others in need. We believe this mutually beneficial partnership creates the best company and the best communities.

Your Company experienced numerous successes throughout 2012, but we also experienced a tremendous loss. That loss came in December when we were informed of the passing of one of our own, Robert "Bob" Dillhoff. Bob was a dedicated director of the Company for many years and a faithful friend, father, husband, mentor, and advocate for many people throughout our communities and our state. Although we lost a tremendous individual, his support, guidance, and encouragement will have a lasting positive impact on this Company and each of us who had the privilege to know him. We continue to keep his wife and his family in our thoughts and celebrate the excellence that he brought to each of our lives.

As we look forward, our journey will undoubtedly be presented with numerous obstacles and challenges. Regardless of those challenges, we continue to believe that the drivers of our success are, and will continue to be, our strong corporate values of respect for our shareholders, customers, colleagues, and communities.

Thank you for your support and the trust you have placed in us.

Respectfully,

Brian D. Young President & CEO

UNITED BANCSHARES, INC.

DESCRIPTION OF THE CORPORATION

United Bancshares, Inc., an Ohio corporation (the "Corporation"), is a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and is subject to regulation by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve Board"). The Corporation was incorporated and organized in 1985. The executive offices of the Corporation are located at 100 S. High Street, Columbus Grove, Ohio 45830. Following the merger of the Company's other two bank subsidiaries into The Union Bank Company, Columbus Grove, Ohio ("Bank") in March 2003, the Company is now a one-bank holding company, as that term is defined by the Federal Reserve Board. Effective February 1, 2007, the Bank formed a wholly-owned subsidiary, UBC Investments, Inc. ("UBC") to hold and manage its securities portfolio. The operations of UBC are located in Wilmington, Delaware. Effective, December 4, 2009, the Bank formed a wholly-owned subsidiary UBC Property, Inc. to hold and manage certain property that was acquired in lieu of foreclosure. Through its subsidiary, the Bank, the Corporation is engaged in the business of commercial banking and offers a full range of commercial banking services.

The Union Bank Company is an Ohio state-chartered bank, which serves Allen, Hancock, Putnam, Sandusky, Van Wert and Wood Counties, with office locations in Bowling Green, Columbus Grove, Delphos, Findlay, Gibsonburg, Kalida, Leipsic, Lima, Ottawa, and Pemberville, Ohio.

MARKET PRICE AND DIVIDENDS ON COMMON STOCK

United Bancshares, Inc. has traded its common stock on the Nasdaq Markets Exchange under the symbol "UBOH" since March 2001. From January 2000 to March 2001, the Corporation's common stock was traded on the Nasdaq Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board. Prior to January 2000, there was no established public trading market for United Bancshares, Inc. common stock. As of February 28, 2013, the common stock was held by 1,406 shareholders of record. Below are the trading highs and lows for the periods noted.

Year 2012	High		Low
First Quarter	\$	8.48 \$	6.71
Second Quarter	\$	9.24 \$	6.54
Third Quarter	\$	9.23 \$	8.65
Fourth Quarter	\$ 1	0.75 \$	9.00
Year 2011	High		Low
First Quarter	\$ 1	0.65 \$	8.86
Second Quarter	\$	9.25 \$	8.41
Third Quarter	\$	9.53 \$	8.31
Fourth Quarter	\$	8.62 \$	6.73

Dividends declared by United Bancshares, Inc. on its common stock during the past two years were as follows:

	2012	2011
First Quarter	\$	- \$ -
Second Quarter		
Third Quarter		
Fourth Quarter	<u></u>	.05
Total	\$.05 \$ -

AVAILABILITY OF MORE INFORMATION

To obtain a copy, without charge, of the United Bancshares, Inc.'s annual report (Form 10-K) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, please write to:

Heather Oatman, Secretary United Bancshares, Inc. 100 S. High Street Columbus Grove, Ohio 45830 800-837-8111

UNITED BANCSHARES, INC. FIVE YEAR SUMMARY OF SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Years ended December 31,

	2012			2011	2010		2009			2008	
			(Dollars in the			housands, except per		r share data)			
Statements of income:											
Total interest income	\$	22,591	\$	26,461	\$	30,264	\$	32,867	\$	35,208	
Total interest expense		4,675		7,326		8,959		12,317		15,795	
Net interest income		17,916		19,135		21,305		20,550		19,413	
Provision for loan losses		200		4,375		6,550		7,525		2,195	
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		17,716		14,760		14,755		13,025		17,218	
Total non-interest income		4,353		3,831		3,718		4,492		2,674	
Total non-interest expenses		16,513		15,546		15,522		14,478		14,462	
Income before federal income taxes		5,556		3,045		2,951		3,039		5,430	
Federal income taxes		1,071		102		143		156		1,011	
Net income	\$	4,485	\$	2.042	¢	2 000	\$	2 002	\$	4,419	
	φ	4,463	Ф	2,943	\$	2,808	Ф	2,883	Ф	4,419	
Per share of common stock:	Φ.	1.00	Φ.	0.05	Φ.	0.02	Φ.	0.04	Φ.	1.20	
Net income - basic	\$	1.30	\$	0.85	\$	0.82	\$	0.84	\$	1.28	
Dividends		0.05		-		0.45		0.60		0.60	
Book value	\$	18.62	\$	17.34	\$	15.97	\$	15.76	\$	14.72	
Average shares outstanding – basic		3,446,133		3,445,469		3,444,703		3,443,093		3,447,536	
Year end balances:											
Loans (1)	\$	307,402	\$	340,700	\$	383,907	\$	407,815	\$	418,385	
Securities (2)		182,502		156,850		145,334		143,480		141,392	
Total assets		572,448		587,045		612,617		616,405		616,064	
Deposits		471,199		480,486		488,651		469,668		464,792	
Shareholders' equity		64,170		59,748		55,005		54,279		50,660	
Average balances:											
Loans (1)		325,114		360,669		398,378		417,913		400,823	
Securities (2)		167,766		151,736		149,748		139,373		133,409	
Total assets		568,466		593,465		625,281		612,943		600,749	
Deposits		464,448		481,600		493,089		462,742		443,174	
Shareholders' equity		62,034		57,429		55,846		52,862		49,795	
Selected ratios:											
Net yield on average interest-earning assets (3)		3.55%)	3.64%		3.85%		3.75%		3.64%	
Return on average assets		0.79%		0.50%		0.45%		0.47%		0.74%	
Return on average shareholders equity		7.23%		5.12%		5.03%		5.45%		8.87%	
Net loan charge-offs as a percentage of average		2370		2.12/0		2.0370		2		0.017	
outstanding net loans		0.58%)	1.07%		0.84%		1.42%)	0.319	
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of year											
end loans		2.27%)	2.51%)	2.09%		1.18%)	0.769	
Shareholders' equity as a percentage of total assets	3	11.21%		10.18%		8.98%		8.81%		8.229	

Notes:

- 1) Includes loans held for sale.
- 2) Includes Federal Home Loan Bank Stock.
- 3) Net yield on average interest-earning assets was computed on a tax-equivalent basis.
- 4) Financial data for 2010 includes the impact of the March 2010 Findlay branch acquisition.

The following discussion provides additional information relating to the financial condition and results of operations of United Bancshares, Inc.

PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

Consolidated net income for United Bancshares, Inc. (the "Corporation") and its wholly-owned subsidiary, The Union Bank Company (the "Bank"), was \$4.5 million in 2012 compared to \$2.9 million in 2011 and \$2.8 million in 2010.

Net income in 2012 as compared to 2011 was favorably impacted by a \$4.2 million decrease in the provision for loan losses, and a \$523,000 increase in non-interest income, and unfavorably impacted by a \$1.2 million decrease in net interest income, a \$967,000 increase in non-interest expenses and a \$969,000 increase in the provision for income taxes. The decrease in the provision for loan losses is more fully explained in the "Provision for Loan Losses and the Allowance for Loan Losses" section. The decrease in net interest income is due to a decline in the Corporation's net interest margin from 3.64% in 2011 to 3.55% in 2012, as well as a decrease in the level of average interest-earning assets in 2012 as compared to 2011.

The Corporation's return on average assets was .79% in 2012, compared to .50% in 2011, and .45% in 2010. The Corporation's return on average shareholders' equity was 7.23% in 2012, 5.12% in 2011, and 5.03% in 2010. Basic net income per share was \$1.30 in 2012, an increase of \$0.45 per share from \$0.85 in 2011. Basic net income per share of \$0.85 represented an increase of \$0.03 per share from \$0.82 in 2010. Changes in these amounts from year to year were generally reflective of changes in the level of net income.

The Corporation's assets decreased to \$572.4 million at December 31, 2012, compared to \$587 million at December 31, 2011. Loans decreased \$33.5 million, or 9.9%, to \$304.4 million at December 31, 2012, compared to \$337.9 million at December 31, 2011. The decrease in loans resulted from continued soft loan demand in the Corporation's market area, and \$1.8 million of net loan charge-offs recognized in 2012. Deposits decreased \$9.3 million, or 1.9%, to \$471.2 million at December 31, 2012, from \$480.5 million at December 31, 2011. Shareholders' equity at December 31, 2012 was \$64.2 million, a 7.5% increase compared to \$59.7 million at December 31, 2011.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS – 2012 Compared to 2011

Net Interest Income

Net interest income, which represents the revenue generated from interest-earning assets in excess of the interest cost of funding those assets, is the Corporation's principal source of income. Net interest income is influenced by market interest rate conditions and the volume and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Many external factors affect net interest income and typically include the strength of client loan demand, client preference for individual deposit account products, competitors' loan and deposit product offerings, the national and local economic climates, and Federal Reserve monetary policy.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS – 2012 Compared to 2011 (CONTINUED)

Net Interest Income, Continued

Net interest income for 2012 was \$17.9 million, a decrease of \$1.2 million (6.4%) from 2011. The decrease in net interest income was primarily due to a decrease in the Corporation's net interest margin. The average yield on loans for 2012 decreased to 5.51% compared to 5.87% in 2011, and the average rate on interest-bearing liabilities decreased to 1.06% in 2012 from 1.53% in 2011. The effect of these and other factors resulted in the net interest yield on average interest-earning assets, on a tax-equivalent basis, decreasing in 2012 to 3.55% from 3.64% in 2011.

Provision for Loan Losses and the Allowance for Loan Losses

The Corporation's loan policy provides guidelines for managing both credit risk and asset quality. The policy details acceptable lending practices, establishes loan-grading classifications, and prescribes the use of a loan review process. The Bank has a credit administration department that performs regular credit file reviews which facilitate the timely identification of problem or potential problem credits, ensure sound credit decisions, and assist in the determination of the allowance for loan losses. The Bank also engages an outside credit review firm to supplement the credit analysis function and to provide an independent assessment of the loan review process. The loan policy, loan review process, and credit analysis function facilitate management's evaluation of the credit risk inherent in the lending function.

As mentioned, ongoing reviews are performed to identify potential problem and nonperforming loans and also provide in-depth analysis with respect to the quarterly allowance for loan losses calculation. Part of this analysis involves assessing the need for specific reserves relative to impaired loans. This evaluation typically includes a review of the recent performance history of the credit, a comparison of the estimated collateral value in relation to the outstanding loan balance, the overall financial strength of the borrower, industry risks pertinent to the borrower, and competitive trends that may influence the borrower's future financial performance. Loans are considered impaired when, based upon the most current information available, it appears probable that the borrower will not be able to make payments according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are recorded at the observable market price of the loan, the fair value of the underlying collateral (if the loan is collateral dependent), or the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. Given that the Bank's impaired loans are typically collateralized by real estate or other borrower assets, the fair value of individual impaired loans is most often based upon the underlying collateral value net of estimated selling costs. Large groups of smaller balance homogenous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment.

To determine the allowance for loan losses, the Bank prepares a detailed analysis that focuses on delinquency trends, the status of nonperforming loans (i.e., impaired, nonaccrual, restructured, and past due 90 days or more), current and historical trends of charged-off loans within each loan category (i.e., commercial, real estate, and consumer), existing local and national economic conditions, and changes within the volume and mix in each loan category. Higher loss rates are applied in calculating the allowance for loan losses relating to potential problem loans. Loss rates are periodically evaluated considering historic loss rates in the respective potential problem loan categories (i.e., special mention, substandard, doubtful) and current trends.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS – 2012 Compared to 2011 (CONTINUED)

Provision for Loan Losses and the Allowance for Loan Losses, Continued

Regular provisions are made in amounts sufficient to maintain the balance in the allowance for loan losses at a level considered by management to be adequate for losses within the portfolio. Even though management uses all available information to assess possible loan losses, future additions or reductions to the allowance may be required as changes occur in economic conditions and specific borrower circumstances. The regulatory agencies that periodically review the Bank's allowance for loan losses may also require additions to the allowance or the charge-off of specific loans based upon the information available to them at the time of their examinations.

The allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2012 was \$6.9 million, or 2.27% of total loans, compared to \$8.5 million, or 2.53% of total loans at December 31, 2011. The change in the allowance for loan losses during 2012 included a \$200,000 provision for loan losses charged to operations and loan charge-offs, net of recoveries, of \$1.8 million.

The provision for loan losses charged to operations is determined by management after considering the amount of net losses incurred as well as management's estimation of losses inherent in the portfolio based on an evaluation of loan portfolio risk and current economic factors. The provision for loan losses of \$200,000 in 2012 compares to a provision of \$4.4 million in 2011. The decrease in the provision for loan losses resulted primarily from declining historic loss rates, which are used to calculate the reserve for the homogenous pool of loans, a decrease in risk rated loans and an overall decrease in the loan portfolio.

The Bank considers a loan to be impaired when it becomes probable that the Bank will be unable to collect under the contractual terms of the loan, based on current information and events. Impaired loans, principally consisting of commercial and commercial real estate credits, amounted to \$15.6 million at December 31, 2012 compared to \$21 million at December 31, 2011, a decrease of \$5.4 million. Impaired loans at December 31, 2012, included \$3.1 million of loans with no specific reserves included in the allowance for loan losses and \$12.5 million of loans with specific reserves of \$2.9 million included in the Bank's December 31, 2012 allowance for loan losses. Impaired loans at December 31, 2012 with no specific reserves include \$359,000 of loans which were charged down during 2012. Impaired loans at December 31, 2011, included \$7.7 million of loans with no specific reserves included in the allowance for loan losses and \$13.3 million of loans with specific reserves of \$2 million included in the Bank's December 31, 2011 allowance for loan losses. Impaired loans at December 31, 2011 with no specific reserves include \$2.3 million of loans which were charged down during 2011.

In addition to impaired loans, the Bank had other potential problem credits of \$17.1 million at December 31, 2012 compared to \$19.3 million at December 31, 2011, a decrease of \$3.9 million (17.3%). The Bank's credit administration department continues to closely monitor these credits.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS – 2012 Compared to 2011 (CONTINUED)

Non-Interest Income

Total non-interest income increased \$523,000 (13.6%) to \$4.3 million in 2012 from \$3.8 million in 2011. With the exception of net securities gains, most of the components of non-interest income are recurring, although certain components are more susceptible to change than others. Net securities gains decreased in 2012 to \$268,000 compared to \$897,000 in 2011.

Significant recurring components of non-interest income include service charges on deposit accounts, secondary market lending activities, and increases in the cash surrender value of life insurance. Service charges on deposit accounts increased \$47,000 (4.3%) to \$1,146,000 in 2012 compared to \$1,099,000 in 2011. This increase appears to be largely attributable to changes in customer overdraft behaviors.

The Bank has elected to sell in the secondary market substantially all fixed rate residential real estate loans originated, and typically retains the servicing rights relating to such loans. During 2012, gain on sale of loans was \$1,297,000, including \$445,000 of capitalized servicing rights. Gain on sale of loans was \$493,000 in 2011, including \$168,000 of capitalized servicing rights. The significant increase in gain on sale of loans was attributable to an increase in loan sales activities during 2012 with loan sales in 2012 amounting to \$68.9 million compared to \$26.7 million in 2011. The Bank's serviced portfolio increased \$11 million during 2012 to \$175.6 million at December 31, 2012.

The Bank reports its mortgage servicing rights using the fair value measurement method. As a result, the Corporation recognized a \$16,000 increase in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights during 2012, compared to a \$315,000 decrease in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights in 2011, a comparative \$331,000 favorable impact on income before income taxes. Prepayment assumptions are a key valuation input used in determining the fair value of mortgage servicing rights. While prepayment assumptions are constantly subject to change, such changes typically occur within a relatively small parameter from period to period. The prepayment assumptions used in determining the fair value of servicing are based on the Public Securities Association (PSA) Standard Prepayment Model. At December 31, 2012 the PSA factor was 398 compared to 465 at December 31, 2011.

Non-Interest Expenses

Total non-interest expenses amounted to \$16,513,000 in 2012, compared to \$15,546,000 in 2011, an increase of \$967,000 (6.2%). The increase in non-interest expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012 was primarily attributable to a \$621,000 increase in salaries and benefits, a \$309,000 increase in deposit losses, a \$200,000 increase in other real estate owned expenses, a \$92,000 increase in ATM/debit card processing expenses, and an \$82,000 increase in loan closing fees, offset by a \$243,000 decrease in FDIC premium expenses and a \$136,000 decrease in asset management legal expenses.

The significant components of other operating expenses are summarized in Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS – 2012 Compared to 2011 (CONTINUED)

Provision for Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes for 2012 was \$1,071,000, an effective tax rate of 19.3%, compared to \$102,000 in 2011, an effective rate of 3.4%. The Corporation's effective tax rate was reduced from the federal statutory rate of 34% as a result of tax-exempt securities and loan interest income (11%), life insurance contracts (2.6%), and a reduction in the reserve for uncertain tax positions (1.2%). At December 31, 2012, the Corporation has available alternative minimum tax credits of \$432,000 which can be used in the future to the extent regular tax exceeds the alternative minimum tax.

FINANCIAL POSITION - 2012 Compared to 2011

Securities

Management monitors the earnings performance and liquidity of the securities portfolio on a regular basis through Asset/Liability Committee ("ALCO") meetings. As a result, all securities, except Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati (FHLB) stock, have been designated as available-for-sale and may be sold if needed for liquidity, asset-liability management or other reasons. Such securities are reported at fair value, with any net unrealized gains or losses reported as a separate component of shareholders' equity, net of related income taxes.

Securities, including FHLB stock, totaled \$182.5 million at December 31, 2012 compared to \$156.8 million at December 31, 2011, an increase of \$25.7 million (16.4%). The amortized cost of the securities portfolio increased \$25.5 million in 2012, and the Bank experienced net unrealized gains on securities of \$151,000 during 2012.

The Bank is required to maintain a certain level of FHLB stock based on outstanding borrowings from the FHLB. FHLB stock is considered a restricted security which is carried at cost and evaluated periodically for impairment. There were no purchases or sales of FHLB stock during 2012.

At December 31, 2012, net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities amounted to \$5.6 million compared to net unrealized gains on available for sale securities of \$5.5 million at December 31, 2011. At December 31, 2012, the Bank held twenty-four securities which were in a loss position with the fair value and gross unrealized losses of such securities amounting to \$14.1 million and \$88,000, respectively. Management has considered the current interest rate environment, typical volatilities in the bond market, and the Bank's liquidity needs in the near term in concluding that the impairment on these securities is temporary.

FINANCIAL POSITION – 2012 Compared to 2011 (CONTINUED)

Loans

At December 31, 2012, total loans, including loans held for sale, amounted to \$307.4 million compared to \$340.7 million at December 31, 2011, a decrease of \$33.3 million (9.8%). All categories within the loan portfolio decreased during 2012, with residential real estate decreasing \$3.8 million (6.1%), commercial loans decreasing \$25.1 million (11.2%), agriculture loans decreasing \$3.6 million (7.7%), and consumer loans decreasing \$1 million (18.5%). The overall decrease in the loan portfolio is attributable to continued slow economic conditions experienced throughout the Corporation's market area.

Other Assets

During 2012, other real estate owned (OREO) decreased \$1.2 million to \$1.6 million at December 31, 2012, compared to \$2.8 million at December 31, 2011. During 2012, \$421,000 of loans was transferred to OREO. Throughout 2012, the Bank evaluated its OREO portfolio and made \$564,000 of impairment adjustments. The Bank also sold several properties from OREO and received net proceeds of \$1.1 million resulting in net loss on sales of \$64,000.

Under the requirements of the FDIC's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPR), the Bank prepaid their quarterly risk-based assessments to the FDIC for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for all of years 2010 through 2012 on December 30, 2009. The assessments were made to address the FDIC's short-term liquidity needs. Under the NPR, depository institutions record a prepaid asset for the upfront payment and subsequently amortize the asset based on calculated quarterly assessments. As a result, as of December 31, 2012, the Bank had prepaid FDIC assessments of \$228,000 compared with \$947,000 at December 31, 2011.

Deposits

Total deposits at December 31, 2012 amounted to \$471.2 million, a decrease of \$9.3 million (1.9%) compared with total deposits of \$480.5 million at December 31, 2011. The decrease in deposits includes a \$20.8 million decrease in interest bearing deposits offset by a \$11.5 million increase in non-interest bearing deposits.

Other Borrowings

The Bank also utilizes other borrowings as an alternative source of funding, as necessary, to support asset growth and periodic deposit shrinkage. Other borrowings, consisting of FHLB advances and customer repurchase agreements, amounted to \$22.6 million at December 31, 2012, compared to \$32.8 million at December 31, 2011, a decrease of \$10.2 million (31.1%). The decrease in other borrowings included \$10.1 million of repayments of FHLB borrowings, and a \$159,000 decrease in customer repurchase agreements.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS – 2011 Compared to 2010

Net interest income for 2011 was \$19.1 million, a decrease of \$2.2 million (10.2%) from 2010. The decrease in net interest income was primarily due to a decrease in the Corporation's net interest margin. The average yield on loans for 2011 decreased to 5.87% compared to 6.09% in 2010, and the average rate on interest-bearing liabilities decreased to 1.53% in 2011 from 1.73% in 2010. The effect of these and other factors resulted in the net interest yield on average interest-earning assets, on a tax-equivalent basis, decreasing in 2011 to 3.64% from 3.85% in 2010.

The allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2011 was \$8.5 million, or 2.53% of total loans, compared to \$8 million, or 2.09% of total loans at December 31, 2010. The change in the allowance for loan losses during 2011 included a \$4.4 million provision for loan losses charged to operations and loan charge-offs, net of recoveries, of \$3.8 million.

Impaired loans, principally consisting of commercial and commercial real estate credits, amounted to \$21 million at December 31, 2011 compared to \$15.7 million at December 31, 2010, an increase of \$5.3 million. Impaired loans at December 31, 2011, included \$7.7 million of loans with no specific reserves included in the allowance for loan losses and \$13.4 million of loans with specific reserves of \$2 million included in the Bank's December 31, 2011 allowance for loan losses. Impaired loans at December 31, 2011 with no specific reserves include \$2.3 million of loans which were charged down during 2011. Impaired loans at December 31, 2010, included \$9.6 million of loans with no specific reserves included in the allowance for loan losses and \$6.1 million of loans with specific reserves of \$692,000 included in the Bank's December 31, 2010 allowance for loan losses. Impaired loans at December 31, 2010 with no specific reserves include \$7.8 million of loans which were charged down during 2010.

In addition to impaired loans, the Bank had other potential problem credits of \$22.6 million at December 31, 2011 compared to \$30.4 million at December 31, 2010, a decrease of \$7.8 million (25.6%). The Bank's credit administration department continues to closely monitor these credits.

Total non-interest income increased \$113,000 (3.0%) to \$3.8 million in 2011 from \$3.7 million in 2010. With the exception of net securities gains, most of the components of non-interest income are recurring, although certain components are more susceptible to change than others. Net securities gains increased in 2011 to \$897,000 compared to \$278,000 in 2010.

Significant recurring components of non-interest income include service charges on deposit accounts, secondary market lending activities, and increases in the cash surrender value of life insurance. Service charges on deposit accounts decreased \$89,000 (7.5%) to \$1,099,000 in 2011 compared to \$1,188,000 in 2010. This decrease appears to be largely attributable to changes in customer overdraft behaviors.

During 2011, gain on sale of loans was \$493,000, including \$168,000 of capitalized servicing rights. Gain on sale of loans was \$867,000 in 2010, including \$315,000 of capitalized servicing rights. The significant decrease in gain on sale of loans was attributable to the decrease in loan sales activities in the first quarters of 2011 with loan sales in 2011 amounting to \$26.7 million compared to \$35.5 million in 2010. The Bank's serviced portfolio decreased \$11.4 million during 2011 to \$164.6 million at December 31, 2011.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS – 2011 Compared to 2010 (CONTINUED)

The Corporation recognized a \$315,000 decrease in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights during 2011, compared to a \$142,000 decrease in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights in 2010, a comparative \$173,000 adverse impact on income before income taxes. Prepayment assumptions are a key valuation input used in determining the fair value of mortgage servicing rights. While prepayment assumptions are constantly subject to change, such changes typically occur within a relatively small parameter from period to period. The prepayment assumptions used in determining the fair value of servicing are based on the Public Securities Association (PSA) Standard Prepayment Model. At December 31, 2011 the PSA factor was 465 compared to 321 at December 31, 2010.

Total non-interest expenses amounted to \$15,546,000 in 2011, compared to \$15,522,000 in 2010, an increase of \$24,000 (0.2%). The Corporation experienced fluctuations in several non-interest expense categories in 2011 as compared to 2010. Salaries, wages, and employee benefits increased \$215,000, or 2.8%, primarily due to normal salary increases and increased health care costs. There was essentially no change in the level of FTE's during the year. FDIC insurance premiums increased \$189,000 or 23.4%. Conversely, asset management expenses, primarily relating to legal costs decreased \$181,000 or 34.3%, and miscellaneous losses and recoveries decreased \$105,000 or 88.4%.

The provision for income taxes for 2011 was \$102,000, an effective tax rate of 3.4%, compared to \$143,000 in 2010, an effective rate of 4.8%. The Corporation's effective tax rate was reduced from the federal statutory rate of 34% as a result of tax-exempt securities and loan interest income (22.0%), life insurance contracts (5.1%), and a reduction in the reserve for uncertain tax positions (3.8%). At December 31, 2011, the Corporation has available alternative minimum tax credits of \$395,000 which can be used in the future to the extent regular tax exceeds the alternative minimum tax.

Securities, including FHLB stock, totaled \$156.8 million at December 31, 2011 compared to \$145.3 million at December 31, 2010, an increase of \$11.5 million (7.9%). The amortized cost of the securities portfolio increased \$8.8 million in 2011, and the Bank experienced net unrealized gains on securities of \$2.7 million during 2011.

The Bank is required to maintain a certain level of FHLB stock based on outstanding borrowings from the FHLB. FHLB stock is considered a restricted security which is carried at cost and evaluated periodically for impairment. There were no purchases or sales of FHLB stock during 2011.

At December 31, 2011, net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities, net of income taxes, amounted to \$3.6 million compared to net unrealized gains on available for sale securities, net of income taxes, of \$1.8 million at December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2011, the Bank held five securities which were in a loss position with the fair value and gross unrealized losses of such securities amounting to \$5.5 million and \$14,000, respectively. Management has considered the current interest rate environment, typical volatilities in the bond market, and the Bank's liquidity needs in the near term in concluding that the impairment on these securities is temporary.

FINANCIAL POSITION – 2011 Compared to 2010

At December 31, 2011, total loans, including loans held for sale, amounted to \$340.7 million compared to \$383.9 million at December 31, 2010, a decrease of \$43.2 million (11.3%). All categories within the loan portfolio decreased during 2011, with residential real estate decreasing \$5.4 million (7.7%), commercial loans decreasing \$18.8 million (7.8%), agriculture loans decreasing \$16.4 million (26.0%), and consumer loans decreasing \$2.6 million (32.3%). The overall decrease in the loan portfolio is attributable to continued slow economic conditions experienced throughout the Corporation's market area. The decrease in consumer loans is partially due to the continued residual effect of the Corporation's decision to cease indirect automobile lending in June 2008.

During 2011, other real estate owned (OREO) decreased \$1.7 million to \$2.8 million at December 31, 2011, compared to \$4.5 million at December 31, 2010. During 2011, \$498,000 of loans was transferred to OREO. Throughout 2011, the Bank evaluated its OREO portfolio and made \$351,000 of impairment adjustments. The Bank also sold several properties from OREO and received net proceeds of \$1.8 million resulting in net gain on sales of \$16,000.

Total deposits at December 31, 2011 amounted to \$480.5 million, a decrease of \$8.2 million (1.7%) compared with total deposits of \$488.7 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in deposits includes a \$24.2 million decrease in interest bearing deposits offset by a \$16 million increase in non-interest bearing deposits.

Other borrowings, consisting of FHLB advances and customer repurchase agreements, amounted to \$32.8 million at December 31, 2011, compared to \$55.8 million at December 31, 2010, a decrease of \$23 million (41.2%). The decrease in other borrowings included \$17 million of repayments of FHLB borrowings, and a \$6 million decrease in customer repurchase agreements.

LIQUIDITY

Liquidity relates primarily to the Corporation's ability to fund loan demand, meet the withdrawal requirements of deposit customers, and provide for operating expenses. Assets used to satisfy these needs consist of cash and due from banks, federal funds sold, securities available-for-sale, and loans held for sale. A large portion of liquidity is provided by the ability to sell or pledge securities. Accordingly, the Corporation has designated all securities other than FHLB stock as available-for-sale. A secondary source of liquidity is provided by various lines of credit facilities available through correspondent banks and the Federal Reserve. Another source of liquidity is represented by loans that are available to be sold. Certain other loans within the Bank's loan portfolio are also available to collateralize borrowings.

The consolidated statements of cash flows for the years presented provide an indication of the Corporation's sources and uses of cash as well as an indication of the ability of the Corporation to maintain an adequate level of liquidity. A discussion of cash flows for 2012, 2011, and 2010 follows.

The Corporation generated cash from operating activities of \$7.3 million in 2012, \$9.6 million in 2011, and \$10.3 million in 2010.

Net cash flows from investing activities amounted to \$5.1 million in 2012, \$30.2 million in 2011, and \$41.9 million in 2010. Significant investing cash outflow activities in 2012 included \$26.2 million of net cash outflows resulting from securities purchases, net of proceeds received from sales and maturities. Investing cash inflows of \$31 million resulted from a decrease in loans and \$1 million from the sale of other real estate owned. Significant investing cash outflow activities in 2011 included \$8.6 million of net cash outflows resulting from securities purchases, net of proceeds received from sales and maturities, and \$1.7 million resulting from the purchase of certificates of deposit. Investing cash inflows of \$38.9 million resulted from a decrease in loans and \$1.9 million from the sale of other real estate owned. The only significant investing cash outflow activity in 2010 was \$2.8 million of net cash outflows resulting from securities purchases, net of proceeds received from sales and maturities. Significant investing cash inflow activities in 2010 included \$22.3 million in proceeds from the branch acquisition, \$20 million decrease in loans, and \$2.7 million in proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned.

Net cash flows from financing activities amounted to \$(19.7) million in 2012, \$(31.1) million in 2011, and \$(31) million in 2010. Net cash used in financing activities in 2012 primarily resulted from \$10 million of repayment on FHLB borrowings and a \$9.3 million decrease in deposits. Net cash used in financing activities in 2011 primarily resulted from \$17 million of repayment on FHLB borrowings, \$8.1 million decrease in deposits, and \$6 million decrease in customer repurchase agreements. Net cash used in financing activities in 2010 primarily resulted from \$21.6 million of repayments on FHLB borrowings, \$7.3 million decrease in deposits (excluding the impact of deposits assumed from the Findlay branch acquisition), including \$15 million repayment of brokered deposits, and the payment of \$1.6 million (\$.45 per share) of cash dividends.

ASSET LIABILITY MANAGEMENT

Closely related to liquidity management is the management of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The Corporation manages its rate sensitivity position to avoid wide swings in net interest margins and to minimize risk due to changes in interest rates.

The difference between a financial institution's interest rate sensitive assets (assets that will mature or reprice within a specific time period) and interest rate sensitive liabilities (liabilities (liabilities that will mature or reprice within the same time period) is commonly referred to as its "interest rate sensitivity gap" or, simply, its "gap". An institution having more interest rate sensitive assets than interest rate sensitive liabilities within a given time interval is said to have a "positive gap". This generally means that, when interest rates increase, an institution's net interest income will increase and, when interest rate sensitive assets within a given time interval is said to have a "negative gap". This generally means that, when interest rates increase, the institution's net interest income will decrease and, when interest rates decrease, the institution's net interest income will increase. The Corporation's one year cumulative gap at December 31, 2012 is approximately 123% which means the Bank has more assets than it does liabilities re-pricing within one year.

EFFECTS OF INFLATION

The assets and liabilities of the Corporation are primarily monetary in nature and are more directly affected by fluctuations in interest rates than inflation. Movement in interest rates is a result of the perceived changes in inflation as well as monetary and fiscal policies. Interest rates and inflation do not necessarily move with the same velocity or within the same period; therefore, a direct relationship to the inflation rate cannot be shown. The financial information presented in the Corporation's consolidated financial statements has been presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which require that the Corporation measure financial position and operating results primarily in terms of historical dollars.

SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Corporation's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and follow general practices within the commercial banking industry. Application of these principles requires management to make estimates, assumptions, and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements. These estimates, assumptions, and judgments are based upon the information available as of the date of the financial statements.

The Corporation's most significant accounting policies are presented in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements. These policies, along with other disclosures presented in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis, provide information about how significant assets and liabilities are valued in the financial statements and how those values are determined. Management has identified the determination of the allowance for loan losses, valuation of goodwill and mortgage servicing rights, and fair value of securities and other financial instruments as the areas that require the most subjective and complex estimates, assumptions and judgments and, as such, could be the most subjective to revision as new information becomes available.

SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

As previously noted, a detailed analysis to assess the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is performed. This analysis encompasses a variety of factors including the potential loss exposure for individually reviewed loans, the historical loss experience for each loan category, the volume of non-performing loans, the volume of loans past due 30 days or more, a segmentation of each loan category by internally-assigned risk grades, an evaluation of current local and national economic conditions, any significant changes in the volume or mix of loans within each category, a review of the significant concentrations of credit, and any legal, competitive, or regulatory concerns.

Management considers the valuation of goodwill resulting from the 2003 Gibsonburg and Pemberville and the 2010 Findlay branch acquisitions through an annual impairment test which considers, among other things, the assets and equity of the Corporation as well as price multiples for sales transactions involving other local financial institutions. Management engaged an independent valuation specialist to perform a goodwill impairment evaluation as of September 30, 2012, which supported management's assessment that no impairment adjustments to goodwill were warranted. To date, none of the goodwill evaluations have revealed the need for an impairment charge. Management does not believe that any significant conditions have changed relating to the goodwill assessment through December 31, 2012.

Mortgage servicing rights are recognized when acquired through sale of mortgage loans and are reported at fair value. Changes in fair value are reported in net income for the period the changes occur. The Bank generally estimates fair value for servicing rights based on the present value of future expected cash flows, using management's best estimates of the key assumptions – credit losses, prepayment speeds, servicing costs, and discount rates commensurate with the risks involved. The Bank has engaged an independent consultant to calculate the fair value of mortgage servicing rights on a quarterly basis. Management regularly reviews the calculation, including assumptions used in making the calculation, and discusses with the consultant. Management also reconciles information used by the consultant, with respect to the Bank's serviced portfolio, to the Bank's accounting records.

The Corporation reviews securities prices and fair value estimates of other financial instruments supplied by independent pricing service, as well as their underlying pricing methodologies, for reasonableness and to ensure such prices are aligned with traditional pricing matrices. The Corporation's securities portfolio primarily consists of U.S. Government agencies and political subdivision obligations. Pricing for such instruments is typically based on models with observable inputs. From time to time, the Corporation will validate, on a sample basis, prices supplied by the independent pricing service by comparison to prices obtained from other third-party sources or derived using internal models. The Corporation also considers the reasonableness of inputs for financial instruments that are priced using unobservable inputs.

IMPACT OF RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

A summary of new accounting standards adopted or subject to adoption in 2012, as well as newly-issued but not effective accounting standards at December 31, 2012, is presented in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS, CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS, AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES AND COMMITMENTS

The following table summarizes loan commitments, including letters of credit, as of December 31, 2012:

	Amount of commitment to expire per period									
		Total		Less than		1 – 3		4 – 5		Over
		Amount		1 year		years		years		5 years
				(1	Doll	ars in thousand	s)			
Type of commitment										
Commercial lines-of-credit	\$	41,816	\$	41,643	\$	173	\$	-	\$	-
Real estate lines-of-credit		32,630		3,936		3,454		4,290		20,950
Consumer lines-of-credit		8,705		-		-		-		8,705
Letters of Credit		2,492		2,492		-		-		-
Total commitments	\$	85,643	\$	48,071	\$	3,627	\$	4,290	\$	29,655

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS, CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS, AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES AND COMMITMENTS (CONTINUED)

As indicated in the preceding table, the Corporation had \$85.6 million in total loan commitments at December 31, 2012, with \$48.1 million of that amount expiring within one year. All lines-of-credit represent either fee-paid or legally binding loan commitments for the loan categories noted. Letters-of-credit are also included in the amounts noted in the table since the Corporation requires that each letter-of-credit be supported by a loan agreement. The commercial and consumer lines represent both unsecured and secured obligations. The real estate lines are secured by mortgages in residential and nonresidential property. Many of the commercial lines are due on a demand basis, and are established for seasonal operating purposes. It is anticipated that a significant portion of these lines will expire without being drawn upon.

The following table summarizes the Corporation's contractual obligations as of December 31, 2012:

				Pa	yme	nts due by perio	d		
	Total Amount		Less than 1 year			1– 3 years		4 – 5 years	Over 5 years
		_		(D	olla	rs in thousands	(3)		
Contractual obligations									
Long-term debt	\$ 27,800	\$		-	\$	-	\$	17,500	\$ 10,300
Capital leases	-			-		-		-	-
Operating leases	-			-		-		-	-
Unconditional purchase obligations	-			-		-		-	-
Other long-term liabilities reflected under GAAP	274		1	8		43		62	151
Total obligations	\$ 28,074	\$	1	8	\$	43	\$	17,562	\$ 10,451

Long-term debt presented in the preceding table is comprised of \$17.5 million in borrowings from the FHLB, and \$10.3 million from the issuance of junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures.

FHLB borrowings include notes that require monthly interest payments, with principal due at maturity, as disclosed in Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements. All outstanding FHLB borrowings at December 31, 2012 consist of advances with fixed interest rates. Certain fixed rate obligations have variable options that stipulate a prepayment penalty if the note's interest rate exceeds the current market rate for similar borrowings at the time of repayment. As a note matures, the Bank evaluates the liquidity and interest-rate circumstances at that point in time to determine whether to pay-off or renew the note. The evaluation process typically includes the strength of current and projected customer loan demand, the current federal funds sold or purchased position, projected cash flows from maturing securities, the current and projected market interest rate environment, local and national economic conditions, and customer demand for deposit product offerings. Fixed rate advances at December 31, 2012 include \$17.5 million in advances that can be put back at the option of the FHLB.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS, CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS, AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES AND COMMITMENTS (CONTINUED)

The other long-term liabilities reflected under GAAP, as noted in the preceding table, represents the Bank's agreement with its current Chairman of the Board of Directors to provide for retirement compensation benefits as more fully described in Note 13 of the consolidated financial statements. At December 31, 2012, the net present value of future deferred compensation payments amounted to \$274,000, which is included in other liabilities in the December 31, 2012 consolidated balance sheet.

As indicated in the table, the Corporation had no capital lease obligations as of December 31, 2012. The Bank also has a non-qualified deferred compensation plan covering certain directors and officers, and has provided an estimated liability of \$607,000 at December 31, 2012 for supplemental retirement benefits. Since substantially all participants under the plan are still active, it is not possible to determine the terms of the contractual obligations and, consequently, such liability is not included in the table.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The most significant market risk to which the Corporation is exposed is interest rate risk. The business of the Corporation and the composition of its balance sheet consist of investments in interest-earning assets (primarily loans and securities), which are funded by interest bearing liabilities (deposits and borrowings). These financial instruments have varying levels of sensitivity to changes in the market rates of interest, resulting in market risk. None of the Corporation's financial instruments are held for trading purposes.

The Corporation manages interest rate risk regularly through its Asset Liability Committee. The Committee meets on a regular basis and reviews various asset and liability management information, including but not limited to, the bank's liquidity positions, projected sources and uses of funds, interest rate risk positions and economic conditions.

The Corporation monitors its interest rate risk through a sensitivity analysis, whereby it measures potential changes in its future earnings and the fair values of its financial instruments that may result from one or more hypothetical changes in interest rates. This analysis is performed by estimating the expected cash flows of the Corporation's financial instruments using interest rates in effect at year-end. For the fair value estimates, the cash flows are then discounted to year-end to arrive at an estimated present value of the Corporation's financial instruments. Hypothetical changes in interest rates are then applied to the financial instruments, and the cash flows and fair values are again estimated using these hypothetical rates. For the net interest income estimates, the hypothetical rates are applied to the financial instruments based on the assumed cash flows. The Corporation applies these interest rate "shocks" to its financial instruments up and down 200 and 300 and up 400 basis points.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK (CONTINUED)

The following table shows the Corporation's estimated earnings sensitivity profile as of December 31, 2012:

Change in Interest Rates (basis points)	Percentage Change in Net Interest Income	Percentage Change in Net Income
+200	2.6%	10.0%
-200	-5.1%	-17.8%
+300	2.7%	10.5%
-300	N/A	N/A
+400	2.7%	11.3%

Given a linear 200bp increase in the yield curve used in the simulation model, it is estimated that net interest income for the Corporation would increase by 2.6% and net income would increase by 10%. A 200bp decrease in interest rates would decrease net interest income by 5.1% and decrease net income by 17.8%. Given a linear 300bp increase in the yield curve used in the simulation model, it is estimated that net interest income for the Corporation would increase by 2.7% and net income would increase by 10.5%. A 300bp decrease in interest rates cannot be simulated at this time due to the historically low interest rate environment. A 400bp increase in interest rates would increase net interest income by 2.7% and increase net income by 11.3%. Management does not expect any significant adverse effect to net interest income in 2013 based on the composition of the portfolio and anticipated trends in rates.

OTHER INFORMATION

The global and U.S. economies are experiencing significantly reduced business activity as a result of, among other factors, disruptions in the financial system during the past several years. Dramatic declines in the housing market, along with falling home prices and increasing foreclosures and unemployment, have resulted in significant write-downs of asset values by financial institutions, including government-sponsored entities and major commercial and investment banks. These write-downs have caused many financial institutions to seek additional capital, to merge with larger and stronger institutions and, in some cases, to fail.

Reflecting concern about the stability of the financial markets, many national and regional lenders have reduced, and in some cases, ceased to provide funding to borrowers, including other financial institutions. The availability of credit, confidence in the financial sector, and level of volatility in the financial markets have been significantly adversely affected as a result. Volatility and disruption in the capital and credit markets have reached unprecedented levels. In some cases, the markets have produced downward pressure on stock prices and credit capacity for certain issuers without regard to those issuers' underlying financial strength.

OTHER INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

In response to the financial crises affecting the banking system and financial markets and going concern threats to investment banks and other financial institutions, the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 ("EESA") was signed into law on October 3, 2008. The EESA authorized the U.S. Treasury Department ("Treasury") to, among other things, purchase up to \$700 billion of mortgages, mortgage-backed securities and certain other financial instruments from financial institutions for the purpose of stabilizing and providing liquidity to the U.S. financial markets.

On July 21, 2010 the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Act") was signed into law, which brings significant financial reform. Compliance with the Act's provisions may curtail the Corporation's revenue opportunities, increase its operating costs, require it to hold higher levels of regulatory capital and/or liquidity or otherwise adversely affect the Corporation's business or financial results in the future.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report includes certain forward-looking statements by the Corporation relating to such matters as anticipated operating results, prospects for new lines of business, technological developments, economic trends (including interest rates), and similar matters. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements, and the purpose of this paragraph is to secure the use of the safe harbor provisions. While the Corporation believes that the assumptions underlying the forward looking statements contained herein and in other public documents are reasonable, any of the assumptions could prove to be inaccurate, and accordingly, actual results and experience could differ materially from the anticipated results or other expectations expressed by the Corporation in its forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause actual results or experience to differ from results discussed in the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to: economic conditions, volatility and direction of market interest rates, governmental legislation and regulation, material unforeseen changes in the financial condition or results of operations of the Corporation's customers, customer reaction to and unforeseen complications with respect to the integration of acquisition, product design initiative, and other risks identified, from time-to-time in the Corporation's other public documents on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Shareholders and Board of Directors United Bancshares, Inc. Columbus Grove, Ohio

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of United Bancshares, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of United Bancshares, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of its operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Clifton Larson Blen LA

Toledo, Ohio March 27, 2013

UNITED BANCSHARES, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS December 31, 2012 and 2011

		2012		2011
ASSETS				
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS				
Cash and due from banks	\$	10,605,662	\$	8,865,206
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks		39,306,145		48,389,046
Federal funds sold		<u> </u>		32,722
Total cash and cash equivalents		49,911,807		57,286,974
SECURITIES, available-for-sale		177,607,765		151,955,957
FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK STOCK, at cost		4,893,800		4,893,800
CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT, at cost		2,490,000		1,743,000
LOANS HELD FOR SALE		2,957,060		2,753,505
LOANS		304,445,298		337,946,708
Less allowance for loan losses		6,917,605		8,543,367
		0,717,003		0,3 13,307
Net loans		297,527,693		329,403,341
PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT, net		9,217,876		9,581,311
GOODWILL		8,554,979		8,554,979
CORE DEPOSIT INTANGIBLE ASSETS, net		173,643		214,500
CASH SURRENDER VALUE OF LIFE INSURANCE		13,761,183		13,335,587
OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED		1,568,000		2,833,500
OTHER ASSETS, including accrued interest receivable		3,783,822		4,488,210
OTHER ASSETS, including accruca interest receivable		3,763,622		4,400,210
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	572,447,628	\$	587,044,664
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY				
I TA DIL POTEC				
LIABILITIES Deposits:				
Non-interest bearing	\$	77 024 051	\$	66,399,124
Interest-bearing	Ф	77,924,051	Þ	
Interest-bearing		393,275,063		414,086,441
Total deposits		471,199,114		480,485,565
Other borrowings		22,557,220		32,780,963
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures		10,300,000		10,300,000
Other liabilities		4,221,089		3,730,422
Total liabilities		508,277,423		527,296,950
CHADEHOI DEDC' FOIHTV				
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Common stock, stated value \$1.00, authorized 10,000,000 shares; issued 3,760,557 shares		3,760,557		3,760,557
Surplus		14,661,664		14,660,579
Retained earnings		46,855,865		42,543,363
Accumulated other comprehensive income		3,697,363		3,598,031
Treasury stock, at cost, 314,252 shares in 2012 and 314,878 shares in 2011		(4,805,244)		(4,814,816)
		(.,500,2.1)		(1,321,020)
Total shareholders' equity		64,170,205		59,747,714
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$	572,447,628	\$	587,044,664
10 THE BEILD HAD ONE MANORED BYOTH	φ	312,+41,020	ψ	307,044,004

UNITED BANCSHARES, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	2012			2011	2010
INTEREST INCOME					
Loans, including fees	\$	17,912,408	\$	21,169,719	\$ 24,268,758
Securities:					
Taxable		2,543,299		3,018,435	3,930,341
Tax-exempt Tax-exempt		1,787,431		1,935,623	1,987,585
Other		348,274		337,218	 77,537
Total interest income		22,591,412		26,460,995	30,264,221
INTEREST EXPENSE					
Deposits		3,347,697		5,540,060	6,238,475
Borrowings		1,328,093		1,785,806	2,720,852
Total interest expense		4,675,790	_	7,325,866	 8,959,327
Net interest income		17,915,622		19,135,129	21,304,894
PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES		200,000		4,375,000	6,550,000
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		17,715,622		14,760,129	14,754,894
NON-INTEREST INCOME					
Service charges on deposit accounts		1.146.263		1,099,424	1,187,987
Gain on sale of loans		1,296,875		492,747	866,562
Net securities gains		267,513		896,764	278,242
Change in fair value of mortgage servicing rights		15,931		(314,566)	(141,587)
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance		425,596		456,584	492,687
Other operating income		1,201,374		1,199,969	 1,033,802
Total non-interest income		4,353,552		3,830,922	3,717,693
NON-INTEREST EXPENSES					
Salaries, wages and employee benefits		8,554,404		7,932,975	7,718,080
Occupancy expenses		1,474,140		1,505,033	1,511,765
Other operating expenses		6,484,813		6,108,398	 6,292,060
Total non-interest expenses		16,513,357		15,546,406	 15,521,905
Income before income taxes		5,555,817		3,044,645	2,950,682
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES		1,071,000		102,000	143,000
NET INCOME	\$	4,484,817	\$	2,942,645	\$ 2,807,682
NET INCOME PER SHARE (basic and diluted)	\$	1.30	\$.85	\$.82

UNITED BANCSHARES, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	2012			2011	2010		
NET INCOME	\$	4,484,817	\$	2,942,645	\$	2,807,682	
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME							
Unrealized gains (losses) on securities:							
Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities		418,016		3,604,866		(553,784)	
Reclassification adjustments for gains included in net income		(267,513)		(896,764)		(278,242)	
Net unrealized gains (losses)		150,503		2,708,102		(832,026)	
Income tax effect		(51,171)		(920,755)		282,889	
Other comprehensive income (loss)		99,332		1,787,347		(549,137)	
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$	4,584,149	\$	4,729,992	\$	2,258,545	

UNITED BANCSHARES, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Common				other Retained comprehensi		comprehensive Treasury		•		
	stock		Surplus		earnings		ome	stock			Total
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2009	\$ 3,760,55	57 \$	14,659,661	\$	38,343,134	\$ 2	2,359,821	\$	(4,844,068)	\$	54,279,105
Comprehensive income:											
Net income		_	_		2,807,682		_		_		2,807,682
Change in net unrealized gain (loss), net					2,007,002						2,007,002
of reclassification adjustments and											
income taxes		_	_		_		(549,137)		_		(549,137)
Total comprehensive income							(,,				2,258,545
Sale of 1,123 treasury shares		_	339		_		_		17.172		17,511
Cash dividends declared, \$0.45 per share		_	-		(1,550,098)	_					(1,550,098)
				_	()===,===,						()== = ,,== = ,
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2010	3,760,55	57	14,660,000		39,600,718	1	,810,684		(4,826,896)		55,005,063
Comprehensive income:											
Net income		-	-		2,942,645		-		-		2,942,645
Change in net unrealized gain (loss), net											
of reclassification adjustments and											
income taxes		-	-		-	1	,787,347		-		1,787,347
Total comprehensive income											4,729,992
Sale of 790 treasury shares			579		_				12,080		12,659
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2011	3,760,55	57	14,660,579		42,543,363	3	,598,031		(4,814,816)		59,747,714
Comprehensive income:					4 404 015						4 404 017
Net income		-	-		4,484,817		-		-		4,484,817
Change in net unrealized gain (loss), net of reclassification adjustments and											
income taxes							00.222				00.222
		-	-		-		99,332		-	_	99,332
Total comprehensive income		-	1.005		-		-		- 0.572		4,584,149
Sale of 626 treasury shares		-	1,085		(170.015)		-		9,572		10,657
Cash dividends declared, \$0.05 per share		<u>-</u> -	-	_	(172,315)		-	_	-	_	(172,315)
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2012	\$ 3,760,55	<u>\$7</u>	14,661,664	\$	46,855,865	\$ 3	3,697,363	\$	(4,805,244)	\$	64,170,205

UNITED BANCSHARES, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	2012	2011	2010		
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net income	\$ 4,484,817	\$ 2,942,645	\$ 2,807,682		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating					
activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	772,483	697,967	647,006		
Deferred income taxes	516,431	(232,354)	(865,711)		
Provision for loan losses	200,000	4,375,000	6,550,000		
Gain on sale of loans	(1,296,875)	(492,747)	(866,562)		
Net securities gains	(267,513)	(896,764)	(278,242)		
Change in fair value of mortgage servicing rights	(15,931)	314,566	141,587		
Loss on sale or write-down of other real estate owned	627,615	334,840	255,044		
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance	(425,596)	(456,584)	(492,687)		
Net amortization of security premiums and discounts	958,776	679,290	382,312		
Provision for deferred compensation	44,293	25,396	25,085		
Loss on disposal or write-down of premises and equipment and other					
assets	2,920	-	1,550		
Proceeds from sale of loans held-for-sale	69,737,183	26,963,182	36,038,510		
Originations of loans held-for-sale	(68,884,954)	(26,638,777)	(35,486,987)		
Decrease in other assets	907,909	1,765,560	1,846,312		
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	(81,127)	208,695	(361,507)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	7,280,431	0.590.015	10.242.202		
Net cash provided by operating activities	7,280,431	9,589,915	10,343,392		
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities	12.067.541	18,370,945	9,399,659		
Proceeds from maturities of available-for-sale securities, including paydowns on	12,007,011	10,570,515	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
mortgage-backed securities	44,030,808	38,561,926	41,493,304		
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	(82,290,917)		(53,683,058)		
Proceeds from branch acquisition	-	-	22,260,144		
Purchase of certificates of deposit	(747,000)	(1,743,000)	,,		
Net decrease in loans	31,051,443	38,855,755	20,017,232		
Purchases of premises and equipment	(114,054)		(313,671)		
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned	1,058,535	1,854,389	2,733,862		
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,	,,		
Net cash provided by investing activities	5,056,355	30,208,797	41,907,472		

UNITED BANCSHARES, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	2012			2011	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Net decrease in deposits	\$	(9,286,451)	\$	(8,056,061)	\$ (7,335,473)
Other borrowings:					
Repayments		(10,064,985)		(17,035,212)	(21,550,300)
Change in customer repurchase agreements		(158,758)		(5,961,659)	(578,454)
Proceeds from sale of treasury shares		10,657		12,659	17,511
Payments of deferred compensation		(40,101)		(75,101)	(30,303)
Cash dividends paid		(172,315)		<u>-</u>	(1,550,098)
Net cash used in financing activities		(19,711,953)	_	(31,115,374)	 (31,027,117)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND					
CASH EQUIVALENTS		(7,375,167)		8,683,338	21,223,747
		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		3,000,000	,,,
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS					
At beginning of year		57,286,974		48,603,636	 27,379,889
At end of year	\$	49,911,807	\$	57,286,974	\$ 48,603,636
SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW DISCLOSURES					
Cash paid during the year for:					
Interest	\$	4,780,422	\$	7,436,939	\$ 9,563,566
Federal income taxes	\$	1,230,000	\$		\$ 1,075,000
Non-cash operating activity:					
Change in deferred income taxes on net unrealized gain or loss on available-					
for-sale securities	\$	51,171	\$	920,755	\$ 282,889
Non-cash investing activities:	<u></u>	400 450	_	400.000	
Transfer of loans to other real estate owned	\$	420,650	\$	498,000	\$ 2,343,000
Change in net unrealized gain or loss on available-for-sale securities	\$	(150,503)	\$	(2,708,102)	\$ (832,026)

UNITED BANCSHARES, INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

United Bancshares, Inc. (the "Corporation") was incorporated in 1985 in the state of Ohio as a single-bank holding company for The Union Bank Company (the "Bank"). The Bank formed a wholly-owned subsidiary, UBC Investments, Inc. ("UBC") to hold and manage its securities portfolio. The operations of UBC are located in Wilmington, Delaware. The Bank has also formed a wholly-owned subsidiary, UBC Property, Inc. to hold and manage certain property that is acquired in lieu of foreclosure.

The Corporation, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, the Bank, operates in one industry segment, the commercial banking industry. The Bank, organized in 1904 as an Ohio-chartered bank, is headquartered in Columbus Grove, Ohio, with branch offices in Bowling Green, Delphos, Findlay, Gibsonburg, Kalida, Leipsic, Lima, Ottawa, and Pemberville Ohio.

The primary source of revenue of the Bank is providing loans to customers primarily located in Northwestern and West Central Ohio. Such customers are predominately small and middle-market businesses and individuals.

Significant accounting policies followed by the Corporation are presented below.

Use of Estimates in Preparing Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during each reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The estimates most susceptible to significant change in the near term include the determination of the allowance for loan losses, valuation of servicing assets and goodwill, and fair value of securities and other financial instruments.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiary, the Bank, and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, amounts due from banks, and federal funds sold which mature overnight or within four days.

Restrictions on Cash

The Bank was required to maintain cash on hand or on deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank in the amount of \$653,000 and \$508,000 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, to meet regulatory reserve and clearing requirements.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Securities, Federal Home Loan Bank Stock and Certificates of Deposits

The Bank has designated all securities as available-for-sale. Such securities are recorded at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of applicable income taxes, excluded from income and reported as accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

The cost of debt securities is adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity. Purchase premiums and discounts are recognized in interest income using the interest method over the terms of the securities. Declines in fair value of securities below their cost that are deemed to be other-than-temporary are reflected in income as realized losses. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses, management considers (1) the intent to sell the securities and the more likely than not requirement that the Corporation will be required to sell the securities prior to recovery, (2) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, and (3) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. Gains and losses on the sale of securities are recorded on the trade date, using the specific identification method, and are included in non-interest income.

Investment in Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati stock is classified as a restricted security, carried at cost, and evaluated for impairment.

Investment in certificates of deposit are carried at cost and evaluated for impairment annually or when circumstances change that may have a significant effect on fair value.

Loans Held for Sale

Loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value in the aggregate. Estimated fair value is determined based on quoted market prices in the secondary market. Any net unrealized losses are recognized through a valuation allowance by charges to income. The Bank had no unrealized losses at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Loans

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or pay-off are generally stated at its outstanding principal amount adjusted for charge-offs and the allowance for loan losses. Interest is accrued as earned based upon the daily outstanding principal balance. Loan origination fees and certain direct obligation costs are capitalized and recognized as an adjustment of the yield of the related loan.

The accrual of interest on mortgage and commercial loans is generally discontinued at the time the loan is 90 days past due unless the credit is well-secured and in process of collection. Personal loans are typically charged-off no later than when they become 150 days past due. Past due status is based on contractual terms of the loan. In all cases, loans are placed on non-accrual or charged-off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Loans, Continued

All interest accrued but not collected for loans that are placed on nonaccrual or charged-off is reversed against interest income. Interest on these loans is accounted for on the cash-basis or cost-recovery method, until qualifying for return to accrual. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged to income. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance for loan losses is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon management's periodic review of the collectibility of loans in light of historical experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available. Due to potential changes in conditions, it is at least reasonably possible that changes in estimates will occur in the near term and that such changes could be material to the amounts reported in the Corporation's consolidated financial statements.

The allowance consists of specific, general and unallocated components. The specific component relates to impaired loans when the discounted cash flows, collateral value, or observable market price of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The general component covers classified loans (substandard or special mention) without specific reserves, as well as non-classified loans, and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors. An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis for commercial loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Allowance for Loan Losses, Continued

Under certain circumstances, the Bank will provide borrowers relief through loan restructurings. A restructuring of debt constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) if the Bank, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. Restructured loans typically present an elevated level of credit risk as the borrowers are not able to perform according to the original contractual terms. Loans that are reported as TDRs are considered impaired and measured for impairment as described above. TDR concessions can include reduction of interest rates, extension of maturity dates, forgiveness of principal or interest due, or acceptance of other assets in full or partial satisfaction of the debt.

Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, the Bank does not separately identify individual consumer and residential loans for impairment disclosures.

Other Real Estate Owned

Assets acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure are held for sale and are initially recorded at the lower of cost or fair value, less estimated cost to sell, at the date of foreclosure, establishing a new cost basis with loan balances in excess of fair value charged to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed and the assets are carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. Revenue and expenses from operations and subsequent valuation adjustments are included in other operating expenses.

Loan Sales and Servicing

Certain mortgage loans are sold with mortgage servicing rights retained or released by the Bank. The value of mortgage loans sold with servicing rights retained is reduced by the cost allocated to the associated mortgage servicing rights. Gains or losses on sales of mortgage loans are recognized based on the difference between the selling price and the carrying value of the related mortgage loans sold. The Bank generally estimates fair value for servicing rights based on the present value of future expected cash flows, using management's best estimates of the key assumptions – credit losses, prepayment speeds, servicing costs, and discount rates commensurate with the risks involved.

Capitalized servicing rights are reported at fair value and changes in fair value are reported in net income for the period the change occurs.

Servicing fee income is recorded for servicing loans, based on a contractual percentage of the outstanding principal, and is reported as other operating income. Amortization of mortgage servicing rights is charged against loan servicing fee income.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment is stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Upon the sale or disposition of the assets, the difference between the depreciated cost and proceeds is charged or credited to income. Depreciation is determined based on the estimated useful lives of the individual assets (typically 20 to 40 years for buildings and 3 to 10 years for equipment) and is computed primarily using the straight-line method.

Premises and equipment is reviewed for impairment when events indicate the carrying amount may not be recoverable from future undiscounted cash flows. If impaired, premises and equipment is recorded at fair value and any corresponding write-downs are charged against current year earnings.

Off-Balance Sheet Credit Related Financial Instruments

In the ordinary course of business, the Bank has entered into commitments to extend credit, including commitments under commercial letters of credit, and standby letters of credit. Such financial instruments are recorded when they are funded. The Bank maintains a separate allowance for off-balance sheet commitments. Management estimates anticipated losses using historical data and utilization assumptions. The allowance for off-balance sheet commitments is included in other liabilities.

Goodwill and Core Deposit Intangible Assets

Goodwill arising from the Gibsonburg, Pemberville and Findlay branch acquisitions is not amortized, but is subject to an annual impairment test to determine if an impairment loss has occurred. Significant judgment is applied when goodwill is assessed for impairment. This judgment includes developing cash flow projections, selecting appropriate discount rates, identifying relevant market comparables, incorporating general economic and market conditions, and selecting an appropriate control premium. At December 31, 2012, the Company believes the Bank Subsidiary does not have any indicators of potential impairment based on the estimated fair value of this reporting unit.

The core deposit intangible asset, resulting from the Gibsonburg and Pemberville branch acquisitions, was determined to have a definite life and was amortized on a straight-line basis over seven years through March 2010. The core deposit intangible asset resulting from the Findlay branch acquisition was also determined to have a definite life and is being amortized on a straight-line basis over seven years through March 2017. Future amortization of the core deposit intangible asset is \$40,857 annually for years 2013 through 2016 and \$10,215 in 2017.

Supplemental Retirement Benefits

Annual provisions are made for the estimated liability for accumulated supplemental retirement benefits under agreements with certain officers and directors. These provisions are determined based on the terms of the agreements, as well as certain assumptions, including estimated service periods and discount rates.

Advertising Costs

All advertising costs are expensed as incurred.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are provided on temporary differences between financial statement and income tax reporting. Temporary differences are differences between the amounts of assets and liabilities reported for financial statement purposes and its tax bases. Deferred tax assets are recognized for temporary differences that will be deductible in future years' tax returns and for operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if it is deemed more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax liabilities are recognized for temporary differences that will be taxable in future years' tax returns.

Benefits from tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return are not recognized if the likelihood that the tax position would be sustained upon examination by a taxing authority is considered to be 50% or less. The Corporation has adopted the policy of classifying any interest and penalties resulting from the filing of its income tax returns in the provision for income taxes.

The Corporation is not currently subject to state or local income taxes.

Transfers of Financial Assets

Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when (1) the assets have been isolated from the Bank, (2) the transferree obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and (3) the Bank does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity.

The transfer of a participating interest in an entire financial asset must also meet the definition of a participating interest. A participating interest in a financial asset has all of the following characteristics: (1) from the date of transfer, it must represent a proportionate (pro rata) ownership interest in the financial asset, (2) from the date of transfer, all cash flows received, except any cash flows allocated as any compensation for servicing or other services performed, must be divided proportionately among participating interest holders in the amount equal to their share ownership, (3) the rights of each participating interest holder must have the same priority, (4) no party has the right to pledge or exchange the entire financial asset unless all participating interest holders agree to do so.

Comprehensive Income

Recognized revenue, expenses, gains and losses are included in net income. Although certain changes in assets and liabilities, such as unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities, are reported as a separate component of the equity section of the consolidated balance sheet, such items, along with net income, are components of comprehensive income.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Per Share Data

Basic net income per share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during each year. Diluted net income per share reflects additional common shares that would have been outstanding if dilutive potential common shares had been issued. Potential common shares that may be issued by the Corporation relate solely to outstanding stock options, and are determined using the treasury stock method.

The weighted average number of shares used for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

	2012	2011	2010
Basic	3,446,305	3,445,469	3,444,703
Diluted	3,446,305	3,445,469	3,444,703

Dividends per share are based on the number of shares outstanding at the declaration date.

Rate Lock Commitments

Loan commitments related to the origination or acquisition of mortgage loans that will be held for sale are accounted for as derivative instruments. The Bank enters into commitments to originate loans whereby the interest rate on the loan is determined prior to funding (rate lock commitments). Rate lock commitments on mortgage loans that are intended to be sold are considered to be derivatives. Accordingly, such commitments, along with any related fees received from potential borrowers, are to be recorded at fair value as derivative assets or liabilities, with changes in fair value recorded in the net gain or loss on sale of mortgage loans. Fair value is based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, and for fixed-rate commitments also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, derivative assets and liabilities relating to rate lock commitments were not material to the consolidated financial statements.

Fair Values of Financial Instruments

Fair values of financial instruments are estimated using relevant market information and other assumptions, as more fully discussed in Note 19. Fair value estimates involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment regarding interest rates, credit risk, prepayments, and other factors, especially in the absence of broad markets for particular items. Changes in assumptions or in market conditions could significantly affect the estimates.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Subsequent Events

Management evaluated subsequent events through the date the consolidated financial statements were issued. Events or transactions occurring after December 31, 2012, but prior to when the consolidated financial statements were issued, that provided additional evidence about conditions that existed at December 31, 2012, have been recognized in the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012. Events or transactions that provided evidence about conditions that did not exist at December 31, 2012 but arose before the financial statements were issued, have not been recognized in the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications of prior period amounts have been made to conform to the current presentation.

NOTE 2 - NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In April 2011, The FASB issued ASU 2011-03, *Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreement,* amending ASC topic 860 to improve accounting for repurchase agreements and other agreements that both entitle and obligate a transferor to repurchase or redeem financial assets before their maturity by removing, from the assessment of effective control, the criterion relating to the transferor's ability to repurchase or redeem financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee. Consequently, the amendments in this Update also eliminate the requirement to demonstrate that the transferor possesses adequate collateral to fund substantially all the cost of purchasing replacement financial assets. The other criteria applicable to the assessment of effective control are not changed by the amendments in this Update. The Corporation adopted ASU 2011-03 for the first quarter of 2012. The adoption of this standard did not have an impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, *Fair Value Measurement*; amending ASC Topic 820 which eliminates terminology differences between U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) on the measurement of fair value and the related fair value disclosures. While largely consistent with existing fair value measurement principles and disclosures, the changes were made as part of the continuing efforts to converge GAAP and IFRS. The adoption of this guidance was effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, and its adoption provided additional quantitative and qualitative disclosures for assets and liabilities valued using level 3 inputs, but did not have a significant impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

NOTE 2 - NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (CONTINUED)

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05 *Comprehensive Income*; amending ASC Topic 220 to require that all non-owner changes in stockholders' equity be presented in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. Additionally, the guidance requires entities to present, on the face of the financial statements, reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income in the statement or statements where the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income are presented. The option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity was eliminated.

The Corporation adopted ASU 2011-05 for the first quarter of 2012 and elected to present the components of other comprehensive in a separate statement. The adoption of ASU 2011-05 did not have any impact on the Corporation's financial condition or results of operations.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08 *Intangibles – Goodwill and Other*; amending topic 350 to provide the option of a qualitative approach to test goodwill for impairment. The amendment allows an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test described in ASC topic 350. The entity is not required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit unless the entity determines that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. In July 2012, FASB issued ASU 2012-02 which extended the use of the more expedient, qualitative approach permitted for goodwill to impairment testing of indefinite-lived intangible assets.

ASU 2011-08 was effective for goodwill impairment tests performed for annual and interim periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, and ASU 2012-02 was effective for indefinite lived asset impairment tests for annual and interim periods beginning after September 15, 2012 with early adoption permitted. Management will consider the provisions of ASU 2011-08 and ASU 2012-02 for any future goodwill or indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment test.

In December 2011, The FASB issued ASU 2011-11, *Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*, amending ASC Topic 210 requiring an entity to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. The amendment is effective for annual and interim periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and the Corporation has not yet determined the financial statement impact.

NOTE 3 – SECURITIES

The amortized cost and fair value of securities as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	2012					2011			
	Amortized cost			Fair value		Amortized cost		Fair value	
Available-for-sale:									
U.S. Government and agencies	\$	15,488,836	\$	15,554,085	\$	7,506,147	\$	7,520,670	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions		51,122,041		53,918,499		46,459,322		49,310,795	
Mortgage-backed		104,892,935		107,607,325		92,037,038		94,599,469	
Other		501,888		527,856		501,888		525,023	
	'								
Total	\$	172,005,700	\$	177,607,765	\$	146,504,395	\$	151,955,957	

A summary of unrealized gains and losses on securities at December 31, 2012 and 2011 follows:

		20	12		2011			
	u	Gross inrealized gains		Gross unrealized losses		Gross unrealized gains		Gross unrealized losses
Available-for-sale:								
U.S. Government and agencies	\$	68,479	\$	3,230	\$	16,318	\$	1,795
Obligations of states and political subdivisions		2,863,159		66,701		2,855,507		4,034
Mortgage-backed		2,732,160		17,770		2,570,111		7,680
Other		25,968				23,135		<u>-</u>
Total	<u>\$</u>	5,689,766	\$	87,701	\$	5,465,071	\$	13,509

The amortized cost and fair value of securities at December 31, 2012, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	A	Amortized	Fair
		cost	 value
Due in one year or less	\$	2,230,260	\$ 2,262,552
Due after one year through five years		12,626,401	12,793,810
Due after five years through ten years		26,729,021	27,864,985
Due after ten years		129,918,130	134,158,562
Other securities having no maturity date		501,888	527,856
Total	\$	172,005,700	\$ 177,607,765

Securities with a carrying value of approximately \$42,139,000 at December 31, 2012 and \$51,780,000 at December 31, 2011 were pledged to secure public deposits and for other purposes as required or permitted by law.

NOTE 3 - SECURITIES (CONTINUED)

The following table presents gross unrealized losses and fair value of debt securities, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2012 and 2011:

				Securities in a co	ontinu	ous unrealize	d loss	s position		
		Less 12 m			month r more			To	tal	
2012	Unrealized losses		Fair value	Unrealized Losses		Fair value				Fair value
U.S. Government and agencies	\$	3,230	\$ 996,770	\$	- \$	-	\$	3,230	\$	996,770
Obligations of states and political subdivisions		66,701	5,484,740		-	_		66,701		5,484,740
Mortgage-backed		17,770	7,593,495		-	-		17,770		7,593,495
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$	87,701	\$ 14,075,005	\$	- \$		\$	87,701	\$	14,075,005
2011	_									
U.S. Government and agencies	\$	1,795	\$ 997,970	\$	- \$	-	\$	1,795	\$	997,970
Obligations of states and political										
subdivisions		4,034	1,594,949		-	-		4,034		1,594,949
Mortgage-backed		7,680	2,925,922	<u> </u>				7,680		2,925,922
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$	13,509	\$ 5,518,841	\$	- \$	-	\$	13,509	\$	5,518,841

There were 24 securities in an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2012, none of which were in a continuous unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. Management has considered industry analyst reports, whether downgrades by bond rating agencies have occurred, sector credit reports, issuer's financial condition and prospects, the Corporation's ability and intent to hold securities to maturity, and volatility in the bond market, in concluding that the unrealized losses as of December 31, 2012 were primarily the result of customary and expected fluctuations in the bond market. As a result, all security impairments as of December 31, 2012 are considered to be temporary.

Gross realized gains from sale of securities, including securities calls, amounted to \$293,226 in 2012, \$897,086 in 2011, and \$278,242 in 2010, with the income tax provision applicable to such gains amounting to \$99,697 in 2012, \$305,009 in 2011, and \$94,602 in 2010. Gross realized losses from sale of securities amounted to \$25,713 in 2012 and \$322 in 2011 (none in 2010), with related income tax effect of \$8,742 in 2012, and \$109 in 2011.

NOTE 4 - LOANS

Loans at December 31, 2012 and 2011 consist of the following:

	2012	- —	2011
Residential real estate	\$ 58,318,65	7 \$	62,134,624
Commercial	198,662,11	1	223,734,858
Agriculture	43,068,27	2	46,719,505
Consumer	4,396,25	3	5,357,721
Total loans	\$ 304,445,29	3 \$	337,946,708

Fixed rate loans approximated \$56,494,000 at December 31, 2012 and \$61,115,000 at December 31, 2011. Certain commercial and agricultural loans are secure real estate.

Most of the Bank's lending activities are with customers located in Northwestern and West Central Ohio. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank's loans from borrowers in the agriculture industry represent the single largest industry and amounted to \$43,068,272 and \$46,719,505, respectively. Agriculture loans are generally secured by property and equipment. Repayment is primarily expected from cash flow generated through the harvest and sale of crops or milk production for dairy products. Agriculture customers are subject to various risks and uncertainties which can adversely impact the cash flow generated from their operations, including weather conditions; milk production; health and stability of livestock; costs of key operating items such as fertilizer, fuel, seed, or animal feed; and market prices for crops, milk, and livestock. Credit evaluation of agricultural lending is based on an evaluation of cash flow coverage of principal and interest payments and the adequacy of collateral received.

The Bank originates 1-4 family real estate and consumer loans utilizing credit reports to supplement the underwriting process. The Bank's underwriting standards for 1-4 family loans are generally in accordance with FHLMC manual underwriting guidelines. Properties securing 1-4 family real estate loans are appraised by fee appraisers, which is independent of the loan origination function and has been approved by the Board of Directors and the Loan Policy Committee. The loan-to-value ratios normally do not exceed 80% without credit enhancements such as mortgage insurance. The Bank will lend up to 100% of the lesser of the appraised value or purchase price for conventional 1-4 family real estate loans, provided private mortgage insurance is obtained. The underwriting standards for consumer loans include a determination of the applicant's payment history on other debts and an assessment of their ability to meet existing obligations and payments on the proposed loan. To monitor and manage loan risk, policies and procedures are developed and modified, as needed by management. This activity, coupled with smaller loan amounts that are spread across many individual borrowers, minimizes risk. Additionally, market conditions are reviewed by management on a regular basis. The Bank's 1-4 family real estate loans are secured primarily by properties located in its primary market area.

NOTE 4 – LOANS (CONTINUED)

Commercial and agricultural real estate loans are subject to underwriting standards and processes similar to commercial and agricultural operating loans, in addition to those unique to real estate loans. These loans are viewed primarily as cash flow loans and secondarily as loans secured by real estate. Commercial and agricultural real estate lending typically involves higher loan principal amounts and the repayment of these loans is generally dependent on the successful operation of the property securing the loan or the business conducted on the property securing the loan. Loan to value is generally 75% of the cost or appraised value of the assets. Appraisals on properties securing these loans are performed by fee appraisers approved by the Board of Directors. Because payments on commercial and agricultural real estate loans are often dependent on the successful operation or management of the properties, repayment of such loans may be subject to adverse conditions in the real estate market or the economy. Management monitors and evaluates commercial and agricultural real estate loans based on collateral and risk rating criteria. The Bank may require guarantees on these loans. The Bank's commercial and agricultural real estate loans are secured primarily by properties located in its primary market area.

Commercial and agricultural operating loans are underwritten based on the Bank's examination of current and projected cash flows to determine the ability of the borrower to repay their obligations as agreed. This underwriting includes the evaluation of cash flows of the borrower, underlying collateral, if applicable and the borrower's ability to manage its business activities. The cash flows of borrowers and the collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value after the initial evaluation. A first priority lien on the general assets of the business normally secures these types of loans. Loan to value limits vary and are dependent upon the nature and type of the underlying collateral and the financial strength of the borrower. Crop and/or hail insurance may be required for agricultural borrowers. Loans are generally guaranteed by the principal(s). The Bank's commercial and agricultural operating lending is primarily in its primary market area.

The Bank maintains an internal audit department that reviews and validates the credit risk program on a periodic basis. Results of these reviews are presented to management and the audit committee. The loan review process complements and reinforces the risk identification and assessment decisions made by lenders and credit personnel, as well as the Bank's policies and procedures.

The following represents a summary of the activity in the allowance for loan losses for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010:

	 2012	 2011	 2010
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 8,543,367	\$ 8,016,786	\$ 4,803,595
Provision charged to operations	200,000	4,375,000	6,550,000
Loans charged-off	(2,261,271)	(4,238,203)	(3,823,427)
Recoveries of loans charged-off	435,509	389,784	486,618
Total	\$ 6,917,605	\$ 8,543,367	\$ 8,016,786

NOTE 4 – LOANS (CONTINUED)

The following tables present the activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	C	ommercial	Commercial and multi-family real estate	 Residential 1 – 4 family real estate	Consumer	Total
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$	2,596,629	\$ 4,847,234	\$ 998,941	\$ 100,563	\$ 8,543,367
Provision charged to expenses		(1,525,666)	2,073,148	(265,675)	(81,807)	200,000
Losses charged off		(78,636)	(2,023,969)	(144,443)	(14,223)	(2,261,271)
Recoveries		35,510	343,762	13,468	42,769	435,509
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$	1,027,837	\$ 5,240,175	\$ 602,291	\$ 47,302	\$ 6,917,605
	C	ommercial	 Commercial and multi-family real estate	Residential 1 – 4 family real estate	Consumer	 Total
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$	2,886,467	\$ 3,915,323	\$ 886,879	\$ 328,117	\$ 8,016,786
Provision charged to expenses		195,588	3,918,741	485,876	(225,205)	4,375,000
Losses charged off		(503,218)	(3,131,582)	(515,469)	(87,934)	(4,238,203)
Recoveries		17,792	144,752	141,655	85,585	389,784
		11,12	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		,

The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairmer as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

2012	 Commercial	 Commercial and multi-family real estate	 Residential 1 – 4 family real estate	 Consumer	 Total
Allowance for loan losses:					
Attributable to loans individually					
evaluated for impairment	\$ 415,010	\$ 2,506,940	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,921,950
Collectively evaluated for					
impairment	 612,827	2,733,235	602,291	47,302	 3,995,655
Total allowance for loan losses	\$ 1,027,837	\$ 5,240,175	\$ 602,291	\$ 47,302	\$ 6,917,605
Loans:					
Individually evaluated for					
impairment	\$ 1,241,149	\$ 14,153,259	\$ 169,904	\$ -	\$ 15,564,312
Collectively evaluated for					
impairment	 49,324,136	177,011,839	58,148,753	4,396,258	 288,880,986
Total ending loans balance	\$ 50,565,285	\$ 191,165,098	\$ 58,318,657	\$ 4,396,258	\$ 304,445,298

NOTE 4 - LOANS (CONTINUED)

2011	 Commercial	Commercial and multi-family real estate	 Residential 1 – 4 family real estate	 Consumer	Total
Allowance for loan losses:					
Attributable to loans individually					
evaluated for impairment	\$ 754,874	\$ 1,235,351	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,990,225
Collectively evaluated for					
impairment	 1,841,755	3,611,883	998,941	100,563	 6,553,142
Total allowance for loan losses	\$ 2,596,629	\$ 4,847,234	\$ 998,941	\$ 100,563	\$ 8,543,367
Loans:					
Individually evaluated for					
impairment	\$ 3,972,618	\$ 16,842,092	\$ 172,494	\$ 	\$ 20,987,204
Collectively evaluated for					
impairment	58,349,383	191,290,270	61,962,130	5,357,721	316,959,504
Total ending loans balance	\$ 62,322,001	\$ 208,132,362	\$ 62,134,624	\$ 5,357,721	\$ 337,946,708

Impaired loans were as follows as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	 2012	 2011
Loans with no allowance for loan losses allocated	\$ 3,118,322	\$ 7,660,276
Loans with allowance for loan losses allocated	 12,445,990	 13,326,928
Total impaired loans	\$ 15,564,312	\$ 20,987,204
Amount of the allowance allocated to impaired loans	\$ 2,921,950	\$ 1,990,225

The following is a summary of the activity in the allowance for loan losses of impaired loans, which is a part of the Bank's overall allowance for loan losses for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010:

	 2012	2011	 2010
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 1,990,225	\$ 691,780	\$ 1,228,814
Provision charged to operations	2,497,649	4,409,468	2,157,658
Loans charged-off	 (1,565,924)	(3,111,023)	(2,694,692)
Balance at end of year	\$ 2,921,950	\$ 1,990,225	\$ 691,780

No additional funds are committed to be advanced in connection with impaired loans.

NOTE 4 - LOANS (CONTINUED)

The average balance of impaired loans approximated \$17,188,000, \$19,429,000, and \$15,487,000 during 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. There was approximately \$214,000, \$229,000 and \$95,000 in interest income recognized by the Bank on impaired loans on an accrual or cash basis during 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	2012				2011			
	Recorded evestment		llowance for loan losses allocated		Recorded investment		Allowance for loan losses allocated	
With no related allowance recorded:								
Commercial	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Commercial and multi-family real estate	1,539,370		-		5,184,050		-	
Agriculture	607,462		-		2,303,732		-	
Agricultural real estate	801,586		-		-		-	
Consumer	-		-		-		-	
Residential 1 – 4 family real estate	169,904		-		172,494		-	
With an allowance recorded:								
Commercial	415,010		415,010		619,618		619,618	
Commercial and multi-family real estate	12,030,980		2,506,940		10,870,325		1,235,351	
Agriculture	-		-		1,836,985		135,256	
Agricultural real estate	-		-		-		-	
Consumer	-		-		-		-	
Residential 1 – 4 family real estate	 <u>-</u>		<u>-</u>				_	
Total	\$ 15,564,312	\$	2,921,950	\$	20,987,204	\$	1,990,225	

NOTE 4 - LOANS (CONTINUED)

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual loans, loans past due over 90 days still on accrual and troubled debt restructurings by class of loans as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

				2012		2011					
	N	onaccrual	Loans past due over 90 days still accruing Restructurings		Nonaccrual		Loans past due over 90 days still accruing		Troubled Debt Restructurings		
Commercial	\$	475,909	\$	-	\$ 475,909	\$	684,763	\$	-	\$	619,618
Commercial real estate		12,986,469		-	8,098,958		15,887,322		-		10,209,573
Agricultural real estate		1,060,418		-	-		1,074,694		-		-
Agriculture		623,325		_	193,964		1,064,282		-		2,303,732
Consumer		-		899	-		97		9,437		-
Residential:											
1 – 4 family		1,953,505		-	55,048		2,867,753		26,002		57,192
Home equity		71,573		24,050	 -		121,230		20,003		-
Total	\$	17,171,199	\$	24,949	\$ 8,823,879	\$	21,700,141	\$	55,442	\$	13,190,115

The nonaccrual balances in the table above include troubled debt restructurings that have been classified as nonaccrual.

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 by class of loans:

2012	30 – 59 days past due		60 – 89 days past due		Greater than 90 days past due		Total past due		Loans not past due		 Total
Commercial	\$	74,672	\$	2,543	\$	_	\$	77,215	\$	36,664,022	\$ 36,741,237
Commercial real estate	·	2,509,318	·	503,382		3,937,774		6,950,474		154,970,400	161,920,874
Agriculture		_		_		597,525		597,525		13,226,523	13,824,048
Agricultural real estate		47,422		-		933,945		981,367		28,262,857	29,244,224
Consumer		53,065		2,655		899		56,619		4,339,639	4,396,258
Residential real estate		2,271,107		559,048		512,685		3,342,840		54,975,817	58,318,657
Total	\$	4,955,584	\$	1,067,628	\$	5,982,828	\$	12,006,040	\$	292,439,258	\$ 304,445,298
<u>2011</u>											
Commercial	\$	264,350	\$	503,099	\$	19,356	\$	786,805	\$	43,816,740	\$ 44,603,545
Commercial real estate		2,072,253		1,929,564		4,831,138		8,832,955		170,298,358	179,131,313
Agriculture		-		-		1,029,883		1,029,883		16,688,573	17,718,456
Agricultural real estate		-		-		933,945		933,945		28,067,104	29,001,049
Consumer		150,626		29,222		9,534		189,382		5,168,339	5,357,721
Residential real estate		2,244,462		877,860	_	544,990		3,667,312	_	58,467,312	62,134,624
Total	\$	4,731,691	\$	3,339,745	\$	7,368,846	\$	15,440,282	\$	322,506,426	\$ 337,946,708

NOTE 4 - LOANS (CONTINUED)

Credit Quality Indicators:

The Bank categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Bank analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to the credit risk. This analysis generally includes loans with an outstanding balance greater than \$200,000 and non-homogenous loans, such as commercial and commercial real estate loans. This analysis is performed on a quarterly basis. The Bank uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

- Special Mention: Loans which possess some credit deficiency or potential weakness which deserves close attention, but which do not yet warrant substandard classification. Such loans pose unwarranted financial risk that, if not corrected, could weaken the loan and increase risk in the future. The key distinctions of a Special Mention classification are that (1) it is indicative of an unwarranted level of risk, and (2) weaknesses are considered "potential", versus "defined", impairments to the primary source of loan repayment.
- Substandard: These loans are inadequately protected by the current sound net worth and paying ability of the borrower. Loans of this type will generally display negative financial trends such as poor or negative net worth, earnings or cash flow. These loans may also have historic and/or severe delinquency problems, and bank management may depend on secondary repayment sources to liquidate these loans. The bank could sustain some degree of loss in these loans if the weaknesses remain uncorrected.
- **Doubtful:** Loans in this category display a high degree of loss, although the amount of actual loss at the time of classification is undeterminable. This should be a temporary category until such time that actual loss can be identified, or improvements made to reduce the seriousness of the classification.

Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered to be pass rated loans. Loans listed as not rated are generally either less than \$200,000 or are included in groups of homogenous loans. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans by class of loans is as follows:

			Special					Not
	Pass	Mention		Substandard		Doubtful		rated
<u>2012</u>								
Commercial	\$ 47,367,441	\$	1,505,099	\$	1,095,220	\$	597,525	\$ -
Commercial and multi-family real								
estate	160,592,238		8,624,114		21,147,160		801,586	-
Residential 1 – 4 family	-		-		435,467		9,937	57,873,253
Consumer	-		-		13,923		-	4,382,335
Total	\$ 207,959,679	\$	10,129,213	\$	22,691,770	\$	1,409,048	\$ 62,255,588

NOTE 4 - LOANS (CONTINUED)

			Special					Not
	Pass	Mention		Substandard		Doubtful		 rated
<u>2011</u>								
Commercial	\$ 54,683,831	\$	2,966,782	\$	3,768,348	\$	903,040	\$ -
Commercial and multi-family real								
estate	170,750,804		9,245,517		27,202,096		933,945	-
Residential 1 – 4 family	-		257,860		245,204		12,976	61,618,584
Consumer	<u>-</u>		2,538		18,716		<u>-</u>	 5,336,467
Total	\$ 225,434,635	\$	12,472,697	\$	31,234,364	\$	1,849,961	\$ 66,955,051

The Bank considers the performance of the loan portfolio and its impact on the allowance for loan losses. For residential 1-4 family and consumer loan classes that are not rated, the Bank also evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously presented, and by payment activity. Generally, consumer and residential 1-4 family loans not rated that are 90 days past due or are classified as nonaccrual and collectively evaluated for impairment, are considered nonperforming. The following table presents the recorded investment in residential 1-4 family and consumer loans that are not risk rated, based on payment activity as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

		20	12		2011						
	(Consumer		Residential 1 – 4 family		Consumer		Residential 1 – 4 family			
Performing	\$	4,381,436	\$	56,091,352	\$	5,326,933	\$	60,070,730			
Nonperforming		899	_	1,781,901		9,534	_	1,547,854			
Total	\$	4,382,335	\$	57,873,253	\$	5,336,467	\$	61,618,584			

Purchased Loans:

From time to time, the Bank enters into loan participation agreements to purchase loans. At December 31, 2012, the Bank held no loans for which there was, at acquisition, evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and it was probable, at acquisition, that all contractually required payments would not be collected.

NOTE 4 - LOANS (CONTINUED)

Modifications:

The Bank's loan portfolio also includes certain loans that have been modified in a Troubled Debt Restructuring (TDR), where economic concessions have been granted to borrowers who have experienced or are expected to experience financial difficulties. These concessions typically result from the Bank's loss mitigation activities and could include reductions in the interest rate, payment extensions, forgiveness of principal, forbearance or other actions. All TDRs are also classified as impaired loans.

When the Bank modifies a loan, management evaluates any possible concession based on the present value of expected future cash flows, discounted at the contractual interest rate of the original loan agreement, except when the sole (remaining) source of repayment for the loan is the operation or liquidation of the collateral. In these cases, management uses the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs, instead of discounted cash flows. If management determines that the value of the modified loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan (net of previous charge-offs, deferred loan fees or costs and unamortized premium or discount), an impairment is recognized through a specific reserve in the allowance or a direct write down of the loan balance if collection is not expected.

The Bank did not have any loan modifications during the year ended December 31, 2012 that were identified as Troubled Debt Restructurings.

Certain directors and executive officers, including their immediate families and companies in which they are principal owners, are loan customers of the Bank. Such loans are made in the ordinary course of business in accordance with the normal lending policies of the Bank, including the interest rate charged and collateralization. Such loans amounted to \$989,194, \$3,013,156, and \$3,164,300 at December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 respectively. The following is a summary of activity during 2012, 2011, and 2010 for such loans:

	 2012	2011	 2010
Beginning of year	\$ 3,013,156	\$ 3,164,300	\$ 3,353,452
Additions	-	35,000	60,997
Repayments	 (2,023,962)	(186,144)	(250,149)
End of year	\$ 989,194	\$ 3,013,156	\$ 3,164,300

Additions and repayments include loan renewals, as well as net borrowings and repayments under revolving lines-of-credit. The increase in repayments in 2012 was partially due to a pay down in a line of credit of approximately \$1.5 million.

NOTE 5 - PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

The following is a summary of premises and equipment at December 31, 2012 and 2011:

		2012		2011
Land and improvements	\$	2,479,913	\$	2,479,913
Buildings	Ψ	9,011,523	Ψ	9,008,149
Equipment		3,999,020		4,100,294
		15,490,456		15,588,356
Less accumulated depreciation		6,272,580		6,007,045
Premises and equipment, net	\$	9,217,876	\$	9,581,311

Depreciation expense amounted to \$474,569 in 2012, \$520,448 in 2011 and \$531,937 in 2010.

NOTE 6 - SERVICING

Mortgage loans serviced for others are not included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The unpaid principal balance of mortgage loans serviced for others approximated \$175,583,000 and \$164,568,000 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Mortgage servicing rights are included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The Bank has elected to record its mortgage servicing rights using the fair value measurement method. Significant assumptions used in determining the fair value of servicing rights as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 include:

Prepayment assumptions:	Based on the PSA Standard Prepayment Model
Internal rate of return:	8% to 10%
Servicing costs: per loan, annually, increased at the rate of \$1 per 1% delinquency based on loan	
count	\$40 - \$55
Inflation rate of servicing costs:	3%

Following is a summary of mortgage servicing rights activity for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

727,240	\$ 1,114,126	\$	1,273,525
444,646	168,342		315,039
(257,057)	(240,662)		(332,851)
15,931	(314,566)		(141,587)
930,760	\$ 727,240	\$	1,114,126
	444,646 (257,057) 15,931	444,646 168,342 (257,057) (240,662) 15,931 (314,566)	444,646 168,342 (257,057) (240,662) 15,931 (314,566)

NOTE 6 - SERVICING (CONTINUED)

The change in fair value of servicing rights for the year ended December 31, 2012 resulted from changes in external market conditions, including prepayment assumptions, which is a key valuation input used in determining the fair value of servicing. While prepayment assumptions are constantly changing, such changes are typically within a relatively small parameter from period to period. The prepayment assumption factor used in determining the fair value of servicing at December 31, 2012 was 398 compared to 465 at December 31, 2011 and 321 at December 31, 2010.

NOTE 7 - PREPAID FDIC ASSESSMENTS

On September 29, 2009, the FDIC adopted a Notice of Proposed Rule making it mandatory that insured depository institutions prepay their quarterly risk-based assessments to the FDIC on December 30, 2009 for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for the years 2010 through 2012. In 2011, the FDIC changed how risk based assessments are calculated. As a result, the Bank had prepaid FDIC assessments amounting to \$228,121 at December 31, 2012 and \$946,819 at December 31, 2011 and such amounts are included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The FDIC will apply the Bank's first two quarterly assessments in 2013 against the remaining prepaid balance and will refund to the Bank any remaining prepaid balance at the end of the second quarter of 2013.

NOTE 8 - DEPOSITS

Time deposits at December 31, 2012 and 2011 include individual deposits of \$100,000 or more approximating \$57,112,173 and \$83,841,807, respectively. Interest expense on time deposits of \$100,000 or more approximated \$784,218 for 2012, and \$1,311,311 for 2011.

At December 31, 2012, time deposits approximated \$186,857,431 and were scheduled to mature as follows: 2013, \$77,172,416; 2014, \$45,564,515; 2015, \$31,425,731; 2016, \$13,877,797; 2017, \$15,285,336; and thereafter, \$3,531,636.

NOTE 9 – OTHER BORROWINGS

Other borrowings consists of the following at December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	2012		2011
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings:			
Secured note, with interest at 2.18%, due July 3, 2012	\$	- \$	5,000,000
Secured note, with interest at 4.25% through February 28, 2008, thereafter putable back at the option of			
the holder, due August 28, 2012		-	5,000,000
Secured note, with interest at 4.20% through February 28, 2008, thereafter putable back at the option of			
the holder, due February 28, 2017	10,00	00,000	10,000,000
Secured note, with interest at 3.95% through September 11, 2008, thereafter putable back at the option			
of the holder, due September 11, 2017	7,50	00,000	7,500,000
Advances secured by individual residential mortgages under blanket agreement		<u> </u>	64,985
Total Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings	17,50	00,000	27,564,985
Customer repurchase agreements with an average outstanding rate of .17% at December 31, 2012 and .17%			
at December 31, 2011	5,05	7,220	5,215,978
Total other borrowings	\$ 22,55	57,220 \$	32,780,963

Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings are secured by Federal Home Loan Bank stock and eligible mortgage loans approximating \$109,367,991 at December 31, 2012. The interest rates on advances outstanding at December 31, 2012, secured by individual mortgages under blanket agreement, ranged from 3.95% to 4.20%, with varying maturities through September 2017. At December 31, 2012, the Bank had \$38,757,955 of borrowing availability under various line-of-credit agreements with the Federal Home Loan Bank and other financial institutions.

At December 31, 2012 all future contractual maturities of other borrowings, excluding short-term customer repurchase agreements, occur in 2017.

NOTE 10 - JUNIOR SUBORDINATED DEFERRABLE INTEREST DEBENTURES

The Corporation has formed and invested \$300,000 in a business trust, United (OH) Statutory Trust (United Trust) which is not consolidated by the Corporation. United Trust issued \$10,000,000 of trust preferred securities, which are guaranteed by the Corporation, and are subject to mandatory redemption upon payment of the debentures. United Trust used the proceeds from the issuance of the trust preferred securities, as well as the Corporation's capital investment, to purchase \$10,300,000 of junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures issued by the Corporation. The debentures have a stated maturity date of March 26, 2033. As of March 26, 2008, and quarterly thereafter, the debentures may be shortened at the Corporation's option. The interest rate of the debentures was fixed at 6.40% for a five-year period through March 26, 2008. Effective March 27, 2008, interest is at a floating rate adjustable quarterly and equal to 315 basis points over the 3-month LIBOR amounting to 3.46% at December 31, 2012, 3.72% at December 31, 2011 and 3.7% at December 31, 2010, with interest payable quarterly. The Corporation has the right, subject to events in default, to defer payments of interest on the debentures by extending the interest payment period for a period not exceeding 20 consecutive quarterly periods. Interest expense on the debentures amounted to \$368,000 in 2012, \$350,180 in 2011, and \$353,965 in 2010, and is included in interest expense-borrowings in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Each issue of the trust preferred securities carries an interest rate identical to that of the related debenture. The securities have been structured to qualify as Tier I capital for regulatory purposes and the dividends paid on such are tax deductible. However, the securities cannot be used to constitute more than 25% of the Corporation's Tier I capital inclusive of these securities under Federal Reserve Board guidelines.

NOTE 11 - OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES

Other operating expenses consisted of the following for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

	 2012	 2011	 2010
Data processing	\$ 374,366	\$ 360,003	\$ 510,621
Professional fees	636,286	856,712	953,801
Franchise tax	375,259	397,210	452,185
Advertising	614,312	615,033	546,025
ATM processing and other fees	341,640	249,996	227,685
Amortization of core deposit intangible asset	40,857	40,857	94,218
Postage	188,653	175,993	192,699
Stationery and supplies	210,332	191,832	172,594
FDIC assessment	751,799	995,252	806,533
Loan closing fees	275,212	193,151	191,951
Internet banking	224,961	233,961	175,683
Other real estate owned	705,910	505,833	427,712
Miscellaneous Losses & Recoveries	314,473	13,809	118,846
Other	1,430,753	 1,278,756	 1,421,507
Total other operating expenses	\$ 6,484,813	\$ 6,108,398	\$ 6,292,060

NOTE 12 - INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 consist of the following:

	2012	 2011	 2010
Current Deferred	\$ 554,569 516,431	\$ 334,354 (232,354)	\$ 1,008,711 (865,711)
Total provision for income taxes	\$ 1,071,000	\$ 102,000	\$ 143,000

The income tax provision attributable to income from operations differed from the amounts computed by applying the U.S. federal income tax rate of 34% to income before income taxes as a result of the following:

	 2012	2011	 2010	
Expected tax using statutory tax rate of 34%	\$ 1,889,000	\$	1,035,200	\$ 1,003,200
Increase (decrease) in tax resulting from:				
Tax-exempt income on state and municipal securities and political subdivision				
loans	(608,900)		(670,000)	(696,800)
Interest expense associated with carrying certain state and municipal securities				
and political subdivision loans	1,800		3,000	4,900
Tax-exempt income on life insurance contracts	(144,700)		(155,200)	(167,500)
Deductible dividends paid to United Bancshares, Inc. ESOP	(6,000)		(1,500)	(48,400)
Uncertain tax position reserves	(66,700)		(115,100)	39,700
Other, net	6,500		5,600	7,900
Total provision for income taxes	\$ 1,071,000	\$	102,000	\$ 143,000

NOTE 12 - INCOME TAXES (CONTINUED)

The deferred income tax expense (benefit) of \$516,431 in 2012, \$(232,354) in 2011, and \$(865,711) in 2010 resulted from the tax effects of temporary differences. There was no impact for changes in tax laws and rates or changes in the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets.

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2012 and 2011 are presented below:

	2012	2011
Deferred tax assets:		
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 2,370,00	0 \$ 2,940,300
Deferred compensation	299,50	0 298,700
Alternative minimum tax credits	432,40	0 395,200
Nonaccrual loan interest	464,00	0 314,100
Deferred loan fees	161,60	0 130,400
Other real estate owned	182,80	0 68,100
Accrued vacation expense	118,30	0 114,200
Other	94,60	99,800
Total deferred tax assets	4,123,20	0 4,360,800
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale	1,904,70	0 1,853,531
Federal Home Loan Bank stock dividends	877,50	0 877,500
Capitalized mortgage servicing rights	316,50	0 247,300
Depreciation and amortization	2,020,50	0 1,828,000
Prepaid expenses	95,80	0 85,700
Other	17,10	0 10,069
Total deferred tax liabilities	5,232,10	0 4,902,100
Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	\$ (1,108,90	0) \$ (541,300)

Net deferred tax assets (liabilities) at December 31, 2012 and 2011 are included in other assets (liabilities) in the consolidated balance sheets.

Management believes it is more likely than not that the benefit of deferred tax assets will be realized. Consequently, no valuation allowance for deferred tax assets is deemed necessary as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

NOTE 12 - INCOME TAXES (CONTINUED)

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

		2012	2011
Balance at January 1	\$	126,800 \$	235,100
Additions based on tax positions of prior years	·	11,100	23,000
Reductions for tax positions of prior years		-	(200)
Reductions due to the statute of limitation		(71,900)	(82,300)
Settlements		-	(48,800)
Balance at December 31	\$	66,000 \$	126,800

The Corporation had unrecognized tax benefits of \$66,000 and \$126,800 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Such unrecognized tax benefits, if recognized, would favorably affect the effective income tax rate in future periods. The Corporation does not expect the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly change in the next twelve months.

The amount of accrued interest, net of federal tax, related to the Corporation's uncertain tax positions was \$3,000 at December 31, 2012 and \$8,900 at December 31, 2011, respectively.

The Corporation and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax. The Corporation and its subsidiaries are no longer subject to examination by taxing authorities for years before 2009. There are no current federal examinations of the Corporations open tax years.

NOTE 13 - EMPLOYEE AND DIRECTOR BENEFITS

The Corporation and Bank sponsor a salary deferral, defined contribution plan which provides for both profit sharing and employer matching contributions. The plan permits investing in the Corporation's stock subject to certain limitations. Participants who meet certain eligibility conditions are eligible to participate and defer a specified percentage of their eligible compensation subject to certain income tax law limitations. The Corporation makes discretionary matching and profit sharing contributions, as approved annually by the Board of Directors, subject to certain income tax law limitations. Contribution expense for the plan amounted to \$564,654, \$519,300, and \$511,935 in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. At December 31, 2012, the Plan owned 316,575.265 shares of the Corporation's common stock.

The Bank also sponsors nonqualified deferred compensation plans, covering certain directors and employees, which have been indirectly funded through the purchase of split-dollar life insurance policies. In connection with the policies, the Bank has provided an estimated liability for accumulated supplemental retirement benefits amounting to \$606,604 and \$588,203 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, which is included in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The Bank has also purchased split-dollar life insurance policies for investment purposes to fund other employee benefit plans. The combined cash values of these policies aggregated \$13,143,375 and \$12,741,053 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

NOTE 13 - EMPLOYEE AND DIRECTOR BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

Under an employee stock purchase plan, eligible employees may defer a portion of their compensation and use the proceeds to purchase stock of the Corporation at a discount determined semi-annually by the Board of Directors as stipulated in the plan. The Corporation sold from treasury 626 shares in 2012, 790 shares in 2011, and 1,123 shares in 2010 under the plan.

The Bank has an agreement with The Bank of Leipsic's former President, who is the Corporation's current Chairman of the Board of Directors, to provide for retirement compensation benefits. Such benefits are to be paid over a period of twenty years commencing upon retirement effective December 31, 2001. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the net present value (based on the 12% discount rate in effect at the time of origination of the agreement) of future deferred compensation payments amounted to \$274,405 and \$290,416, respectively. Such amounts are included in other liabilities in the December 31, 2012 and 2011 consolidated balance sheets. A split-dollar life insurance policy has been purchased and is available to fund a portion of the future deferred compensation payments. The cash value of the policy amounted to \$617,808 and \$594,534 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Corporation have employment agreements which provide for certain compensation and benefits should any triggering events occur, as specified in the agreements, including change of control or termination without cause.

NOTE 14 - STOCK OPTIONS

The following summarizes stock options activity for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010:

	20	2012			2011		2010					
	Shares	Weight averaş exerci price	ge se	Weighted average exercise Shares price		Shares	Weight averag exerci price	rage rcise				
Outstanding at beginning of year Expired	-	\$	-		- \$	-	5,146 (5,146)	\$	9.66 9.66			
Outstanding and exercisable at end of year	-	\$	<u>-</u>		- \$	-	(3,140)	\$	- - - -			

Options outstanding at December 31, 2009 at an exercise price of \$9.66 expired May 5, 2010.

NOTE 15 - FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS WITH OFF-BALANCE SHEET RISK

The Bank is party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments are primarily loan commitments to extend credit and letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The contract amount of these instruments reflects the extent of involvement the Bank has in these financial instruments.

The Bank's exposure to credit loss in the event of the nonperformance by the other party to the financial instruments for loan commitments to extend credit and letters of credit is represented by the contractual amounts of these instruments. The Bank uses the same credit policies in making loan commitments as it does for on-balance sheet loans.

The following financial instruments whose contract amount represents credit risk were outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011:

		ınt		
	2012			
Commitments to extend credit	\$	83,151,000	\$	67,009,000
Letters of credit	\$	2,492,000	\$	2,389,000

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Bank evaluates each customer's credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained if deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant, and equipment, and income-producing commercial properties.

Letters of credit are written conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party and are reviewed for renewal at expiration. All letters of credit outstanding at December 31, 2012 expire in 2013. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers. The Bank requires collateral supporting these commitments when deemed necessary.

NOTE 16 - REGULATORY MATTERS

The Corporation (on a consolidated basis) and Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal and state banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Corporation's and Bank's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Corporation and Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of their assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors. Prompt corrective action provisions are not applicable to bank holding companies.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Corporation and Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following table) of total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier I capital to average assets (as defined). Management believes, as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, that the Corporation and Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject. Furthermore, the Board of Directors of the Bank has adopted a resolution to maintain Tier I capital at or above 8% of total assets.

As of December 31, 2012, the most recent notification from federal and state banking agencies categorized the Bank as "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as "well capitalized", an institution must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based and Tier I leverage ratios as set forth in the following table. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

The Bank is currently working with regulatory agencies to address certain regulatory matters resulting from the Bank's most recent examination. The management of the Bank is actively working towards achieving a resolution of any and all matters identified by the regulators during this examination and management does not believe that compliance with these issues will have a material adverse effect on the operations or financial condition of the Bank.

Minimum to be

NOTE 16 - REGULATORY MATTERS (CONTINUED)

The actual capital amounts and ratios of the Corporation and Bank as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 are presented in the following table:

	Actua	al		Minimum capital requirement			well capita under pro correct action prov	ompt ive
	 Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio	_	Amount	Ratio
	 	2111110	_	(Dollars in Th		_		11000
As of December 31, 2012				(Donars III III	ousurus)			
Total Capital (to Risk-								
Weighted Assets)								
Consolidated	\$ 66,720	17.6%	\$	30,346	≥8.0%		N/A	N/A
Bank	\$ 63,968	16.9%	\$	30,291	≥8.0%	\$	37,864	10.0%
Tier I Capital (to Risk-	·			·			·	
Weighted Assets)								
Consolidated	\$ 61,951	16.3%	\$	15,173	≥4.0%		N/A	N/A
Bank	\$ 59,217	15.6%	\$	15,145	≥4.0%	\$	22,178	6.0%
Tier I Capital (to								
Average Assets)								
Consolidated	\$ 61,951	11.2%	\$	22,127	≥4.0%		N/A	N/A
Bank	\$ 59,217	10.7%	\$	22,099	≥4.0%	\$	27,623	5.0%
As of December 31, 2011								
Total Capital (to Risk-								
Weighted Assets)								
Consolidated	\$ 62,785	15.3%	\$	32,870	≥8.0%		N/A	N/A
Bank	\$ 60,201	14.7%	\$	32,701	≥8.0%	\$	40,876	10.0%
Tier I Capital (to Risk-								
Weighted Assets)								
Consolidated	\$ 57,607	14.0%	\$	16,435	≥4.0%		N/A	N/A
Bank	\$ 55,049	13.5%	\$	16,350	≥4.0%	\$	24,526	6.0%
Tier I Capital (to								
Average Assets)								
Consolidated	\$ 57,607	10.0%	\$	23,101	≥4.0%		N/A	N/A
Bank	\$ 55,049	9.5%	\$	23,073	≥4.0%	\$	28,841	5.0%

On a parent company only basis, the Corporation's primary source of funds is dividends paid by the Bank. The ability of the Bank to pay dividends is subject to limitations under various laws and regulations, and to prudent and sound banking principles. Generally, subject to certain minimum capital requirements, the Bank may declare dividends without the approval of the State of Ohio Division of Financial Institutions, unless the total dividends in a calendar year exceed the total of the Bank's net profits for the year combined with its retained profits of the two preceding years.

NOTE 17 - CONDENSED PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

A summary of condensed financial information of the parent company as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012 is as follows:

Condensed Balance Sheets		2012	2011
Assets:			
Cash	\$	1,804,808	\$ 1,581,629
Investment in bank subsidiary		71,736,089	67,489,762
Premises and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation		343,639	369,261
Other assets, including income taxes receivable from bank subsidiary of \$137,993 in 2012 and \$491,9 2011	937 in	645,320	691,234
2011		043,320	071,234
Total assets	\$	74,529,856	\$ 70,131,886
Liabilities:			
Accrued expenses	\$	59,651	\$ 84,172
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	Ψ	10,300,000	10,300,000
		10,300,000	10,500,000
Total liabilities		10,359,651	10,384,172
Shareholders' equity:			
Common stock		3,760,557	3,760,557
Surplus		14,661,664	14,660,579
Retained earnings		46,855,865	42,543,363
Accumulated other comprehensive income		3,697,363	3,598,031
Treasury stock, at cost		(4,805,244)	(4,814,816)
Total shareholders' equity		64,170,205	59,747,714
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	74,529,856	\$ 70,131,886
Condensed Statements of Income	2012	2011	2010
Income – including dividends from bank subsidiary	800,155	\$ 575,09	99 \$ 6,226
Expenses – interest expense, professional fees and other expenses, net of federal income tax benefit	(462,333)) (499,26	53) (419,766)
-	(402,333)	(499,20)3) (419,700)
Income (loss) before equity in undistributed net income of bank subsidiary	337,822	75,83	36 (413,540)
Equity in undistributed net income of bank subsidiaries	4,146,995	2,866,80	3,221,222
Net income \$	4,484,817	\$ 2,942,64	45 \$ 2,807,682
= 59			

NOTE 17 - CONDENSED PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows	2012	 2011	 2010
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 4,484,817	\$ 2,942,645	\$ 2,807,682
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Equity in undistributed net income of bank subsidiary	(4,146,995)	(2,866,809)	(3,221,222)
Depreciation and amortization	25,622	25,622	25,621
(Increase) decrease in other assets	45,914	1,008,778	(386,185)
Increase (decrease) in accrued expenses	(24,521)	41,822	(76,829)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	384,837	 1,152,058	(850,933)
		_	
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from sale of treasury shares	10,657	12,659	17,511
Cash dividends paid	 (172,315)	 <u>-</u>	 (1,550,098)
Net cash used in financing activities	 (161,658)	 12,659	 (1,532,587)
Net increase (decrease) in cash	223,179	1,164,717	(2,383,520)
Cash at beginning of the year	1,581,629	416,912	2,800,432
Cash at end of the year	\$ 1,804,808	\$ 1,581,629	\$ 416,912

During 2005, the Board of Directors approved a program whereby the Corporation purchases shares of its common stock in the open market. The decision to purchase shares, the number of shares to be purchased, and the price to be paid depends upon the availability of shares, prevailing market prices, and other possible considerations which may impact the advisability of purchasing shares. The Corporation did not purchase any shares in 2012, 2011, or 2010 under the program.

NOTE 18 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. A fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell the asset or transfer the liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. The price in the principal (or most advantageous) market used to measure the fair value of the asset or liability shall not be adjusted for transaction costs. An orderly transaction is a transaction that assumes exposure to the market for a period prior to the measurement date to allow for marketing activities that are usual and customary for transactions involving such assets and liabilities; it is not a forced transaction. Market participants are buyers and sellers in the principal market that are independent, knowledgeable, and both able and willing to transact.

FASB ASC 820-10, Fair Value Measurements (ASC 820-10) requires the use of valuation techniques that are consistent with the market approach, the income approach, and/or the cost approach. The market approach uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets and liabilities. The income approach uses valuation techniques to convert future amounts, such as cash flows or earnings, to a single present amount on a discounted basis. The cost approach is based on the amount that currently would be required to replace the service capacity of an asset (replacement cost). Valuation techniques should be consistently applied. Inputs to valuation techniques refer to the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Inputs may be observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from independent sources. Unobservable inputs reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. In that regard, ASC 820-10 establishes a fair value hierarchy for valuation inputs that gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

Level 1 – Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Corporation has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 – Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability for which there is little, if any, market activity at the measurement date. Unobservable inputs reflect the Corporation's own assumptions about what market participants would use to price the asset or liability. The inputs are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances, which might include the Corporation's own financial data such as internally developed pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, as well as instruments for which the fair value determination requires significant management judgment.

NOTE 18 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (CONTINUED)

The following table summarizes financial assets (there were no financial liabilities) measured at fair value as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy utilized to measure fair value:

2012	Level 1 inputs	_	Level 2 inputs					Total fair value
Recurring:								
Securities available-for-sale:								
U.S. Government and Agencies	\$	-	\$	15,554,085	\$	-	\$	15,554,085
Obligations of state and political subdivisions		-		53,918,499		-		53,918,499
Mortgage-backed		-		107,607,325		-		107,607,325
Other		-		527,856		-		527,856
Mortgage servicing rights				-		930,760		930,760
Total recurring	\$	_	\$	177,607,765	\$	930,760	\$	178,538,525
Nonrecurring:								
Impaired loans, net	\$	-	\$	-	\$	12,642,362	\$	12,642,362
Other real estate owned				-	_	1,568,000	_	1,568,000
Total nonrecurring	\$	-	\$		\$	14,210,362	\$	14,210,362
2011								
Recurring:								
Securities available-for-sale:								
U.S. Government and Agencies	\$	-	\$	7,520,670	\$	-	\$	7,520,670
Obligations of state and political subdivisions		-		49,310,795		-		49,310,795
Mortgage-backed		-		94,599,469		-		94,599,469
Other		-		525,023		-		525,023
Mortgage servicing rights			_		_	727,240	_	727,240
Total recurring	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	151,955,957	\$	727,240	\$	152,683,197
Nonrecurring:								
Impaired loans, net	\$	-	\$	-	\$	18,996,979	\$	18,996,979
Other real estate owned			_		_	2,833,500	_	2,833,500
Total nonrecurring	\$		\$		\$	21,830,479	\$	21,830,479

There were no financial instruments measured at fair value that moved to a lower level in the fair value hierarchy during 2012 and 2011 due to the lack of observable quotes in inactive markets for those instruments at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

NOTE 18 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (CONTINUED)

The table below presents a reconciliation and income statement classification of gains and losses for mortgage servicing rights, which is measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

	 2012	2011	 2010
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 727,240	\$ 1,114,126	\$ 1,273,525
Gains or losses, including realized and unrealized:			
Purchases, issuances, and settlements	444,646	168,342	315,039
Disposals – amortization based on loan payments and payoffs	(257,057)	(240,662)	(332,851)
Changes in fair value	15,931	(314,566)	(141,587)
Balance at end of year	\$ 930,760	\$ 727,240	\$ 1,114,126

A description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy, and disclosure of unobservable inputs follows.

In general, fair value is based upon quoted market prices, where available. If such quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based upon internally developed models that primarily use, as inputs, observable market-based parameters. Valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value. These adjustments may include amounts to reflect counterparty credit quality, the Corporation's creditworthiness, among other things, as well as unobservable parameters. Any such valuation adjustments are applied consistently over time. The Corporation's valuation methodologies may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. While management believes the Corporation's valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date.

Securities Available-for-Sale

Where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 securities would typically include government bonds and exchange traded equities. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, or discounted cash flows. Examples of such instruments, which would generally be classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy, include municipal bonds, mortgage-backed securities, and asset-backed securities. In certain cases where there is limited activity or less transparency around inputs to the valuation, securities may be classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. The Corporation did not have any securities classified as Level 1 or Level 3 at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

NOTE 18 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (CONTINUED)

Mortgage Servicing Rights

The Corporation records mortgage servicing rights at estimated fair value based on a discounted cash flow model which includes discount rates between 0.20% and 0.80% that are considered to be unobservable inputs. Due to the significance of the level 3 inputs, mortgage servicing rights have been classified as level 3.

Impaired Loans

The Corporation does not record impaired loans at fair value on a recurring basis. However, periodically, a loan is considered impaired and is reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral less estimated cost to sell, if repayment is expected solely from collateral. Collateral values are estimated using level 2 inputs, including recent appraisals and Level 3 inputs based on customized discounting criteria such as additional appraisal adjustments to consider deterioration of value subsequent to appraisal date and estimated cost to sell. Additional appraisal adjustments range between 10% to 70% of appraised value, and estimated selling cost ranges between 10% to 20% of the adjusted appraised value. Due to the significance of the level 3 inputs, impaired loans fair values have been classified as level 3.

Other Real Estate Owned

The Corporation values other real estate owned at the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral less appraisal adjustments between 10% and 20% of appraised value, and expected selling costs between 10% and 20% of adjusted appraised value. Such values are estimated primarily using appraisals and reflect a market value approach. Due to the significance of the Level 3 inputs, other real estate owned has been classified as Level 3.

Certain financial assets and financial liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, the instruments are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances, for example, when there is evidence of impairment. Financial assets and financial liabilities, excluding impaired loans and other real estate owned, measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis were not significant at December 31, 2012.

UNITED BANCSHARES, INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 19 - FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of recognized financial instruments at December 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	2012		2011						
		Carrying amount		Estimated value		Carrying amount]	Estimated value	Input level
			_	(dollars in tho	usan	ds)			
FINANCIAL ASSETS									
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	49,912	\$	49,912	\$	57,287	\$	57,287	1
Securities, including Federal									
Home Loan Bank stock		182,502		182,502		156,850		156,850	2
Loans held for sale		2,957		2,957		2,754		2,754	3
Net loans		297,528		297,879		329,403		330,286	3
Mortgage servicing rights		931		931		727		727	3
	\$	533,830	\$	534,181	\$	547,021	\$	547,904	
			_						

		20	12			20	11		
		arrying amount	F	Estimated value		Carrying amount]	Estimated value	Input level
	·		(dollars in tho	usands)				
FINANCIAL LIABILITIES									
Deposits									
Maturity	\$	185,650	\$	187,436	\$	242,741	\$	245,269	3
Non-maturity		285,549		285,549		237,745		237,745	1
Other borrowings		22,557		24,947		32,781		35,484	3
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures		10,300		10,367		10,300		9,220	3
	\$	504,056	\$	508,299	\$	523,567	\$	527,718	

The above summary does not include accrued interest receivable and cash surrender value of life insurance which are also considered financial instruments. The estimated fair value of such items is considered to be their carrying amounts, and would be considered level 1 inputs.

There are also unrecognized financial instruments at December 31, 2012 and 2011 which relate to commitments to extend credit and letters of credit. The contract amount of such financial instruments amounts to \$85,643,000 at December 31, 2012 and \$69,398,000 at December 31, 2011. Such amounts are also considered to be the estimated fair values.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments shown above:

Cash and cash equivalents:

Fair value is determined to be the carrying amount for these items (which include cash on hand, due from banks, and federal funds sold) because they represent cash or mature in 90 days or less and do not represent unanticipated credit concerns.

UNITED BANCSHARES, INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 19 - FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (CONTINUED)

Securities:

Where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 securities would typically include government bonds and exchange traded equities. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, or discounted cash flows. Examples of such instruments, which would generally be classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy, include municipal bonds, mortgage-backed securities, and asset-backed securities. In certain cases where there is limited activity or less transparency around inputs to the valuation, securities may be classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. The Corporation did not have any securities classified as Level 1 or Level 3 at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Loans:

Fair value for loans was estimated for portfolios of loans with similar financial characteristics. For adjustable rate loans, which re-price at least annually and generally possess low risk characteristics, the carrying amount is believed to be a reasonable estimate of fair value. For fixed rate loans the fair value is estimated based on a discounted cash flow analysis, considering weighted average rates and terms of the portfolio, adjusted for credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loans. Fair value for nonperforming loans is based on recent appraisals or estimated discounted cash flows.

Mortgage servicing rights:

The fair value for mortgage servicing rights is determined based on an analysis of the portfolio by an independent third party.

Deposit liabilities:

The fair value of core deposits, including demand deposits, savings accounts, and certain money market deposits, is the amount payable on demand. The fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit is estimated using the rates offered at year end for deposits of similar remaining maturities. The estimated fair value does not include the benefit that results from the low-cost funding provided by the deposit liabilities compared to the cost of borrowing funds in the marketplace.

Other financial instruments:

The fair value of commitments to extend credit and letters of credit is determined to be the contract amount, since these financial instruments generally represent commitments at existing rates. The fair value of other borrowings is determined based on a discounted cash flow analysis using current interest rates. The fair value of the junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures is determined based on quoted market prices of similar instruments. The fair value of other liabilities is generally considered to be carrying value except for the deferred compensation agreement described in Note 13. The fair value of the contract is determined based on a discounted cash flow analysis using a current interest rate for a similar instrument.

UNITED BANCSHARES, INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 19 - FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (CONTINUED)

The fair value estimates of financial instruments are made at a specific point in time based on relevant market information. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the entire holdings of a particular financial instrument over the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. Since no ready market exists for a significant portion of the financial instruments, fair value estimates are largely based on judgments after considering such factors as future expected credit losses, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect these estimates.

NOTE 20 - CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

In the normal course of business, the Corporation and its subsidiary may be involved in various legal actions, but in the opinion of management and legal counsel, the ultimate disposition of such matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 21 - QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

The following represents a summary of selected unaudited quarterly financial data for 2012 and 2011:

								come per mon sha	
			Net					•	
	Interest		interest		Net				
	 income	_	income	_	income		Basic		Diluted
			(Dollars in	thousa	nds, except	per sha	re data)		
2012									
First quarter	\$ 5,877	\$	4,417	\$	1,003	\$.29	\$.29
Second quarter	\$ 5,682	\$	4,422	\$	1,203	\$.35	\$.35
Third quarter	\$ 5,564	\$	4,501	\$	1,104	\$.32	\$.32
Fourth quarter	\$ 5,468	\$	4,576	\$	1,175	\$.34	\$.34
2011									
First quarter	\$ 6,983	\$	5,093	\$	766	\$.22	\$.22
Second quarter	\$ 6,854	\$	4,854	\$	987	\$.29	\$.29
Third quarter	\$ 6,451	\$	4,669	\$	248	\$.07	\$.07
Fourth quarter	\$ 6,173	\$	4,519	\$	942	\$.27	\$.27

UNITED BANCSHARES, INC.

Columbus Grove, Ohio

<u>DIRECTORS – UNITED BANCSHARES, INC.</u>

		DIRECTOR			DIRECTOR
<u>NAME</u>	<u>AGE</u>	SINCE	<u>NAME</u>	<u>AGE</u>	<u>SINCE</u>
Robert L. Benroth	50	2003	Daniel W. Schutt	65	2005
Putnam County Auditor			Retired Banker		
James N. Reynolds	75	2000	R. Steven Unverferth	60	2005
Chairman, Retired Banker			President, Unverferth Man	ufacturing Corporation, Inc.	
H. Edward Rigel	70	2000	Brian D. Young	46	2012
Farmer, Rigel Farms, Inc.			President/CEO		
David P. Roach	62	2001			
Vice-President/GM, First Family	y Broadcasting of Ohio				

<u>DIRECTORS – THE UNION BANK COMPANY</u>

		DIRECTOR			DIRECTOR				
NAME	<u>AGE</u>	SINCE (a)	<u>NAME</u>	<u>AGE</u>	SINCE (a)				
Robert L. Benroth	50	2001	David P. Roach	62	1997				
Putnam County Auditor			Vice-President/GM, Fir	Vice-President/GM, First Family Broadcasting of Ohio					
Herbert H. Huffman	62	1993	Robert M. Schulte, Sr.	80	1994				
Retired - Educator			Businessman/Spherion	Services					
Kevin L. Lammon	58	1996	Daniel W. Schutt	65	2005				
Village Administrator, Village	of Leipsic		Retired Banker						
William R. Perry	54	1990	R. Steven Unverferth	60	1993				
Farmer			President, Unverferth M	Ianufacturing Corporation,					
rarmer			Inc.						
James N. Reynolds	75	1966	Brian D. Young	46	2008				
Retired Banker			President/CEO/Chairm	an					
H. Edward Rigel	70	1979							
Farmer, Rigel Farms, Inc.									

⁽a) Indicates year first elected or appointed to the board of The Union Bank Company or any of the former affiliate banks, Bank of Leipsic or the Citizens Ban Delphos.

OFFICERS – UNITED BANCSHARES, INC.

James N. Reynolds – Chairman Heather M. Oatman – Secretary Brian D. Young – President / Chief Executive Officer

Diana L. Engelhardt – Chief Financial Officer

<u>OFFICERS – THE UNION BANK COMPANY</u>

Brian D. Young – President/CEO/Chairman						
Diana L. Engelhardt	Senior Vice President, CFO	Norman V. Schnipke	Senior Vice President			
John P. Miller	Senior Vice President	Curtis E. Shepherd	Senior Vice President			
Heather M. Oatman	Senior Vice President, Sec.					
Janice C. Acerro	Vice President	Max E. Long	Vice President			
Dan M. Best	Vice President	Brent D. Nussbaum	Vice President			
Amy E. Blankemeyer	Vice President	Ricardo Rosado	Vice President			
Michael R. Domin	Vice President	Mary L. Schroeder	Vice President			
Erin W. Hardesty	Vice President	Paul A. Walker	Vice President			
Kathi J. Amstutz	Assistant Vice President	James C. Osmon	Assistant Vice President			
Donna J. Brown	Assistant Vice President	Peter J. Rafaniello	Assistant Vice President			
Nancianne Carroll	Assistant Vice President	Amy E. Reese	Assistant Vice President			
Paul M. Cira	Assistant Vice President	Suzanne L. St. Clair	Assistant Vice President			
Amy C. Felter	Assistant Vice President	Craig R. Stechschulte	Assistant Vice President			
Deborah A. Gaines	Assistant Vice President	Theresa A. Stein-Moenter	Assistant Vice President			
Vicky K. Gilbert	Assistant Vice President	Stacia R. Thompson	Assistant Vice President			
Christina J. Hegemier	Assistant Vice President	Tracey L. Thompson	Assistant Vice President			
Susan A. Hojnacki	Assistant Vice President	Jason R. Thornell	Assistant Vice President			
Amy L. Laibe	Assistant Vice President	Kyle L. Unverferth	Assistant Vice President			
Karen M. Maag	Assistant Vice President	Kimberly S. Verhoff	Assistant Vice President			
Doris A. Neumeier	Assistant Vice President	Vikki L. Williams	Assistant Vice President			
Patricia S. Christman	Accounting Officer	Megan M. Sexton	Assistant Controller, Officer			
		69				

Employee Anniversaries



45 Years Mary Schroeder



40 Years Marjorie Rostorfer



20 Years Nanci Carroll & The resa Stein-Moenter



15 Years Marge Schroeder & Cathi Smith



10 Years (left to right): Mandy Hermiller, Christy Burnett, Denise Giesige, Sue Brinkman, Vanessa Caraballo & Jane Stirn

Not Pictured: Bethany Fricke & Linda Nichols



5 Years (left to right): Rick Rosado, Kim Wiswasser, Amy Felter & Carol Rumble

Not Pictured: Juliana Hoffman, Denise Lawrence & Christina Schroeder



(Back To Top)

Section 3: EX-21 (SUBSIDIARIES)

Exhibit 21

United Bancshares, Inc. Subsidiaries

The Union Bank Company Ohio banking corporation Columbus Grove, Ohio

United (OH) Statutory Trust I Connecticut statutory trust Columbus Grove, Ohio

UBC Investments, Inc. – a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Union Bank Company Delaware corporation
Wilmington, Delaware

UBC Property, Inc. – a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Union Bank Company Ohio corporation Columbus Grove, Ohio (Back To Top)

Section 4: EX-23 (CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM)

Exhibit 23

Consent Of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors United Bancshares, Inc.

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement (No. 333-106929) on Form S-8 of United Bancshares, Inc. of our report dated March 27, 2013, relating to the consolidated balance sheets of United Bancshares, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, which report is incorporated by reference in the December 31, 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K of United Bancshares, Inc.

/s/ CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Section 5: EX-31.1 (CERTIFICATION)

Exhibit 31.1

CERTIFICATION - CEO

In connection with the Annual Report of United Bancshares, Inc. on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Brian D. Young, President and Chief Executive Officer of United Bancshares, Inc., certify, that:

- (1) I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of United Bancshares, Inc.;
- (2) Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
- (3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
- (4) The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e), and internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f), for the registrant and we have:
- a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
- b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- (5) The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors:
- a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial information; and
- b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ BRIAN D. YOUNG

Brian D. Young
President and Chief Executive Officer
March 27, 2013
(Back To Top)

Section 6: EX-31.2 (CERTIFICATION)

Exhibit 31.2

CERTIFICATION - CFO

In connection with the Annual Report of United Bancshares, Inc. on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the Securities and

Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Diana L. Engelhardt, Chief Financial Officer of United Bancshares, Inc., certify, that:

- (1) I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of United Bancshares, Inc.;
- (2) Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
- (3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
- (4) The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e), and internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f), for the registrant and we have:
- a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
- b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- (5) The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors:
- a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial information; and
- b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Diana L. Engelhardt Diana L. Engelhardt Chief Financial Officer March 27, 2013 (Back To Top)

Section 7: EX-32.1 (CERTIFICATION)

Exhibit 32.1

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of United Bancshares, Inc. (the "Corporation") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Brian D. Young, Chief Executive Officer, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and 19
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Corporation.

/s/ BRIAN D. YOUNG

Brian D. Young Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 27, 2013

*This certification is being furnished as required by Rule 13a – 14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. This certification shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except as otherwise stated in such filing.

(Back To Top)

Section 8: EX-32.2 (CERTIFICATION)

Exhibit 32.2

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of United Bancshares, Inc. (the "Corporation") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Diana L. Engelhardt, Chief Financial Officer, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Corporation.

/s/ Diana L. Engelhardt Diana L. Engelhardt Chief Financial Officer

Date: March 27, 2013

*This certification is being furnished as required by Rule 13a – 14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. This certification shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except as otherwise stated in such filing.

(Back To Top)

Section 9: EX-99 (SAFE HARBOR UNDER THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995)

SAFE HARBOR UNDER THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "Act") provides a "safe harbor" for forward-looking statements to encourage companies to provide prospective information about their companies, so long as those statements are identified as forward-looking and are accompanied by meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in the statement. United Bancshares, Inc. ("Corporation") desires to take advantage of the "safe harbor" provisions of the Act. Certain information, particularly information regarding future economic performance and finances and plans and objectives of management, contained or incorporated by reference in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, is forward-looking. In some cases, information regarding certain important factors that could cause actual results of operations or outcomes of other events to differ materially from any such forward-looking statement appears together with such statement. In addition, forward-looking statements are subject to other risks and uncertainties affecting the financial institutions industry, including, but not limited to, the following:

Interest Rate Risk

The Corporation's operating results are dependent to a significant degree on its net interest income, which is the difference between interest income from loans, investments and other interest-earning assets and interest expense on deposits, borrowings and other interest-bearing liabilities. The interest income and interest expense of the Corporation change as the interest rates on interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities change. Interest rates may change because of general economic conditions, the policies of various regulatory authorities and other factors beyond the Corporation's control. In a rising interest rate environment, loans tend to prepay slowly and new loans at higher rates increase slowly, while interest paid on deposits increases rapidly because the terms to maturity of deposits tend to be shorter than the terms to maturity or prepayment of loans. Such differences in the adjustment of interest rates on assets and liabilities may negatively affect the Corporation's income.

Possible Inadequacy of the Allowance for Loan Losses

The Corporation maintains an allowance for loan losses based upon a number of relevant factors, including, but not limited to, trends in the level of non-performing assets and classified loans, current economic conditions in the primary lending area, past loss experience, possible losses arising from specific problem loans and changes in the composition of the loan portfolio. While the Board of Directors of the Corporation believes that it uses the best information available to determine the allowance for loan losses, unforeseen market conditions could result in material adjustments, and net earnings could be significantly adversely affected if circumstances differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the final determination.

Loans not secured by one-to-four family residential real estate are generally considered to involve greater risk of loss than loans secured by one-to four-family residential real estate due, in part, to the effects of general economic conditions. The repayment of multifamily residential, nonresidential real estate and commercial loans generally depends upon the cash flow from the operation of the property or business, which may be negatively affected by national and local economic conditions. Construction loans may also be negatively affected by such economic conditions, particularly loans made to developers who do not have a buyer for a property before the loan is made. The risk of default on consumer loans increases during periods of recession, high unemployment and other adverse economic conditions. When consumers have trouble paying their bills, they are more likely to pay mortgage loans than consumer loans. In addition, the collateral securing such loans, if any, may decrease in value more rapidly than the outstanding balance of the loan.

Competition

The Corporation competes for deposits with other savings associations, commercial banks and credit unions and issuers of commercial paper and other securities, such as shares in money market mutual funds. The primary factors in competing for deposits are interest rates and convenience of office location. In making loans, the Corporation competes with other commercial banks, savings associations, consumer finance companies, credit unions, leasing companies, mortgage companies and other lenders. Competition is affected by, among other things, the general availability of lendable funds, general and local economic conditions, current interest rate levels and other factors that are not readily predictable. The size of financial institutions competing with the Corporation is likely to increase as a result of changes in statutes and regulations eliminating various restrictions on interstate and inter-industry branching and acquisitions. Such increased competition may have an adverse effect upon the Corporation.

Legislation and Regulation that may Adversely Affect the Corporation's Earnings

The Corporation is subject to extensive regulation by the State of Ohio, Division of Financial Institutions (the "ODFI"), the Federal Reserve Bank (the "FED"), and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") and is periodically examined by such regulatory agencies to test compliance with various regulatory requirements. Such supervision and regulation of the Corporation and the bank are intended primarily for the protection of depositors and not for the maximization of shareholder value and may affect the ability of the company to engage in various business activities. The assessments, filing fees and other costs associated with reports, examinations and other regulatory matters are significant and may have an adverse effect on the Corporation's net earnings.

(Back To Top)