## Seacoast Operates <br> IN SOME OF THE WEALTHIEST MARKETS IN FLORIDA



## Financial Highlights

| (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FOR THE YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | \$64,809 | \$66,839 | \$66,212 | \$73,589 | \$77,231 |
| Provision for loan losses | 10,796 | 1,974 | 31,680 | 124,767 | 88,634 |
| Noninterest income: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 21,444 | 18,345 | 18,134 | 17,495 | 20,190 |
| Loss on sale of commercial loan | $(1,238)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Securities gains (losses) | 7,619 | 1,220 | 3,687 | 5,399 | 355 |
| Noninterest expenses | 82,548 | 77,763 | 89,556 | 130,227 | 76,839 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (710) | 6,667 | $(33,203)$ | $(158,511)$ | $(67,697)$ |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(11,825)$ | $(22,100)$ |
| Net income (loss) | (710) | 6,667 | $(33,203)$ | $(146,686)$ | $(45,597)$ |
| Per Share Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diluted | (0.05) | 0.03 | (0.48) | (4.74) | (2.41) |
| Basic | (0.05) | 0.03 | (0.48) | (4.74) | (2.41) |
| Cash dividends declared | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.34 |
| Book value per share common | 1.23 | 1.29 | 1.28 | 1.82 | 8.98 |
| Dividends to net income | 0.0 \% | \% 0.0\% | \% 0.0\% | n/m ${ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}^{1}$ |
| AT YEAR END |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets | \$2,173,929 | \$2,137,375 | \$2,016,381 | \$2,151,315 | \$2,314,436 |
| Securities | 656,868 | 668,339 | 462,001 | 410,735 | 345,901 |
| Net loans | 1,203,977 | 1,182,509 | 1,202,864 | 1,352,311 | 1,647,340 |
| Deposits | 1,758,961 | 1,718,741 | 1,637,228 | 1,779,434 | 1,810,441 |
| Shareholders' equity | 165,546 | 170,077 | 166,299 | 151,935 | 216,001 |
| Performance ratios: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Return on average assets | (0.03) \% | \% 0.32\% | \% (1.60)\% | \% (6.58)\% | \% (1.97)\% |
| Return on average equity | (0.43) | 4.03 | (19.30) | (73.79) | (22.25) |
| Net interest margin ${ }^{2}$ | 3.22 | 3.42 | 3.37 | 3.55 | 3.58 |
| Average equity to average assets | 7.81 | 8.01 | 8.27 | 8.92 | 8.87 |

[^0]
## To our Fellow Shareholders and Friends,

This year we achieved continued acceleration of growth in new households, deposits and fee income as we delivered the Seacoast value proposition - providing distinctive person-to-person interactions - to our customers. We also produced growth in loan balances for the first time since the credit crisis began in 2007. Our loan growth marks an important turning point in our recovery and is an indication of our improved credit quality, stabilizing business conditions and our successful initiatives to expand our capacity to produce new loans. Our overall growth in both business and consumer households exceeded our expectations this past year and this growth has continued into the year ahead.

Strong growth in noninterest bearing demand deposits continued increasing by 28.8 percent to $\$ 422.8$ million at year end. Overall deposit mix improved with noninterest bearing demand deposits to total deposits increasing to 24.0 percent at year end compared to 19.1 percent at the end of 2011 and 17.7 percent in 2010. Total core customer funding (total deposits and business sweep repurchase accounts excluding certificates of deposits) grew by 13.8 percent in 2012 and is up 31.4 percent compared to two years ago. Our deposit growth initiatives helped us push down our overall cost of deposits to 0.20 percent in the final quarter of 2012.

While our growth initiatives produced very good results in 2012 the environment continued to be quite challenging. By mid-year the Federal Reserve had expanded its unprecedented program of quantitative easing in response to concern over the economic outlook and we saw longer term interest rates including the 10 -year Treasury rate fall abruptly which in turn impacted the loan rates we offer. The extraordinary low rate environment persisted through year end which challenged the margins earned on new business and increased the level of repayments on higher rate loans. In addition while the economy in Florida stabilized further in 2012, real improvements in business conditions were modest at best and unevenly dispersed. On the other hand market conditions for real estate improved further during the year.

During the second quarter of the year we developed and began implementing a focused plan to improve profitability in 2013 and beyond. By year end we had completed implementation of this plan which was announced in July. The plan addressed the earnings challenge of the low interest rate environment and contained over 100 separate elements designed to achieve meaningful improvements through a balanced focus on expense reductions and revenue enhancements. Each element aligned with our core strategy and value proposition and was carefully designed to support and enhance our successful growth initiatives. We expect our total noninterest expenses will be reduced by approximately 9.6 percent in 2013.

Implementation of the plan required us to take certain charges related to branch consolidations, severance costs and other write downs which impacted our earnings results in 2012. The plan also included revenue and growth initiatives in response to gradual improvements expected in market conditions. These included making additional investments in people to increase our lending capacity for our commercial and business banking lines and expanding growth initiatives related to our mortgage business. These investments are designed to support an acceleration of our loan production in 2013. We also believe our successful retail and business deposit growth initiatives will continue to perform well in 2013. Taken together these actions will bring our expense structure back into line with better performing peers as we move through 2013 as credit costs continue to abate and our expanded revenue growth initiatives take hold.

We took advantage of an improving real estate market and reduced our level of foreclosed properties significantly during 2012. Other real estate owned at year end was reduced 43.2 percent over the prior year to $\$ 11.9$ million. Credit costs for 2012 were higher than anticipated due to the decision to liquidate our largest remaining foreclosed properties and losses related to the disposal of our largest remaining classified loan assets.

The net loss for the year totaled $\$ 710$ thousand compared with net income of $\$ 6.7$ million for 2011. The net loss available to common shareholder for the year was $\$ 0.05$ DEPS compared with net income of $\$ 0.03$ DEPS for the prior year.

Nonperforming assets remained stable at 2.43 percent of assets while restructured loans were meaningfully reduced from $\$ 71.6$ million to $\$ 41.9$ million during 2012. At year end our total classified assets had been reduced to approximately 39.9 percent of Tier 1 bank capital and reserves and our watch list (special mention) loans totaled approximately 7.3 percent of Tier 1 bank capital and reserves compared with approximately 28.6 percent in the prior year.

## BUSINESS BANKING

Business and Commercial Banking continues to be a major focus for us. We spent the latter half of the year hiring proven, experienced lenders in key markets. During 2012, Seacoast invested in the Central and South Florida markets in order to increase our exposure and loan production in these attractive areas. In our legacy markets, we continue to leverage our powerful retail franchise to identify opportunities.

Loan production increased by 37 percent the final quarter of 2012 compared to the prior year and provided tremendous momentum for 2013.
We added 11 new commercial loan officers to our lending team overall and they are spending less time on administrative activities due to the successful implementation of more streamlined credit processes. As a result, our loan production and commercial loan pipelines have grown significantly as we enter 2013.

Our core value proposition - providing distinctive person to person interactions - works very well in this space. We continue to have a competitive advantage with a very flat management structure that enables us to empower our associates to make timely, local decisions with our customers.

Our primary focus continues to be building and maintaining full banking relationships with professionals and business owners. We have also enhanced our mobile and digital offerings to further simplify their financial lives.

## PERSONAL BANKING

During 2012, the competitive landscape remained such that mega-banks continued to drive people to Seacoast in search of more personalized service. Our core value proposition - providing distinctive person to person interactions - resonated with them and it showed in our numbers. Seacoast acquired 10,559 new households, which translated into a $6 \%$ increase year over year in our total core household base.

We continued to execute and optimize onboarding initiatives with our customers, resulting in improvements in cross sell ratios, improved retention rates and higher low cost core deposit balances.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, we reinstituted training of our associates as a top priority and resumed a robust program for associates at all levels. In addition to standard product knowledge and operations training, we implemented a new sales process in our retail branches. Our associates were immersed in detailed training around becoming a trusted advisor for each and every client.

They were trained to identify triggers and financial needs in order to match the client needs with the proper products. There is ongoing coaching at multiple levels and cross sell ratios and balances were trending up markedly by the end of the year.

Finally, as technology continues to evolve, it both simplifies and complicates our customers' lives. We have a of number procedures, technical enhancements and new products under way that will enhance our customer banking experience and simplify things for them.

We extended our banking center hours and upgraded our mobile banking solutions. We also installed new "smart" ATM's that are image enabled and accept both cash and check deposits. We will continue to be our customer's trusted advisor... delivering that distinctive person to person interaction supported with the technology our customers want, where and when they choose.

## RESIDENTIAL LENDING

Both Florida and the Treasure Coast specifically enjoyed marked improvement in home values during 2012. Although delinquencies declined during the year, ongoing foreclosures, short sales and underwater properties continued to restrain the rate of improvement.

Low to mid-priced properties enjoyed solid value increases. Higher end properties did not improve at the same rate, however, while more discerning shoppers in this range continued to consider items such as the on-going cost of amenities that come with the luxury purchases. Mid-priced construction began warming up and luxury housing construction also saw a modest increase.

While home sales overall picked up significantly, mortgage activity did not increase at the same rate. In many cases, investors are viewing real estate as an investment alternative due to low return rates available. Many purchasers are buying investment properties and high-end residential properties for cash.

Seacoast continues to be the largest residential purchase money lender on the Treasure Coast. Our market share increased to $10.85 \%$ by year end 2012.
(Source - CoreLogic)

## WEALTH MANAGEMENT

Seacoast Wealth Management provides trust, investment, brokerage, and banking services. Our targeted focus is on clients with $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 3$ million in investable assets. This makes us unique in the market as many of our competitors provide these services at much higher thresholds.

2012 was a transition year for the Wealth Division as additional resources and infrastructure were applied to help augment performance and growth. A sales manager for the brokerage arm of Wealth was recruited during third quarter and additional management resources were applied in fourth quarter to build out the bank's Wealth Strategy and begin a targeted effort to deepen existing relationships within the bank's footprint through Private Banking.

The Private Bank at Seacoast will allow us to quickly leverage opportunities within our existing portfolio and targeted Wealth Segments in key markets through a variety of tactics that are aligned with Seacoast's highly successful Retail Strategy.

## LOOKING FORWARD

While the overall economy in Florida continued to stabilize during 2012, improvements in business conditions were modest throughout the year and the operating environment became more difficult as a result of very low interest rates. Despite these challenges we produced overall balance sheet growth for the first time since the crisis period and our strong customer franchise grew stronger still as our market share improved and revenues increased.

The actions we took in the second half of the year to reduce expenses and further improve our revenue growth have positioned us for stronger earnings in 2013. The elimination of the few remaining larger problem assets in 2012 reduced the likelihood of expense volatility in the year ahead. We will continue to execute our strategic plan with hundreds of unique tactics that prove Seacoast's value proposition creating distinctive person-to-person interactions with our customers to create sustainable growth in value for shareholders.

In November, Jean Strickland resigned as Senior Executive Vice President of Seacoast and President and Chief Operating Officer of the Bank. Jean served the Bank and Seacoast with distinction in a variety of important roles during her 22 year tenure and we all wish her the very best in her next endeavor.

We also were pleased to appoint Roger O. Goldman as Lead Director of Seacoast in November. Roger replaces Thomas A. Rossin who served as Seacoast's lead director since December 2006 and will continue to serve as a member of our Board of Directors. Tom provided exemplary service as our Lead Director over the past six years and we are
grateful to continue to benefit from his counsel on the Board. Roger Goldman who joined the board in early 2012 brings a wealth of knowledge and an extensive background together with a strong belief in community banking. I look forward to working closely with Roger as our Lead Director to build greater success for shareholders in the coming years.

As we look forward to the year ahead, I want to thank the 507 associates who work together every day at Seacoast. Your commitment to each other and to the communities we all serve is an inspiration to me and I am very proud to be part of your team.

Sincerely,
Odith
Dennis S. Hudson, III
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer
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## Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The purpose of this discussion and analysis is to aid in understanding significant changes in the financial condition of Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida and its subsidiaries (the "Company") and their results of operations during 2012, 2011 and 2010. Nearly all of the Company's operations are contained in its banking subsidiary, Seacoast National Bank ("Seacoast National" or the "Bank"). This discussion and analysis is intended to highlight and supplement information presented elsewhere in the annual report on Form 10-K, particularly the consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing in Item 8. For purposes of the following discussion, the words the "Company," "we," "us," and "our" refer to the combined entities of Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida and its direct and indirect wholly owned subsidiaries.

## Overview

Recent years have been difficult for the U.S. economy and for the financial services industry. The Company's earnings have been negatively impacted by higher credit costs, primarily the result of loan portfolio pressure stemming from ongoing deterioration in real estate values, as well as increased unemployment and other factors. Located in Florida, our markets experienced property value declines, which began in late 2007 and continued through 2011, that are beginning to stabilize in 2012. While the Company did not have material exposure to many of the issues that originally plagued the industry (e.g., sub-prime loans, structured investment vehicles and collateralized debt obligations), the Company's exposure to construction and land development and the residential housing sector pressured its loan portfolio, resulting in increased credit costs and foreclosed asset expenses. As the economic downturn continued, consumer confidence and weak economic conditions began to impact areas of the economy outside of the housing sector and restrained new loan demand from credit worthy borrowers. Throughout this difficult operating environment, the Company has been proactively positioning its business for growth by aggressively focusing on improving credit quality, de-risking the overall loan portfolio, disposing of problem assets, increasing loan production and growing core deposits.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we made good progress pursuing our strategic plan, even though there were significant headwinds from the operating and interest rate environment. We believe our targeted plan to grow our customer and commercial franchise is the best way to build shareholder value going forward. Net interest income decreased during 2012, principally due to the Federal Reserve's quantitative easing programs negatively impacting net interest margin, but our ability to increase loan production and core deposits during 2012 was a significant accomplishment and partially offset the lower spreads earned. Noninterest income also increased in 2012, as a result of growth in key activities such as mortgage banking gains, and fees earned from increased households and business deposit relationships and from wealth management services. These successes were a direct result of implementing the strategic plan adopted by our board of directors three years ago and refined further during the past couple years. In 2011 and 2012, improved tactical execution and our improved condition supported better growth for both consumer household and commercial relationships.

The Company's risk profile is expected to continue to improve, by maintaining capital and appropriate reserves, and limiting higher credit risk loans in its portfolio. The same disciplined approach utilized to bring down credit risk supports the execution of our plan for improved earnings in 2013. During the last two quarters of 2012, management began implementing a combination of actions, including additional office consolidations, revenue enhancements, further acceleration of growth initiatives and a variety of cost-saving opportunities. A decision to accelerate problem loan liquidation activities during the last half of 2012 was part of this larger review initiated to support earnings growth in 2013. We took this action in part to take advantage of improving market conditions. Adjustments to expense associated with branch consolidations, severance and organizational changes to restore higher levels of profitability totaled $\$ 1,562,000$ and impacted the Company's reported net loss of $\$ 710,000$ for 2012, which compared to net income of $\$ 6,667,000$ for 2011 and net loss of $\$ 33,203,000$ for 2010. Net loss available to common shareholders (after preferred dividends and accretion of preferred stock discount) for 2012 totaled $\$ 4.5$ million or $\$ 0.05$ per average common diluted share, compared to 2011's net income of $\$ 2.9$ million or $\$ 0.03$ per average common diluted share, and a net loss available to common shareholders of $\$ 37.0$ million or $\$ 0.48$ per average common diluted share for 2010.

Implementation of our plan to reduce core operating expenses by approximately $\$ 4.9$ million annually is currently on target for 2013. Approximately $\$ 3.3$ million of the annual reduction has been implemented and will fully impact the first quarter of 2013 . An additional $\$ 1.6$ million in reduced annual core operating costs are expected to be implemented in the first and second quarters of 2013. In addition, we project noncore credit related expenses, primarily losses on other real estate owned ("OREO") and asset disposition expense, will be reduced by approximately $\$ 2.8$ million in 2013 , and we also expect the provision for loan losses will be lower for 2013. Partially offsetting, revenue and growth initiatives in response to improving market conditions include making additional investments in people to increase our lending capacity in our commercial and business banking lines and expanding growth initiatives related to our mortgage business. The opening of five new loan production offices in the Orlando and Palm Beach markets is planned for early 2013 and expected to support an acceleration of our loan production in 2013, and will be offset by reductions to expense from consolidating four existing full service banking offices, with those offices closing in December 2012 and January 2013 (see our Form 10-K for December 31, 2012, "Part I, Item 2 - Properties" for more detail). Our successful retail and business deposit growth initiatives have also been expanded to drive further increases in households served, margins and fees for 2013.

The Company's capital is expected to continue to increase with positive earnings. The board and management continue to review the Company's potential capital management options and currently believe that the Company's overall level of capital is sufficient given the current economic environment. As earnings continue to increase and asset quality improves, we believe that more financing options will emerge for the Company when dividends can be prudently paid to the Company by the Bank. The Company has no immediate plans to repay its Series A Preferred stock of $\$ 50$ million that was sold at auction by the U.S. Treasury to investors on April 3, 2012, ending the Company's participation in the Treasury's Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP") Capital Purchase Program ("CPP"). At this time, we continue to view this capital as an important component of our capital structure.

## Our Business

The Company is a single-bank holding company with operations on Florida's southeast coast (ranging from Palm Beach County in the south to Brevard County in the north) as well as Florida's interior around Lake Okeechobee and up through Orlando. The Company has 36 full service offices at December 31, 2012, compared to 39 offices at December 31, 2011, with two full service offices closed and consolidated with other locations during January 2013. Five new loan production offices supporting personnel are expected to open during the first and second quarter of 2013. The Company, through Seacoast National, provides a broad range of community banking services to commercial, small business and retail customers, offering a variety of transaction and savings deposit products, treasury management services, investment brokerage services, secured and unsecured loan products, including revolving credit facilities, letters of credit and similar financial guarantees. Seacoast National also provides trust and investment management services to retirement plans, corporations and individuals.

While the recession has adversely affected our markets, we expect these markets will prove resilient because these areas are attractive markets in which to live. Prospectively, the Company may consider strategic acquisitions as part of the Company's overall future growth plans in complementary and attractive markets within the State of Florida.

## Strategic Review

The Company operates both a full retail banking strategy in its core markets, which are some of Florida's wealthiest, as well as a complete commercial banking strategy. The Company's core markets are comprised of Martin, St. Lucie and Indian River counties located on Florida's southeast coast and Okeechobee County which is contiguous to these coastal counties. Our core markets contain 24 of our 36 retail full service locations, including four private banking centers. Because of the branch coverage in these markets, the Company has a significant presence providing convenience to customers, and resulting in a larger deposit market share. The Company's deposit mix is favorable with 77 percent of average deposit balances comprised of NOW, savings, money market and noninterest bearing transaction customer accounts. The cost of deposits averaged 0.32 percent for 2012 (compared to 0.65 percent for 2011 and 0.90 percent for 2010), which the Company believes ranks among the lowest when compared to other banks operating in the Company's market.

The Company has improved its acquisition, retention and mix of deposits and has benefited from lower rates paid for interest bearing liabilities due to the Federal Reserve's reduction in interest rates. This has resulted in lower funding costs and improved profitability. As part of the Company's complete retail product and service offerings, customers are provided wealth management services through our trust wealth management division and brokerage services through our co-source relationship with Invest Financial.

The Company's net interest margin decreased 20 basis points to 3.22 percent during 2012 from 2011, after increasing from 3.37 percent in 2010 to 3.42 percent in 2011. In 2012, a portion of the securities portfolio was sold to reduce interest rate and price risk, and this reduced interest income from investment securities compared to prior years. In addition, net interest income was lower as a result of higher cash liquidity, and lower loan and investment security yields partially offset by improved deposit mix and loan growth. Both commercial and residential loan production improved as 2012 progressed. In 2012, the Company had commercial/commercial real estate loan production of $\$ 111$ million, compared to more limited production of $\$ 63$ million and $\$ 10$ million, respectively, for 2011 and 2010. The Company closed $\$ 250$ million in residential loans during 2012, an improvement over 2011's result of $\$ 191$ million, as well as 2010's result of $\$ 152$ million. Stabilizing home values and lower interest rates have improved the Company's residential loan production in each of the past three years. Improved loan production is expected to continue, and will be accomplished by increasing market share through a greater presence in the Orlando and Palm Beach markets, and as growth returns and Florida's economy improves.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, our CRE loans were $\$ 508.6$ million and $\$ 530.9$ million, respectively, down 4.2 percent and 10.2 percent from the respective prior years in accordance with management's plans to reduce concentrations. Under regulatory guidelines for commercial real estate concentrations, Seacoast National's total commercial real estate loans outstanding at December 31, 2012 (as defined in the guideline) represented 164 percent of risk-based capital, which is below the regulatory threshold. Our construction and land development loans were $\$ 60.7$ million at December 31, 2012, up $\$ 11.5$ million from $\$ 49.2$ million at December 31, 2011, which was down $\$ 30.1$ million from $\$ 79.3$ million at December 31, 2010. The size of our average commercial construction and land development loan at December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was $\$ 496,000, \$ 418,000$ and $\$ 735,000$, respectively.

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve") has made a historic effort over the past five years to rejuvenate the economy and limit the effect of the recession by lowering interest rates to 0 to 25 basis points and expanding various liquidity programs. Recently, the Federal Reserve reaffirmed its forecast for a moderate economic recovery through 2014 and into 2015. As a result of the slow economic recovery, the Federal Reserve has reaffirmed that it will maintain key interest rates at record lows for an extended period of time. Our net interest margin for the fourth quarter 2012 was successfully managed to 3.22 percent, up 5 basis points compared to third quarter 2012. Prospectively, our focus will be on continuing to improve our deposit mix and adding to our loan balances to offset compressed interest rate spreads expected to continue over the next year.

Our local economy in Florida appears to be in recovery. The residential real estate market is becoming stronger as pricing continues to firm and sales volumes continue to increase. Many seasonal businesses are now reporting improving trends, and while the unemployment rate remains high it has been improving. We are hopeful that this economic cycle's negative impacts are diminishing, and the Congress and President of the United States will collaborate to avert any further dampening to the economy prospectively. The recession and banking crisis significantly impacted community banks in Florida and our primary competition now are the mega-banks, and there are fewer of them to compete with today. Many of these large institutions are struggling with higher capital requirements and new restrictions and regulations that are requiring difficult choices regarding the business models that they operated under for years. We believe we have entered a period of opportunity to achieve meaningful market share gains from our mega-bank competition.

## Loan Growth and Lending Policies

In recent years, as the economic environment in Florida weakened, the Company increased its focus and monitoring of its exposure to residential land, acquisition and development loans. These activities resulted in greater loan pay-downs, guarantor performance, and the obtaining of additional collateral. The Company also utilized loan sales to better control the level of these assets and other commercial real estate loans, with $\$ 9$ million in loan sales during 2012, and \$28 million in loan sales during each year for 2011 and 2010. Overall, the Company reduced its exposure to residential land, acquisition and development loans from its peak of $\$ 352$ million or 20.2 percent of total loans in early 2007 to $\$ 12$ million or 1.0 percent at December 31, 2012.

For 2012, balances in the loan portfolio increased 1.5 percent, compared with declines of 2.6 percent and 11.2 percent, respectively, for 2011 and 2010, reflecting an improvement from the recessionary climate, significantly lower loan demand and loan sales for prior years. During 2011, negative loan growth slowed in the first and second quarter and loans increased 1.6 percent in the third quarter and remained level in the fourth quarter, as increased production occurred in residential and commercial lending compared to prior quarters. For 2012, loan growth accelerated and we expect the loan growth trend will build momentum in 2013, particularly if the local economy continues to improve and as we open our new loan production offices. The Company expects loan growth opportunities for all types of lending, including commercial lending to targeted customer segments, and 1-4 family agency conforming residential mortgages. During the past year, we continued to expand our business banking teams, with 11 new commercial loan officers hired, including six in the second half of 2012. Achieving revenue producing growth objectives prospectively, together with continued reductions in credit costs and reduced problem loan credit expenses, provides us with a potential to make further, meaningful improvements in our earnings in 2013.

## Deposit Growth, Mix and Costs

The Company's focus on high quality customer service and convenient branch locations supports its strategy to provide stable, low cost deposit funding growth over the long term. Over the past five years, the Company has strengthened its retail deposit franchise using new strategies and product offerings, while maintaining its focus on building customer relationships. As reported throughout 2012 and 2011, the Company has experienced strong growth in core deposit relationships. For 2012, household acquisitions included 6,585 new personal checking relationships, an increase of 9.4 percent from 2011. Likewise, new commercial business checking deposit relationships increased by 21.9 percent, year over year. Along with the new relationships, our programs have improved our market share, increased average services per household, and decreased customer attrition.

Our growth in core deposits has also helped us limit further degradation to our net interest margin throughout the last two years. During 2012 and 2011, average low cost NOW, savings and money market deposits and no cost demand deposits increased 12.7 percent and 3.0 percent on an aggregate basis, respectively, year over year. Declines in CDs continued in 2012 and 2011, but growth in core deposit relationships more than offset such declines. Certificates of deposit (CDs) declined $\$ 150.1$ million and $\$ 67.0$ million during 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company believes that its overall deposit mix remains favorable and its average cost of deposits, including noninterest bearing demand deposits, remains low. The average cost of deposits for the Company continued to trend lower in 2012. In 2012, the cost of deposits was 0.32 percent, decreasing 33 basis points from 0.65 percent for the prior year, which was a 25 basis point decrease from 0.90 percent in 2010. During 2012 and 2011, noninterest bearing demand deposits increased 28.8 percent and 13.4 percent, respectively.

During 2012, total deposits increased $\$ 40$ million or 2.3 percent and sweep repurchase agreements increased $\$ 1$ million or 0.4 percent, versus 2011. In comparison, total deposits increased $\$ 82$ million or 5.0 percent and sweep repurchase agreements increased $\$ 38$ million or 38.7 percent during 2011, compared to 2010. Most of the increase in sweep repurchase agreements during 2011 was in public funds, principally from higher tax collector receipts.

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, ("GAAP"), including prevailing practices within the financial services industry. The
preparation of consolidated financial statements requires management to make judgments in the application of certain of its accounting policies that involve significant estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions, which may materially affect the reported amounts of certain assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements, and changes in this information over time and the use of revised estimates and assumptions could materially affect amounts reported in subsequent financial statements. Management, after consultation with the Company's Audit Committee, believes the most critical accounting estimates and assumptions that involve the most difficult, subjective and complex assessments are:

- the allowance and the provision for loan losses;
- fair value measurements;
- other than temporary impairment of securities;
- realization of deferred tax assets; and
- contingent liabilities.

The following is a discussion of the critical accounting policies intended to facilitate a reader's understanding of the judgments, estimates and assumptions underlying these accounting policies and the possible or likely events or uncertainties known to us that could have a material effect on our reported financial information. For more information regarding management's judgments relating to significant accounting policies and recent accounting pronouncements (see "Note A-Significant Accounting Policies" to the Company's consolidated financial statements).

## Allowance and Provision for Loan Losses

Management determines the provision for loan losses charged to operations by continually analyzing and monitoring delinquencies, nonperforming loans and the level of outstanding balances for each loan category, as well as the amount of net charge-offs, and by estimating losses inherent in its portfolio. While the Company's policies and procedures used to estimate the provision for loan losses charged to operations are considered adequate by management, factors beyond the control of the Company, such as general economic conditions, both locally and nationally, make management's judgment as to the adequacy of the provision and allowance for loan losses necessarily approximate and imprecise (see "Nonperforming Assets").

The provision for loan losses is the result of a detailed analysis estimating an appropriate and adequate allowance for loan losses. The analysis includes the evaluation of impaired loans as prescribed under FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 310, Receivables as well as, an analysis of homogeneous loan pools not individually evaluated as prescribed under ASC 450, Contingencies. For 2012 we recorded a higher provision for loan losses of $\$ 10.8$ million, which compared to provisioning for 2011 of $\$ 2.0$ million. Net charge-offs for 2012 of $\$ 14.3$ million compared to net charge-offs of $\$ 14.2$ million for 2011, and were 1.16 percent of average total loans for both years, respectively. Delinquency trends remain low and show continued stability (see "Nonperforming Assets").

Table 12 provides certain information concerning the Company's allowance and provisioning for loan losses for the years indicated.

Management continuously monitors the quality of the loan portfolio and maintains an allowance for loan losses it believes sufficient to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses ("ALLL") framework has two basic elements: specific allowances for loans individually evaluated for impairment, and a formula-based component for pools of homogeneous loans within the portfolio that have similar risk characteristics, which are not individually evaluated.

The first element of the ALLL analysis involves the estimation of allowance specific to individually evaluated impaired loans, including accruing and nonaccruing restructured commercial and consumer loans. In this process, a specific allowance is established for impaired loans based on an analysis of the most probable sources of repayment, including discounted cash flows, liquidation of collateral, or the market value of the loan itself. It is the Company's policy to charge off any portion of the loan deemed a loss. Restructured
consumer loans are also evaluated in this element of the estimate. As of December 31, 2012, the specific allowance related to impaired loans individually evaluated totaled $\$ 7.3$ million, compared to $\$ 7.0$ million as of December 31, 2011.

The second element of the ALLL analysis, the general allowance for homogeneous loan pools not individually evaluated, is determined by applying allowance factors to pools of loans within the portfolio that have similar risk characteristics. The general allowance factors are determined using a baseline factor that is developed from an analysis of historical net charge-off experience and qualitative factors designed and intended to measure expected losses. These baseline factors are developed and applied to the various loan pools. Adjustments may be made to baseline reserves for some of the loan pools based on an assessment of internal and external influences on credit quality not fully reflected in the historical loss. These influences may include elements such as changes in concentration risk, macroeconomic conditions, and/or recent observable asset quality trends.

In addition, our analyses of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses also takes into account qualitative factors such as credit quality, loan concentrations, internal controls, audit results, staff turnover, local market conditions and loan growth.

The Company's independent Credit Administration Department assigns all loss factors to the individual internal risk ratings based on an estimate of the risk using a variety of tools and information. Its estimate includes consideration of the level of unemployment which is incorporated into the overall allowance. In addition, the portfolio is segregated into a graded loan portfolio, residential, installment, home equity, and unsecured signature lines, and loss factors are calculated for each portfolio.

The loss factors assigned to the graded loan portfolio are based on the historical migration of actual losses by grade over $4,8,12,16,20$ and 24 quarter intervals. Minimum and maximum average historical loss rates over one to five years are referenced in setting the loss factors by grade within the graded portfolio. Management uses historical loss factors as its starting point, and qualitative elements are considered to capture trends within each portion of the graded portfolio. The direction and expectations of past dues, charge-offs, nonaccruals, classified loans, portfolio mix, market conditions, and risk management controls are considered in setting loss factors for the graded portfolio. The loan loss migration indicates that the minimum and maximum average loss rates and median loss rates over the past many quarters have been declining. Also, the level of criticized and classified loans has been declining as a result of a combination of upgrades, loan payoff and loan sales, which are reducing the risk profile of the loan portfolio. Additionally, the risk profile has declined given the shift in complexion of the graded portfolio, particularly a reduced level of commercial real estate loan concentrations.

Residential and consumer (installment, secured lines, and unsecured lines) are analyzed differently as risk ratings, or grades, are not assigned to individual loans. Residential and consumer loan losses are tracked by pool and monthly histories are averaged for a rolling 12-month historical loss rate. Management believes the recent 12 -month loss history is most appropriate as it best represents the vintages of loans currently in the portfolio and not the vintages that produced the significant losses in prior years. These loss factors are then adjusted by qualitative factors determined by management to reflect potential probable losses inherent in each loan pool. Qualitative factors may include various loan or property types, loan to value, concentrations and economic and environmental factors.

In general, residential real estate loans originated from 2005 through 2007 had property value declines of approximately 50 percent from their original appraised values, more than the decline on loans originated in other years. Declining residential collateral value affected our actual loan losses over the three years ended December 31, 2011, but values stabilized during 2012. Residential loans that become 90 days past due are placed on nonaccrual and a specific allowance is made for any loan that becomes 120 days past due. Residential loans are subsequently written down if they become 180 days past due and such write-downs are supported by a current appraisal, consistent with current banking regulations.

Our charge-off policy meets or exceeds regulatory minimums. Losses on unsecured consumer loans are recognized at 90 days past due compared to the regulatory loss criteria of 120 days. Secured consumer loans, including residential real estate, are typically charged-off or charged down between 120 and 180 days past
due, depending on the collateral type, in compliance with Federal Financial Institution Examination Council guidelines. Commercial loans and real estate loans are typically placed on nonaccrual status when principal or interest is past due for 90 days or more, unless the loan is both secured by collateral having realizable value sufficient to discharge the debt in-full and the loan is in the legal process of collection. Secured loans may be charged-down to the estimated value of the collateral with previously accrued unpaid interest reversed. Subsequent charge-offs may be required as a result of changes in the market value of collateral or other repayment prospects. Initial charge-off amounts are based on valuation estimates derived from appraisals, broker price opinions, or other market information. Generally, new appraisals are not received until the foreclosure process is completed; however, collateral values are evaluated periodically based on market information and incremental charge-offs are recorded if it is determined that collateral values have declined from their initial estimates.

Management continually evaluates the allowance for loan losses methodology seeking to refine and enhance this process as appropriate, and it is likely that the methodology will continue to evolve over time.

Our Loan Review unit is independent, and performs loan reviews and evaluates a representative sample of credit extensions after the fact for appropriate individual internal risk ratings. Loan Review has the authority to change internal risk ratings and is responsible for assessing the adequacy of credit underwriting. This unit reports directly to the Directors' Loan Committee of Seacoast National's board of directors.

Table 13 summarizes the Company's allocation of the allowance for loan losses to real estate loans, commercial and financial loans, and installment loans to individuals, and information regarding the composition of the loan portfolio at the dates indicated.

Net charge-offs for the year ended December 31, 2012 totaled $\$ 14,257,000$, compared to net charges-offs of $\$ 14,153,000$ for the year ended December 31, 2011 (See "Table 12 - Summary of Loan Loss Experience" for detail on net charge-offs for the last five years). Note F to the financial statements (titled "Impaired Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses") summarizes the Company's allocation of the allowance for loan losses to construction and land development loans, commercial and residential estate loans, commercial and financial loans, and consumer loans, and provides more specific detail regarding charge-offs and recoveries for each loan component and the composition of the loan portfolio at December 31, 2012 and 2011. Although there is no assurance that we will not have elevated charge-offs in the future, we believe that we have significantly reduced the risks in our loan portfolio and that with stabilizing market conditions, future charge-offs should decline.

The allowance as a percentage of loans outstanding was 1.80 percent at December 31, 2012, compared to 2.12 percent at December 31, 2011. The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of an amount adequate in relation to the risk of losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The reduced level of impaired loans and lower classified loans (special mention and substandard grades) contributed to a lower risk of loss and the lower allowance for loan losses as of December 31, 2012. The risk profile of the loan portfolio has been reduced by implementing a program to reduce the level of credit risk in the portfolio by strengthening credit management methodologies and implementing a low risk "back-to-basics" strategic plan for loan growth. New loan production has shifted to adjustable rate residential real estate loans, owner-occupied commercial real estate, small business loans for professionals and businesses, and consumer lending. Strategies, processes and controls are in place to ensure that new production is well underwritten and maintains a focus on smaller, diversified and lower-risk lending. Aided by initiatives embodied in new loan programs and continued aggressive collection actions, the portfolio mix has changed dramatically and has become more diversified. The improved mix is most evident by reductions in income producing commercial real estate and construction and land development loans over the last several years. Prospectively, we anticipate that the allowance will continue to decline as a percentage of loans outstanding as we continue to see improvement in our credit quality, with some offset to this perspective for more normal loan growth as business activity and the economy improve.

Concentrations of credit risk, discussed under the caption "Loan Portfolio" of this discussion and analysis, can affect the level of the allowance and may involve loans to one borrower, an affiliated group of borrowers, borrowers engaged in or dependent upon the same industry, or a group of borrowers whose loans are predicated on the same type of collateral. The Company's most significant concentration of credit is a
portfolio of loans secured by real estate. At December 31, 2012, the Company had $\$ 1.117$ billion in loans secured by real estate, representing 91.1 percent of total loans, up slightly from $\$ 1.104$ billion but lower as a percent of total loans (versus 91.4 percent) at December 31, 2011. In addition, the Company is subject to a geographic concentration of credit because it only operates in central and southeastern Florida.

While it is the Company's policy to charge off in the current period loans in which a loss is considered probable, there are additional risks of future losses that cannot be quantified precisely or attributed to particular loans or classes of loans. Because these risks include the state of the economy, borrower payment behaviors and local market conditions as well as conditions affecting individual borrowers, management's judgment of the allowance is necessarily approximate and imprecise. The allowance is also subject to regulatory examinations and determinations as to adequacy, which may take into account such factors as the methodology used to calculate the allowance for loan losses and the size of the allowance for loan losses in comparison to a group of peer companies identified by the regulatory agencies.

In assessing the adequacy of the allowance, management relies predominantly on its ongoing review of the loan portfolio, which is undertaken both to ascertain whether there are probable losses that must be charged off and to assess the risk characteristics of the portfolio in aggregate. This review considers the judgments of management, and also those of bank regulatory agencies that review the loan portfolio as part of their regular examination process. Our bank regulators have generally agreed with our credit assessment however the regulators could seek additional provisions to our allowance for loan losses, which will reduce our earnings.

## Nonperforming Assets

Table 14 provides certain information concerning nonperforming assets for the years indicated.
Nonperforming assets ("NPAs") at December 31, 2012 totaled $\$ 52,842,000$ and were comprised of $\$ 40,955,000$ of nonaccrual loans and $\$ 11,887,000$ of other real estate owned ("OREO"), compared to $\$ 49,472,000$ at December 31, 2011 (comprised of $\$ 28,526,000$ in nonaccrual loans and $\$ 20,946,000$ of OREO). At December 31, 2012, approximately 99.3 percent of nonaccrual loans were secured with real estate, the remainder principally by marine vessels. See the tables below for details about nonaccrual loans. At December 31, 2012, nonaccrual loans have been written down by approximately $\$ 12.3$ million or 26.0 percent of the original loan balance (including specific impairment reserves).

As anticipated, the Company closed a number of OREO sales during 2012 that reduced OREO outstanding. Compared to December 31, 2011, OREO was $\$ 9.1$ million or 43.2 percent lower at December 31, 2012. This represents the lowest level of OREO since 2008.

During the second, third and fourth quarters of 2012, 184 loans were moved to nonaccrual with an average balance of $\$ 210,000$, and 98.8 percent of the loans collateralized by real estate. Based on lower classified assets and impaired loan balances as of December 31, 2012, management believes that future inflows to nonperforming loans will be reduced.

During the first quarter of 2012 the Company had a $\$ 14.4$ million performing troubled debt restructure ("TDR") commercial real estate loan participation migrate to nonaccrual. During the third quarter of 2012, this loan's fair value based on market bids at $\$ 10.3$ million was moved to loans available for sale. This loan was sold shortly after year end for a net loss of $\$ 1,238,000$ that is reflected separately on our consolidated income statement at December 31, 2012 as a change in fair value of loan held for sale.

The table below shows the nonperforming inflows by quarter for 2012, 2011 and 2010:

| New Nonperforming | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First quarter | \$20,207 | \$11,349 | \$11,895 |
| Second quarter | 17,291 | 19,874 | 22,560 |
| Third quarter | 14,521 | 4,137 | 8,151 |
| Fourth quarter | 6,891 | 4,349 | 9,990 |

The Company pursues loan restructurings in selected cases where it expects to realize better values than may be expected through traditional collection activities. The Company has worked with retail mortgage customers, when possible, to achieve lower payment structures in an effort to avoid foreclosure. TDRs are part of the Company's loss mitigation activities and can include rate reductions, payment extensions and principal deferrals. Company policy requires TDRs that are classified as nonaccrual loans after restructuring remain on nonaccrual until performance can be verified, which usually requires six months of performance under the restructured loan terms. We are optimistic that some of these credits will rehabilitate and be upgraded versus migrating to nonperforming or OREO prospectively. Accruing restructured loans totaled $\$ 41.9$ million at December 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 71.6$ million at December 31, 2011. The tables below set forth details related to nonaccrual and restructured loans.

| December 31, 2012 (In thousands) | Non-Current | Nonaccrual <br> Loans <br> Performing | Total | Accruing Restructured Loans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction \& land development |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | \$ 660 | \$ 398 | \$ 1,058 | \$ 2,103 |
| Commercial | 28 | 0 | 28 | 0 |
| Individuals | 46 | 210 | 256 | 580 |
|  | 734 | 608 | 1,342 | 2,683 |
| Residential real estate mortgages | 7,798 | 14,301 | 22,099 | 17,619 |
| Commercial real estate mortgages | 1,485 | 15,749 | 17,234 | 21,254 |
| Real estate loans | 10,017 | 30,658 | 40,675 | 41,556 |
| Commercial and financial | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Consumer | 75 | 205 | 280 | 390 |
|  | \$10,092 | \$30,863 | \$40,955 | \$41,946 |

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, total TDRs (performing and nonperforming) were comprised of the following loans by type of modification:

| (Dollars in thousands) | 2012 |  | 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |
| Rate reduction | 124 | \$25,895 | 96 | \$23,763 |
| Maturity extended with change in terms | 87 | 22,677 | 109 | 43,697 |
| Forgiveness of principal | 1 | 2,103 | 2 | 2,339 |
| Payment structure changed to allow for interest only payments | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1,845 |
| Chapter 7 bankruptcies | 58 | 3,007 | * | * |
| Not elsewhere classified | 11 | 10,416 | 17 | 12,751 |
|  | $\underline{\underline{281}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 64,098}}$ | $\underline{\underline{228}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 84,395}}$ |

* Not disclosed for 2011. Based on new guidance issued by the OCC and applied in the fourth quarter 2012.

During 2012, newly identified TDRs totaled $\$ 18.0$ million, compared to $\$ 31.2$ million for 2011. Loan modifications are not reported in calendar years after modification if the loans were modified at an interest rate equal to the yields of new loan originations with comparable risk and the loans are performing based on the terms of the restructuring agreements. Accruing loans that were restructured within the twelve months
preceding December 31, 2012 and defaulted during the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 summed to $\$ 913,000$, compared to $\$ 265,000$ for 2011 . A restructured loan is considered in default when it becomes 60 days or more past due under the modified terms, has been transferred to nonaccrual status, or has been transferred to other real estate owned.

At December 31, 2012, loans totaling \$82,901,000 were considered impaired (comprised of total nonaccrual and TDRs) and $\$ 7,269,000$ of the allowance for loan losses was allocated for potential losses on these loans, compared to $\$ 100,137,000$ and $\$ 6,979,000$, respectively, at December 31, 2011.

Any loan that is partially charged-off remains in nonperforming status until it is paid off regardless of current valuation of the loan.

In accordance with regulatory reporting requirements, loans are placed on non-accrual following the Retail Classification of Loan interagency guidance. Typically loans 90 days or more past due are reviewed for impairment, and if deemed impaired, are placed on non-accrual. Once impaired, the current fair market value of the collateral is assessed and a specific reserve and/or charge-off taken. Quarterly thereafter, the loan carrying value is analyzed and any changes are appropriately made as described above.

## Fair Value Measurements

All impaired loans are reviewed quarterly to determine if fair value adjustments are necessary based on known changes in the market and/or the project assumptions. When necessary, the "As Is" appraised value may be adjusted based on more recent appraisal assumptions received by the Company on other similar properties, the tax assessed market value, comparative sales and/or an internal valuation. If an updated assessment is deemed necessary and an internal valuation cannot be made, an external "As Is" appraisal will be obtained. If the "As Is" appraisal does not appropriately reflect the current fair market value, in the Company's opinion, a specific reserve is established and/or the loan is written down to the current fair market value.

Collateral dependent impaired loans are loans that are solely dependent on the liquidation of the collateral for repayment. All OREO and repossessed assets ("REPO") are reviewed quarterly to determine if fair value adjustments are necessary based on known changes in the market and/or project assumptions. When necessary, the "As Is" appraisal is adjusted based on more recent appraisal assumptions received by the Company on other similar properties, the tax assessment market value, comparative sales and/or an internal valuation is performed. If an updated assessment is deemed necessary, and an internal valuation cannot be made, an external appraisal will be requested. Upon receipt of the "As Is" appraisal a charge-off is recognized for the difference between the loan amount and its current fair market value.
"As Is" values are used to measure fair market value on impaired loans, OREO and REPOs.
At December 31, 2012, outstanding securities designated as available for sale totaled $\$ 643,050,000$. The fair value of the available for sale portfolio at December 31, 2012 was more than historical amortized cost, producing net unrealized gains of $\$ 5,110,000$ that have been included in other comprehensive income (loss) as a component of shareholders' equity (net of taxes). The Company made no change to the valuation techniques used to determine the fair values of securities during 2012 and 2011. The fair value of each security available for sale was obtained from independent pricing sources utilized by many financial institutions. The fair value of many state and municipal securities are not readily available through market sources, so fair value estimates are based on quoted market price or prices of similar instruments. Generally, the Company obtains one price for each security. However, actual values can only be determined in an arms-length transaction between a willing buyer and seller that can, and often do, vary from these reported values. Furthermore, significant changes in recorded values due to changes in actual and perceived economic conditions can occur rapidly, producing greater unrealized losses or gains in the available for sale portfolio.

The credit quality of the Company's securities holdings are primarily investment grade. As of December 31, 2012, the Company's available for sale investment securities, except for approximately $\$ 0.8$ million of securities issued by states and their political subdivisions, generally are traded in liquid markets. U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government agency obligations totaled $\$ 545.2$ million, or 84.8 percent of the total available for sale portfolio. The remainder of the portfolio consists of private label securities, most secured by collateral originated in 2005 or prior years with low loan to values, and current FICO scores
above 700. Generally these securities have credit support exceeding $5 \%$. The collateral underlying these mortgage investments are primarily 30 - and 15 -year fixed rate, $5 / 1$ and $10 / 1$ adjustable rate mortgage loans. Historically, the mortgage loans serving as collateral for those investments have had minimal foreclosures and losses.

## Other Than Temporary Impairment of Securities

Our investments are reviewed quarterly for other than temporary impairment ("OTTI"). The following primary factors are considered for securities identified for OTTI testing: percent decline in fair value, rating downgrades, subordination, duration, amortized loan-to-value, and the ability of the issuers to pay all amounts due in accordance with the contractual terms. Prices obtained from pricing services are usually not adjusted. Based on our internal review procedures and the fair values provided by the pricing services, we believe that the fair values provided by the pricing services are consistent with the principles of ASC 820, Fair Value Measurement. However, on occasion pricing provided by the pricing services may not be consistent with other observed prices in the market for similar securities. Using observable market factors, including interest rate and yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities and default rates, the Company may at times validate the observed prices using a discounted cash flow model and using the observed prices for similar securities to determine the fair value of its securities.

Changes in the fair values, as a result of deteriorating economic conditions and credit spread changes, should only be temporary. Further, management believes that the Company's other sources of liquidity, as well as the cash flow from principal and interest payments from its securities portfolio, reduces the risk that losses would be realized as a result of a need to sell securities to obtain liquidity.

The Company also held stock in the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ("FHLB") totaling $\$ 5.6$ million as of December 31, 2012, the same as at year-end 2011. The Company accounts for its FHLB stock based on the industry guidance in ASC 942, Financial Services - Depository and Lending, which requires the investment to be carried at cost and evaluated for impairment based on the ultimate recoverability of the par value. We evaluated our holdings in FHLB stock at December 31, 2012 and believe our holdings in the stock are ultimately recoverable at par. We do not have operational or liquidity needs that would require redemption of the FHLB stock in the foreseeable future and, therefore, have determined that the stock is not other-than-temporarily impaired.

## Realization of Deferred Tax Assets

At December 31, 2012, the Company had net deferred tax assets ("DTA") of $\$ 18.0$ million. Although realization is not assured, management believes that realization of the carrying value of the DTA is more likely than not, based upon expectations as to future taxable income and tax planning strategies, as defined by ASC 740 Income Taxes. In comparison, at December 31, 2011 the Company had net DTAs of $\$ 16.8$ million.

As a result of the losses incurred in 2010 and 2012, the Company has a three-year cumulative pretax loss. The Company has recorded deferred tax valuation allowances of $\$ 44.8$ million, primarily related to its net operating loss ("NOL") carryforwards at December 31, 2012. Should the economy show improvement and the Company's credit losses continue to moderate prospectively as the Company continues to generate taxable income, increased reliance on management's forecast of future taxable earnings could result in realization of additional future tax benefits from the net operating loss carryforwards. We believe our future taxable income will ultimately allow for the recovery of the NOL, resulting in the realization of our DTA valuation allowance.

## Contingent Liabilities

The Company is subject to contingent liabilities, including judicial, regulatory and arbitration proceedings, and tax and other claims arising from the conduct of our business activities. These proceedings include actions brought against the Company and/or our subsidiaries with respect to transactions in which the Company and/or our subsidiaries acted as a lender, a financial advisor, a broker or acted in a related activity. Accruals are established for legal and other claims when it becomes probable that the Company will incur an expense and the amount can be reasonably estimated. Company management, together with attorneys, consultants and other professionals, assesses the probability and estimated amounts involved in a contingency. Throughout the life of a contingency, the Company or our advisors may learn of additional information that
can affect our assessments about probability or about the estimates of amounts involved. Changes in these assessments can lead to changes in recorded reserves. In addition, the actual costs of resolving these claims may be substantially higher or lower than the amounts reserved for the claims. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had no significant accruals for contingent liabilities and had no known pending matters that could potentially be significant.

## Results of Operations

## Earnings Summary

Net loss available to common shareholders for 2012 totaled $\$ 4,458,000$ or $\$ 0.05$ per average common diluted share, compared to 2011's net income of $\$ 2,919,000$ or $\$ 0.03$ per average common diluted share and 2010's net loss of $\$ 36,951,000$ or $\$ 0.48$ per average common diluted share.

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income (on a fully taxable equivalent basis) for 2012 totaled $\$ 64,990,000$, decreasing by $\$ 2,069,000$ or 3.1 percent as compared to 2011. Lower asset yields as a result of the Federal Reserve's actions to lower interest rates and the restructuring of the investment portfolio to lower pricing risks, has reduced 2012's net interest income. The following table details net interest income and margin results (on a tax equivalent basis) for the past five quarters:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Net Interest Income (tax equivalent) | Net Interest Margin (tax equivalent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fourth quarter 2011 | \$17,020 | 3.42\% |
| First quarter 2012 | 16,689 | 3.33 |
| Second quarter 2012 | 16,052 | 3.17 |
| Third quarter 2012 | 15,995 | 3.17 |
| Fourth quarter 2012 | 16,254 | 3.22 |

Fully taxable equivalent net interest income is a common term and measure used in the banking industry but is not a term used under generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). We believe that these presentations of tax-equivalent net interest income and tax equivalent net interest margin aid in the comparability of net interest income arising from both taxable and tax-exempt sources over the periods presented. We further believe these non-GAAP measures enhance investors' understanding of the Company's business and performance, and facilitate an understanding of performance trends and comparisons with the performance of other financial institutions. The limitations associated with these measures are the risk that persons might disagree as to the appropriateness of items comprising these measures and that different companies might calculate these measures differently, including as a result of using different assumed tax rates. These disclosures should not be considered an alternative to GAAP.

The following information is provided to reconcile GAAP measures and tax equivalent net interest income and net interest margin on a tax equivalent basis.

|  | Total Year | Fourth Quarter | Third Quarter | Second <br> Quarter | First Quarter | Total Year | Fourth Quarter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 |
| Non-taxable interest income | \$ 346 | \$ 87 | \$ 82 | \$ 85 | \$ 92 | \$ 424 | \$ 87 |
| Tax Rate | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |
| Net interest income (TE) | \$64,990 | \$16,254 | \$15,995 | \$16,052 | \$16,689 | \$67,059 | \$17,020 |
| Total net interest income (not TE) | 64,809 | 16,208 | 15,952 | 16,007 | 16,642 | 66,839 | 16,974 |
| Net interest margin (TE) | 3.22\% | 3.22\% | 3.17\% | 3.17\% | 3.33\% | 3.42\% | 3.42\% |
| Net interest margin (not TE) | 3.21 | 3.21 | 3.16 | 3.16 | 3.32 | 3.41 | 3.41 |

The level of nonaccrual loans, changes in the earning assets mix, and the Federal Reserve's policies lowering interest rates have been primary forces affecting net interest income and net interest margin results for each of the last three years.

The earning asset mix changed year over year impacting net interest income. For 2012, average loans (the highest yielding component of earning assets) as a percentage of average earning assets totaled 60.9 percent, compared to 62.1 percent a year ago. Average securities as a percentage of average earning assets decreased from 29.6 percent a year ago to 29.2 percent during 2012 and interest bearing deposits and other investments increased to 9.9 percent in 2012 from 8.3 percent in 2011. While average total loans as a percentage of earning assets was generally unchanged, the mix of loans changed, with volumes related to commercial real estate representing 41.5 percent of total loans at December 31, 2012 (compared to 43.9 percent at December 31, 2011). Lower yielding residential loan balances with individuals (including home equity loans and lines, and personal construction loans) represented 49.6 percent of total loans at December 31, 2012 (versus 47.4 percent at December 31, 2011) (see "Loan Portfolio").

The yield on earning assets for 2012 was 3.64 percent, 50 basis points lower than for 2011, a reflection of the lower interest rate environment and earning asset mix. The following table details the yield on earning assets (on a tax equivalent basis) for the past five quarters:

|  | Fourth Quarter | Third Quarter | Second Quarter | First Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Yield | 3.53\% | 3.54\% | 3.63\% | 3.87\% | 4.04\% |

The yield on loans decreased 38 basis points to 4.76 percent over the last twelve months with nonaccrual loans totaling $\$ 41.0$ million or 3.3 percent of total loans at December 31, 2012 (versus $\$ 28.5$ million or 2.4 percent of total loans at December 31, 2011). The yield on investment securities was lower, decreasing 67 basis points year over year to 2.39 percent for 2012, due primarily to securities sold to reduce interest rate risk and reinvestment at lower yields.

Average earning assets for 2012 increased $\$ 58.6$ million or 3.0 percent compared to 2011's average balance. Average loan balances for 2012 increased $\$ 11.3$ million or 0.9 percent to $\$ 1,227.5$ million, average interest bearing deposits and other investments increased $\$ 36.6$ million or 22.4 percent to $\$ 200.0$ million, and average investment securities increased $\$ 10.7$ million or 1.8 percent to $\$ 589.5$ million. Remaining proceeds from the sale of securities during 2012, currently held in interest bearing deposit accounts, are likely to be deployed to lending activities or additional investment securities purchases.

Commercial and commercial real estate loan production for 2012 totaled approximately $\$ 109$ million, compared to production for 2011 of $\$ 63$ million. Improvements in commercial production resulted from a focused program to target small business segments less impacted by the lingering effects of the recession. Commercial production has improved and period-end total loans outstanding increased by $\$ 18.0$ million or 1.5 percent since December 31, 2011. In comparison, loans decreased by $\$ 32.5$ million or 2.6 percent at December 31, 2011 year over year. Our strategy has been to focus on hiring commercial lenders for the larger metropolitan markets in which the Company competes, principally Orlando and Palm Beach.

The Company has expanded its residential mortgage loan originations and seeks to expand loans to small businesses in 2013. However, as consumers and businesses seek to reduce their borrowings, and the economy remains weak, opportunities to lend are market share driven.

Closed residential mortgage loan production for the first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2012 totaled $\$ 48$ million, $\$ 66$ million, $\$ 63$ million and $\$ 72$ million, respectively, of which $\$ 20$ million, $\$ 26$ million, $\$ 34$ million and $\$ 39$ million was sold servicing-released. In comparison, closed residential mortgage loan production for the first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2011 totaled $\$ 32$ million, $\$ 50$ million, $\$ 53$ million and $\$ 56$ million, respectively, of which $\$ 13$ million, $\$ 18$ million, $\$ 17$ million and $\$ 21$ million was sold servicing-released. Applications for residential mortgages totaled $\$ 387$ million during 2012, compared $\$ 312$ million for 2011. Much of our loan production has been focused on residential home mortgages, which has continued to show signs of strengthening here in our markets and across Florida. Existing home sales and home mortgage loan refinancing activity in the Company's markets have increased, but demand for new home
construction is expected to remain soft. Inventory levels for existing homes in many markets is now at a three- or four-month supply, some of the lowest levels the Company has seen since pre-recession.

During 2012, proceeds from the sales of securities totaled $\$ 256.1$ million for 2012 (including net gains of $\$ 7,619,000$ ), with most of the proceeds (and net gains) derived from sales during the first and second quarters of 2012 totaling $\$ 226.8$ million (and $\$ 6,989,000$ ), respectively. Management believed the securities sold had minimal opportunity to further increase in value. Sales of securities were more limited in 2011, with proceeds in sales during the third and fourth quarters of 2011 summing to $\$ 31.4$ million and $\$ 19.1$ million, respectively, with net gains of $\$ 137,000$ and $\$ 1,083,000$ realized. Securities purchases in 2012 and 2011 have been conducted principally to reinvest funds from maturities and principal repayments, as well as to reinvest excess funds (in an interest bearing deposit) at the Federal Reserve Bank, and the proceeds from sales. During 2012, maturities (principally pay-downs of $\$ 139.0$ million) totaled $\$ 140.0$ million and securities portfolio purchases totaled $\$ 384.6$ million. In comparison, 2011 maturities totaled $\$ 123.0$ million (including $\$ 120.7$ million in pay-downs) and securities portfolio purchases totaled $\$ 380.8$ million.

For 2012, the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities decreased 34 basis points to 0.55 percent from 2011, reflecting the lower interest rate environment and improved deposit mix. The following table details the cost of average interest bearing liabilities for the past five quarters:

|  | Fourth Quarter | Third Quarter | Second Quarter | First Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Rate | 0.42\% | 0.49\% | 0.59\% | 0.68\% | 0.77\% |

During 2012, the Company's retail core deposit focus has continued to produce strong growth in core deposit customer relationships when compared to prior year results. The improved deposit mix and lower rates paid on interest bearing deposits during 2012 (and last several quarters) reduced the overall cost of total deposits to 0.20 percent for the fourth quarter of 2012,36 basis points lower than the same quarter a year ago. A significant component favorably affecting the Company's net interest margin, the average balances of lower cost interest bearing deposits (NOW, savings and money market) totaled 70.6 percent of total average interest bearing deposits for 2012 , an improvement compared to the average of 62.1 percent a year ago. The average rate for lower cost interest bearing deposits for 2012 was 0.16 percent, down by 12 basis points from 2011's rate. Certificate of deposit ("CD") rates paid were also lower during 2012, averaging 1.03 percent, a 65 basis point decrease compared to 2011. Average CDs (the highest cost component of interest bearing deposits) were 29.4 percent of interest bearing deposits for 2012 , compared to 37.9 percent for 2011 , with ending balances down to 23.4 percent for CDs as of December 31, 2012. Prospectively, with interest rates predicted to remain low through 2013, reductions in interest bearing deposit costs will be more challenging to produce due to more limited re-pricing opportunities.

Average deposits totaled $\$ 1,697.3$ million during 2012, and were $\$ 19.7$ million higher compared to 2011, even with a planned reduction of single service time deposit customers occurring. Average aggregate amounts for NOW, savings and money market balances increased $\$ 82.3$ million or 9.8 percent to $\$ 924.1$ million for 2012 compared to 2011, average noninterest bearing deposits increased $\$ 65.6$ million or 20.3 percent to $\$ 388.7$ million for 2012 compared to 2011, and average CDs decreased by $\$ 128.3$ million or 25.0 percent to $\$ 384.5$ million over the same period. With the low interest rate environment and lower CD rate offerings available, customers have been more complacent and are leaving more funds in lower cost average balances in savings and other liquid deposit products that pay no interest or a lower interest rate. Averaging only $\$ 6.6$ million during 2012, the Company continues to offer its Certificate of Deposit Registry program ("CDARs"), a program that began in mid-2008 that allows customers to have CDs safely insured beyond the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") deposit insurance limit, and a favored offering for homeowners' associations concerned with FDIC insurance coverage.

Average short-term borrowings have been principally comprised of sweep repurchase agreements with customers of Seacoast National, which increased $\$ 35.1$ million to $\$ 141.6$ million or 33.0 percent for 2012 as compared to 2011. With balances typically peaking during the fourth and first quarters each year, public fund clients with larger balances have the most significant influence on average sweep repurchase agreement balances outstanding during the year. During 2012, 2011 and 2010, we did not utilize any federal funds
purchased. Other borrowings are comprised of subordinated debt of $\$ 53.6$ million related to trust preferred securities issued by trusts organized by the Company, and advances from the FHLB of $\$ 50.0$ million. No changes have occurred to other borrowings since year-end 2009 (see "Note I — Borrowings" to the Company's consolidated financial statements).

Prospectively, we expect our net interest margin to grow as our lending initiatives produce improved results and our problem loan liquidation activities are concluded. We are positioned for stronger earnings performance with a more typical yield curve and as excess liquidity is deployed into higher earning assets. The focus the last three years on achieving increased household growth year over year should produce future organic revenue growth, as the long term value of core household relationships are revealed, as more products are sold and fees earned, and as normalized interest rates return as the economy improves.

Net interest income (on a fully taxable equivalent basis) for 2011 totaled $\$ 67,059,000$, increasing by $\$ 574,000$ or 0.9 percent as compared to 2010 . Net interest margin on a tax equivalent basis for 2011 increased 5 basis points to 3.42 percent compared to 3.37 percent in 2010 . Net interest income and net interest margin (on a tax equivalent basis) stabilized during 2011 despite the challenging lending environment and the reduction of interest due to nonaccrual loans.

The earning asset mix changed in 2011 from 2010. For 2011, average loans (the highest yielding component of earning assets) as a percentage of average earning assets totaled 62.1 percent, compared to 67.2 percent for 2010. Average securities as a percentage of average earning assets increased from 21.2 percent for 2010 to 29.6 percent during 2011 and interest bearing deposits and other investments decreased to 8.3 percent in 2011 from 11.6 percent in 2010. In addition to decreasing average total loans as a percentage of earning assets, the mix of loans changed, with volumes related to commercial real estate representing 43.9 percent of total loans at December 31, 2011 (compared to 47.7 percent at December 31, 2010). This decrease reflects a reduced exposure to commercial construction and land development loans on residential and commercial properties, which declined by $\$ 2.8$ million and $\$ 22.4$ million, respectively, from December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2011. Lower yielding residential loan balances with individuals (including home equity loans and lines, and personal construction loans) represented 47.4 percent of total loans at December 31, 2011 (versus 44.2 percent at December 31, 2010) (see "Loan Portfolio").

The yield on earning assets for 2011 was 4.14 percent, 16 basis points lower than for 2010 , a reflection of the lower interest rate environment and earning asset mix. The yield on loans decreased 11 basis points to 5.14 percent over the last twelve months with nonaccrual loans totaling $\$ 28.5$ million or 2.4 percent of total loans at December 31, 2011 (versus $\$ 68.3$ million or 5.5 percent of total loans at December 31, 2010). The yield on investment securities was also lower, decreasing 35 basis points year over year to 3.06 percent for 2011, due primarily to purchases of securities at lower yields available in current markets, which diluted the overall portfolio yield year over year. Interest bearing deposits and other investments yielded 0.49 percent for 2011, compared to a yield of 0.43 percent for 2010.

Average earning assets for 2011 decreased $\$ 16.2$ million or 0.8 percent compared to 2010's average balance. Average loan balances for 2011 decreased $\$ 110.9$ million or 8.4 percent to $\$ 1,216.2$ million, while average investment securities increased $\$ 161.2$ million or 38.6 percent to $\$ 578.8$ million and average interest bearing deposits and other investments decreased $\$ 66.5$ million or 28.9 percent to $\$ 163.4$ million.

Commercial and commercial real estate loan production for 2011 totaled approximately $\$ 63$ million, compared to production for 2010 of $\$ 10$ million. Improvements in commercial production in 2011 resulted from a focused program to target small business segments less impacted by the lingering effects of the recession. While commercial production increased during 2011, period-end total loans outstanding declined by $\$ 32.5$ million or 2.6 percent from December 31, 2010. In comparison, the decline in loans was more severe for 2010 , decreasing by $\$ 156.9$ million or 11.2 percent at December 31, 2010 year over year. Economic conditions in the markets the Company serves continued to be challenging during 2011. At December 31, 2011 the Company's total commercial and commercial real estate loan pipeline was $\$ 36$ million, versus $\$ 28$ million at December 31, 2010.

Closed residential mortgage loan production for 2011 totaled $\$ 191$ million, with $\$ 69$ million sold servicing-released. In comparison, closed residential mortgage loan production for 2010 totaled $\$ 153$ million, of which $\$ 100$ million was sold servicing-released. Applications for residential mortgages totaled $\$ 311$ million during 2011, an improvement when compared to $\$ 244$ million for 2010. Existing home sales and home mortgage loan refinancing activity in the Company's markets increased during 2011, but demand for new home construction remained soft. A slowdown in foreclosure activity by some of the Company's larger competitors had a favorable impact on housing inventory in the Company's markets during 2011, resulting in improved sales activity. Rents for housing during 2011 were running 15-20 percent greater than the cost to own, depending on the Florida market observed, which portended better stability and pricing for 2012 as the inventory of foreclosed properties in our markets was absorbed.

For 2011, the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities decreased 24 basis points to 0.89 percent from 2010, reflecting the lower interest rate environment and improved deposit mix. During 2011, the Company's retail core deposit focus continued to produce strong growth in core deposit customer relationships when compared to prior year results. The improved deposit mix and lower rates paid on interest bearing deposits during 2011 reduced the overall cost of total deposits. A significant component favorably affecting the Company's net interest margin, the average balances of lower cost interest bearing deposits (NOW, savings and money market) totaled 62.1 percent of total average interest bearing deposits for 2011, an improvement compared to the average of 59.7 percent for 2010 . The average rate for lower cost interest bearing deposits for 2011 was 0.28 percent, down by 18 basis points from 2010's rate. Certificate of deposit ("CD") rates paid were also lower during 2011, averaging 1.68 percent, a 29 basis point decrease compared to 2010. Average CDs (the highest cost component of interest bearing deposits) were 37.9 percent of interest bearing deposits for 2011, compared to 40.3 percent for 2010.

Average deposits totaled $\$ 1,677.6$ million during 2011, and were $\$ 28.8$ million lower compared to 2010, due primarily to a planned reduction of brokered deposits and single service time deposit customers. Average aggregate amounts for NOW, savings and money market balances decreased $\$ 11.1$ million or 1.3 percent to $\$ 841.8$ million for 2011 compared to 2010 , noninterest bearing deposits increased $\$ 45.3$ million or 16.3 percent to $\$ 323.0$ million for 2011 compared to 2010, and average CDs decreased by $\$ 63.0$ million or 10.9 percent to $\$ 512.8$ million over the same period. As during the past year, with the low interest rate environment and lower CD rate offerings available, customers have been more complacent and left more funds in lower cost average balances in savings and other liquid deposit products that pay no interest or a lower interest rate during 2011 than 2010.

Average short-term borrowings comprised principally of sweep repurchase agreements with customers of Seacoast National, increased $\$ 19.4$ million to $\$ 106.5$ million or 22.3 percent in 2011 as compared to 2010. Public fund clients with larger balances had the most significant influence on average sweep repurchase agreement balances outstanding during 2011.

## Noninterest Income

Noninterest income, excluding the change in fair value of loan available for sale and securities gains, totaled $\$ 21,444,000$ for $2012, \$ 3,099,000$ or 16.9 percent higher than for 2011. For 2011, noninterest income of $\$ 18,345,000$ was $\$ 211,000$ or 1.2 percent higher than for 2010 . Noninterest income accounted for 24.9 percent of total revenue (net interest income plus noninterest income, excluding the loss on sale of commercial loan and securities gains) in 2012, compared to 21.5 percent a year ago and for 2010.

Table 6 provides detail regarding noninterest income components for the past three years.
For 2012, revenues from the Company's wealth management services businesses (trust and brokerage) increased year over year, by $\$ 117,000$ or 3.6 percent, and were higher in 2011 than for 2010 by $\$ 82,000$ or 2.6 percent. Included in the $\$ 117,000$ increase from a year ago, trust revenue was higher by $\$ 168,000$ or 8.0 percent and brokerage commissions and fees were lower by $\$ 51,000$ or 4.5 percent. Economic uncertainty is the primary issue affecting clients of the Company's wealth management services. Higher inter vivos and agency fees were the primary cause for the higher trust income versus 2011, as these fees increased $\$ 153,000$ and $\$ 60,000$, respectively, but were partially offset by estate fees that were $\$ 71,000$ lower. The $\$ 51,000$ overall decline in brokerage commissions and fees for 2012 included an increase of $\$ 65,000$ in aggregate brokerage and mutual fund commissions that were more than offset by a decline of $\$ 127,000$ in annuity
income. Of the $\$ 82,000$ increase for 2011 , trust revenue was higher by $\$ 134,000$ or 6.8 percent and brokerage commissions and fees were lower by $\$ 52,000$ or 4.4 percent.

In early 2011, we established a contractual relationship with Invest Financial Corporation ("Invest Financial"), whereby brokerage activities for our customers would no longer be conducted through a separate Bank subsidiary. As of March 18, 2011, our brokers became dual employees of the Bank and Invest Financial. The benefit has been lower operating costs for the Bank without disrupting our wealth management business because our customers continue to be serviced by brokers they are familiar with. On December 31, 2011, FNB Brokerage (the Bank's full service brokerage subsidiary) was dissolved.

Service charges on deposits for 2012 were $\$ 17,000$ or 0.3 percent lower year over year versus 2011's result, and were $\$ 337,000$ or 5.7 percent higher in 2011 when compared 2010. Overdraft fees declined $\$ 138,000$ or 2.9 percent year over year and represented approximately 74 percent of total service charges on deposits for 2012 , slightly lower than the average of 76 percent for 2011 and 2010. The regulators continue to review the banking industry's practices around overdraft programs and additional regulation could further reduce fee income for the Company's overdraft services. Remaining service charges on deposits increased $\$ 121,000$ or 8.5 percent to $\$ 1,612,000$ for 2012 , compared to 2011 . Service charge increases during 2012 reflect the growth in core deposit households.

For 2012, fees from the non-recourse sale of marine loans totaled $\$ 1,111,000$, a decrease of $\$ 98,000$ or 8.1 percent compared to 2011 , and were lower for 2011 by $\$ 125,000$ or 9.4 percent compared to 2010 . The Seacoast Marine Division originated $\$ 79$ million in loans during 2012, compared to $\$ 83$ million and $\$ 79$ million for 2011 and 2010, respectively. Of the loans originated during 2012, $\$ 68$ million were sold (86.1 percent of production), compared to $\$ 68$ million sold during 2011 ( 81.9 percent of production) and $\$ 74$ million for 2010 ( 93.7 percent of production). Approximately $\$ 11$ million of 2012's production has been placed in our loan portfolio. In comparison, $\$ 15$ million in production was added to the portfolio in 2011, thereby reducing the percentage of production sold. Production levels have been significantly lower since the end of 2008 and are reflective of the general economic downturn. Lower attendance at boat shows by consumers, manufacturers, and marine retailers over the past several years has resulted in lower marine sales and loan volumes. The Seacoast Marine Division is headquartered in Ft. Lauderdale, Florida with lending professionals in Florida, California, Washington and Oregon.

Greater usage of check or debit cards over the past several years by core deposit customers and an increased cardholder base has increased our interchange income. For 2012, interchange income increased $\$ 693,000$ or 18.2 percent from 2011, and was $\$ 645,000$ or 20.4 percent higher for 2011, compared to 2010's income. Other deposit-based electronic funds transfer ("EFT") income increased by $\$ 18,000$ or 5.7 percent in 2012 from 2011, after decreasing $\$ 3,000$ or 0.9 percent in 2011 compared to 2010's revenue. Interchange revenue is dependent upon business volumes transacted, as well as the fees permitted by VISA ${ }^{\circledR}$ and MasterCard ${ }^{\circledR}$. The Dodd-Frank Act regulation is not expected to impact this source of fee revenue for Seacoast National materially, but has significantly reduced fees collected by larger financial institutions.

The Company originates residential mortgage loans in its markets, with loans processed by commissioned employees of Seacoast National. Many of these mortgage loans are referred by the Company's branch personnel. Mortgage banking fees in 2012 increased $\$ 1,570,000$ or 73.4 percent from 2011's result, and were $\$ 21,000$ or 1.0 percent higher for 2011 than for 2010. Mortgage banking revenue as a component of overall noninterest income was 17.3 percent for 2012, compared to 11.7 percent for 2011 and 2010. Mortgage revenues are dependent upon favorable interest rates, as well as good overall economic conditions, including the volume of home sales. Residential real estate sales and activity in our markets improved over the past year, with transactions increasing, prices firming and affordability improving. As a result, the Company experienced more mortgage loan origination opportunities in markets it serves during the past year and this is expected to continue during 2013. The Company was the number one originator of home purchase mortgages in Martin, St. Lucie and Indian River counties during 2011 and the first eleven months of 2012, based on the data available to date. The Company has only had to repurchase or settle on 9 sold mortgage loans ever and believes that its processes and controls make it unlikely that it will have any material exposure in the future.

Other income for 2012 increased $\$ 816,000$ or 59.3 percent compared to a year ago, and for 2011 decreased $\$ 746,000$ or 35.2 percent. Included in the increase for 2012 compared to 2011 was merchant income, which was $\$ 303,000$ higher than a year ago, reflecting better volumes and additional incentive payments for surpassing sales thresholds.

## Noninterest Expenses

The Company's overhead ratio was in the low to mid 60 's in years prior to the recession. Lower earnings and cyclical credit costs in 2011 and 2010 resulted in this ratio increasing to 90.1 percent, and 104.6 percent, respectively. For 2012, the overhead ratio was 94.6 percent and total noninterest expenses were $\$ 4,785,000$ or 6.2 percent higher versus a year ago, totaling $\$ 82,548,000$. When compared to 2010 , total noninterest expenses for 2011 decreased by $\$ 11,793,000$ or 13.2 percent to $\$ 77,763,000$.

Some of the increase in expenses in 2012 were related to the implementation of future cost reductions. During the third quarter of 2012 management's organizational structure was streamlined and the Company announced the consolidation of four offices, resulting in severance and other organizational costs of \$832,000 and branch consolidation costs of $\$ 232,000$ impacting overhead for the third quarter of 2012. An additional $\$ 491,000$ in organizational and branch consolidation costs impacted the fourth quarter of 2012.

Table 7 provides detail of noninterest expense components for the years ending December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Salaries and wages were $\$ 2,647,000$ or 9.7 percent higher for 2012 compared to 2011 , and were $\$ 880,000$ or 3.3 percent higher for 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. Higher commission and incentive payments of $\$ 1,093,000$ or 33.6 percent were included in the increase for salaries and wages for 2012 compared to 2011 , with long-term stock incentives comprising $\$ 208,000$ of the increase and the remainder for revenues generated from wealth management and lending production. Stock awards issued to all employees of Seacoast National in August 2011 were the primary contributor to the $\$ 208,000$ increase for long term stock incentives. Base salaries were also higher for 2012 by $\$ 1,174,000$ or 4.7 percent, reflecting additional commercial relationship managers hired during the past twelve months and staff added to the compliance and risk management departments. Severance payments for positions eliminated during 2012 totaled $\$ 688,000$, and contributed $\$ 219,000$ to the increase compared to a year ago. In comparison, 2011's salaries and wages included commission and incentive payments that were higher, up by $\$ 901,000$ or 51.1 percent compared to 2010 . Base salaries for 2011 were $\$ 249,000$ or 1.0 percent higher year over year compared to 2010 and severance, overtime and temporary services (in aggregate) during 2011 were \$118,000 higher than in 2010, with $\$ 94,000$ related to the stock awards issued to all employees of Seacoast National in August 2011. Executive cash incentive compensation was not paid in 2012, 2011 or 2010.

In 2012, employee benefits costs increased by $\$ 1,835,000$ or 31.2 percent to $\$ 7,710,000$ from a year ago, and were higher by $\$ 158,000$ or 2.8 percent for 2011 when compared to 2010. For 2012, 2011, and 2010, profit sharing contributions for all associates were eliminated and matching contributions associated with salary savings plans have been limited. The Company recognized higher costs during 2012 for its self-funded health care plan compared to 2011 , with an increase of $\$ 1,194,000$ in expenditures resulting from a few large claims and higher utilization. In comparison, the Company recognized a nominal change in claims experience during 2011 for its self-funded health care plan compared to 2010. Also contributing to the increase for employee benefits for 2012 was an increase in the Company match for employee salary deferrals, resulting in a $\$ 411,000$ increase in 401 K plan costs year over year. The state of Florida continues to increase unemployment compensation rates to replenish funding pools for disbursements, with costs increasing year over year by $\$ 51,000$ and $\$ 105,000$ for 2012 and 2011, respectively. Payroll taxes increased in 2012 and 2011, by $\$ 179,000$ and $\$ 79,000$, respectively, and are expected to increase further in 2013 with the expiration of the 2 percent temporary reduction in social security tax (FICA) as of January 1, 2013. The Company has met with its self-funded plan provider and discussed possible impacts of U.S. Health Care Reform and determined that no immediate or material financial statement impacts are apparent.

Outsourced data processing costs totaled $\$ 7,382,000$ for 2012 , an increase of $\$ 799,000$ or 12.1 percent from a year ago. In comparison, for 2011 outsourced data processing costs increased $\$ 602,000$ or 10.1 percent from 2010's result. Seacoast National utilizes third parties for its core data processing systems. Outsourced data processing costs are directly related to the number of transactions processed. Core data processing,
software licensing, and software maintenance costs were $\$ 595,000, \$ 44,000$ and $\$ 46,000$ higher for 2012, versus a year ago. In addition, interchange processing and other electronic funds transfer costs (aggregated) were $\$ 114,000$ higher for 2012 . For 2011, core data processing, software licensing, interchange processing, and other electronic funds transfer related costs were $\$ 377,000, \$ 134,000, \$ 70,000$ and $\$ 55,000$ higher, respectively, versus 2010, partially offset by software maintenance contracts that were $\$ 33,000$ lower year over year. Outsourced data processing costs can be expected to increase as the Company's business volumes grow and new products such as bill pay, internet banking, etc. become more popular. During 2012, prior to the Company's contract with its core data processor expiring on December 31, 2012, proposals from select third party processors (including the Company's existing processor) were received by management, comparatively reviewed for efficiency, technological enhancement, and performance, and resulted in a renegotiated contract with our existing provider as of January 1, 2013 for a term of $51 / 2$ years. In the new contract, processing costs were negotiated lower with annual savings in the range of $\$ 800,000$ to $\$ 1$ million. We are anticipating improvements and enhancements to be implemented during 2013 and 2014.

Telephone and data line expenditures, including electronic communications with customers and between branch locations and personnel, as well as third party data processors, decreased nominally to $\$ 1,178,000$ for 2012 when compared to 2011 , but for 2011 were $\$ 326,000$ or 21.7 percent lower than for 2010 . Improved systems and monitoring of services utilized as well as reducing the number telephone lines has reduced our communication costs, and these costs should continue to be lower prospectively.

Total occupancy, furniture and equipment expenses for 2012 increased year over year versus 2011, by $\$ 547,000$ or 5.5 percent to $\$ 10,465,000$. For 2011, these costs were nominally higher, increasing $\$ 40,000$ or 0.4 percent compared to 2010 . For 2012, branch consolidation costs of $\$ 232,000$ and $\$ 407,000$ were recorded during the third and fourth quarters of 2012, respectively, and were the primary contributor to the increase. The branch consolidations will favorably impact expense prospectively, but are anticipated to be partially offset by the opening of five new loan production offices in the first and second quarter of 2013 in the Orlando and Palm Beach markets (see Form 10K dated December 31, 2012, "Item 2, Properties" for a complete description). Included in 2011 were maintenance, repair and upkeep costs that increased $\$ 45,000$ from 2010, lease payments for bank premises that were higher by $\$ 56,000$, rental income (a contra-expense item) that was $\$ 94,000$ less for 2011 (due to higher vacancies), equipment purchases of $\$ 75,000$ that were incremental year over year and not amortized as fixed assets, and write-offs of obsolete furniture and equipment of $\$ 89,000$. Partially offsetting these increases for 2011, depreciation and real estate and tangible personal property taxes (aggregated) on bank owned property were lower, declining \$268,000 and \$67,000, respectively, from 2010.

For 2012, marketing expenses, including sales promotion costs, ad agency production and printing costs, newspaper and radio advertising, and other public relations costs associated with the Company's efforts to market products and services, increased by $\$ 178,000$ or 6.1 percent to $\$ 3,095,000$ when compared to 2011. For 2011, marketing expenses increased nominally by $\$ 7,000$ or 0.2 percent to $\$ 2,917,000$ when compared to 2010. Marketing expenses for 2012 and 2011 reflect a focused campaign in our markets targeting the customers of competing financial institutions and promoting our brand. Direct mail activities, donations (and sponsorships), sales promotions, and market research have been ramped up the most during 2012 versus a year ago, increasing $\$ 341,000, \$ 176,000, \$ 50,000$, and $\$ 36,000$, respectively. Costs initiated in 2012 to promote lending in Orlando and Palm Beach under our new Accelerate brand were incremental, and summed to $\$ 79,000$ for 2012. Partially offsetting, media costs (newspaper, television and radio advertising) and public relations costs were $\$ 370,000$ and $\$ 127,000$ lower for 2012. For 2011, agency fees, donations (and sponsorships), business meals and entertainment, sales promotions, media costs, and public relations costs increased the most, by $\$ 212,000, \$ 185,000, \$ 98,000, \$ 42,000, \$ 36,000$ and $\$ 36,000$, respectively, compared to 2010. Partially offsetting these increases during 2011, agency production costs and direct mail activities declined versus 2010, by $\$ 332,000$ and $\$ 252,000$, respectively.

Legal and professional fees continue to trend lower, decreasing by $\$ 896,000$ or 14.6 percent from a year ago to $\$ 5,241,000$ for 2012 , and by $\$ 1,840,000$ or 23.1 percent for 2011 compared to 2010 . Overall, legal fees were $\$ 1,165,000$ lower in 2012 and included a $\$ 500,000$ recovery of fees in the third quarter that was recorded in a prior period for a single creditor. Professional fees for 2012 were $\$ 341,000$ lower year over year, but were more than offset by higher CPA fees of $\$ 589,000$, with amounts for the Company outsourcing
most internal audit activities as the primary cause, and additional CPA fees incurred for the U.S. Treasury's sale of its investment in Series A Preferred Stock, auctioned and concluded on April 3, 2012. For 2011, legal fees were lower as well, declining $\$ 383,000$ from 2010, primarily for costs related to problem assets (principally OREO). Also, professional fees were $\$ 1,530,000$ lower for 2011, reflecting lower costs for strategic planning and risk management assistance compared with 2010. Partially offsetting, regulatory examination fees and CPA fees on an aggregate basis were $\$ 73,000$ higher for 2011 than in 2010, with new FHA audit requisites as the primary contributor. During 2011 and 2010, the Company used the consulting services of a former bank regulator who also serves as a director of Seacoast National to assist it with its compliance with the bank's formal agreement with the OCC and regulatory examinations. For 2011 and 2010, Seacoast National paid $\$ 274,000$ and $\$ 524,000$, respectively, for these services. Due to the reduced level of consulting assistance required to address the formal agreement, we concluded these services in late 2011. Prospectively, legal fees can be expected to continue to be lower as problem assets decline.

The FDIC assessment for the first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2012 totaled $\$ 706,000, \$ 707,000$, $\$ 695,000$ and $\$ 697,000$, respectively, compared to first, second, third and fourth quarter 2011's assessments of $\$ 959,000, \$ 688,000, \$ 687,000$ and $\$ 679,000$, respectively. For 2010, FDIC assessments summed to $\$ 3,958,000$. As of April 1, 2011, the FDIC's calculation of assessments changed, utilizing total assets less Tier 1 risk-based capital as a base for calculation, versus average total deposits. Applicable premium rates have been adjusted for the change in the base, with specific adjusting risk factors deemed important by the FDIC utilized in the determination of applicable premium rates. Seacoast National's assessments under the FDIC's new methodology are lower, compared to the prior methodology. On July 30, 2013, Seacoast National expects to receive a refund of premiums prepaid at the end of 2009 (less premiums calculated and paid since year end 2009, and minus the March 31 and June 30, 2013 insurance premiums). At December 31, 2012, the prepaid amount for FDIC insurance summed to $\$ 4.6$ million. Although the severity of bank failures and their impact on the FDIC's Deposit Insurance Fund have been less than predicted, Seacoast National remains exposed to higher FDIC insurance costs.

Net losses on other real estate owned (OREO) and repossessed assets, and asset disposition expenses associated with the management of OREO and repossessed assets (aggregated) totaled $\$ 2,486,000, \$ 1,158,000$, $\$ 925,000$ and $\$ 357,000$ for the first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2012, respectively, and totaled $\$ 4,926,000$ for the year. In comparison, these costs totaled $\$ 1,535,000, \$ 1,583,000, \$ 1,385,000$ and $\$ 1,529,000$ for the first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2011, were relatively stable quarter to quarter and totaled $\$ 6,032,000$ for 2011 (declining $\$ 9,777,000$ when compared to 2010). These costs moderated in 2011 and declined further in 2012, with OREO balances declining by 43.2 percent during 2012 compared to 2011 and totaling $\$ 11.9$ million at December 31, 2012. Of the $\$ 4,926,000$ total for 2012, asset disposition costs summed to $\$ 1,459,000$ and losses on OREO and repossessed assets totaled $\$ 3,467,000$. The Company expects these costs to be significantly lower in 2013.

Other noninterest expenses increased $\$ 990,000$ or 11.2 percent to $\$ 9,811,000$ for 2012 when compared to 2011, but were $\$ 592,000$ or 6.3 percent lower when comparing 2011 to 2010 . More significant changes year over year from 2011 included employee placement and relocation costs (up $\$ 262,000$ ), director meeting fees (up $\$ 144,000$ ), miscellaneous lending fees, appraisal fees and credit information costs (up $\$ 126,000, \$ 100,000$ and $\$ 108,000$, respectively, and reflecting improved loan production in 2012), stationery and supplies expenditures (up $\$ 103,000$ ), and bank meeting costs (up $\$ 94,000$ ), partially offset by a reversal of accrued VISA litigation and settlement costs of $\$ 203,000$. One-time cash settlements for a branch lease of $\$ 150,000$ and to a client of Seacoast National's brokerage subsidiary for $\$ 350,000$ recorded during 2010, combined with the reversal of $\$ 184,000$ during the second quarter of 2011 for a favorable outcome on the brokerage settlement, accounted for $\$ 684,000$ of the decrease for 2011 from 2010. The $\$ 184,000$ reversal during 2011 for the brokerage settlement also contributed to the increase in other noninterest expenses year over year for 2012.

## Interest Rate Sensitivity

Fluctuations in interest rates may result in changes in the fair value of the Company's financial instruments, cash flows and net interest income. This risk is managed using simulation modeling to calculate the most likely interest rate risk utilizing estimated loan and deposit growth. The objective is to optimize the Company's financial position, liquidity, and net interest income while limiting their volatility.

Senior management regularly reviews the overall interest rate risk position and evaluates strategies to manage the risk. The Company's fourth quarter 2012 Asset and Liability Management Committee ("ALCO") model simulation indicates net interest income would increase 12.0 percent if interest rates are shocked 200 basis points up over the next 12 months and 7.4 percent if interest rates are shocked up 100 basis points. This compares with the Company's fourth quarter 2011 model stimulation, which indicated net interest income would increase 7.2 percent if interest rates are shocked 200 basis points up over the next 12 months and 3.8 percent if interest rates are shocked up 100 basis points. Recent regulatory guidance has placed more emphasis on rate shocks.

The Company had a positive gap position based on contractual and prepayment assumptions for the next 12 months, with a positive cumulative interest rate sensitivity gap as a percentage of total earning assets of 13.7 percent at December 31, 2012. This result includes assumptions for core deposit re-pricing validated for the Company by an independent third party consulting group.

The computations of interest rate risk do not necessarily include certain actions management may undertake to manage this risk in response to changes in interest rates. Derivative financial instruments, such as interest rate swaps, options, caps, floors, futures and forward contracts may be utilized as components of the Company's risk management profile.

## Market Risk

Market risk refers to potential losses arising from changes in interest rates, and other relevant market rates or prices.

Interest rate risk, defined as the exposure of net interest income and Economic Value of Equity, or "EVE," to adverse movements in interest rates, is the Company's primary market risk, and mainly arises from the structure of the balance sheet (non-trading activities). The Company is also exposed to market risk in its investing activities. The Company's Asset/Liability Committee, or "ALCO," meets regularly and is responsible for reviewing the interest rate sensitivity position of the Company and establishing policies to monitor and limit exposure to interest rate risk. The policies established by the ALCO are reviewed and approved by the Company's Board of Directors. The primary goal of interest rate risk management is to control exposure to interest rate risk, within policy limits approved by the Board. These limits reflect the Company's tolerance for interest rate risk over short-term and long-term horizons.

The Company also performs valuation analyses, which are used for evaluating levels of risk present in the balance sheet that might not be taken into account in the net interest income simulation analyses. Whereas net interest income simulation highlights exposures over a relatively short time horizon, valuation analysis incorporates all cash flows over the estimated remaining life of all balance sheet positions. The valuation of the balance sheet, at a point in time, is defined as the discounted present value of asset cash flows minus the discounted value of liability cash flows, the net result of which is the EVE. The sensitivity of EVE to changes in the level of interest rates is a measure of the longer-term re-pricing risks and options risks embedded in the balance sheet. In contrast to the net interest income simulation, which assumes interest rates will change over a period of time, EVE uses instantaneous changes in rates.

EVE values only the current balance sheet, and does not incorporate the growth assumptions that are used in the net interest income simulation model. As with the net interest income simulation model, assumptions about the timing and variability of balance sheet cash flows are critical in the EVE analysis. Particularly important are the assumptions driving prepayments and the expected changes in balances and pricing of the indeterminate life deposit portfolios. Core deposits are a more significant funding source for the Company, making the lives attached to core deposits more important to the accuracy of our modeling of EVE. The Company periodically reassesses its assumptions regarding the indeterminate lives of core deposits utilizing an independent third party resource to assist. With lower interest rates over a prolonged period, the average lives of core deposits have trended higher and favorably impacted our model estimates of EVE for higher rates. Based on our fourth quarter 2012 modeling, an instantaneous 100 basis point increase in rates is estimated to increase the EVE 19.8 percent versus the EVE in a stable rate environment, while a 200 basis point increase in rates is estimated to increase the EVE 33.0 percent.

While an instantaneous and severe shift in interest rates is used in this analysis to provide an estimate of exposure under an extremely adverse scenario, a gradual shift in interest rates would have a much more modest impact. Since EVE measures the discounted present value of cash flows over the estimated lives of instruments, the change in EVE does not directly correlate to the degree that earnings would be impacted over a shorter time horizon, i.e., the next fiscal year. Further, EVE does not take into account factors such as future balance sheet growth, changes in product mix, change in yield curve relationships, and changing product spreads that could mitigate the adverse impact of changes in interest rates.

## Liquidity Risk Management and Contractual Commitments

Liquidity risk involves the risk of being unable to fund assets with the appropriate duration and rate-based liability, as well as the risk of not being able to meet unexpected cash needs. Liquidity planning and management are necessary to ensure the ability to fund operations cost effectively and to meet current and future potential obligations such as loan commitments and unexpected deposit outflows.

In the table that follows, all deposits with indeterminate maturities such as demand deposits, NOW accounts, savings accounts and money market accounts are presented as having a maturity of one year or less.

Contractual Obligations

|  |  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Funding sources primarily include customer-based core deposits, collateral-backed borrowings, cash flows from operations, and asset securitizations and sales.

Cash flows from operations are a significant component of liquidity risk management and we consider both deposit maturities and the scheduled cash flows from loan and investment maturities and payments.

Deposits are also a primary source of liquidity. The stability of this funding source is affected by numerous factors, including returns available to customers on alternative investments, the quality of customer service levels, safety and competitive forces. We routinely use securities and loans as collateral for secured borrowings. In the event of severe market disruptions, we have access to secured borrowings through the FHLB and the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta.

Contractual maturities for assets and liabilities are reviewed to meet current and expected future liquidity requirements. Sources of liquidity, both anticipated and unanticipated, are maintained through a portfolio of high quality marketable assets, such as residential mortgage loans, securities held for sale and interest bearing deposits. The Company also has access to borrowed funds such as an FHLB line of credit and the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta under its borrower-in-custody program. The Company is also able to provide short term financing of its activities by selling, under an agreement to repurchase, United States Treasury and Government agency securities not pledged to secure public deposits or trust funds. At December 31, 2012, Seacoast National had available unsecured lines of $\$ 35$ million and lines of credit under current lendable collateral value, which are subject to change, of $\$ 535$ million. Seacoast National had $\$ 376$ million of United States Treasury and Government agency securities and mortgage backed securities not pledged and available for use under repurchase agreements, and had an additional $\$ 161$ million in residential and commercial real estate loans available as collateral. In comparison, at December 31, 2011, the Company had available unsecured lines of $\$ 40$ million and lines of credit of $\$ 441$ million, and had $\$ 378$ million of Treasury and

Government agency securities and mortgage backed securities not pledged and available for use under repurchase agreements, as well as an additional $\$ 189$ million in residential and commercial real estate loans available as collateral.

Liquidity, as measured in the form of cash and cash equivalents (including interest bearing deposits), totaled $\$ 174,987,000$ on a consolidated basis at December 31, 2012 as compared to $\$ 167,081,000$ at December 31, 2011. The composition of cash and cash equivalents has changed from a year ago. Over the past twelve months, cash and due from banks increased $\$ 4,484,000$ to $\$ 45,620,000$ and interest bearing deposits increased to $\$ 129,367,000$ from $\$ 125,945,000$. The interest bearing deposits are maintained in Seacoast National's account at the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta. Cash and cash equivalents vary with seasonal deposit movements and are generally higher in the winter than in the summer, and vary with the level of principal repayments and investment activity occurring in Seacoast National's securities and loan portfolios. Proceeds from securities sales of $\$ 112$ million, $\$ 115$ million, $\$ 22$ million and $\$ 7$ million in the first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2012, respectively, contributed to higher liquidity at December 31, 2012. Our intent is to reinvest excess liquidity into our loan and securities portfolios, as market opportunities and conditions meet expectations.

The Company does not rely on and is not dependent on off-balance sheet financing or wholesale funding.
The Company is a legal entity separate and distinct from Seacoast National and its other subsidiaries. Various legal limitations, including Section 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act and Federal Reserve Regulation W, restrict Seacoast National from lending or otherwise supplying funds to the Company or its non-bank subsidiaries. The Company has traditionally relied upon dividends from Seacoast National and securities offerings to provide funds to pay the Company's expenses, to service the Company's debt and to pay dividends upon Company common stock and preferred stock. In 2008 and 2007, Seacoast National paid dividends to the Company that exceeded its earnings in those years. Seacoast National cannot currently pay dividends to the Company without prior OCC approval. At December 31, 2012, the Company had cash and cash equivalents at the parent of approximately $\$ 7.0$ million. In comparison, at December 31, 2011, the Company had cash and cash equivalents at the parent of approximately $\$ 11.1$ million. During the third quarter of 2011, the Company remitted all deferred and current dividends due upon its Series A preferred stock as well as distributions on its subordinated debt related to trust preferred securities issued through affiliated trusts. All of the Series A Preferred stock funds received in December 2008 have been contributed as additional capital to Seacoast National. Additional losses could prolong Seacoast National's inability to pay dividends to its parent without regulatory approval (see "Capital Resources").

## Off-Balance Sheet Transactions

In the normal course of business, we may engage in a variety of financial transactions that, under generally accepted accounting principles, either are not recorded on the balance sheet or are recorded on the balance sheet in amounts that differ from the full contract or notional amounts. These transactions involve varying elements of market, credit and liquidity risk.

Lending commitments include unfunded loan commitments and standby and commercial letters of credit. A large majority of loan commitments and standby letters of credit expire without being funded, and accordingly, total contractual amounts are not representative of our actual future credit exposure or liquidity requirements. Loan commitments and letters of credit expose the Company to credit risk in the event that the customer draws on the commitment and subsequently fails to perform under the terms of the lending agreement.

Loan commitments to customers are made in the normal course of our commercial and retail lending businesses. For commercial customers, loan commitments generally take the form of revolving credit arrangements. For retail customers, loan commitments generally are lines of credit secured by residential property. These instruments are not recorded on the balance sheet until funds are advanced under the commitment. For loan commitments, the contractual amount of a commitment represents the maximum potential credit risk that could result if the entire commitment had been funded, the borrower had not performed according to the terms of the contract, and no collateral had been provided. Loan commitments
were $\$ 119$ million at December 31, 2012, and $\$ 106$ million at December 31, 2011 (see "Note P — Contingent Liabilities and Commitments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk" to the Company's consolidated financial statements).

## Income Taxes

The benefit for net loss for 2012 and provision for income taxes for 2011 totaled $\$ 0.1$ million and $\$ 2.9$ million, respectively, and the benefit for net loss for 2010 totaled $\$ 12.6$ million. The deferred tax valuation allowance was decreased or increased by a like amount, and therefore there was no change in the carrying value of deferred tax assets (see "Critical Accounting Estimates - Deferred Tax Assets"). We anticipate that we will be able to place increased reliance on our forecast of future taxable earnings, which would result in realization of future tax benefits (see "Note L - Income Taxes" to the Company's consolidated financial statements).

## Capital Resources

Table 8 summarizes the Company's capital position and selected ratios. The Company's equity capital at December 31, 2012 totaled $\$ 165.5$ million and the ratio of shareholders' equity to period end total assets was 7.62 percent, compared with 7.96 percent at December 31, 2011, and 8.25 percent at December 31, 2010. Seacoast's management uses certain "non-GAAP" financial measures in its analysis of the Company's capital adequacy. Seacoast's management uses this measure to assess the quality of capital and believes that investors may find it useful in their analysis of the Company. This capital measure is not necessarily comparable to similar capital measures that may be presented by other companies.

The Company's capital position remains strong, meeting the general definition of "well capitalized", with a total risk-based capital ratio of 18.33 percent at December 31, 2012, slightly lower than December 31, 2011's ratio of 18.77 percent and higher than 17.84 percent at December 31, 2010. The Bank agreed with its primary regulator, the OCC, to maintain a Tier 1 capital (to adjusted average assets) ("leverage ratio") ratio of at least 7.50 percent and a total risk-based capital ratio of at least 12.00 percent as of March 31, 2009. Subsequently, as of January 31, 2010, following our capital raise, the Bank agreed to maintain a leverage ratio minimum of 8.50 percent. As of December 31, 2012, the Bank's leverage ratio was 9.72 percent, compared to 9.79 percent at December 31, 2011 and 9.29 percent at December 31, 2010. The agreement with the OCC as to minimum capital ratios does not change the Bank's status as "well-capitalized" for bank regulatory purposes, to which the Bank is currently in compliance.

The Company and Seacoast National are subject to various general regulatory policies and requirements relating to the payment of dividends, including requirements to maintain adequate capital above regulatory minimums. The appropriate federal bank regulatory authority may prohibit the payment of dividends where it has determined that the payment of dividends would be an unsafe or unsound practice. The Company is a legal entity separate and distinct from Seacoast National and its other subsidiaries, and the Company's primary source of cash and liquidity, other than securities offerings and borrowings, is dividends from its bank subsidiary. Prior OCC approval presently is required for any payments of dividends from Seacoast National to the Company.

The OCC and the Federal Reserve have policies that encourage banks and bank holding companies to pay dividends from current earnings, and have the general authority to limit the dividends paid by national banks and bank holding companies, respectively, if such payment may be deemed to constitute an unsafe or unsound practice. If, in the particular circumstances, either of these federal regulators determined that the payment of dividends would constitute an unsafe or unsound banking practice, either the OCC or the Federal Reserve may, among other things, issue a cease and desist order prohibiting the payment of dividends by Seacoast National or us, respectively. Under a recently adopted Federal Reserve policy, the board of directors of a bank holding company must consider different factors to ensure that its dividend level is prudent relative to the organization's financial position and is not based on overly optimistic earnings scenarios such as any potential events that may occur before the payment date that could affect its ability to pay, while still maintaining a strong financial position. As a general matter, the Federal Reserve has indicated that the board of directors of a bank holding company, such as Seacoast, should consult with the Federal Reserve and eliminate, defer, or significantly reduce the bank holding company's dividends if: (i) its net income available to shareholders for the past four quarters, net of dividends previously paid during that period, is not sufficient
to fully fund the dividends; (ii) its prospective rate of earnings retention is not consistent with its capital needs and overall current and prospective financial condition; or (iii) it will not meet, or is in danger of not meeting, its minimum regulatory capital adequacy ratios.

Since May 19, 2009, based on discussions with the Federal Reserve and a review of adopted Federal Reserve policies related to dividends and other distributions, cash dividends on our outstanding common stock have been suspended (and continue to be suspended at this time). On August 15, 2011, the Federal Reserve approved payment of deferred dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock and deferred interest payments on our trust preferred securities. As a result, we remitted a payment for the Series A Preferred Stock of $\$ 6,614,000$ and interest payments on the trust preferred securities aggregating to $\$ 2,675,000$ during the third quarter of 2011, bringing the Company's payment obligations on these securities current. At December 31, 2012, the Company has paid and is current on all dividends and interest payments on its Series A Preferred Stock and trust preferred securities. The Company is required to continue to consult with the Federal Reserve and will seek approval each quarter before making payments.

On March 28, 2012, the U.S. Treasury conducted an auction for sale of their investment in six banks in the Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP") Capital Purchase Program ("CPP"), including their $\$ 50$ million investment in Seacoast Series A Preferred Stock. The auction concluded on April 3, 2012, with the U.S. Treasury successful in selling all of its investment in the Company's Series A Preferred Stock. On May 30, 2012, the U.S. Treasury also sold its rights to the warrant issued under the TARP CPP for purchase of 589,625 shares of the Company's common stock to the Company for $\$ 81,000$, net of related expenses.

Our $\$ 50$ million in Series A Preferred Stock is an important component of our capital structure. We continue to believe that the achievement of our earnings and asset quality objectives are a priority and may precede any decision by us to repurchase the Series A Preferred Stock. We regularly consider various scenarios for repurchase of the Series A Preferred Stock, based on our outlook for earnings and asset quality. Our outlook currently suggests that repurchase of the Series A Preferred Stock in smaller installments may be a possibility. An important consideration will be the recovery of our deferred tax valuation allowance which could significantly improve our tangible common equity. Another important consideration will be the future capacity and ability of Seacoast National to pay dividends to the Company.

## Financial Condition

Total assets increased $\$ 36,554,000$ or 1.7 percent to $\$ 2,173,929,000$ at December 31, 2012, after increasing \$120,994,000 or 6.0 percent to $\$ 2,137,375,000$ in 2011.

## Loan Portfolio

Table 9 shows total loans (net of unearned income) for commercial and residential real estate, commercial and financial and consumer loans outstanding.

The Company defines commercial real estate in accordance with the guidance on "Concentrations in Commercial Real Estate Lending" (the "Guidance") issued by the federal bank regulatory agencies in 2006, which defines commercial real estate ("CRE") loans as exposures secured by land development and construction, including 1-4 family residential construction, multi-family property, and non-farm nonresidential property where the primary or a significant source of repayment is derived from rental income associated with the property (i.e. loans for which 50 percent or more of the source of repayment comes from third party, non-affiliated, rental income) or the proceeds of the sale, refinancing, or permanent financing of the property. Loans to real estate investment trusts, or "REITs", and unsecured loans to developers that closely correlate to the inherent risks in CRE markets would also be considered CRE loans under the Guidance. Loans on owner occupied CRE are generally excluded.

Total loans (net of unearned income and excluding the allowance for loan losses) were $\$ 1,226,081,000$ at December 31, 2012, $\$ 18,007,000$ or 1.5 percent more than at December 31, 2011, and were $\$ 1,208,074,000$ at December 31, 2011, $\$ 32,534,000$ or 2.6 percent lower than at December 31, 2010.

Loan production of $\$ 287$ million was retained in the loan portfolio during the twelve months ended December 31, 2012. The Company continues to look for opportunities to invest excess liquidity and believes
the best current use is to fund loan growth. We have added 11 new commercial relationship managers over the past twelve months that will further help in increasing loan growth in 2013, and prospectively. In comparison, overall loan growth was negative when comparing outstanding balances at December 31, 2011 and 2010, a result of the economic recession, including lower demand for commercial loans, and the Company's successful divestiture of specific problem loans (including residential construction and land development loans) through loan sales. Total problem loans sold in 2012 and 2011 totaled $\$ 9$ million and $\$ 28$ million, respectively, with the Company reducing its exposure to CRE loans and improving its overall risk profile.

As shown in the supplemental loan table below, construction and land development loans increased $\$ 11.5$ million or 23.4 percent to $\$ 60.7$ million from December 31, 2011. The primary cause for the increase in construction and land development loans was an increase in construction and land development loans to individuals for personal residences of $\$ 12.3$ million or 46.2 percent to $\$ 38.9$ million. Total outstanding balances for commercial construction and land development loans for residential and commercial properties was slightly lower year over year, declining $\$ 0.8$ million from December 31, 2011.

Construction and land development loans, including loans secured by commercial real estate, were comprised of the following types of loans at December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| December 31 (In millions) | 2012 |  |  | 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Funded | Unfunded | Total | Funded | Unfunded | Total |
| Construction and land development Residential: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Condominiums | \$ 0.0 | \$ 0.0 | \$ 0.0 | \$ 0.0 | \$ 0.0 | \$ 0.0 |
| Town homes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Single family residences | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Single family land and lots | 5.6 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 6.2 |
| Multifamily | 4.3 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 5.1 |
|  | 9.9 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 11.3 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office buildings | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Retail trade | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Land | 9.6 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 9.3 |
| Industrial | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Healthcare | 1.8 | 8.9 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Churches and educational facilities | 0.5 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Lodging | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Convenience stores | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 2.0 |
| Marina | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 11.9 | 11.2 | 23.1 | 11.3 | 0.8 | 12.1 |
| Total residential and commercial construction and land development. | 21.8 | 11.2 | 33.0 | 22.6 | 0.8 | 23.4 |
| Individuals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lot loans | 16.7 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 17.9 |
| Construction | 22.2 | 17.7 | 39.9 | 8.7 | 17.6 | 26.3 |
|  | 38.9 | 17.7 | 56.6 | 26.6 | 17.6 | 44.2 |
| Total . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | \$60.7 | \$28.9 | \$89.6 | \$49.2 | \$18.4 | \$67.6 |

Commercial real estate mortgages were lower by $\$ 21.6$ million or 4.2 percent to $\$ 486.8$ million at December 31, 2012. The Company's ten largest commercial real estate funded and unfunded loan relationships at December 31, 2012 aggregated to $\$ 115.5$ million (versus $\$ 128.7$ million a year ago) and for the 24 commercial real estate relationships in excess of $\$ 5$ million the aggregate funded and unfunded totaled $\$ 208.3$ million (compared to 25 relationships to $\$ 234.2$ million a year ago).

Commercial real estate mortgage loans, excluding construction and development loans, were comprised of the following loan types at December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| December 31 (In millions) | 2012 |  |  | 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Funded | Unfunded | Total | Funded | Unfunded | Total |
| Office buildings | \$104.7 | \$1.1 | \$105.8 | \$119.6 | \$0.9 | \$120.5 |
| Retail trade | 126.7 | 0.0 | 126.7 | 140.6 | 0.0 | 140.6 |
| Industrial | 72.6 | 0.4 | 73.0 | 70.7 | 0.0 | 70.7 |
| Healthcare | 40.7 | 1.0 | 41.7 | 38.8 | 0.9 | 39.7 |
| Churches and educational facilities | 28.6 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 27.4 | 0.0 | 27.4 |
| Recreation | 2.7 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 3.3 |
| Multifamily | 9.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 9.4 |
| Mobile home parks | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 2.2 |
| Lodging | 18.7 | 0.0 | 18.7 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 19.6 |
| Restaurant | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 4.7 |
| Agriculture | 6.1 | 1.3 | 7.4 | 8.8 | 0.8 | 9.6 |
| Convenience stores | 20.5 | 0.0 | 20.5 | 15.1 | 0.0 | 15.1 |
| Marina | 21.2 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 21.3 |
| Other | 29.8 | 0.0 | 29.8 | 27.0 | 0.2 | 27.2 |
| Total | \$486.8 | \$3.9 | \$490.7 | \$508.4 | \$2.9 | \$511.3 |

Fixed rate and adjustable rate loans secured by commercial real estate, excluding construction loans, totaled approximately $\$ 313$ million and $\$ 174$ million, respectively, at December 31, 2012, compared to $\$ 317$ million and $\$ 191$ million, respectively, a year ago.

Residential mortgage lending is an important segment of the Company's lending activities. The Company has never offered sub-prime, Alt A, Option ARM or any negative amortizing residential loans, programs or products, although we have originated and hold residential mortgage loans from borrowers with original or current FICO credit scores that are less than "prime." Substantially all residential originations have been underwritten to conventional loan agency standards, including loans having balances that exceed agency value limitations. The Company selectively adds residential mortgage loans to its portfolio, primarily loans with adjustable rates. The Company's asset mitigation staff handle all foreclosure actions together with outside legal counsel and have never had foreclosure documentation or processes questioned by any party involved in the transaction.

Exposure to market interest rate volatility with respect to long-term fixed rate mortgage loans held for investment is managed by attempting to match maturities and re-pricing opportunities and through loan sales of most fixed rate product. For the first, second, third, and fourth quarters of 2012, closed residential mortgage loan production totaled $\$ 48$ million, $\$ 66$ million, $\$ 63$ million and $\$ 73$ million, respectively, of which $\$ 20$ million, $\$ 26$ million, $\$ 34$ million and $\$ 39$ million of fixed rate loans were sold servicing released while adjustable products were added to the portfolio.

Adjustable and fixed rate residential real estate mortgages were higher at December 31, 2012, by $\$ 26.9$ million or 8.0 percent and $\$ 2.0$ million or 2.1 percent, respectively, compared to a year ago. At December 31, 2012, approximately $\$ 361$ million or 63 percent of the Company's residential mortgage balances were adjustable, compared to $\$ 334$ million or 61 percent at December 31, 2011. Loans secured by residential properties having fixed rates totaled approximately $\$ 99$ million at December 31, 2012, of which $15-$ and 30 -year mortgages totaled approximately $\$ 24$ million and $\$ 75$ million, respectively. The remaining fixed rate balances were comprised of home improvement loans, most with maturities of 10 years or less, that declined $\$ 2.3$ million or 3.8 percent since December 31, 2011. In comparison, loans secured by residential properties having fixed rates totaled approximately $\$ 97$ million at December 31, 2011, with 15- and 30-year fixed rate residential mortgages totaling approximately $\$ 27$ million and $\$ 70$ million, respectively. The Company also has a small home equity line portfolio totaling approximately $\$ 51$ million at December 31, 2012, slightly lower than the $\$ 55$ million that was outstanding at December 31, 2011.

Perhaps reflecting the impact on lending of an economy beginning to heal, commercial loans increased $\$ 8.8$ million or 16.6 percent year over year and totaled $\$ 61.9$ million at December 31, 2012, compared to $\$ 53.1$ million a year ago. Commercial lending activities are directed principally towards businesses whose demand for funds are within the Company's lending limits, such as small- to medium-sized professional firms, retail and wholesale outlets, and light industrial and manufacturing concerns. Such businesses are smaller and subject to the risks of lending to small to medium sized businesses, including, but not limited to, the effects of a downturn in the local economy, possible business failure, and insufficient cash flows.

The Company also provides consumer loans (including installment loans, loans for automobiles, boats, and other personal, family and household purposes, and indirect loans through dealers to finance automobiles) which decreased $\$ 3.7$ million or 7.3 percent year over year and totaled $\$ 46.9$ million (versus $\$ 50.6$ million a year ago). In addition, real estate construction loans to individuals secured by residential properties totaled $\$ 22.2$ million (versus $\$ 8.7$ million a year ago), and residential lot loans to individuals which totaled $\$ 16.7$ million (versus $\$ 17.9$ million a year ago).

At December 31, 2012, the Company had commitments to make loans of $\$ 118.9$ million, compared to $\$ 106.2$ million at December 31, 2011 and $\$ 90.4$ million at December 31, 2010 (see "Note P — Contingent Liabilities and Commitments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk" to the Company's consolidated financial statements).

## Loan Concentrations

Over the past four years, the Company has been pursuing an aggressive program to reduce exposure to loan types that have been most impacted by stressed market conditions in order to achieve lower levels of credit loss volatility. The program included aggressive collection efforts, loan sales and early stage loss mitigation strategies focused on the Company's largest loans. Successful execution of this program has significantly reduced our exposure to larger balance loan relationships (including multiple loans to a single borrower or borrower group). Commercial loan relationships greater than $\$ 10$ million were reduced by $\$ 301.4$ million to $\$ 87.8$ million at December 31, 2012 compared with year-end 2008.

|  | December 31 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Performing | \$ 77,321 | \$ 84,610 | \$112,469 | \$145,797 | \$374,241 |
| Performing TDR* | 10,431 | 25,494 | 28,286 | 31,152 | 0 |
| Nonaccrual | 0 | 0 | 20,913 | 28,525 | 14,873 |
| Total | \$ 87,752 | \$110,104 | \$161,668 | \$205,474 | \$389,114 |
| Top 10 Customer Loan Relationships | \$115,506 | \$128,739 | \$151,503 | \$173,162 | \$228,800 |

* $\mathrm{TDR}=$ Troubled debt restructures

Commercial loan relationships greater than $\$ 10$ million as a percent of tier 1 capital and the allowance for loan losses totaled 37.5 percent at December 31, 2012, compared with 45.8 percent at year-end 2011, 66.5 percent at year-end 2010, 85.9 percent at year-end $2009,162.1$ percent at the end of 2008 and 258.1 percent at the end of 2007.

Concentrations in total construction and development loans and total commercial real estate (CRE) loans have also been substantially reduced. As shown in the table below, under regulatory guidance for construction and land development and commercial real estate loan concentrations as a percentage of total risk based capital, Seacoast National's loan portfolio in these categories (as defined in the guidance) have improved.

|  | December 31 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Construction and land development loans to total risk based capital | 28\% | 22\% | 39\% | 81\% | 206\% |
| CRE loans to total risk based capital | 164\% | 174\% | 218\% | 274\% | 389\% |

## Deposits and Borrowings

The Company's balance sheet continues to be primarily core funded. The Company continues to utilize a focused retail and commercial deposit growth strategy that has successfully generated core deposit relationships and increased services per household since its implementation in the first quarter of 2008. Newly acquired personal checking relationships were up 9.4 percent and new commercial business checking relationships increased 21.9 percent during 2012 compared to 2011 . In comparison, new personal checking relationships were up 20.2 percent and new commercial business checking relationships increased 27.3 percent during 2011 compared to 2010.

Total deposits increased $\$ 40,220,000$, or 2.3 percent, to $\$ 1,758,961,000$ at December 31, 2012 compared to one year earlier, and increased $\$ 81,513,000$, or 5.0 percent, to $\$ 1,718,741,000$ at December 31,2011 when compared to December 31, 2010. Declining single service time deposits have been more than offset by increasing low cost or no cost deposits. Since December 31, 2011, interest bearing deposits (NOW, savings and money markets deposits) increased $\$ 95,881,000$ or 10.4 percent to $\$ 1,018,242,000$, noninterest bearing demand deposits increased $\$ 94,477,000$ or 28.8 percent to $\$ 422,833,000$, and CDs decreased $\$ 150,138,000$ or 32.1 percent to $\$ 317,886,000$. The Company has historically priced CDs conservatively and has continued to follow this strategy.

Securities sold under repurchase agreements increased over the past twelve months by $\$ 551,000$ or 0.4 percent to $\$ 136,803,000$ at December 31, 2012. Repurchase agreements are offered by Seacoast National to select customers who wish to sweep excess balances on a daily basis for investment purposes. Funds from local government entities comprise a significant amount of the outstanding balance, with safety a major concern for these customers. At December 31, 2012, the number of sweep repurchase accounts was 146, compared to 168 a year ago.

At December 31, 2012, other borrowings were comprised of subordinated debt of $\$ 53.6$ million related to trust preferred securities issued by trusts organized by the Company, and advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of $\$ 50.0$ million. The FHLB advances mature in 2017. For 2012 and 2011, the weighted average cost of our FHLB advances was 3.22 percent, unchanged.

The Company has two wholly owned trust subsidiaries, SBCF Capital Trust I and SBCF Statutory Trust II that were both formed in 2005. In 2007, the Company formed an additional wholly owned trust subsidiary, SBCF Statutory Trust III. The 2005 trusts each issued $\$ 20.0$ million (totaling $\$ 40.0$ million) of trust preferred securities and the 2007 trust issued an additional $\$ 12.0$ million in trust preferred securities. All trust preferred securities are guaranteed by the Company on a junior subordinated basis. The Federal Reserve's rules permit qualified trust preferred securities and other restricted capital elements to be included as Tier 1 capital up to 25 percent of core capital, net of goodwill and intangibles. The Company believes that its trust preferred securities qualify under these revised regulatory capital rules and expects that it will be able to treat all $\$ 52.0$ million of trust preferred securities as Tier 1 capital. For regulatory purposes, the trust preferred securities are added to the Company's tangible common shareholders' equity to calculate Tier 1 capital. The weighted average interest rate of our outstanding subordinated debt related to trust preferred securities was 1.93 percent during 2012, compared to 1.71 percent during 2011. The Company also formed SBCF Capital Trust IV and SBCF Capital Trust V in 2008, however both are currently inactive.

## Effects of Inflation and Changing Prices

The condensed consolidated financial statements and related financial data presented herein have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars, without considering changes in the relative purchasing power of money, over time, due to inflation.

Unlike most industrial companies, virtually all of the assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a more significant impact on a financial institution's performance than the general level of inflation. However, inflation affects financial institutions by increasing their cost of goods and services purchased, as well as the cost of salaries and benefits, occupancy expense, and similar items. Inflation and related increases in interest rates generally decrease the market value of investments and loans held and may adversely affect liquidity, earnings, and shareholders' equity. Mortgage
originations and re-financings tend to slow as interest rates increase, and higher interest rates likely will reduce the Company's earnings from such activities and the income from the sale of residential mortgage loans in the secondary market.

## Securities

Information related to yields, maturities, carrying values and unrealized gains (losses) of the Company's securities is set forth in Tables $15-18$.

At December 31, 2012, the Company had no trading securities, $\$ 643,050,000$ in securities available for sale (representing 97.9 percent of total securities), and securities held for investment of $\$ 13,818,000$ (2.1 percent of total securities). The Company's securities portfolio decreased $\$ 11.5$ million or 1.7 percent from December 31, 2011, the primary cause being the sale of securities during the first and second quarters of 2012 and principal repayments. During 2011, the securities portfolio increased $\$ 206.3$ million or 44.7 percent from December 31, 2010, as a result of deposit growth and weak loan demand.

As part of the Company's interest rate risk management process, an average duration for the securities portfolio is targeted. In addition, securities are acquired which return principal monthly that can be reinvested. Agency and private label mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations comprise $\$ 646,410,000$ of total securities, approximately 98.4 percent of the portfolio. Remaining securities are largely comprised of U.S. Treasury, U.S. Government agency securities and tax-exempt bonds issued by states, counties and municipalities.

The effective duration of the investment portfolio at December 31, 2012 was 2.6 years, compared to 2.3 years at December 31, 2011 and management believes it will maintain an effective duration between 2.0 to 3.0 years over 2013.

Cash and due from banks and interest bearing deposits (aggregated) totaled \$174,987,000 at December 31, 2012, compared to $\$ 167,081,000$ at December 31, 2011. The Company has maintained additional liquidity during the uncertain environment and has remaining proceeds from the securities sales that may be used to increase loans and investments as the economy continues to improve.

At December 31, 2012, available for sale securities had gross losses of \$1,902,000 and gross gains of $\$ 7,012,000$, compared to gross losses of $\$ 3,840,000$ and gross gains of $\$ 12,355,000$ at December 31, 2011. All of the securities with unrealized losses are reviewed for other-than-temporary impairment at least quarterly. As a result of these reviews during the first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2012 and 2011, it was determined that the unrealized losses were not other than temporarily impaired and the Company has the intent and ability to retain these securities until recovery over the periods presented (see additional discussion under "Critical Accounting Estimates-Fair Value and Other than Temporary Impairment of Securities Classified as Available for Sale").

Company management considers the overall quality of the securities portfolio to be high. The Company has no exposure to securities with subprime collateral. The Company holds no interests in trust preferred securities.

## Fourth Quarter Review

Net loss available to common shareholders (after preferred dividends and accretion of preferred stock discount) for the fourth quarter of 2012 totaled $\$ 697,000$ or $\$ 0.01$ per average common diluted share, compared to the third and second quarter 2012's net loss of $\$ 490,000$ or $\$ 0.01$ per average common diluted share and $\$ 3,272,000$ or $\$ 0.03$ per average common diluted share, respectively, and first quarter 2012's net income available to common shareholders of $\$ 1,000$ or $\$ 0.00$ per average common diluted share. The net loss available to common shareholders in the fourth quarter of 2012 compared to income in 2011's fourth quarter of $\$ 1,611,000$ or $\$ 0.02$ per average common diluted share. The performance for the fourth quarter of 2012 included organizational and branch consolidation costs of $\$ 491,000$ and a loss on sale of a commercial loan of $\$ 1,238,000$. The loan sale was settled shortly after year-end.

Our net interest margin of 3.22 percent increased 5 basis points during the fourth quarter of 2012 from the third quarter of 2012, but was 20 basis points lower when compared to fourth quarter 2011's result. The Company has continued to benefit from lower rates paid for interest bearing liabilities due to the Federal

Reserve's reduction in interest rates, as well as, an improved mix of deposits, but a changing earning assets mix and declining yields has been more than offsetting. The average cost of interest bearing liabilities was 7 basis points lower for the fourth quarter 2012 compared to the third quarter of 2012, and 35 basis points lower compared to the fourth quarter of 2011. The yield on earning assets declined by 1 basis point during the fourth quarter of 2012, compared to the third quarter of 2012 , and was 51 basis points lower than for the fourth quarter of 2011. Loan demand has been better during 2012 compared to 2011, with improved loan production year over year each quarter, including the fourth quarter. We expect loan production will continue to improve in 2013, which should assist in stabilizing our yield on earning assets, however our ability to continue to obtain meaningful reductions in the cost of our deposits is diminishing, and rates are not predicted to go significantly lower in 2013. Cash liquidity was higher year over year for the fourth quarter, in part due to securities sales earlier in 2012 and deposit growth, and will be a good source of funds in 2013 for expected loan production.

Noninterest income (excluding the change in fair value on loan available for sale and securities gains) totaled $\$ 5.6$ million for the fourth quarter of 2012, compared to $\$ 5.7$ million for the third quarter of 2012, $\$ 5.2$ million for the second quarter of $2012, \$ 4.9$ million for the first quarter of 2012 , and $\$ 4.9$ million for the fourth quarter of 2011. Signs of improved stability in home prices and greater transaction volumes resulted in fee income from residential real estate production increasing by $\$ 350,000$ over fourth quarter 2011's result. Service charges on deposit accounts were \$78,000 higher when compared to fourth quarter 2011 and interchange income increased $\$ 204,000$ over the same period. Service charges and fees derived from customer relationships increased as a result of more accounts and households as a result of our retail deposit growth strategy. Revenue from wealth management services was $\$ 96,000$ higher when compared to fourth quarter 2011, but marine finance fees were $\$ 75,000$ lower over the same period. Consumer activity and spending has been more robust despite weak economic conditions and directly affects many of the Company's fee-based business activities.

Noninterest expenses decreased by $\$ 0.5$ million versus third quarter 2012's result, and were $\$ 0.2$ million lower when compared to the fourth quarter of 2011. During the third and fourth quarters of 2012, the Company accelerated activities related to office consolidations and other ways to adjust its cost structure. During the third quarter management's organizational structure was streamlined and the Company announced the consolidation of four offices, resulting in severance and other organizational costs of $\$ 832,000$ and branch consolidation costs of $\$ 232,000$ impacting overhead in the third quarter of 2012, and an additional $\$ 84,000$ and $\$ 407,000$, respectively, for these costs impacting overhead in the fourth quarter of 2012. In comparison, fourth quarter 2011's results included severance costs of $\$ 412,000$, slightly lower than for the fourth quarter this year. Overhead related to asset dispositions expense and losses on OREO and repossessed assets (on an aggregate basis) were lower compared to fourth quarter 2011, by $\$ 1,172,000$. This reduction reflects the decrease in OREO assets in 2012, versus 2011. Increases to overhead from the fourth quarter of 2011 were primarily a result of employee benefits (higher by $\$ 413,000$ or 28.5 percent), reflecting higher group health costs of $\$ 266,000$ and 401 K salary match of $\$ 93,000$ for the fourth quarter of 2012 , and outsourced data processing costs (higher by $\$ 227,000$ ), reflecting higher transaction activity due to a greater number of households year over year.

A provision for loan losses of $\$ 1.1$ million was recorded in the fourth quarter of 2012, compared to provisioning of $\$ 0.9$ million for the third quarter of 2012, $\$ 2.3$ million and $\$ 6.5$ million for the first and second quarters of 2012 , respectively, and $\$ 0.4$ million for the fourth quarter of 2011 . Provisions for loans losses were higher during the second quarter of 2012, when we took write downs and added specific reserves for loans that could be resolved by year-end 2012. Net charge-offs for the fourth quarter were higher by $\$ 1.2$ million due to the implementation of regulatory guidance related to consumer bankruptcies issued by the OCC at the end of the third quarter of 2012. The allowance for loan losses to loans outstanding ratio at December 31, 2012 was 1.80 percent compared to 2.12 percent a year earlier, slightly lower and reflecting improvements in credit quality.

Table 1 - Condensed Income Statement*

|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Tax equivalent basis) |  |  |
| Net interest income | 3.07\% | 3.25\% | 3.20\% |
| Provision for loan losses | 0.51 | 0.10 | 1.52 |
| Noninterest income |  |  |  |
| Securities gains, net | 0.36 | 0.06 | 0.18 |
| Change in fair value of loan held for sale | (0.06) | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Other | 1.01 | 0.89 | 0.87 |
| Noninterest expense | 3.89 | 3.77 | 4.31 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (0.02) | $\overline{0.33}$ | (1.58) |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes including tax equivalent adjustment. | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Net income (loss) | $\underline{\underline{(0.03)}} \%$ | 0.32\% | (1.60) \% |

* As a Percent of Average Assets

Table 2 - Changes in Average Earning Assets

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Increase/(Decrease) } \\ 2012 \text { vs } 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Increase/(Decrease) } \\ & 2011 \text { vs } 2010 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable | \$12,068 | 2.1\% | \$ 163,271 | 39.6\% |
| Nontaxable | $(1,373)$ | (41.0) | $(2,071)$ | (38.2) |
| Federal funds sold and other investments | 36,589 | 22.4 | $(66,479)$ | (28.9) |
| Loans, net | 11,321 | 0.9 | $(110,890)$ | (8.4) |
| TOTAL | \$58,605 | 3.0 | \$ (16,169) | (0.8) |

Table 3 - Rate/Volume Analysis (on a Tax Equivalent Basis)

|  | 2012 vs 2011 Due to Change in: |  |  | 2011 vs 2010 Due to Change in: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume | Rate | Total | Volume | Rate | Total |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) Amount of increase (decrease) |  |  |  |  |  |
| EARNING ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable | \$ 327 | \$(3,863) | \$(3,536) | \$ 5,232 | $(1,613)$ | \$ 3,619 |
| Nontaxable | (86) | (6) | (92) | (132) | 1 | (131) |
|  | 241 | $(3,869)$ | $(3,628)$ | 5,100 | $(1,612)$ | 3,488 |
| Federal funds sold and other investments | 175 | (19) | 156 | (305) | 123 | (182) |
| Loans, net | 560 | $(4,632)$ | $(4,072)$ | $(5,757)$ | $(1,351)$ | $(7,108)$ |
| TOTAL EARNING ASSETS | 976 | $(8,520)$ | $(7,544)$ | (962) | $(2,840)$ | $(3,802)$ |
| INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOW | 61 | (370) | (309) | (21) | (455) | (476) |
| Savings deposits | 30 | (12) | 18 | 26 | (79) | (53) |
| Money market accounts | 69 | (627) | (558) | (120) | (932) | $(1,052)$ |
| Time deposits | $(1,739)$ | $(2,907)$ | $(4,646)$ | $(1,150)$ | $(1,580)$ | $(2,730)$ |
|  | $(1,579)$ | $(3,916)$ | $(5,495)$ | $(1,265)$ | $(3,046)$ | $(4,311)$ |
| Federal funds purchased and other short term borrowings | 87 | (23) | 64 | 52 | (13) | 39 |
| Other borrowings | 0 | (44) | (44) | 0 | (104) | (104) |
| TOTAL INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES | $(1,492)$ | $(3,983)$ | $(5,475)$ | $(1,213)$ | $(3,163)$ | $(4,376)$ |
| NET INTEREST INCOME | \$2,468 | $\underline{\underline{\text { (4,537 }}}$ | $\underline{\text { \$(2,069) }}$ | \$ 251 | \$ 323 | \$ 574 |

(1) Changes attributable to rate/volume are allocated to rate and volume on an equal basis.

Table 4 - Changes in Average Interest Bearing Liabilities

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Increase/(Decrease) } \\ 2012 \text { vs } 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Increase/(Decrease) 2011 vs 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (Dollars | housands) |  |
| NOW | \$ | 32,033 | 8.0\% | \$ $(7,306)$ | (1.8)\% |
| Savings deposits |  | 28,239 | 22.6 | 18,180 | 17.1 |
| Money market accounts |  | 22,064 | 6.9 | $(21,942)$ | (6.5) |
| Time deposits |  | $(128,263)$ | (25.0) | $(63,002)$ | (10.9) |
| Federal funds purchased borrowings . . . . . . |  | 35,097 | 33.0 | 19,389 | 22.3 |
| Other borrowings |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL |  | $\underline{(10,830)}$ | (0.7) | $\underline{\text { (54,681) }}$ | (3.4) |

Table 5 - Three Year Summary

## Average Balances, Interest Income and Expenses, Yields and Rates ${ }^{(1)}$


(1) The tax equivalent adjustment is based on a $35 \%$ tax rate.
(2) Nonperforming loans are included in average loan balances. Fees on loans are included in interest on loans.

Table 6 - Noninterest Income

|  | Year Ended |  |  | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 12/11 | 11/10 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ 6,245 | \$ 6,262 | \$ 5,925 | (0.3)\% | 5.7\% |
| Trust fees | 2,279 | 2,111 | 1,977 | 8.0 | 6.8 |
| Mortgage banking fees | 3,710 | 2,140 | 2,119 | 73.4 | 1.0 |
| Brokerage commissions and fees | 1,071 | 1,122 | 1,174 | (4.5) | (4.4) |
| Marine finance fees | 1,111 | 1,209 | 1,334 | (8.1) | (9.4) |
| Interchange income | 4,501 | 3,808 | 3,163 | 18.2 | 20.4 |
| Other deposit based EFT fees | 336 | 318 | 321 | 5.7 | (0.9) |
| Other | 2,191 | 1,375 | 2,121 | 59.3 | (35.2) |
|  | 21,444 | 18,345 | 18,134 | 16.9 | 1.2 |
| Loss on sale of commercial loan | $(1,238)$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Securities gains, net | 7,619 | 1,220 | 3,687 | 524.5 | (66.9) |
| TOTAL | \$27,825 | \$19,565 | \$21,821 | 42.2 | (10.3) |

[^1]
## Table 7 - NonInterest Expense

|  | Year Ended |  |  | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 12/11 | 11/10 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and wages | \$29,935 | \$27,288 | \$26,408 | 9.7\% | 3.3\% |
| Employee benefits | 7,710 | 5,875 | 5,717 | 31.2 | 2.8 |
| Outsourced data processing costs | 7,382 | 6,583 | 5,981 | 12.1 | 10.1 |
| Telephone/data lines | 1,178 | 1,179 | 1,505 | (0.1) | (21.7) |
| Occupancy | 8,146 | 7,627 | 7,480 | 6.8 | 2.0 |
| Furniture and equipment | 2,319 | 2,291 | 2,398 | 1.2 | (4.5) |
| Marketing | 3,095 | 2,917 | 2,910 | 6.1 | 0.2 |
| Legal and professional fees | 5,241 | 6,137 | 7,977 | (14.6) | (23.1) |
| FDIC assessments | 2,805 | 3,013 | 3,958 | (6.9) | (23.9) |
| Amortization of intangibles | 788 | 847 | 985 | (7.0) | (14.0) |
| Asset dispositions expense | 1,459 | 2,281 | 2,268 | (36.0) | 0.6 |
| Net loss on other real estate owned and repossessed assets | 3,467 | 3,751 | 13,541 | (7.6) | (72.3) |
| Other | 9,023 | 7,974 | 8,428 | 13.2 | (5.4) |
| TOTAL | \$82,548 | \$77,763 | \$89,556 | 6.2 | (13.2) |

## Table 8 - Capital Resources

|  | December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |
| TIER 1 CAPITAL |  |  |  |
| Common stock | \$ 9,484 | \$ 9,469 | \$ 9,349 |
| Preferred stock | 48,746 | 47,497 | 46,248 |
| Warrant for purchase of common stock | 0 | 3,123 | 3,123 |
| Additional paid in capital | 222,851 | 218,925 | 218,399 |
| Accumulated (deficit) | $(118,611)$ | $(114,152)$ | $(112,652)$ |
| Treasury stock | (62) | (13) | (1) |
| Qualifying trust preferred securities | 52,000 | 52,000 | 52,000 |
| Intangibles | $(1,501)$ | $(2,289)$ | $(3,137)$ |
| Other | $(1,068)$ | 284 | $(7,965)$ |
| TOTAL TIER 1 CAPITAL | 211,839 | 214,844 | 205,364 |
| TIER 2 CAPITAL |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses, as limited ${ }^{(1)}$ | 15,589 | 15,459 | 15,766 |
| TOTAL TIER 2 CAPITAL | 15,589 | 15,459 | 15,766 |
| TOTAL RISK-BASED CAPITAL | \$ 227,428 | \$ 230,303 | \$ 221,130 |
| Risk weighted assets | \$1,240,593 | \$1,226,547 | \$1,239,245 |
| Tier 1 risk based capital ratio | 17.08\% | 17.51\% | 16.57\% |
| Total risk based capital ratio | 18.33 | 18.77 | 17.84 |
| Regulatory minimum | 8.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
| Tier 1 capital to adjusted total assets | 10.04 | 10.31 | 10.25 |
| Regulatory minimum | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Shareholders' equity to assets | 7.62 | 7.96 | 8.25 |
| Average shareholders' equity to average total assets | 7.81 | 8.01 | 8.27 |

(1) Includes reserve for unfunded commitments of $\$ 29,000$ at December 31, 2012, $\$ 44,000$ at December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Table 9 - Loans Outstanding

|  | December 31 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|  |  |  | (In thousands) |  |  |
| Construction and land development |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | \$ 9,902 | \$ 11,255 | \$ 14,025 | \$ 47,599 | \$ 129,899 |
| Commercial | 11,907 | 11,338 | 33,773 | 77,469 | 209,297 |
|  | 21,809 | 22,593 | 47,798 | 125,068 | 339,196 |
| Individuals | 38,927 | 26,591 | 31,508 | 37,800 | 56,047 |
|  | 60,736 | 49,184 | 79,306 | 162,868 | 395,243 |
| Commercial real estate | 486,828 | 508,353 | 543,603 | 584,217 | 557,705 |
| Real estate mortgage |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjustable | 361,005 | 334,140 | 303,320 | 289,378 | 328,992 |
| Fixed rate | 98,976 | 96,952 | 82,559 | 88,645 | 95,456 |
| Home equity mortgages | 57,955 | 60,253 | 73,382 | 86,771 | 84,810 |
| Home equity lines | 51,395 | 54,901 | 57,733 | 60,066 | 58,502 |
|  | 569,331 | 546,246 | 516,994 | 524,860 | 567,760 |
| Commercial and financial | 61,903 | 53,105 | 48,825 | 61,058 | 82,765 |
| Installment loans to individuals |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automobiles and trucks | 7,761 | 8,736 | 10,874 | 15,322 | 20,798 |
| Marine loans | 18,446 | 19,932 | 19,806 | 26,423 | 25,992 |
| Other | 20,723 | 21,943 | 20,922 | 22,279 | 26,118 |
|  | 46,930 | 50,611 | 51,602 | 64,024 | 72,908 |
| Other loans | 353 | 575 | 278 | 476 | 347 |
| TOTAL | \$1,226,081 | \$1,208,074 | \$1,240,608 | \$1,397,503 | \$1,676,728 |

## Table 10 - Loan Maturity Distribution

|  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial and Financial | Construction and Land Development | Total |
|  |  | (In thousands) |  |
| In one year or less | \$ 9,241 | \$19,694 | \$ 28,935 |
| After one year but within five years: |  |  |  |
| Interest rates are floating or adjustable | 1,507 | 18,399 | 19,906 |
| Interest rates are fixed | 28,283 | 5,655 | 33,938 |
| In five years or more: |  |  |  |
| Interest rates are floating or adjustable | 0 | 7,032 | 7,032 |
| Interest rates are fixed | 22,872 | 9,956 | 32,828 |
| TOTAL | $\underline{\underline{\$ 61,903}}$ | $\underline{\text { \$60,736 }}$ | \$122,639 |

Table 11 - Maturity of Certificates of Deposit of $\$ 100,000$ or More

|  | December 31 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | \% of Total | 2011 | \% of Total |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Maturity Group: |  |  |  |  |
| Under 3 Months | \$ 36,519 | 27.1\% | \$ 67,120 | 30.2\% |
| 3 to 6 Months | 25,007 | 18.5 | 65,463 | 29.4 |
| 6 to 12 Months | 26,246 | 19.5 | 57,090 | 25.6 |
| Over 12 Months | 46,999 | 34.9 | 32,942 | 14.8 |
| TOTAL | \$134,771 | 100.0\% | \$222,615 | 100.0\% |

Table 12 - Summary of Loan Loss Experience

|  | Year Ended December 31 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|  |  |  | ollars in thousand |  |  |
| Beginning balance | \$ 25,565 | \$ 37,744 | \$ 45,192 | \$ 29,388 | \$ 21,902 |
| Provision for loan losses | 10,796 | 1,974 | 31,680 | 124,767 | 88,634 |
| Charge offs: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction and land development | 612 | 4,739 | 18,135 | 38,906 | 72,191 |
| Commercial real estate | 8,539 | 3,663 | 11,162 | 31,080 | 3,384 |
| Residential real estate | 8,381 | 7,482 | 10,797 | 36,282 | 5,051 |
| Commercial and financial | 346 | 0 | 759 | 3,337 | 2,251 |
| Consumer | 410 | 562 | 775 | 1,221 | 502 |
| TOTAL CHARGE OFFS | 18,288 | 16,446 | 41,628 | 110,826 | 83,379 |
| Recoveries: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction and land development | 341 | 1,053 | 483 | 578 | 1,858 |
| Commercial real estate | 2,702 | 354 | 517 | 293 | 0 |
| Residential real estate | 738 | 513 | 861 | 529 | 55 |
| Commercial and financial | 129 | 301 | 424 | 197 | 222 |
| Consumer | 121 | 72 | 215 | 266 | 96 |
| TOTAL RECOVERIES | 4,031 | 2,293 | 2,500 | 1,863 | 2,231 |
| Net loan charge offs (recoveries) | 14,257 | 14,153 | 39,128 | 108,963 | 81,148 |
| ENDING BALANCE | \$ 22,104 | \$ 25,565 | \$ 37,744 | \$ 45,192 | \$ 29,388 |
| Loans outstanding at end of year*. | \$1,226,081 | \$1,208,074 | \$1,240,608 | \$1,397,503 | \$1,676,728 |
| Ratio of allowance for loan losses to loans outstanding at end of year | 1.80\% | 2.12\% | 3.04\% | 3.23\% | 1.75\% |
| Daily average loans outstanding* | \$1,227,542 | \$1,216,221 | \$1,327,111 | \$1,587,273 | \$1,821,679 |
| Ratio of net charge offs (recoveries) to average loans outstanding | 1.16\% | 1.16\% | 2.95\% | 6.86\% | 4.45\% |

[^2]Table 13 - Allowance for Loan Losses

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| ALLOCATION BY LOAN TYPE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction and land development | \$ 1,134 | \$ 1,883 | \$ 7,214 |  |  |
| Commercial real estate loans | 8,849 | 11,477 | 18,563 |  |  |
| Residential real estate loans | 11,090 | 10,966 | 10,102 |  |  |
| Commercial and financial loans | 468 | 402 | 480 |  |  |
| Consumer loans | 563 | 837 | 1,385 |  |  |
| TOTAL | \$22,104 | \$25,565 | \$37,744 |  |  |
| ALLOCATION BY LOAN TYPE ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate loans |  |  |  | \$30,955 | \$17,569 |
| Residential real estate loans |  |  |  | 9,667 | 6,437 |
| Commercial and financial loans |  |  |  | 1,099 | 2,782 |
| Consumer loans |  |  |  | 3,471 | 2,600 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | \$45,192 | \$29,388 |
| YEAR END LOAN TYPES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL LOANS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction and land development | 5.0\% | 4.1\% | 6.4\% | 11.7\% | 23.6\% |
| Commercial real estate loans | 39.7 | 42.1 | 43.8 | 41.7 | 33.3 |
| Residential real estate loans | 46.5 | 45.2 | 41.7 | 37.6 | 33.8 |
| Commercial and financial loans | 5.0 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 5.0 |
| Consumer loans | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.3 |
| TOTAL | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

(1) The Company does not have the ability to restate allocation by loan type to the format for years prior to 2010.

Table 14 - Nonperforming Assets

## Financing Receivables on Nonaccrual Status

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonaccrual loans ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction and land development | \$ | 1,342 | \$ | 2,227 | \$ | 29,229 | \$ | 59,809 | \$ | 72,328 |
| Commercial real estate loans |  | 17,234 |  | 13,120 |  | 19,101 |  | 23,865 |  | 4,387 |
| Residential real estate loans |  | 22,099 |  | 12,555 |  | 14,810 |  | 12,790 |  | 10,163 |
| Commercial and financial loans |  | 0 |  | 16 |  | 4,607 |  | 535 |  | 0 |
| Consumer loans |  | 280 |  | 608 |  | 537 |  | 877 |  | 92 |
| Total |  | 40,955 |  | 28,526 |  | 68,284 |  | 97,876 |  | 86,970 |
| Other real estate owned |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction and land development |  | 2,124 |  | 10,879 |  | 15,358 |  | 19,086 |  | 1,313 |
| Commercial real estate loans |  | 6,305 |  | 7,517 |  | 8,368 |  | 3,461 |  | 0 |
| Residential real estate loans |  | 3,458 |  | 2,550 |  | 1,971 |  | 2,838 |  | 3,722 |
| Total . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 11,887 |  | 20,946 |  | 25,697 |  | 25,385 |  | 5,035 |
| TOTAL NONPERFORMING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASSETS | \$ | 52,842 | \$ | 49,472 | \$ | 93,981 | \$ | 123,261 | \$ | 92,005 |
| Amount of loans outstanding at end of year ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 226,081 |  | 208,074 |  | 240,608 |  | ,397,503 |  | 676,728 |
| Ratio of total nonperforming assets to loans outstanding and other real estate owned at end of period |  | 4.27\% |  | 4.03\% |  | 7.42\% |  | 8.66\% |  | 5.47\% |
| Accruing loans past due 90 days or more | \$ | 1 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 156 | \$ | 1,838 |
| Loans restructured and in compliance with modified terms ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | 41,946 |  | 71,611 |  | 66,350 |  | 57,433 |  | 12,616 |

(1) Interest income that could have been recorded during 2012, 2011, and 2010 related to nonaccrual loans was $\$ 1,931,000, \$ 1,178,000$, and $\$ 5,087,000$, respectively, none of which was included in interest income or net income. All nonaccrual loans are secured.
(2) Net of unearned income.
(3) Interest income that would have been recorded based on original contractual terms was $\$ 2,725,000$, $\$ 4,734,000$, and $\$ 4,187,000$, respectively, for 2012, 2011, and 2010. The amount included in interest income under the modified terms for 2012, 2011, and 2010 was $\$ 2,036,000, \$ 3,194,000$, and $\$ 2,439,000$, respectively.

|  | December 31 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amortized } \\ \text { Cost } \end{gathered}$ | Fair Value | Unrealized Gains | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Unrealized } \\ & \text { Losses } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | \$ 1,700 | \$ 1,707 | \$ 7 | \$ 0 |
| 2011 | 1,699 | 1,724 | 25 | 0 |
| Mortgage-backed securities of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 186,404 | 189,255 | 3,320 | (469) |
| 2011 | 135,665 | 138,447 | 2,819 | (37) |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 352,731 | 354,259 | 2,430 | (902) |
| 2011 | 428,139 | 436,934 | 9,111 | (316) |
| Private collateralized mortgage obligations |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 96,258 | 96,931 | 1,203 | (530) |
| 2011 | 73,247 | 70,090 | 330 | $(3,487)$ |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 847 | 898 | 51 | 0 |
| 2011 | 1,097 | 1,167 | 70 | 0 |
| Total Securities Available For Sale |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | \$637,940 | \$643,050 | \$ 7,011 | \$ 1,901 ) |
| 2011 | \$639,847 | \$648,362 | \$12,355 | $\underline{\text { \$(3,840) }}$ |

Table 16 - Securities Held For Investment

|  | December 31 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | \$ 4,687 | \$ 4,595 | \$ 0 | \$ (92) |
| 2011 | 10,475 | 10,339 | 0 | (136) |
| Private collateralized mortgage obligations |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 1,278 | 1,311 | 33 | 0 |
| 2011 | 1,840 | 1,880 | 40 | 0 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 6,353 | 7,087 | 737 | (3) |
| 2011 | 6,662 | 7,232 | 570 | 0 |
| Other Securities |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 1,500 | 1,549 | 49 | 0 |
| 2011 | 1,000 | 1,036 | 36 | 0 |
| Total Securities Held For Investment |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | \$13,818 | \$14,542 | $\underline{\text { \$819 }}$ | \$ (95) |
| 2011 | \$19,977 | \$20,487 | $\underline{\$ 646}$ | \$(136) |

Table 17 - Maturity Distribution of Securities Held For Investment

|  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Year Or Less | $\begin{aligned} & 1-5 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5-10 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | After 10 Years |  | Total | Average Maturity In Years |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMORTIZED COST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | \$4,687 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 4,687 | 0.61 |
| Private collateralized mortgage obligations | 0 | 1,278 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,278 | 4.90 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 0 | 377 | 948 | 5,028 | 0 | 6,353 | 11.97 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,500 | 1,500 | * |
| Total Securities Held For Investment | $\stackrel{\text { \$4,687 }}{ }$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 1,655}}$ | \$ 948 | $\underline{\$ 5,028}$ | $\underline{\$ 1,500}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 13,818}}$ | 6.92 |
| FAIR VALUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | \$4,595 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 4,595 |  |
| Private collateralized mortgage obligations | 0 | 1,311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,311 |  |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 0 | 377 | 1,033 | 5,677 | 0 | 7,087 |  |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,549 | 1,549 |  |
| Total Securities Held For Investment | $\underline{\$ 4,595}$ | \$1,688 | $\underline{\underline{\$ 1,033}}$ | $\underline{\text { \$5,677 }}$ | $\underline{\text { \$1,549 }}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 14,542}}$ |  |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD (FTE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}$ | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}$ |  |
| Private collateralized mortgage obligations | 0\% | 5.15\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 5.15\% |  |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 0\% | 6.58\% | 5.67\% | 4.98\% | 0\% | 5.18\% |  |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3.43\% | 3.43\% |  |
| Total Securities Held For Investment | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}$ | 5.48\% | 5.67\% | 4.98\% | 3.43\% | 2.96\% |  |

* Other Securities excluded from calculated average for total securities.
$n / m=$ not meaningful

Table 18 - Maturity Distribution of Securities Available For Sale

|  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { Year } \\ & \text { Or Less } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-5 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5-10 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { After } 10 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | Total | Average Maturity In Years |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMORTIZED COST |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | \$ 1,700 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ | \$ 1,700 | 0.37 |
| Mortgage-backed securities of <br> U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | 0 | 121,243 | 53,852 | 11,309 | 186,404 | 4.70 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | 50,104 | 248,208 | 54,419 | 0 | 352,731 | 3.27 |
| Private collateralized mortgage obligations | 2,519 | 71,586 | 17,625 | 4,528 | 96,258 | 3.48 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 0 | 441 | 406 | 0 | 847 | 5.31 |
| Total Securities Available For Sale | $\underline{\$ 54,323}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 441,478}}$ | \$126,302 | \$15,837 | $\underline{\$ 637,940}$ | 3.71 |
| FAIR VALUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | \$ 1,707 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 1,707 |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | 0 | 123,727 | 54,068 | 11,460 | 189,255 |  |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | 50,110 | 249,743 | 54,406 | 0 | 354,259 |  |
| Private collateralized mortgage obligations | 2,538 | 71,731 | 18,159 | 4,503 | 96,931 |  |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 0 | 468 | 430 | 0 | 898 |  |
| Total Securities Available For Sale | $\underline{\underline{\$ 54,355}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 445,669}}$ | $\underline{\$ 127,063}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 15,963}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 643,050}}$ |  |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD (FTE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | 1.28\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.28\% |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | 0\% | 2.12\% | 2.10\% | 1.87\% | 2.09\% |  |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | 1.89\% | 1.83\% | 2.02\% | 0\% | 1.87\% |  |
| Private collateralized mortgage obligations | 3.41\% | 3.52\% | 3.80\% | 2.20\% | 3.51\% |  |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 0\% | 6.45\% | 6.78\% | 0\% | 6.61\% |  |
| Total Securities Available For Sale | 1.94\% | 2.19\% | 2.32\% | 1.96\% | 2.19\% |  |

Table 19 - Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis ${ }^{(1)}$

|  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0-3$ <br> Months | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4-12 \\ \text { Months } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1-5 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | Over 5 Years | Total |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Federal funds sold and interest bearing deposits | \$ 129,367 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 129,367 |
| Securities ${ }^{(2)}$ | 187,037 | 101,253 | 255,166 | 108,302 | 651,758 |
| Loans, net ${ }^{(3)}$ | 213,557 | 264,111 | 633,264 | 110,215 | 1,221,147 |
| Earning assets | 529,961 | 365,364 | 888,430 | 218,517 | 2,002,272 |
| Savings deposits ${ }^{(4)}$ | 1,018,242 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,018,242 |
| Time deposits | 79,580 | 141,467 | 96,835 | 4 | 317,886 |
| Borrowings | 190,413 | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | 240,413 |
| Interest bearing liabilities | 1,288,235 | 141,467 | 146,835 | 4 | 1,576,541 |
| Interest sensitivity gap | \$ (758,274) | \$ 223,897 | $\underline{\$ 741,595}$ | $\underline{\$ 218,513}$ | \$ 425,731 |
| Cumulative gap | \$ (758,274) | \$(534,377) | \$207,218 | \$425,731 |  |
| Cumulative gap to total earning assets (\%) | (37.9) | (26.7) | 10.4 | 21.3 |  |
| Earning assets to interest bearing liabilities (\%) | 41.1 | 258.3 | 605.1 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}$ |  |

[^3]
## Stock Performance Graph

The line graph below compares the cumulative total stockholder return on Seacoast common stock for the five years ended December 31, 2012 with the cumulative total return of the NASDAQ Composite Index and the SNL Southeast Bank Index for the same period. The graph and table assume that $\$ 100$ was invested on December 31, 2007 (the last day of trading for the year ended December 31, 2007) in each of Seacoast common stock, the NASDAQ Composite Index and the SNL Southeast Bank Index. The cumulative total return represents the change in stock price and the amount of dividends received over the period, assuming all dividends were reinvested.

## Comparison of Five-Year Cumulative Return for Seacoast Common Stock, the NASDAQ Composite Index and the SNL Southeast Bank Index

## Total Return Performance



| Index | Period Ending |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12/31/07 | 12/31/08 | 12/31/09 | 12/31/10 | 12/31/11 | 12/31/12 |
| Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida | 100.00 | 66.64 | 16.50 | 14.78 | 15.39 | 16.30 |
| NASDAQ Composite. | 100.00 | 60.02 | 87.24 | 103.08 | 102.26 | 120.42 |
| SNL Southeast Bank | 100.00 | 40.48 | 40.65 | 39.47 | 23.09 | 38.36 |

## SELECTED QUARTERLY INFORMATION <br> QUARTERLY CONSOLIDATED INCOME (LOSS) STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

|  | 2012 Quarters |  |  |  | 2011 Quarters |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fourth | Third | Second | First | Fourth | Third | Second | First |
|  | (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income. | \$17,806 | \$17,825 | \$18,306 | \$19,350 | \$20,058 | \$20,278 | \$20,287 | \$20,169 |
| Interest expense | 1,598 | 1,873 | 2,299 | 2,708 | 3,084 | 3,410 | 3,746 | 3,713 |
| Net interest income | 16,208 | 15,952 | 16,007 | 16,642 | 16,974 | 16,868 | 16,541 | 16,456 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,136 | 900 | 6,455 | 2,305 | 432 | 0 | 902 | 640 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 15,072 | 15,052 | 9,552 | 14,337 | 16,542 | 16,868 | 15,639 | 15,816 |
| Noninterest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 1,677 | 1,620 | 1,487 | 1,461 | 1,599 | 1,675 | 1,546 | 1,442 |
| Trust fees | 592 | 550 | 564 | 573 | 530 | 541 | 517 | 523 |
| Mortgage banking fees | 1,030 | 1,155 | 902 | 623 | 680 | 556 | 509 | 395 |
| Brokerage commissions and fees | 292 | 247 | 298 | 234 | 258 | 321 | 223 | 320 |
| Marine finance fees | 258 | 279 | 244 | 330 | 333 | 229 | 349 | 298 |
| Interchange income | 1,157 | 1,119 | 1,154 | 1,071 | 953 | 969 | 995 | 891 |
| Other deposit based EFT fees | 83 | 70 | 84 | 99 | 78 | 71 | 79 | 90 |
| Other income. | 520 | 639 | 486 | 546 | 452 | 344 | 329 | 250 |
| Loss on sale of commercial loan | $(1,238)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Securities gains, net. | 582 | 48 | 3,615 | 3,374 | 1,083 | 137 | 0 | 0 |
| Total noninterest income | 4,953 | 5,727 | 8,834 | 8,311 | 5,966 | 4,843 | 4,547 | 4,209 |
| Noninterest expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and wages | 7,342 | 8,103 | 7,435 | 7,055 | 7,301 | 6,902 | 6,534 | 6,551 |
| Employee benefits . | 1,860 | 1,924 | 1,916 | 2,010 | 1,447 | 1,391 | 1,437 | 1,600 |
| Outsourced data processing costs | 1,904 | 1,923 | 1,834 | 1,721 | 1,677 | 1,685 | 1,699 | 1,522 |
| Telephone/data lines. | 293 | 299 | 297 | 289 | 285 | 286 | 319 | 289 |
| Occupancy | 2,241 | 2,080 | 1,943 | 1,882 | 1,795 | 1,967 | 1,919 | 1,946 |
| Furniture and equipment | 647 | 570 | 607 | 495 | 525 | 555 | 618 | 593 |
| Marketing | 707 | 785 | 677 | 926 | 947 | 551 | 667 | 752 |
| Legal and professional fees | 1,114 | 714 | 1,637 | 1,776 | 1,299 | 1,496 | 1,585 | 1,757 |
| FDIC assessments . | 697 | 695 | 707 | 706 | 679 | 687 | 688 | 959 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 195 | 196 | 196 | 201 | 212 | 211 | 212 | 212 |
| Asset dispositions expense . . . . . . . 200 364 368 527 275 479 441 1,086  <br> Net loss on other real estate owned and          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other. | 2,428 | 2,118 | 2,314 | 2,163 | 2,264 | 1,947 | 1,812 | 1,951 |
| Total noninterest expenses | 19,785 | 20,332 | 20,721 | 21,710 | 19,960 | 19,063 | 19,073 | 19,667 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 240 | 447 | $(2,335)$ | 938 | 2,548 | 2,648 | 1,113 | 358 |
| Provision for income taxes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net income (loss). | 240 | 447 | $(2,335)$ | 938 | 2,548 | 2,648 | 1,113 | 358 |
| preferred stock discount . . . . . . . . . . | 937 | 937 | 937 | 937 | 937 | 937 | 937 | 937 |
| Net income (loss) available to shareholders. | \$ (697) | \$ (490) | \$(3,272) | $\underline{\text { \$ } \quad 1}$ | \$ 1,611 | \$ 1,711 | \$ 176 | \$ (579) |
| PER COMMON SHARE DATA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) diluted | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.00 | \$ (0.01) |
| Net income (loss) basic | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.03) | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | (0.01) |
| Cash dividends declared: Common stock | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Market price common stock: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low close. | 1.39 | 1.34 | 1.38 | 1.52 | 1.28 | 1.27 | 1.49 | 1.43 |
| High close. . | 1.64 | 1.65 | 1.89 | 1.93 | 1.69 | 1.74 | 1.87 | 1.86 |
| Bid price at end of period | 1.61 | 1.59 | 1.49 | 1.76 | 1.52 | 1.47 | 1.50 | 1.58 |

## Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders
Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida:
We have audited Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida's (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying report. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, and our report dated March 13, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

## KPMG LLP

Miami, Florida
March 13, 2013
Certified Public Accountants

## Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

## Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 13, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## KPMG LLP

Miami, Florida

March 13, 2013
Certified Public Accountants

## SEACOAST BANKING CORPORATION OF FLORIDA AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME



## SEACOAST BANKING CORPORATION OF FLORIDA AND SUBSIDIARIES

 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)|  | For the Year Ended December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | \$ (710) | \$ 6,667 | \$ $(33,203)$ |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): |  |  |  |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale | 3,227 | 5,884 | 2,560 |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income | $(6,632)$ | (354) | $(2,845)$ |
| Benefit (provision) for income taxes | 1,315 | $(2,135)$ | 110 |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) | $\underline{\text { \$(2,800) }}$ | \$10,062 | \$(33,378) |

## SEACOAST BANKING CORPORATION OF FLORIDA AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

|  | December 31 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands, except share data) |  |
| ASSETS |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 45,620 | \$ 41,136 |
| Interest bearing deposits with other banks | 129,367 | 125,945 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 174,987 | 167,081 |
| Securities available for sale (at fair value) | 643,050 | 648,362 |
| Securities held for investment (fair values: \$14,542 in 2012 and \$20,487 |  |  |
| in 2011) | 13,818 | 19,977 |
| Total securities | 656,868 | 668,339 |
| Loans available for sale | 36,021 | 6,795 |
| Loans, including deferred costs of \$1,530 in 2012 and \$1,632 in 2011 | 1,226,081 | 1,208,074 |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | $(22,104)$ | $(25,565)$ |
| Net loans | 1,203,977 | 1,182,509 |
| Bank premises and equipment, net | 34,465 | 34,227 |
| Other real estate owned | 11,887 | 20,946 |
| Other intangible assets | 1,501 | 2,289 |
| Other assets | 54,223 | 55,189 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$2,173,929 | \$2,137,375 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |
| Demand deposits (noninterest bearing) | \$ 422,833 | \$ 328,356 |
| NOW | 509,371 | 469,631 |
| Savings deposits | 164,956 | 133,578 |
| Money market accounts | 343,915 | 319,152 |
| Other time deposits | 182,495 | 244,886 |
| Brokered time certificates | 8,203 | 4,558 |
| Time certificates of \$100,000 or more | 127,188 | 218,580 |
| Total deposits | 1,758,961 | 1,718,741 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreement to repurchase, maturing within 30 days | 136,803 | 136,252 |
| Borrowed funds | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| Subordinated debt | 53,610 | 53,610 |
| Other liabilities | 9,009 | 8,695 |
|  | 2,008,383 | 1,967,298 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Notes K and P) |  |  |
| SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |
| Series A preferred stock, par value $\$ 0.10$ per share - authorized |  |  |
| 4,000,000 shares, issued and outstanding 2,000 shares of Series A . . . . . . . | 48,746 | 47,497 |
| Warrant for purchase of 589,625 shares of common stock at $\$ 6.36$ per share | 0 | 3,123 |
| Common stock, par value $\$ 0.10$ per share authorized 300,000,000 shares, issued |  |  |
| 94,875,645 and outstanding 94,837,170 shares in 2012 and authorized |  |  |
| $300,000,000$ shares, issued $94,693,002$ and outstanding $94,686,801$ shares |  |  |
| Additional paid-in capital | 222,851 | 218,925 |
| Accumulated deficit | $(118,611)$ | $(114,152)$ |
| Less: Treasury stock ( 38,475 shares in 2012 and 6,201 shares in 2011), at cost . | (62) | (13) |
|  | 162,408 | 164,849 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net | 3,138 | 5,228 |
| TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | 165,546 | 170,077 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$2,173,929 | \$2,137,375 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

## SEACOAST BANKING CORPORATION OF FLORIDA AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

|  | For the Year Ended December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |
| Interest received | \$ 78,119 | \$ 81,904 | \$ 85,584 |
| Fees and commissions received | 20,814 | 18,453 | 19,588 |
| Interest paid | $(9,003)$ | $(16,211)$ | $(17,385)$ |
| Cash paid to suppliers and employees | $(71,016)$ | $(66,705)$ | $(70,329)$ |
| Income taxes received (paid) | 2 | (9) | 21,262 |
| Origination of loans designated held for sale | $(188,064)$ | $(137,295)$ | $(173,692)$ |
| Sale of loans designated held for sale | 167,921 | 143,019 | 179,585 |
| Net change in other assets | (835) | 585 | $(1,954)$ |
| Net cash (used) provided by operating activities | $(2,062)$ | 23,741 | 42,659 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |
| Maturities of securities available for sale | 133,651 | 115,287 | 134,088 |
| Maturities of securities held for investment | 6,395 | 7,733 | 6,601 |
| Proceeds from sale of securities available for sale | 256,102 | 52,689 | 102,369 |
| Proceeds from sale of securities held for investment | 0 | 0 | 5,452 |
| Purchases of securities available for sale | $(384,120)$ | $(379,262)$ | $(275,839)$ |
| Purchases of securities held for investment | (500) | $(1,526)$ | $(21,838)$ |
| Net new loans and principal payments | $(54,633)$ | $(15,248)$ | 78,357 |
| Proceeds from sale of loans | 0 | 1,450 | 16,401 |
| Proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned | 18,369 | 38,075 | 9,169 |
| Proceeds from sale of Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal |  |  |  |
| Purchase of Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal |  |  |  |
| Reserve Bank Stock | (142) | (360) | (700) |
| Additions to bank premises and equipment | $(3,839)$ | $(1,070)$ | (552) |
| Net cash (used) provided by investing activities | $(28,421)$ | $(180,869)$ | 55,985 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposits | 40,223 | 81,517 | $(142,206)$ |
| Net increase (decrease) in federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements | 551 | 38,039 | $(7,460)$ |
| Issuance of common stock, net of related expense | 0 | 0 | 47,127 |
| Repurchase of stock warrants, including related expense | (81) | 0 | 0 |
| Stock based employee benefit plans | 196 | 123 | 180 |
| Dividend reinvestment plan | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Dividends paid on preferred shares | $(2,500)$ | $(6,875)$ | 0 |
| Net cash provided (used) by financing activities | 38,389 | 112,804 | $(102,339)$ |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 7,906 | $(44,324)$ | $(3,695)$ |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 167,081 | 211,405 | 215,100 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | $\underline{\underline{\text { \$174,987 }}}$ | \$ 167,081 | \$ 211,405 |

## SEACOAST BANKING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

| (Dollars and shares in thousands) | Common Stock |  | Preferred Stock |  | Paid-in Capital/ Warrants | $\qquad$ | Treasury Stock | AccumulatedOtherComprehensiveIncome (Loss),Net | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount |  |  |  |  |  |
| BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2009 | 58,867 | \$5,887 | 2 | \$44,999 | \$178,096 | \$ $(78,200)$ | \$(855) | \$ 2,008 | \$151,935 |
| Comprehensive loss | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(33,203)$ | 0 | (175) | $(33,378)$ |
| Stock based compensation expense | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 351 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 351 |
| Common stock issued for stock based employee benefit plans | 145 | 9 | 0 | 0 | (445) | 0 | 681 | 0 | 244 |
| Dividend reinvestment plan | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (154) | 0 | 173 | 0 | 20 |
| Issuance of common stock | 34,465 | 3,453 | 0 | 0 | 43,674 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47,127 |
| Accretion on preferred stock discount | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,249 | 0 | $(1,249)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2010 | $\overline{93,487}$ | 9,349 | 2 | 46,248 | 221,522 | $(112,652)$ | (1) | 1,833 | 166,299 |
| Comprehensive income | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,667 | 0 | 3,395 | 10,062 |
| Cash dividends on preferred shares | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(6,875)$ | 0 | 0 | $(6,875)$ |
| Stock based compensation expense | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 273 |
| Common stock issued for stock based employee benefit plans | 1,200 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 253 | (43) | (12) | 0 | 318 |
| Accretion on preferred stock discount | 0 | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 1,249 | 0 | $(1,249)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2011 | 94,687 | 9,469 | 2 | 47,497 | 222,048 | $(114,152)$ | (13) | 5,228 | 170,077 |
| Comprehensive loss | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (710) | 0 | $(2,090)$ | $(2,800)$ |
| Cash dividends on preferred shares | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(2,500)$ | 0 | 0 | $(2,500)$ |
| Stock based compensation expense | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 796 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 796 |
| Common stock issued for stock based employee benefit plans | 150 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 0 | (49) | 0 | 54 |
| Purchase of stock warrant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (81) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (81) |
| Accretion on preferred stock discount | 0 | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 1,249 | 0 | $(1,249)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2012 | 94,837 | \$9,484 | $\underline{2}$ | \$48,746 | \$222,851 | \$(118,611) | \$ (62) | \$3,138 | \$165,546 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

## Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements

## Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida and Subsidiaries

## Note A Significant Accounting Policies

General: Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida ("Company") is a single segment bank holding company with one operating subsidiary bank, Seacoast National Bank ("Seacoast National", together the "Company"). Seacoast National's service area includes Okeechobee, Highlands, Hendry, Hardee, Glades, DeSoto, Palm Beach, Martin, St. Lucie, Brevard, Indian River, Broward, Orange and Seminole counties, which are located in central and southeast Florida. The bank operates full service branches within its markets.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Seacoast and all its majority-owned subsidiaries but exclude five trusts created for the issuance of trust preferred securities. In consolidation, all significant intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated.

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company are in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and they conform to general practices within the applicable industries.

New Financial Reporting Guidance: On January 1, 2012, we adopted new financial reporting guidance related to the presentation of comprehensive income in our consolidated financial statements. The new guidance requires net income and other comprehensive income to be presented in either a single-continuous statement of comprehensive income or two separate, but consecutive, statements of income and comprehensive income. We elected to present the components of comprehensive income in a separate statement following our consolidated statements of income. In accordance with the guidance, the presentation of all prior year information has been revised to conform with the new presentation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Cash and cash equivalents include cash and due from banks, interestbearing bank balances and federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements. Cash and cash equivalents have original maturities of three months or less, and accordingly, the carrying amount of these instruments is deemed to be a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Securities Purchased and Sold Agreements: Securities purchased under resale agreements and securities sold under repurchase agreements are generally accounted for as collateralized financing transactions and are recorded at the amount at which the securities were acquired or sold plus accrued interest. It is the Company's policy to take possession of securities purchased under resale agreements, which are primarily U.S. Government and Government agency securities. The fair value of securities purchased and sold is monitored and collateral is obtained from or returned to the counterparty when appropriate.

Use of Estimates: The preparation of these financial statements requires the use of certain estimates by management in determining the Company's assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and contingent liabilities. Specific areas, among others, requiring the application of management's estimates include determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of investment securities available for sale, fair value of impaired loans, contingent liabilities, other real estate owned, valuation of deferred tax valuation allowance. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Securities: Securities are classified at date of purchase as trading, available for sale or held to maturity. Securities that may be sold as part of the Company's asset/liability management or in response to, or in anticipation of changes in interest rates and resulting prepayment risk, or for other factors are stated at fair value with unrealized gains or losses reflected as a component of shareholders' equity net of tax or included in noninterest income as appropriate. The estimated fair value of a security is determined based on market quotations when available or, if not available, by using quoted market prices for similar securities, pricing models or discounted cash flow analyses, using observable market data where available. Debt securities that the Company has the ability and intent to hold to maturity are carried at amortized cost.

Realized gains and losses, including other than temporary impairments, are included in noninterest income as investment securities gains (losses). Interest and dividends on securities, including amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts, is recognized in interest income on an accrual basis using the interest
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## Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida and Subsidiaries

## Note A Significant Accounting Policies - (continued)

method. The Company anticipates prepayments of principal in the calculation of the effective yield for collateralized mortgage obligations and mortgage backed securities by obtaining estimates of prepayments from independent third parties. The adjusted cost of each specific security sold is used to compute realized gains or losses on the sale of securities on a trade date basis.

On a quarterly basis, the Company makes an assessment to determine whether there have been any events or economic circumstances to indicate that a security is impaired on an other-than-temporary basis. Management considers many factors including the length of time the security has had a fair value less than the cost basis; our intent and ability to hold the security for a period of time sufficient for a recovery in value; recent events specific to the issuer or industry; and for debt securities, external credit ratings and recent downgrades. Securities on which there is an unrealized loss that is deemed to be other-than temporary are written down to fair value with the write-down recorded as a realized loss.

For securities which are transferred into held to maturity from available for sale the unrealized gain or loss at the date of transfer is reported as a component of shareholders' equity and is amortized over the remaining life as an adjustment of yield using the interest method.

Seacoast National is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank system. Members are required to own a certain amount of stock based on the level of borrowings and other factors, and may invest in additional amounts. FHLB stock is carried at cost, classified as a restricted security, and periodically evaluated for impairment based on ultimate recovery of par value. Both cash and stock dividends are reported as income.

Loans: Loans are recognized at the principal amount outstanding, net of unearned income and amounts charged off. Unearned income includes discounts, premiums and deferred loan origination fees reduced by loan origination costs. Unearned income on loans is amortized to interest income over the life of the related loan using the effective interest rate method. Interest income is recognized on an accrual basis.

Fees received for providing loan commitments and letters of credit that may result in loans are typically deferred and amortized to interest income over the life of the related loan, beginning with the initial borrowing. Fees on commitments and letters of credit are amortized to noninterest income as banking fees and commissions on a straight-line basis over the commitment period when funding is not expected.

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff are considered held for investment.

The Company accounts for loans in accordance with ASC topics 310 and 470, when due to a deterioration in a borrower's financial position, the Company grants concessions that would not otherwise be considered. Troubled debt restructured (TDR) loans are tested for impairment and placed in non-accrual status. If borrowers perform pursuant to the modified loan terms for at least six months and the remaining loan balances are considered collectible, the loans are returned to accrual status. When the Company modifies the terms of an existing loan that is not considered a troubled debt restructuring, the Company follows the provisions of ASC 310 "Creditor's Accounting for a Modification or Exchange of Debt Instruments."

A loan is considered to be impaired when based on current information, it is probable the Company will not receive all amounts due in accordance with the contractual terms of a loan agreement. The fair value is measured based on either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's observable market price or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. A loan is also considered impaired if its terms are modified in a troubled debt restructuring. When the ultimate collectability of the principal balance of an impaired loan is in doubt, all cash receipts are applied to principal. Once the recorded principal balance has been reduced to zero, future cash receipts are applied to interest income, to the extent any interest has been forgone, and then they are recorded as recoveries of any amounts previously charged off.
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## Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida and Subsidiaries

## Note A Significant Accounting Policies - (continued)

The accrual of interest is generally discontinued on loans and leases, except consumer loans, that become 90 days past due as to principal or interest unless collection of both principal and interest is assured by way of collateralization, guarantees or other security. Generally, loans past due 90 days or more are placed on nonaccrual status regardless of security. When interest accruals are discontinued, unpaid interest is reversed against interest income. Consumer loans that become 120 days past due are generally charged off. When borrowers demonstrate over an extended period the ability to repay a loan in accordance with the contractual terms of a loan classified as nonaccrual, the loan is returned to accrual status. Interest income on nonaccrual loans is either recorded using the cash basis method of accounting or recognized after the principal has been reduced to zero, depending on the type of loan.

Derivatives Used for Risk Management: The Company may designate a derivative as either a hedge of the fair value of a recognized fixed rate asset or liability or an unrecognized firm commitment ("fair value" hedge), a hedge of a forecasted transaction or of the variability of future cash flows of a floating rate asset or liability ("cash flow" hedge). All derivatives are recorded as other assets or other liabilities on the balance sheet at their respective fair values with unrealized gains and losses recorded either in other comprehensive income or in the results of operations, depending on the purpose for which the derivative is held. Derivatives that do not meet the criteria for designation as a hedge at inception, or fail to meet the criteria thereafter, are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses recorded in the results of operations.

To the extent of the effectiveness of a cash flow hedge, changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated and qualifies as a cash flow hedge are recorded in other comprehensive income. The net periodic interest settlement on derivatives is treated as an adjustment to the interest income or interest expense of the hedged assets or liabilities.

At inception of a hedge transaction, the Company formally documents the hedge relationship and the risk management objective and strategy for undertaking the hedge. This process includes identification of the hedging instrument, hedged item, risk being hedged and the methodology for measuring ineffectiveness. In addition, the Company assesses both at the inception of the hedge and on an ongoing quarterly basis, whether the derivative used in the hedging transaction has been highly effective in offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows of the hedged item, and whether the derivative as a hedging instrument is no longer appropriate.

The Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively when either it is determined that the derivative is no longer highly effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of a hedged item; the derivative expires or is sold, terminated or exercised; the derivative is de-designated because it is unlikely that a forecasted transaction will occur; or management determines that designation of the derivative as a hedging instrument is no longer appropriate.

When a fair value hedge is discontinued, the hedged asset or liability is no longer adjusted for changes in fair value and the existing basis adjustment is amortized or accreted as an adjustment to yield over the remaining life of the asset or liability. When a cash flow hedge is discontinued but the hedged cash flows or forecasted transaction are still expected to occur, unrealized gains and losses that are accumulated in other comprehensive income are included in the results of operations in the same period when the results of operations are also affected by the hedged cash flow. They are recognized in the results of operations immediately if the cash flow hedge was discontinued because a forecasted transaction is not expected to occur.

Certain commitments to sell loans are derivatives. These commitments are recorded as a freestanding derivative and classified as an other asset or liability.

Loans Held for Sale: Loans are classified as held for sale based on management's intent to sell the loans, either as part of a core business strategy or related to a risk mitigation strategy. Loans held for sale and any related unfunded lending commitments are recorded at fair value, if elected or the lower of cost (which is the carrying amount net of deferred fees and costs and applicable allowance for loan losses and reserve for unfunded lending commitments) or fair market value less costs to sell. Adjustments to reflect unrealized gains
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## Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida and Subsidiaries

## Note A Significant Accounting Policies - (continued)

and losses resulting from changes in fair value and realized gains and losses upon ultimate sale of the loans are classified as noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). At the time of the transfer to loans held for sale, if the fair market value is less than cost, the difference is recorded as additional provision for credit losses in the results of operations. Fair market value is determined based on quoted market prices for the same or similar loans, outstanding investor commitments or discounted cash flow analyses using market assumptions.

At December 31, 2012 fair market value for substantially all the loans in loans held for sale were obtained by reference to prices for the same or similar loans from recent transactions. For a relationship that includes an unfunded lending commitment, the cost basis is the outstanding balance of the loan net of the allowance for loan losses and net of any reserve for unfunded lending commitments. This cost basis is compared to the fair market value of the entire relationship including the unfunded lending commitment.

Individual loans or pools of loans are transferred from the loan portfolio to loans held for sale when the intent to hold the loans has changed and there is a plan to sell the loans within a reasonable period of time. Loans held for sale are reviewed quarterly. Subsequent declines or recoveries of previous declines in the fair market value of loans held for sale are recorded in other fee income in the results of operations. Fair market value changes occur due to changes in interest rates, the borrower's credit, the secondary loan market and the market for a borrower's debt. If an unfunded lending commitment expires before a sale occurs, the reserve associated with the unfunded lending commitment is recognized as a credit to other fee income in the results of operations.

Fair Value Measurements: The Company measures or monitors many of its assets and liabilities on a fair value basis. Certain assets and liabilities are measured on a recurring basis. Examples of these include derivative instruments, available for sale and trading securities, loans held for sale and long-term debt. Additionally, fair value is used on a non-recurring basis to evaluate assets or liabilities for impairment or for disclosure purposes. Examples of these non-recurring uses of fair value include certain loans held for sale accounted for on a lower of cost or fair value, mortgage servicing rights, goodwill, and long-lived assets. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Depending on the nature of the asset or liability, the Company uses various valuation techniques and assumptions when estimating fair value.

The Company applied the following fair value hierarchy:
Level 1 - Assets or liabilities for which the identical item is traded on an active exchange, such as publicly-traded instruments or futures contracts.

Level 2 - Assets and liabilities valued based on observable market data for similar instruments.
Level 3 - Assets and liabilities for which significant valuation assumptions are not readily observable in the market; instruments valued based on the best available data, some of which is internally-developed, and considers risk premiums that a market participant would require.

When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required or permitted to be recorded at and/or marked to fair value, the Company considers the principal or most advantageous market in which it would transact and considers assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. When possible, the Company looks to active and observable markets to price identical assets or liabilities. When identical assets and liabilities are not traded in active markets, the Company looks to market observable data for similar assets and liabilities. Nevertheless, certain assets and liabilities are not actively traded in observable markets and the Company must use alternative valuation techniques to derive a fair value measurement.
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## Note A Significant Accounting Policies - (continued)

Other Real Estate Owned: Other real estate owned ("OREO") consists of real estate acquired in lieu of unpaid loan balances. These assets are carried at an amount equal to the loan balance prior to foreclosure plus costs incurred for improvements to the property, but no more than the estimated fair value of the property less estimated selling costs. Any valuation adjustments required at the date of transfer are charged to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequently, unrealized losses and realized gains and losses are included in other noninterest expense. Operating results from OREO are recorded in other noninterest expense.

Bank Premises and Equipment: Bank premises and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Premises and equipment include certain costs associated with the acquisition of leasehold improvements. Depreciation and amortization are recognized principally by the straight-line method, over the estimated useful lives as follows: buildings - $25-40$ years, leasehold improvements - $5-25$ years, furniture and equipment - 3-12 years. Premises and equipment and other long-term assets are reviewed for impairment when events indicate their carrying amount may not be recoverable from future undiscounted cash flows. If impaired, the assets are recorded at fair value.

Other Intangible Assets: Intangible assets with indefinite lives are not subject to amortization. Rather they are subject to impairment tests at least annually, or more often if events or circumstances indicate there may be impairment. Intangible assets with finite lives continue to be amortized over the period the Company expects to benefit from such assets and are periodically reviewed to determine whether there have been any events or circumstances to indicate the recorded amount is not recoverable from projected undiscounted net operating cash flows. A loss is recognized to reduce the carrying amount to fair value, where appropriate.

Revenue Recognition: Revenue is recognized when the earnings process is complete and collectibility is assured. Brokerage fees and commissions are recognized on a trade date basis. Asset management fees, measured by assets at a particular date, are accrued as earned. Commission expenses are recorded when the related revenue is recognized.

Allowance for Loan Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments: The Company has developed policies and procedures for assessing the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses and reserve for unfunded lending commitments that reflect the evaluation of credit risk after careful consideration of all available information. Where appropriate this assessment includes monitoring qualitative and quantitative trends including changes in levels of past due, criticized and nonperforming loans. In developing this assessment, the Company must necessarily rely on estimates and exercise judgment regarding matters where the ultimate outcome is unknown such as economic factors, developments affecting companies in specific industries and issues with respect to single borrowers. Depending on changes in circumstances, future assessments of credit risk may yield materially different results, which may result in an increase or a decrease in the allowance for loan losses.

The allowance for loan losses and reserve for unfunded lending commitments is maintained at a level the Company believes is adequate to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio and unfunded lending commitments as of the date of the consolidated financial statements. The Company employs a variety of modeling and estimation tools in developing the appropriate allowance for loan losses and reserve for unfunded lending commitments. The allowance for loan losses and reserve for unfunded lending commitments consists of formula-based components for both commercial and consumer loans, allowance for impaired commercial loans and allowance related to additional factors that are believed indicative of current trends and business cycle issues.

If necessary, a specific allowance is established for individually evaluated impaired loans. The specific allowance established for these loans is based on a thorough analysis of the most probable source of repayment, including the present value of the loan's expected future cash flows, the loan's estimated market value, or the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral depending on the most likely source of repayment. General allowances are established for loans grouped into pools based on similar characteristics. In
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this process, general allowance factors are based on an analysis of historical charge-off experience, portfolio trends, regional and national economic conditions, and expected loss given default derived from the Company's internal risk rating process.

The Company monitors qualitative and quantitative trends in the loan portfolio, including changes in the levels of past due, criticized and nonperforming loans. The distribution of the allowance for loan losses and reserve for unfunded lending commitments between the various components does not diminish the fact that the entire allowance for loan losses and reserve for unfunded lending commitments is available to absorb credit losses in the loan portfolio. The principal focus is, therefore, on the adequacy of the total allowance for loan losses and reserve for unfunded lending commitments.

In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company's bank subsidiary's allowance for loan losses and reserve for unfunded lending commitments. These agencies may require such subsidiaries to recognize changes to the allowance for loan losses and reserve for unfunded lending commitments based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination.

Income Taxes: The Company uses the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities in the consolidated financial statements and their related tax bases and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that are in effect. A valuation allowance is recognized for a deferred tax asset if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in rates is recognized as income or expense in the period in which the change occurs. See Note L, Income Taxes for related disclosures.

Earnings per Share: Basic earnings per share are computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per share are based on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during each period, plus common share equivalents calculated for stock options and performance restricted stock outstanding using the treasury stock method.

Stock-Based Compensation: The three stock option plans are accounted for under ASC Topic 718 and the fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with market assumptions. This amount is amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period, generally five years. (See Note J)

For restricted stock awards, which generally vest based on continued service with the Company, the deferred compensation is measured as the fair value of the shares on the date of grant, and the deferred compensation is amortized as salaries and employee benefits in accordance with the applicable vesting schedule, generally straight-line over five years. Some shares vest based upon the Company achieving certain performance goals and salary amortization expense is based on an estimate of the most likely results on a straight line basis.
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## Note B Recently Issued Accounting Standards, Not Adopted as of December 31, 2012

In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-01, Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. The Update clarifies that ASU 2011-11 applies only to derivatives, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. Entities with other types of financial assets and financial liabilities subject to a master netting arrangement or similar agreement are no longer subject to the disclosure requirements in ASU 2011-11. The amendments are effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the ASU but does not expect it will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. The Update requires an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component and to present either on the face of the statement where net income is presented, or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income, but only if the amount reclassified is required to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. The amendments are effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2012. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the ASU but does not expect it will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

## Note C Cash, Dividend and Loan Restrictions

In the normal course of business, the Company and Seacoast National enter into agreements, or are subject to regulatory agreements that result in cash, debt and dividend restrictions. A summary of the most restrictive items follows:

Seacoast National is required to maintain average reserve balances with the Federal Reserve Bank. The average amount of those reserve balances was $\$ 67.5$ million for 2012 and $\$ 18.3$ million for 2011.

Under Federal Reserve regulation, Seacoast National is limited as to the amount it may loan to their affiliates, including the Company, unless such loans are collateralized by specified obligations. At December 31, 2012, the maximum amount available for transfer from Seacoast National to the Company in the form of loans approximated $\$ 33.9$ million.

The approval of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") is required if the total of all dividends declared by a national bank in any calendar year exceeds the bank's profits, as defined, for that year combined with its retained net profits for the preceding two calendar years. Under this restriction Seacoast National cannot distribute any dividends to the Company as of December 31, 2012, without prior approval of the OCC.
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## Note D Securities

The amortized cost and fair value of securities available for sale and held for investment at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are summarized as follows:

|  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross Amortized Cost | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |
| SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities of U.S. Government |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | 352,731 | 2,430 | (902) | 354,259 |
| Private collateralized mortgage obligations | 96,258 | 1,203 | (530) | 96,931 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 847 | 51 | 0 | 898 |
|  | \$637,940 | \$7,011 | \$(1,901) | \$643,050 |
| SECURITIES HELD FOR INVESTMENT |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations of |  |  |  |  |
| Private collateralized mortgage obligations | 1,278 | 33 | 0 | 1,311 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 6,353 | 737 | (3) | 7,087 |
| Other | 1,500 | 49 | 0 | 1,549 |
|  | \$ 13,818 | \$ 819 | \$ (95) | \$ 14,542 |
|  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Amortized } \\ \text { Cost } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Unrealized } \\ \text { Gains } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Unrealized } \\ \text { Losses } \end{gathered}$ | Fair Value |
|  |  |  | sands) |  |
| SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities of U.S. Government |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | 428,139 | 9,111 | (316) | 436,934 |
| Private collateralized mortgage obligations | 73,247 | 330 | $(3,487)$ | 70,090 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 1,097 | 70 | 0 | 1,167 |
|  | $\underline{\underline{\$ 639,847}}$ | \$12,355 | $\underline{\underline{\text { (3,840 }})}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 648,362}}$ |
| SECURITIES HELD FOR INVESTMENT |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations of |  |  |  |  |
| Private collateralized mortgage obligations | 1,840 | 40 | 0 | 1,880 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 6,662 | 570 | 0 | 7,232 |
| Other | 1,000 | 36 | 0 | 1,036 |
|  | \$ 19,977 | \$ 646 | \$ (136) | \$ 20,487 |

## Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements

## Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida and Subsidiaries

## Note D Securities - (continued)

Proceeds from sales of securities during 2012 were $\$ 256,102,000$ with gross gains of $\$ 7,833,000$ and gross losses of $\$ 214,000$. Proceeds from sales of securities during 2011 were $\$ 52,689,000$ with gross gains of $\$ 1,239,000$ and gross losses of $\$ 19,000$. Proceeds from sales of securities during 2010 were $\$ 107,821,000$ with gross gains of $\$ 3,687,000$.

Securities with a carrying value of $\$ 103,351,000$ and a fair value of $\$ 103,400,000$ at December 31, 2012, were pledged as collateral for United States Treasury deposits, other public deposits and trust deposits. Securities with a carrying and fair value of $\$ 167,993,000$ were pledged as collateral for repurchase agreements.

The amortized cost and fair value of securities at December 31, 2012, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or repay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

|  | Held for Investment |  | Available for Sale |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |
| Due in less than one year | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 1,700 | \$ 1,707 |
| Due after one year through five years | 377 | 377 | 441 | 468 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 948 | 1,033 | 406 | 430 |
| Due after ten years | 5,028 | 5,677 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6,353 | 7,087 | 2,547 | 2,605 |
| Mortgage-backed securities of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | 0 | 0 | 186,404 | 189,255 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | 4,687 | 4,595 | 352,731 | 354,259 |
| Private collateralized mortgage obligations | 1,278 | 1,311 | 96,258 | 96,931 |
| No contractual maturity | 1,500 | 1,549 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$13,818 | $\underline{\underline{\$ 14,542}}$ | $\underline{\$ 637,940}$ | $\underline{\$ 643,050}$ |

The estimated fair value of a security is determined based on market quotations when available or, if not available, by using quoted market prices for similar securities, pricing models or discounted cash flows analyses, using observable market data where available. The tables below indicate the amount of securities with unrealized losses and period of time for which these losses were outstanding at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
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|  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 12 months |  | 12 months or longer |  | Total |  |
|  | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | \$ 54,289 | \$ (469) | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 54,289 | \$ (469) |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities . | 150,057 | (901) | 4,593 | (93) | 154,650 | (994) |
| Private collateralized mortgage obligations | 29,969 | (441) | 9,221 | (89) | 39,190 | (530) |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 0 | 0 | 125 | (3) | 125 | (3) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$234,315 | \$(1,811) | \$13,939 | \$(185) | \$248,254 | \$(1,996) |
|  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Less than 12 months |  | 12 months or longer |  | Total |  |
|  | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
|  |  |  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | \$ 18,800 | \$ (37) | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 18,800 | \$ (37) |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations of U.S. Government Sponsored Entities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 59,913 | (452) | 0 | 0 | 59,913 | (452) |
| Private collateralized mortgage obligations | 32,615 | $(2,001)$ | 27,282 | $(1,486)$ | 59,897 | $(3,487)$ |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$111,328 | $\underline{\underline{\text { (2,490) }}}$ | \$27,282 | $\underline{\text { \$(1,486) }}$ | \$138,610 | $\underline{\underline{\text { (3,976 }}}$ |

Approximately $\$ 0.5$ million of the unrealized losses pertain to private label securities secured by collateral originated in 2005 and prior. Their fair value is $\$ 39.2$ million and is attributable to a combination of factors, including relative changes in interest rates since the time of purchase and decreased liquidity for investment securities in general. The collateral underlying these mortgage investments are 30- and 15-year fixed and $10 / 1$ adjustable rate mortgage loans with low loan to values, subordination and historically have had minimal foreclosures and losses. Based on its assessment of these factors, management believes that the unrealized losses on these debt security holdings are a function of changes in investment spreads and interest rate movements and not changes in credit quality. Management expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of these securities.

At December 31, 2012, the Company also had $\$ 1.5$ million of unrealized losses on mortgage backed securities of government sponsored entities having a fair value of $\$ 208.9$ million that were attributable to a combination of factors, including relative changes in interest rates since the time of purchase and decreased liquidity for investment securities in general. The contractual cash flows for these securities are guaranteed by
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## Note D Securities - (continued)

U.S. government agencies and U.S. government-sponsored enterprises. Based on its assessment of these factors, management believes that the unrealized losses on these debt security holdings are a function of changes in investment spreads and interest movements and not changes in credit quality. Management expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of these securities.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company does not intend to sell nor is it anticipated that it would be required to sell any of its investment securities that have losses. Therefore, management does not consider any investment to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2012.

Included in other assets is $\$ 11.8$ million of Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock stated at par value. At December 31, 2012, the Company has not identified events or changes in circumstances which may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the $\$ 11.8$ million of cost method investment securities.

## Note E Loans

Information relating to loans at December 31 is summarized as follows:

|  | 2012 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | usands) |
| Construction and land development | \$ 60,736 | \$ 49,184 |
| Commercial real estate | 486,828 | 508,353 |
| Residential real estate | 569,331 | 546,246 |
| Commercial and financial | 61,903 | 53,105 |
| Consumer | 46,930 | 50,611 |
| Other | 353 | 575 |
| NET LOAN BALANCES | \$1,226,081 | \$1,208,074 |

## (1) Net loan balances at December 31, 2012 and 2011 include deferred costs of \$1,530,000 and \$1,632,000, respectively.

One of the sources of the Company's business is loans to directors and executive officers. The aggregate dollar amount of these loans was approximately $\$ 4,891,000$ and $\$ 5,114,000$ at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. During 2012 new loans totaling $\$ 487,000$ were made and reductions totaled $\$ 710,000$.

At December 31, 2012 loans pledged as collateral for borrowings totaled $\$ 50.0$ million, versus $\$ 55.0$ million in loans at December 31, 2011.

Loans are made to individuals, as well as, commercial and tax exempt entities. Specific loan terms vary as to interest rate, repayment, and collateral requirements based on the type of loan requested and the credit worthiness of the prospective borrower.

Concentrations of Credit All of the Company's lending activity occurs within the State of Florida, including Orlando in Central Florida and Southeast coastal counties from Brevard County in the north to Palm Beach County in the south, as well as, all of the counties surrounding Lake Okeechobee in the center of the state. The Company's loan portfolio consists of approximately one half commercial and commercial real estate loans and one half consumer and residential real estate loans.

The Company's extension of credit is governed by the Credit Risk Policy which was established to control the quality of the Company's loans. These policies and procedures are reviewed and approved by the Board of Directors on a regular basis.

Construction and Land Development Loans The Company defines construction and land development loans as exposures secured by land development and construction (including $1-4$ family residential
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## Note E Loans - (continued)

construction), multi-family property, and non-farm nonresidential property where the primary or significant source of repayment is from rental income associated with that property (that is, loans for which 50 percent or more of the source of repayment comes from third party, non-affiliated rental income) or the proceeds of the sale, refinancing, or permanent financing of the property.

Commercial Real Estate Loans The Company's goal is to create and maintain a high quality portfolio of commercial real estate loans with customers who meet the quality and relationship profitability objectives of the Company. Commercial real estate loans are subject to underwriting standards and processes similar to commercial and industrial loans. These loans are viewed primarily as cash flow loans and the repayment of these loans is largely dependent on the successful operation of the property. Loan performance may be adversely affected by factors impacting the general economy or conditions specific to the real estate market such as geographic location and/or property type.

Residential Real Estate Loans The Company selectively adds residential mortgage loans to its portfolio, primarily loans with adjustable rates, home equity mortgages and home equity lines. Substantially all residential originations have been underwritten to conventional loan agency standards, including loans having balances that exceed agency value limitations. The Company has never offered sub-prime, Alt A, Option ARM or any negative amortizing residential loans, programs or products, although we have originated and hold residential mortgage loans from borrowers with original or current FICO credit scores that are less than "prime."

Commercial and Financial Loans Commercial credit is extended primarily to small to medium sized professional firms, retail and wholesale operators and light industrial and manufacturing concerns. Such credits typically comprise working capital loans, loans for physical asset expansion, asset acquisition and other business loans. Loans to closely held businesses will generally be guaranteed in full or for a meaningful amount by the businesses' major owners. Commercial loans are made based primarily on the historical and projected cash flow of the borrower and secondarily on the underlying collateral provided by the borrower. The cash flows of borrowers, however, may not behave as forecasted and collateral securing loans may fluctuate in value due to economic or individual performance factors. Minimum standards and underwriting guidelines have been established for all commercial loan types.

Consumer Loans The Company originates consumer loans including installment loans, loans for automobiles, boats, and other personal, family and household purposes, and indirect loans through dealers to finance automobiles. For each loan type several factors including debt to income, type of collateral and loan to collateral value, credit history and Company relationship with the borrower is considered during the underwriting process.
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The following tables present the contractual aging of the recorded investment in past due loans by class of loans as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| December 31, 2012 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Accruing } \\ 30-59 \\ \text { Days Past } \\ \text { Due } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Accruing } \\ 60-89 \\ \text { Days Past } \\ \text { Due } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater } \\ & \text { Than } 90 \end{aligned}$ Days | Nonaccrual | Current | Total Financing Receivables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction and land |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate | 832 | 5 | 0 | 17,234 | 468,757 | 486,828 |
| Residential real estate | 1,179 | 1,377 | 1 | 22,099 | 544,675 | 569,331 |
| Commercial and financial | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61,862 | 61,903 |
| Consumer | 109 | 0 | 0 | 280 | 46,541 | 46,930 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 353 | 353 |
| Total | \$2,168 | \$1,382 | \$1 | \$40,955 | \$1,181,575 | \$1,226,081 |
| December 31, 2011 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Accruing } \\ 30-55 \\ \text { Days Past } \\ \text { Due } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Accruing <br> 60-89 <br> Days Past <br> Due | $\begin{gathered} \text { Greater } \\ \text { Than } 90 \\ \text { Days } \end{gathered}$ | Nonaccrual | Current | Total Financing Receivables |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction and land |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate | 836 | 0 | 0 | 13,120 | 494,397 | 508,353 |
| Residential real estate | 2,979 | 607 | 0 | 12,555 | 530,105 | 546,246 |
| Commercial and financial | 80 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 53,009 | 53,105 |
| Consumer | 246 | 74 | 0 | 608 | 49,683 | 50,611 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 575 | 575 |
| Total | \$4,147 | \$896 | \$0 | \$28,526 | \$1,174,505 | \$1,208,074 |

Nonaccrual loans and loans past due ninety days or more were $\$ 41.0$ million and $\$ 28.5$ million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The reduction in interest income associated with loans on nonaccrual status was approximately $\$ 1.9$ million, $\$ 1.2$ million, and $\$ 5.1$ million, for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

The Company utilizes an internal asset classification system as a means of reporting problem and potential problem loans. Under the Company's risk rating system, the Company classifies problem and potential problem loans as "Special Mention," "Substandard," and "Doubtful" and these loans are monitored on an ongoing basis. Substandard loans include those characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Loans classified as substandard may require a specific allowance, but generally does not exceed $30 \%$ of the principal balance. Loans classified as Doubtful, have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified Substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. The principal balance of loans classified as doubtful are generally charged off. Loans that do not currently expose the Company to sufficient risk to warrant classification in one of the aforementioned categories, but possess weaknesses that deserve management's close attention are deemed to be Special Mention. Risk ratings are updated any time the situation warrants.
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Loans not meeting the criteria above are considered to be pass-rated loans and risk grades are recalculated at least annually by the loan relationship manager. The following tables present the risk category of loans by class of loans based on the most recent analysis performed and the contractual aging as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| December 31, 2012 | Construction \& Land Development | Commercial Real Estate | Residential Real Estate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Commercial } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Financial } \end{gathered}$ | Consumer | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | \$54,994 | \$414,023 | \$527,891 | \$61,123 | \$45,907 | \$1,103,938 |
| Special mention | 1,717 | 12,137 | 1,686 | 587 | 450 | 16,577 |
| Substandard | 0 | 22,180 | 36 | 193 | 256 | 22,665 |
| Doubtful | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nonaccrual | 1,342 | 17,234 | 22,099 | 0 | 280 | 40,955 |
| Pass-Troubled debt restructures . . . | 2,103 | 6,513 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,616 |
| Troubled debt restructures . | 580 | 14,741 | 17,619 | 0 | 390 | 33,330 |
|  | \$60,736 | \$486,828 | \$569,331 | \$61,903 | \$47,283 | \$1,226,081 |
| December 31, 2011 | Construction \& Land Development | Commercial Real Estate | Residential Real Estate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Commercial } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Financial } \end{gathered}$ | Consumer | Total |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | \$42,899 | \$387,161 | \$505,316 | \$51,375 | \$49,299 | \$1,036,050 |
| Special mention | 802 | 57,334 | 5,529 | 1,445 | 523 | 65,633 |
| Substandard | 90 | 5,558 | 133 | 168 | 305 | 6,254 |
| Doubtful | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nonaccrual | 2,227 | 13,120 | 12,555 | 16 | 608 | 28,526 |
| Troubled debt restructures | 3,166 | 45,180 | 22,713 | 101 | 451 | 71,611 |
|  | \$49,184 | \$508,353 | \$546,246 | \$53,105 | \$51,186 | \$1,208,074 |

Note F Impaired Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses
During 2012, the total of newly identified TDRs was $\$ 18.0$ million, of which $\$ 0.1$ million were accruing construction and land development loans, $\$ 4.9$ million were accruing residential real estate mortgages, $\$ 0.8$ million were accruing commercial real estate loans, and $\$ 0.1$ million were accruing consumer loans. Loans modified, but where full collection under the modified terms is doubtful, are classified as nonaccrual loans from the date of modification and are therefore excluded from the tables below.

The Company's TDR concessions granted generally do not include forgiveness of principal balances. Loan modifications are not reported in calendar years after modification if the loans were modified at an interest rate equal to the yields of new loan originations with comparable risk and the loans are performing based on the terms of the restructuring agreements.

When a loan is modified as a TDR, there is not a direct, material impact on the loans within the Consolidated Balance Sheet, as principal balances are generally not forgiven. Most loans prior to modification were classified as an impaired loan and the allowance for loan losses is determined in accordance with the Company's policy as disclosed in Note A.

## Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements

## Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida and Subsidiaries

## Note F Impaired Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses - (continued)

The following table presents loans that were modified within the twelve months ending December 31, 2012:

|  | Number of Contracts | Pre- <br> Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post- <br> Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Specific <br> Reserve <br> Recorded | Valuation <br> Allowance <br> Recorded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (In thousands) |  |  |
| Construction and land development | 1 | \$ 71 | \$ 64 | \$0 | \$ 7 |
| Residential real estate | 28 | 4,941 | 4,531 | 0 | 410 |
| Commercial real estate | 2 | 800 | 747 | 0 | 53 |
| Consumer | 2 | 75 | 72 | 0 | 3 |
|  | $\underline{\underline{33}}$ | \$5,887 | $\underline{\underline{\$ 5,414}}$ | $\underline{\text { \$0 }}$ | \$473 |

Accruing loans that were restructured within the twelve months ending December 31, 2012 and defaulted during the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 are presented in the table below. The Company considers a loan to have defaulted when it becomes 90 days or more delinquent under the modified terms, has been transferred to nonaccrual status, or has been transferred to other real estate owned. A defaulted TDR is generally placed on nonaccrual and specific allowance for loan loss is assigned in accordance with the Company's policy as disclosed in note A.

|  | Number of Contracts | Recorded <br> Investment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars | ousands) |
| Residential real estate | 7 | \$ 913 |
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At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company's recorded investment in impaired loans and related valuation allowance was as follows:

| Impaired Loans for the Year Ended December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recorded <br> Investment | Unpaid <br> Principal <br> Balance |  | Related <br> Valuation <br> Allowance | Average <br> Recorded <br> Investment |  | | Interest |
| :---: |
| Income |
| Recognized |

With no related allowance recorded:

| Construction and land |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| development . . . . . . . . | $\$ 1,128$ | $\$ 1,608$ | $\$$ | 0 | $\$ 1,399$ | $\$$ |
| Commercial real estate . . . . | 12,357 | 14,337 |  | 0 | 12,103 | 433 |
| Residential real estate . . . . . | 15,463 | 22,022 | 0 | 12,019 | 455 |  |
| Commercial and financial . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  |
| Consumer . . . . . . . . . . | 223 | 255 | 0 | 431 | 12 |  |

With an allowance recorded:
Construction and land

| development . . . . . . . . . . . | 2,897 | 2,941 | 230 | 3,539 | 127 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial real estate . . . . . | 26,130 | 26,648 | 2,264 | 39,527 | 1,304 |
| Residential real estate . . . . . . | 24,256 | 24,752 | 4,700 | 26,795 | 696 |
| Commercial and financial . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 |
| Consumer . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 447 | 460 | 76 | 585 | 22 |

Total:

| Construction and land |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| development . . . . . . . . | 4,025 | 4,549 | 230 | 4,938 | 132 |
| Commercial real estate . . . . | 38,487 | 40,985 | 2,264 | 51,630 | 1,737 |
| Residential real estate . . . . . . | 39,719 | 46,774 | 4,700 | 38,814 | 1,151 |
| Commercial and financial . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 |
| Consumer . . . . . . . . . . | $\underline{670}$ | $\underline{715}$ | $\underline{92,901}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 93,023}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 7,269}}$ |
|  | $\underline{\underline{\$ 96,439}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 3,054}}$ |  |  |  |
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|  | Impaired Loans for the Year Ended December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Recorded <br> Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Related } \\ \text { Valuation } \\ \text { Allowance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Average Recorded Investment | $\begin{gathered} \text { Interest } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { Recognized } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | (In thousands) |  |  |
| With no related allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction and land development | \$ 1,616 | \$ 2,431 | \$ 0 | \$ 2,527 | \$ 14 |
| Commercial real estate | 19,101 | 22,219 | 0 | 21,221 | 425 |
| Residential real estate | 9,128 | 13,442 | 0 | 8,752 | 155 |
| Commercial and financial | 16 | 16 | 0 | 774 | 2 |
| Consumer | 481 | 523 | 0 | 417 | 2 |


| With an allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction and land development . . . . . | 3,777 | 4,131 | 375 | 13,699 | 153 |
| Commercial real estate | 39,199 | 39,824 | 3,385 | 44,369 | 1,843 |
| Residential real estate | 26,140 | 26,940 | 3,099 | 26,869 | 913 |
| Commercial and financial | 101 | 101 | 8 | 154 | 3 |
| Consumer | 578 | 584 | 112 | 746 | 31 |

Total:

| Construction and land |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| development . . . . . . . . . | 5,393 | 6,562 | 375 | 16,226 | 167 |
| Commercial real estate . . . . . | 58,300 | 62,043 | 3,385 | 65,590 | 2,268 |
| Residential real estate . . . . . | 35,268 | 40,382 | 3,099 | 35,621 | 1,068 |
| Commercial and financial . . . . | 117 | 117 | 8 | 928 | 5 |
| Consumer . . . . . . . . . . . | $\underline{1,059}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 100,137}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 110,211}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 6,979}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 119,528}}$ |

Impaired loans also include loans that have been modified in troubled debt restructurings where concessions to borrowers who experienced financial difficulties have been granted. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, accruing TDRs totaled $\$ 41.9$ million and $\$ 71.6$ million, respectively.

The average recorded investment in impaired loans for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was $\$ 96,439,000, \$ 119,528,000$ and $\$ 149,058,000$, respectively. The impaired loans were measured for impairment based on the value of underlying collateral or the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. The valuation allowance is included in the allowance for loan losses.

Interest payments received on impaired loans are recorded as interest income unless collection of the remaining recorded investment is doubtful at which time payments received are recorded as reductions to principal. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded $\$ 3,054,000$, $\$ 3,541,000$ and $\$ 2,671,000$, respectively, in interest income on impaired loans.

For impaired loans whose impairment is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows a total of $\$ 1.0$ million and $\$ 1.1$ million, respectively for 2012 and 2011 was included in interest income and represents the change in present value attributable to the passage of time.

The nonaccrual loans and accruing loans past due 90 days or more were $\$ 40,955,000$ and $\$ 1,000$, respectively, at December 31, 2012, $\$ 28,526,000$ and $\$ 0$, respectively at the end of 2011 , and were $\$ 68,284,000$ and $\$ 0$, respectively, at year-end 2010
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## Note F Impaired Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses - (continued)

Activity in the allowance for loans losses for the three years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 are summarized as follows:

| Beginning | Provision <br> for Loan <br> Balance |
| :---: | :---: |
| Losses |  |


$\frac{$|  Charge-  |
| :---: |
|  Offs  |}{(In thousands)}


$\xlongequal{$|  Net  <br>  Charge-  <br>  Offs  |
| :--- |$}$| Ending |
| :--- |
| Balance |

December 31, 2012

| Construction and land development . . . . | \$ 1,883 | \$ (478) | \$ (612) | \$ 341 | \$ (271) | \$ 1,134 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial real estate | 11,477 | 3,209 | $(8,539)$ | 2,702 | $(5,837)$ | 8,849 |
| Residential real estate | 10,966 | 7,767 | $(8,381)$ | 738 | $(7,643)$ | 11,090 |
| Commercial and financial | 402 | 283 | (346) | 129 | (217) | 468 |
| Consumer | 837 | 15 | (410) | 121 | (289) | 563 |
|  | \$25,565 | \$10,796 | \$(18,288) | \$4,031 | \$(14,257) | \$22,104 |
| December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction and land |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate | 18,563 | $(3,777)$ | $(3,663)$ | 354 | $(3,309)$ | 11,477 |
| Residential real estate | 10,102 | 7,833 | $(7,482)$ | 513 | $(6,969)$ | 10,966 |
| Commercial and financial | 480 | (379) | 0 | 301 | 301 | 402 |
| Consumer | 1,385 | (58) | (562) | 72 | (490) | 837 |
|  | $\underline{\text { \$37,744 }}$ | \$ 1,974 | $\underline{\text { \$(16,446) }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { \$2,293 }}{\underline{\text { a }}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\text { (14,153) }}}$ | \$25,565 |
| December 31, 2010 | $\underline{\underline{\$ 45,192}}$ | $\underline{\$ 31,680}$ | $\underline{\text { \$(41,628) }}$ | $\underline{\text { \$2,500 }}$ | $\underline{\text { \$(39,128) }}$ | $\underline{\$ 37,744}$ |
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## Note F Impaired Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses - (continued)

As discussed in Note A, "Significant Accounting Policies," the allowance for loan losses is composed of specific allowances for certain impaired loans and general allowances grouped into loan pools based on similar characteristics. The Company's loan portfolio and related allowance at December 31, 2012 and 2011 is shown in the following tables.

| December 31, 2012 | Individually Evaluated forImpairment Impairment |  | Collectively Evaluated forImpairment Impairment |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying Value | Associated Allowance | Carrying Value | Associated Allowance | Carrying Value | Associated Allowance |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction and land |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate | 38,487 | 2,264 | 448,341 | 6,585 | 486,828 | 8,849 |
| Residential real estate | 39,719 | 4,700 | 529,612 | 6,390 | 569,331 | 11,090 |
| Commercial and financial | 0 | 0 | 61,903 | 468 | 61,903 | 468 |
| Consumer | 670 | 75 | 46,613 | 488 | 47,283 | 563 |
|  | \$82,901 | \$7,269 | \$1,143,180 | \$14,835 | \$1,226,081 | \$22,104 |
|  | Individually Evaluated for Impairment |  | Collectively Evaluated for Impairment |  | Total |  |
| December 31, 2011 | Carrying Value | Associated Allowance | Carrying Value | Associated Allowance | Carrying Value | Associated Allowance |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction and land |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate | 58,300 | 3,385 | 450,053 | 8,092 | 508,353 | 11,477 |
| Residential real estate | 35,268 | 3,099 | 510,978 | 7,867 | 546,246 | 10,966 |
| Commercial and financial | 117 | 8 | 52,988 | 394 | 53,105 | 402 |
| Consumer | 1,059 | 112 | 50,127 | 725 | 51,186 | 837 |
|  | \$100,137 | \$6,979 | \$1,107,937 | \$18,586 | \$1,208,074 | \$25,565 |

## Note G Bank Premises and Equipment

Bank premises and equipment are summarized as follows:

|  | Cost | Accumulated Depreciation \& Amortization | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { Carrying } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (In thousands) |  |
| December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |
| Premises (including land of \$8,978) | \$48,064 | \$(19,051) | \$29,013 |
| Furniture and equipment | 21,311 | $(15,859)$ | 5,452 |
|  | \$69,375 | \$(34,910) | \$34,465 |
| December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |
| Premises (including land of \$8,883) | \$48,691 | \$(18,710) | \$29,981 |
| Furniture and equipment | 21,300 | $(17,054)$ | 4,246 |
|  | $\underline{\underline{\$ 69,991}}$ | $\underline{\text { \$(35,764) }}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 34,227}}$ |
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## Note H Other Intangible Assets

The gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization of the Company's intangible asset subject to amortization at December 31 is presented below.

|  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |
| Deposit base | \$9,494 | \$(7,993) | \$9,494 | \$(7,205) |
|  | \$9,494 | \$(7,993) | \$9,494 | \$(7,205) |

Intangible amortization expense related to the deposit base intangible for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, is presented below.

| Year Ended December 31 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2012 | $\frac{2011}{\text { (In thousands) }} \quad$ |  |

## Intangible Amortization

Identified intangible assets
Deposit base
The estimated annual amortization expense for the deposit base intangible determined using the straight line method in each of the five years subsequent to December 31, 2012, is as follows (in thousands): 2013, \$783; 2014, \$718; and zero thereafter.

## Note I Borrowings

All of the Company's short-term borrowings were comprised of federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase with maturities primarily from overnight to seven days:


During 2007, the Company obtained advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) of $\$ 25,000,000$ each on September 25, 2007 and November 27, 2007. The advances mature on September 15, 2017 and November 27, 2017, respectively, and have fixed rates of 3.64 percent and 2.70 percent at December 31, 2012, respectively, payable quarterly; the FHLB has a perpetual three-month option to convert the interest rate on either advance to an adjustable rate and the Company has the option to prepay the advance should the FHLB convert the interest rate.

Seacoast National has unused secured lines of credit of \$911,403,000 at December 31, 2012.
The Company issued $\$ 20,619,000$ in junior subordinated debentures on March 31 and December 16, 2005, an aggregate of $\$ 41,238,000$. These debentures were issued in conjunction with the formation of a Delaware and Connecticut trust subsidiary, SBCF Capital Trust I, and SBCF Statutory Trust II ("Trusts I and II'") which each completed a private sale of $\$ 20.0$ million of floating rate preferred securities. On June 29, 2007, the Company issued an additional $\$ 12,372,000$ in junior subordinated debentures which was issued in conjunction with the formation of a Delaware trust subsidiary, SBCF Statutory Trust III ("Trust III"), which completed a private sale of $\$ 12.0$ million of floating rate trust preferred securities. The rates on the trust preferred securities are the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 175 basis points, the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 133 basis
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## Note I Borrowings - (continued)

points, and the 3 -month LIBOR rate plus 135 basis points, respectively. The rates, which adjust every three months, are currently 2.06 percent, 1.64 percent, and 1.66 percent, respectively, per annum. The trust preferred securities have original maturities of thirty years, and may be redeemed without penalty on or after June 10, 2010, March 15, 2011, and September 15, 2012, respectively, upon approval of the Federal Reserve or upon occurrence of certain events affecting their tax or regulatory capital treatment. Distributions on the trust preferred securities are payable quarterly in March, June, September and December of each year. The Trusts also issued $\$ 619,000, \$ 619,000$ and $\$ 372,000$, respectively, of common equity securities to the Company. The proceeds of the offering of trust preferred securities and common equity securities were used by Trusts I and II to purchase the $\$ 41.2$ million junior subordinated deferrable interest notes issued by the Company, and by Trust III to purchase the $\$ 12.4$ million junior subordinated deferrable interest notes issued by the Company, all of which have terms substantially similar to the trust preferred securities.

The Company has the right to defer payments of interest on the notes at any time or from time to time for a period of up to twenty consecutive quarterly interest payment periods. Under the terms of the notes, in the event that under certain circumstances there is an event of default under the notes or the Company has elected to defer interest on the notes, the Company may not, with certain exceptions, declare or pay any dividends or distributions on its capital stock or purchase or acquire any of its capital stock. The Company executed its right to defer interest payments on the notes beginning May 19, 2009 and as a result no common or preferred stock dividends could be paid. At December 31, 2010, the accumulated deferred interest payments on trust preferred securities was $\$ 2.0$ million. During the third quarter of 2011, the Company remitted accumulated deferred interest payments of $\$ 2,426,000$. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, all interest payments on trust preferred securities were current.

The Company has entered into agreements to guarantee the payments of distributions on the trust preferred securities and payments of redemption of the trust preferred securities. Under these agreements, the Company also agrees, on a subordinated basis, to pay expenses and liabilities of the Trusts other than those arising under the trust preferred securities. The obligations of the Company under the junior subordinated notes, the trust agreement establishing the Trusts, the guarantees and agreements as to expenses and liabilities, in aggregate, constitute a full and conditional guarantee by the Company of the Trusts' obligations under the trust preferred securities.

Despite the fact that the accounts of the Trusts are not included in the Company's consolidated financial statements, $\$ 52.0$ million in trust preferred securities issued by the Trusts are included in the Tier 1 capital of the Company at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, as allowed by Federal Reserve guidelines.

## Note J Employee Benefits and Stock Compensation

The Company's profit sharing and retirement plan covers substantially all employees after one year of service and includes a matching benefit feature for employees electing to defer the elective portion of their profit sharing compensation. In addition, amounts of compensation contributed by employees are matched on a percentage basis under the plan. The profit sharing and retirement contributions charged to operations were $\$ 771,000$ in 2012, $\$ 361,000$ in 2011, and $\$ 373,000$ in 2010.

The Company's stock option and stock appreciation rights plans were approved by the Company's shareholders on April 25, 1991, April 25, 1996, April 20, 2000 and May 8, 2008. The number of shares of common stock that may be granted pursuant to the 1991 and 1996 plans shall not exceed 990,000 shares for each plan, pursuant to the 2000 plan shall not exceed $1,320,000$ shares, and pursuant to the 2008 plan, shall not exceed $1,500,000$ shares. The Company has granted options and stock appreciation rights ("SSARs") on $826,000,933,000,791,000$ shares for the 1991, 1996 and 2000 plans, respectively, through December 31, 2012; no options or SSARs have been issued under the 2008 plan. Under the 2000 plan the Company issued 17,000 shares of restricted stock awards at $\$ 1.90$ per share during 2010. Under the 2008 plan the Company issued $1,143,400$ of restricted stock awards at $\$ 1.42$ per share during 2011 and 73,000 of restricted stock
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awards at 1.62 per share during 2012. Under the plans, the options, stock awards or SSARs exercise price equals the common stock's market price on the date of the grant. All options or SSARs issued after December 31, 2002 have a vesting period of five years and a contractual life of ten years. All stock awards have a contractual life of three or five years. To the extent the Company has treasury shares available, stock options exercised or stock grants awarded may be issued from treasury shares or, if treasury shares are insufficient, the Company can issue new shares. The Company has a single share repurchase program in place, approved on September 18, 2001, authorizing the repurchase of up to 825,000 shares; the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under this program is 76,000 .

The Company did not grant any stock options or SSARS in 2012, 2011 or 2010. Stock option fair value is measured on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with market assumptions. Option pricing models require the use of highly subjective assumptions, including expected price volatility, which when changed can materially affect fair value estimates. Accordingly, the model does not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of the Company's stock options or SSARs.

The following table presents a summary of stock option and SSARs activity for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

|  | Number of Shares | Option or SSAR Price Per Share |  | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dec. 31, 2009 | 558,000 | , | 7.46-27.36 | \$21.21 | \$0 |
| Granted | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Exercised | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Expired | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Cancelled | $(11,000)$ |  | $\underline{7.46-26.72}$ | 11.88 |  |
| Dec. 31, 2010 | 547,000 |  | 17.08-27.36 | 21.39 | 0 |
| Granted | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Exercised | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Expired | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Cancelled | $(12,000)$ |  | 17.08-26.72 | 20.14 |  |
| Dec. 31, 2011 | 535,000 |  | 17.08-27.36 | 21.42 | 0 |
| Granted | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Exercised | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Expired | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Cancelled | $(99,000)$ |  | 17.08-26.72 | 22.66 |  |
| Dec. 31, 2012 | 436,000 |  | 17.08-27.36 | 21.12 | 0 |

No stock options were exercised during 2012. No windfall tax benefits were realized from the exercise of stock options and no cash was utilized to settle equity instruments granted under stock option awards.
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The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2012:

| Options/SSARs Outstanding |  |  |  |  | Options/SSARs Exercisable (Vested) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

At December 31, 2012, all stock options and SSARs were fully vested with no remaining unrecognized compensation cost.

Since December 31, 2011, restricted stock awards of 73,000 shares were issued, 204,000 awards have vested and 56,000 awards were cancelled. Non-vested restricted stock awards totaling 899,000 shares were outstanding at December 31, 2012, 187,000 less than at December 31, 2011, and are as follows:

| Number of <br> Non-Vested <br> Restricted Stock <br> Award Shares |
| :--- |
| $899,000 \quad \ldots$ |



In 2012, 2011 and 2010 the Company recognized \$796,000 (\$489,000 after tax), \$588,000 (\$361,000 after tax) and $\$ 493,000(\$ 303,000$ after tax), respectively of non-cash compensation expense.

No cash was utilized to settle equity instruments granted under restricted stock awards. No compensation cost has been capitalized and no significant modifications have occurred with regard to the contractual terms for stock options, SSARs or restricted stock awards.

## Note K Lease Commitments

The Company is obligated under various noncancellable operating leases for equipment, buildings, and land. Minimum rent payments under operating leases are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. At December 31, 2012, future minimum lease payments under leases with initial or remaining terms in excess of one year are as follows:

|  | (In thousands) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | \$ 3,399 |
| 2014 | 3,062 |
| 2015 | 2,529 |
| 2016 | 2,414 |
| 2017 | 2,158 |
| Thereafter | 12,156 |
|  | \$25,718 |

Rent expense charged to operations was $\$ 3,881,000$ for $2012, \$ 4,010,000$ for 2011 and $\$ 3,951,000$ for 2010. Certain leases contain provisions for renewal and change with the consumer price index.
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The benefit for income taxes is as follows:

|  | Year Ended December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |
| Current |  |  |  |
| Federal | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 29 |
| State | 7 | 10 | 24 |
| Deferred |  |  |  |
| Federal | 0 | 0 | (29) |
| State | (7) | (10) | (24) |
|  | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | $\underline{\underline{\$ 0}}$ |

The difference between the total expected tax benefit (computed by applying the U.S. Federal tax rate of $35 \%$ to pretax income in 2012, 2011 and 2010) and the reported income tax benefit relating to loss before income taxes is as follows:

|  | Year Ended December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|  |  | (In thousands) |  |
| Tax rate applied to income (loss) before income taxes | \$(249) | \$ 2,333 | \$(11,622) |
| Increase (decrease) resulting from the effects of: |  |  |  |
| Tax exempt interest on obligations of states and political subdivisions | (118) | (143) | (177) |
| State income taxes | (27) | (173) | 506 |
| Stock compensation | 28 | 132 | 150 |
| Expiration of capital loss carryforward | 354 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 53 | 281 | 174 |
| Federal tax provision (benefit) before valuation allowance | 41 | 2,430 | $(10,969)$ |
| State tax provision (benefit) before valuation allowance . . | 76 | 494 | $(1,666)$ |
| Total income tax provision (benefit) | 117 | 2,924 | $(12,635)$ |
| Change in valuation allowance | (117) | $(2,924)$ | 12,635 |
| Income tax provision (benefit) . . | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
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The net deferred tax assets (liabilities) are comprised of the following:

|  | December 31 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 |
|  | (In thousands) |  |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 8,964 | \$ 10,372 |
| Other real estate owned | 1,521 | 4,009 |
| Capital losses | 26 | 384 |
| Accrued stock compensation | 492 | 417 |
| Federal tax loss carryforward | 44,755 | 42,235 |
| State tax loss carryforward | 8,202 | 8,010 |
| Alternative minimum tax carryforward | 1,304 | 1,304 |
| Deferred compensation | 1,162 | 1,202 |
| Other | 990 | 306 |
| Gross deferred tax assets | 67,416 | 68,239 |
| Less: Valuation allowance | $(44,821)$ | $(44,938)$ |
| Deferred tax assets net of valuation allowance | 22,595 | 23,301 |
| Depreciation | $(1,514)$ | $(1,694)$ |
| Deposit base intangible | (538) | (843) |
| Net unrealized securities gains | $(1,972)$ | $(3,287)$ |
| Accrued interest and fee income | (620) | (661) |
| Gross deferred tax liabilities | $(4,644)$ | $(6,485)$ |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ 17,951 | \$ 16,816 |

Although realization is not assured, the Company believes that the realization of the recognized net deferred tax asset of $\$ 18.0$ million is more likely than not based on expectations as to future taxable income and available tax planning strategies, as defined in ASC 740, that could be implemented if necessary to prevent a carryforward from expiring. The Company's net deferred tax asset (DTA) of $\$ 18.0$ million consists of approximately $\$ 49.0$ million of net U.S. federal DTAs, $\$ 13.8$ million of net state DTAs, a $\$ 34.1$ million federal DTA valuation allowance, and a $\$ 10.7$ million state DTA valuation allowance.

As a result of the losses incurred in 2008, the Company reached a three-year cumulative pretax loss position at December 31, 2008. Losses in 2009 and 2010 added to this cumulative loss position that is considered significant negative evidence in assessing the realizability of a DTA. Cumulative earnings for 2011 and 2012 provide positive evidence that moderates the influence of this negative evidence, allowing for greater consideration of future taxable income, exclusive of tax planning strategies, in the Company's estimation of the realizability of the DTAs. In general, the Company would need to generate approximately $\$ 140$ million of taxable income during the respective carryforward periods to fully realize its federal DTAs, and $\$ 251$ million to realize state DTAs. The Company believes only a portion of the federal and state DTAs can be realized from tax planning strategies and a forecast of taxable earnings; therefore, a valuation allowance of $\$ 34.1$ million and $\$ 10.7$ million was recorded, respectively, for federal and state DTAs. The amount of the DTA considered realizable, however, could be reduced if estimates of future taxable income during the carryforward period are lower than forecasted due to further deterioration in market conditions.

The federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of $\$ 127.9$ million and $\$ 229.4$ million, respectively, expire in various amounts annually beginning in 2029 and run through 2032.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties, as appropriate, as part of the provisioning for income taxes. No interest or penalties were accrued at December 31, 2012.
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The Company has no unrecognized income tax benefits or provisions due to uncertain income tax positions. The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) examined the federal income tax returns for the years 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009. The IRS did not propose any adjustments related to this examination. The following are the major tax jurisdictions in which the Company operates and the earliest tax year subject to examination:

| Jurisdiction | Tax Year |
| :---: | :---: |
| United States of America | 2010 |
| Florida | 2008 |

Income taxes related to securities transactions were $\$ 2,939,000, \$ 471,000$ and $\$ 1,422,000$ in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

## Note M Noninterest Income and Expenses

Details of noninterest income and expense follow:

|  | Year Ended December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|  |  | (In thousands) |  |
| Noninterest income |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ 6,245 | \$ 6,262 | \$ 5,925 |
| Trust fees | 2,279 | 2,111 | 1,977 |
| Mortgage banking fees | 3,710 | 2,140 | 2,119 |
| Brokerage commissions and fees | 1,071 | 1,122 | 1,174 |
| Marine finance fees | 1,111 | 1,209 | 1,334 |
| Interchange income | 4,501 | 3,808 | 3,163 |
| Other deposit based EFT fees | 336 | 318 | 321 |
| Other | 2,191 | 1,375 | 2,121 |
|  | 21,444 | 18,345 | 18,134 |
| Loss on sale of commercial loan | $(1,238)$ | 0 | 0 |
| Securities gains, net | 7,619 | 1,220 | 3,687 |
| TOTAL | $\underline{\underline{\$ 27,825}}$ | \$19,565 | $\underline{\underline{\$ 21,821}}$ |
| Noninterest expense |  |  |  |
| Salaries and wages | \$29,935 | \$27,288 | \$26,408 |
| Employee benefits | 7,710 | 5,875 | 5,717 |
| Outsourced data processing costs | 7,382 | 6,583 | 5,981 |
| Telephone/data lines | 1,178 | 1,179 | 1,505 |
| Occupancy | 8,146 | 7,627 | 7,480 |
| Furniture and equipment | 2,319 | 2,291 | 2,398 |
| Marketing | 3,095 | 2,917 | 2,910 |
| Legal and professional fees | 5,241 | 6,137 | 7,977 |
| FDIC assessments | 2,805 | 3,013 | 3,958 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 788 | 847 | 985 |
| Asset dispositions expense | 1,459 | 2,281 | 2,268 |
| Net loss on other real estate owned and repossessed assets | 3,467 | 3,751 | 13,541 |
| Other | 9,023 | 7,974 | 8,428 |
| TOTAL | \$82,548 | \$77,763 | $\underline{\underline{\$ 89,556}}$ |
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## Note N Shareholders' Equity

The Company has reserved $1,500,000$ common shares for issuance in connection with an employee stock purchase plan and 742,500 common shares for issuance in connection with an employee profit sharing plan. At December 31, 2012 an aggregate of 757,551 shares and 172,949 shares, respectively, have been issued as a result of employee participation in these plans.

In December 2008, in connection with the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) Capital Purchase Program, established as part of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, the Company issued to the U.S. Treasury Department (U.S. Treasury) 2,000 shares of Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A ("Series A Preferred Stock") with a par value of $\$ 0.10$ per share and a 10 -year warrant to purchase approximately 589,625 shares of common stock at an exercise price of $\$ 6.36$ per share. The proceeds received were allocated to the preferred stock and additional paid-in-capital based on their relative fair values. The Series A Preferred Stock initially pays quarterly dividends at a five percent annual rate that increases to nine percent after five years on a liquidation preference of $\$ 25,000$ per share. Upon the request of the U.S. Treasury, at any time, the Company agreed to enter into a deposit arrangement pursuant to which the Series A Preferred Stock may be deposited and depository shares may be issued. The Corporation registered the Series A Preferred Stock, the warrant, the shares of common stock underlying the warrant and the depository shares, if any, for resale under the Securities Act of 1933. On March 28, 2012, the U.S. Treasury publicly offered through an auction process their investment in the Series A Preferred Stock. The auction concluded on April 3, 2012, thereby transferring all of the U.S. Treasury's ownership in the Series A Preferred Stock to third party investors.

The warrant to purchase shares of common stock was acquired from the U.S. Treasury by the Company on May 30, 2012 for $\$ 81,000$, including related expenses. Prior to this date and at December 31, 2011, the fair value of the warrant was calculated using the following assumptions:

| Risk free interest rate | 2.17\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| Expected life of options | 10 years |
| Expected dividend yield | 0.63\% |
| Expected volatility | 28\% |
| Weighted average fair value | \$5.30 |

Beginning in the third quarter of 2008, we reduced our dividend per share of our common stock to $\$ 0.01$ and, as of May 19, 2009, we suspended the payment of dividends, as described below. On May 19, 2009, our board of directors decided to suspend regular quarterly cash dividends on our outstanding common stock and Series A Preferred Stock pursuant to a request from the Federal Reserve as a result of recently adopted Federal Reserve policies related to dividends and other distributions. On August 15, 2011, the Federal Reserve approved payment of deferred dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock. As a result, we remitted a payment of $\$ 6,614,000$. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, dividend payments for Series A Preferred Stock were current.

A stock offering was completed during April of 2010 adding $\$ 50$ million of Series B Mandatorily Convertible Nonvoting Preferred Stock ("Series B Preferred Stock") as permanent capital, resulting in approximately $\$ 47.1$ million in additional Tier 1 risk-based equity, net of issuance costs. The shares of Series B Preferred Stock were mandatorily convertible into common shares five days subsequent to shareholder approval, which was granted at the Company's annual meeting on June 22, 2010. Upon the conversion of the Series B Preferred Stock, approximately $34,465,000$ shares of the Company's common stock were issued pursuant to the Investment Agreement, dated as of April 8, 2010 between the Company and the investors.

Holders of common stock are entitled to one vote per share on all matters presented to shareholders as provided in the Company's Articles of Incorporation. The Company implemented a dividend reinvestment plan during 2007, issuing no shares from treasury stock during 2012 and approximately 10,000 shares from treasury stock during 2011.
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## Note N Shareholders' Equity - (continued)

The Company was subject to certain standards for executive compensation while its preferred shares were owned by the U.S. Treasury that included (a) prohibiting "golden parachute" payments as defined in the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (EESA) to senior executive officers; (b) requiring recovery of any compensation paid to senior executive officers based on criteria that is later proven to be materially inaccurate; (c) prohibiting incentive compensation that encourages unnecessary and excessive risks that threaten the value of the financial institution, and (d) accepting restrictions on the payment of dividends and the repurchase of common stock. Seacoast believes it complied with all TARP standards and restrictions during the time the Company was a participant.

## Required Regulatory Capital

|  | Amount | Ratio | Minimum for Capital Adequacy Purpose |  | Minimum To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEACOAST BANKING CORP (CONSOLIDATED) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At December 31, 2012: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets). | \$227,428 | 18.33\% | \$99,247 | $\geq 8.00 \%$ | N/A | N/A |
| Tier 1 Capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 211,839 | 17.08 | 49,624 | $\geq 4.00 \%$ | N/A | N/A |
| Tier 1 Capital (to adjusted average assets) | 211,839 | 10.04 | 84,377 | $\geq 4.00 \%$ | N/A | N/A |
| At December 31, 2011: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets). | . \$230,303 | 18.77\% | \$98,124 | $\geq 8.00 \%$ | N/A | N/A |
| Tier 1 Capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 214,844 | 17.51 | 49,062 | $\geq 4.00 \%$ | N/A | N/A |
| Tier 1 Capital (to adjusted average assets) | 214,844 | 10.31 | 83,338 | $\geq 4.00 \%$ | N/A | N/A |
| SEACOAST NATIONAL BANK (A WHOLLY OWNED BANK SUBSIDIARY) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At December 31, 2012: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets) | \$220,433 | 17.79\% | \$99,116 | $\geq 8.00 \%$ | \$123,895 | $\geq 10.00 \%$ |
| Tier 1 Capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 204,864 | 16.54 | 49,558 | $\geq 4.00 \%$ | 74,337 | $\geq 6.00 \%$ |
| Tier 1 Capital (to adjusted average assets) | 204,864 | 9.72 | 84,312 | $\geq 4.00 \%$ | 105,389 | $\geq 5.00 \%$ |
| At December 31, 2011: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets). | \$219,177 | 17.89\% | \$97,992 | $\geq 8.00 \%$ | \$122,490 | $\geq 10.00 \%$ |
| Tier 1 Capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 203,739 | 16.63 | 48,996 | $\geq 4.00 \%$ | 73,494 | $\geq 6.00 \%$ |
| Tier 1 Capital (to adjusted average assets) | 203,739 | 9.79 | 83,275 | $\geq 4.00 \%$ | 104,094 | $\geq 5.00 \%$ |

## N/A - Not Applicable

The Company is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective
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## Note N Shareholders' Equity - (continued)

action, the Company must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company's assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined) and of Tier 1 capital to average assets (as defined). Management believes, as of December 31, 2012, that the Company meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

The Company is well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, the Company must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth above. At December 31, 2012, the Company's deposit-taking bank subsidiary met the capital and leverage ratio requirements for well capitalized banks.

The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") and Seacoast National agreed by letter agreement that Seacoast National shall maintain specific minimum capital ratios, including a total risk-based capital ratio of 12.00 percent and a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 8.50 percent. The agreement with the OCC as to minimum capital ratios does not change the Bank's status as "well-capitalized" for bank regulatory purposes.

## Note $O$ Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida (Parent Company Only) Financial Information

## Balance Sheets

$\frac{\text { December 31 }}{2012}$

| ASSETS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ 4,067 | \$ 7,781 |
| Securities purchased under agreement to resell with subsidiary bank, maturing within 30 days . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 212,182 | 212,583 |
| Other assets | 13 | 14 |
|  | \$219,184 | \$223,722 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |
| Subordinated debt | \$ 53,610 | \$ 53,610 |
| Other liabilities | 28 | 35 |
| Shareholders' equity | 165,546 | 170,077 |
|  | \$219,184 | \$223,722 |
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## Note O Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida

 (Parent Company Only) Financial Information - (continued)Statements of Income (Loss)

|  | Year Ended December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | $\frac{2011}{\text { (In thousands) }}$ | 2010 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Income |  |  |  |
| Dividends from subsidiary Bank | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Interest/other | 29 | 79 | 12 |
|  | 29 | 79 | 12 |
| Interest expense | 1,057 | 1,152 | 1,187 |
| Other expenses | 575 | 405 | 879 |
| Loss before income tax benefit and equity in undistributed income (loss) of subsidiaries | $(1,603)$ | $(1,478)$ | $(2,054)$ |
| Income tax benefit | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Loss before equity in undistributed income (loss) of subsidiaries | $(1,603)$ | $(1,478)$ | $(2,054)$ |
| Equity in undistributed income (loss) of subsidiaries | 893 | 8,145 | $(31,149)$ |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (710) | \$6,667 | \$(33,203) |
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## Note 0 Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida (Parent Company Only) Financial Information - (continued)

## Statement of Cash Flows

|  | Year Ended December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|  |  | (In thousands) |  |
| Cash flows from operating activities |  |  |  |
| Interest received | \$ 7 | \$ 9 | \$ 12 |
| Interest paid | $(1,045)$ | $(3,288)$ | 0 |
| Dividends received | 22 | 70 | 0 |
| Income taxes paid | (32) | (67) | 63 |
| Other | (703) | (420) | (893) |
| Net cash used in operating activities | $(1,751)$ | $(3,696)$ | (818) |
| Cash flows from investing activities |  |  |  |
| Decrease in securities purchased under agreement to resell, maturing within 30 days, net | 422 | 285 | 1,601 |
| Investments in subsidiaries | 0 | 0 | $(38,000)$ |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investment activities | 422 | 285 | $(36,399)$ |
| Cash flows from financing activities |  |  |  |
| Issuance of common stock, net of related expense | 0 | 0 | 47,127 |
| Repurchase of stock warrants, including related expense | (81) | 0 | 0 |
| Stock based employment plans | 196 | 123 | 180 |
| Dividend reinvestment plan | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Dividends paid on preferred shares | $(2,500)$ | $(6,875)$ | 0 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | $(2,385)$ | $(6,752)$ | 47,327 |
| Net change in cash | $(3,714)$ | $(10,163)$ | 10,110 |
| Cash at beginning of year | 7,781 | 17,944 | 7,834 |
| Cash at end of year | $\underline{\$ 4,067}$ | \$ 7,781 | \$ 17,944 |
| RECONCILIATION OF INCOME (LOSS) TO CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (710) | \$ 6,667 | \$(33,203) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used in operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Equity in undistributed (income) loss of subsidiaries | (893) | $(8,145)$ | 31,149 |
| Other, net | (148) | $(2,218)$ | 1,236 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | $\underline{\underline{\$(1,751)}}$ | \$ (3,696) | \$ (818) |

## Note P Contingent Liabilities and Commitments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk

The Company and its subsidiaries, because of the nature of their business, are at all times subject to numerous legal actions, threatened or filed. Management presently believes that none of the legal proceedings to which it is a party are likely to have a materially adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, or operating results or cash flows.

The Company's subsidiary bank is party to financial instruments with off balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit, and limited partner equity commitments.
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## Note P Contingent Liabilities and Commitments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk - (continued)

The subsidiary bank's exposure to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contract or notional amount of those instruments. The subsidiary bank uses the same credit policies in making commitments and standby letters of credit as they do for on balance sheet instruments.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The subsidiary bank evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the bank upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, equipment, and commercial and residential real estate. Of the $\$ 118,887,000$ in commitments to extend credit outstanding at December 31, 2012, $\$ 81,180,000$ is secured by $1-4$ family residential properties for individuals with approximately $\$ 16,868,000$ at fixed interest rates ranging from 2.625 to $5.875 \%$.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the subsidiary bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These instruments have fixed termination dates and most end without being drawn; therefore, they do not represent a significant liquidity risk. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper, bond financing, and similar transactions. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The subsidiary bank holds collateral supporting these commitments for which collateral is deemed necessary. The extent of collateral held for secured standby letters of credit at December 31, 2012 and 2011 amounted to $\$ 3,629,000$ and $\$ 10,603,000$ respectively.

Unfunded limited partner equity commitments at December 31, 2012 totaled $\$ 4,000,000$ that the Company has committed to a small business investment company under the SBIC Act to be used to provide capital to small businesses.

|  | December 31 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 |
|  | (In thousands) |  |
| Contract or Notional Amount |  |  |
| Financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk: |  |  |
| Commitments to extend credit | \$118,887 | \$106,209 |
| Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees written: |  |  |
| Secured | 2,509 | 2,513 |
| Unsecured | 8 | 9 |
| Unfunded limited partner equity commitment | 4,000 | 0 |

The Company's subsidiary bank renewed its contract for outsourced data services on December 31, 2012 for a period of five years and six months, which requires a minimum payment for early termination without cause as follows:

| Year End | (in thousands) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | \$13,464 |
| 2013 | 11,016 |
| 2014 | 8,568 |
| 2015 | 6,120 |
| 2016 | 3,672 |
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## Note Q Supplemental Disclosures for Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Reconciliation of Net (Loss) Income to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities for the three years ended:

|  | Year Ended December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|  |  | (In thousands) |  |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (710) | \$ 6,667 | \$ $(33,203)$ |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash (used) provided by operating activities |  |  |  |
| Depreciation | 2,827 | 2,830 | 3,097 |
| Net amortization of premiums and discounts on securities | 4,740 | 2,555 | 623 |
| Other amortization and accretion | 20 | (35) | 282 |
| Change in loans available for sale, net | $(20,143)$ | 5,724 | 5,893 |
| Provision for loan losses, net | 10,796 | 1,974 | 31,680 |
| Deferred tax benefit | (7) | (10) | (53) |
| Gain on sale of securities | $(7,619)$ | $(1,220)$ | $(3,687)$ |
| Gain on sale of loans | (816) | (143) | (113) |
| Loss on sale or write down of foreclosed assets | 3,548 | 3,812 | 13,520 |
| Writedown on loan available for sale | 1,238 | 0 | 0 |
| Loss (gain) on disposition of equipment | 774 | 58 | (31) |
| Stock based employee benefit expense | 796 | 587 | 493 |
| Change in interest receivable | 861 | (561) | 1,123 |
| Change in interest payable | (524) | $(2,258)$ | 944 |
| Change in prepaid expenses | 2,601 | 2,748 | 3,822 |
| Change in accrued taxes | (190) | (145) | 21,424 |
| Change in other assets | (835) | 585 | $(1,954)$ |
| Change in other liabilities | 581 | 573 | $(1,201)$ |
| Net cash (used) provided by operating activities | \$(2,062) | \$23,741 | \$ 42,659 |
| Supplemental disclosure of non cash investing activities |  |  |  |
| Fair value adjustment to securities | \$ $(3,405)$ | \$ 5,530 | \$ (279) |
| Transfers from loans to other real estate owned | 14,067 | 35,500 | 22,114 |
| Transfers from loans to loans available for sale | 10,321 | 0 | 0 |
| Transfers from other assets to other real estate owned | 0 | 0 | 1,676 |
| Transfer from bank premises and equipment to other real estate owned | 0 | 0 | 377 |
| Purchase of securities under trade date accounting | 0 | 0 | 508 |
| Transfer of loans to other assets | 0 | 0 | 1,747 |
| Transfer of other real estate owned to other assets | 0 | 0 | 1,642 |
| Matured securities recorded as a receivable | 3,100 | 3,630 | 0 |
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## Note R Fair Value

## Fair Value Instruments Measured at Fair Value

In certain circumstances, fair value enables the Company to more accurately align its financial performance with the market value of actively traded or hedged assets and liabilities. Fair values enable a company to mitigate the non-economic earnings volatility caused from financial assets and financial liabilities being carried at different bases of accounting, as well as to more accurately portray the active and dynamic management of a company's balance sheet. ASC 820 provides additional guidance for estimating fair value when the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability has significantly decreased. In addition, it includes guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. Under ASC 820, fair value measurements for items measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis at December 31, 2012 and 2011 included:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Fair Value <br> Measurements December 31, 2012 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1 | Significant Other Observable Inputs Level 2 | Significant Other Unobservable Inputs Level 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available for sale securities ${ }^{(3)}$ | \$643,050 | \$1,707 | \$641,343 | \$ 0 |
| Loans available for sale ${ }^{(4)}$ | 36,021 | 0 | 36,021 | 0 |
| Loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | 24,510 | 0 | 12,778 | 11,732 |
| OREO ${ }^{(2)}$ | 11,887 | 0 | 3,457 | 8,430 |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Fair Value Measurements December 31, 2011 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1 | Significant Other Observable Inputs Level 2 | Significant Other Unobservable Inputs Level 3 |
| Available for sale securities ${ }^{(3)}$ | \$648,362 | \$1,724 | \$646,638 | \$ 0 |
| Loans available for sale ${ }^{(4)}$ | 6,795 | 0 | 6,795 | 0 |
| Loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | 18,895 | 0 | 9,423 | 9,472 |
| OREO ${ }^{(2)}$ | 20,946 | 0 | 2,509 | 18,437 |

(1) See Note E. Nonrecurring fair value adjustments to loans identified as impaired reflect full or partial write-downs that are based on the loan's observable market price or current appraised value of the collateral in accordance with ASC 310.
(2) Fair value is measure on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with ASC 360.
(3) See Note D for further detail of recurring fair value basis of individual investment categories.
(4) Recurring fair value basis determined using observable market data.

The fair value of impaired loans which are not troubled debt restructurings is based on recent real estate appraisals less estimated costs of sale. For residential real estate impaired loans, appraised values or internal evaluation are based on the comparative sales approach. These impaired loans are considered level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. For commercial and commercial real estate impaired loans, evaluations may use either a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches, such as comparative sales, cost and/or income approach. A significant unobservable input in the income approach is the estimated capitalization rate for a given piece of collateral. At December 31, 2012 the range of capitalization rates utilized to determine fair value of the underlying collateral averaged approximately $9 \%$. Adjustments to comparable sales may be made by an appraiser to reflect local market conditions or other economic factors and may result in changes in the fair value of an asset over time. As such, the fair value of these impaired loans is considered level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

Fair value of available for sale securities are determined using valuation techniques for individual investments as described in Note H .
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When appraisals are used to determine fair value and the appraisals are based on a market approach, the fair value of OREO is classified as level 2 input. When the fair value of OREO is based on appraisals which require significant adjustments to market-based valuation inputs or apply an income approach based on unobservable cash flows, OREO is classified as Level 3 inputs.

Transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are recognized on the actual date of the event or circumstances that caused the transfer, which generally coincides with the Company's monthly and/or quarter valuation process.

During 2012, there were no transfers between level 1 and level 2 assets carried at fair value.
For loans classified as level 3 the transfers in totaled $\$ 24.7$ million consisting of loans that became impaired during 2012. Transfers out consisted of charge offs of $\$ 5.2$ million, and foreclosures migrating to OREO and other reductions (including principal payments) totaling $\$ 17.4$ million. No sales were recorded.

Charge-offs recognized upon loan foreclosures are generally offset by general or specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses and generally do not, and did not during the reported periods, significantly impact the Company's provision for loan losses.

For OREO classified as level 3 during 2012 transfers out totaled $\$ 18.6$ million consisting of valuation write-downs of $\$ 2.9$ million and sales of $\$ 15.7$ million and transfers in consisted of foreclosed loans totaling $\$ 8.6$ million.

The carrying amount and fair value of the Company's other significant financial instruments that are not measured at fair value on a recurring basis in the balance sheet as of December 31 is as follows:
(In Thousands)

| (In Thousands) | Carrying Amount <br> December 31, 2011 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1 | Significant Other Observable Inputs Level 2 | Significant Other Unobservable Inputs Level 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Securities held to maturity | \$ 19,977 | \$0 | \$20,487 | \$ 0 |
| Loans, net | 1,163,614 | 0 | 0 | 1,192,914 |
| Financial Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 1,718,741 | 0 | 0 | 1,722,709 |
| Borrowings | 50,000 | 0 | 55,449 | 0 |
| Subordinated debt | 53,610 | 0 | 32,166 | 0 |

The short maturity of Seacoast's assets and liabilities results in having a significant number of financial instruments whose fair value equals or closely approximates carrying value. Such financial instruments are
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reported in the following balance sheet captions: cash and cash equivalents, interest bearing deposits with other banks, federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreement to repurchase, maturing within 30 days.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument for which it is practicable to estimate that value at December 31, 2012 and 2011:

Securities: U.S. Treasury securities are reported at fair value utilizing Level 1 inputs. Other securities classified as available for sale are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. For these securities, the Company obtains fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things.

The Company reviews the prices supplied by the independent pricing service, as well as their underlying pricing methodologies, for reasonableness and to ensure such prices are aligned with traditional pricing matrices. In general, the Company does not purchase investment portfolio securities that are esoteric or that have a complicated structure. The Company's entire portfolio consists of traditional investments, nearly all of which are U.S. Treasury obligations, federal agency bullet or mortgage pass-through securities, or general obligation or revenue based municipal bonds. Pricing for such instruments is fairly generic and is easily obtained. From time to time, the Company will validate, on a sample basis, prices supplied by the independent pricing service by comparison to prices obtained from third-party sources or derived using internal models.

Loans: Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by type such as commercial, mortgage, etc. Each loan category is further segmented into fixed and adjustable rate interest terms and by performing and nonperforming categories. The fair value of loans, except residential mortgages, is calculated by discounting scheduled cash flows through the estimated maturity using estimated market discount rates that reflect the credit and interest rate risks inherent in the loan. For residential mortgage loans, fair value is estimated by discounting contractual cash flows adjusting for prepayment assumptions using discount rates based on secondary market sources. The estimated fair value is not an exit price fair value under ASC 820 when this valuation technique is used.

Loans held for sale: Fair values are based upon estimated values to be received from independent third party purchasers.

Deposit Liabilities: The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts and money market deposits is the amount payable at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed maturity certificates of deposit is estimated using the rates currently offered for funding of similar remaining maturities.

Borrowings: The fair value of floating rate borrowings is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed rate borrowings is estimated using the rates currently offered for borrowings of similar remaining maturities.

Subordinated debt: The fair value of the floating rate subordinated debt is estimated using discounted cash flow analysis and estimates of the Company's current incremental borrowing rate for similar instruments.

## Note S Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per common share were computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the year.

In 2012, 2011, and 2010, options and warrants to purchase $436,000,1,125,000$, and $1,136,000$, shares, respectively, were antidilutive and accordingly were excluded in determining diluted earnings per share.
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## Note S Earnings Per Share - (continued)

|  | Year Ended December 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Net Income } \\ \text { (Loss) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Shares | Per Share Amount |
|  | (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |
| Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share |  |  |  |
| Loss available to common shareholders | $\underline{\text { \$ }(4,458)}$ | 93,743,787 | \$(0.05) |
| 2011 |  |  |  |
| Basic Earnings Per Share |  |  |  |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$ 2,919 | 93,511,983 | \$ 0.03 |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share |  |  |  |
| Employee restricted stock (See Note J) |  | 289,090 |  |
| Income available to common shareholders plus assumed conversions | \$ 2,919 | $\underline{\underline{93,801,073}}$ | \$ 0.03 |
| 2010 |  |  |  |
| Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share |  |  |  |
| Loss available to common shareholders | \$(36,951) | $\underline{\text { 76,561,692 }}$ | \$(0.48) |

## OFFICERS \& DIRECTORS

## Seacoast <br> OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

## SEACOAST BANKING CORPORATION OF FLORIDA OFFICERS

| Dennis S. Hudson, III <br> Chairman, President and <br> Chief Executive Officer | Maria Frias <br> Executive <br> and Chief |
| :--- | :--- |
| William R. Hahl | Charles A. <br> Executive Vice President <br> and Chief Financial Officer |
| Senior Vice <br> and Chief |  |
| H. Russell Holland, III <br> Executive Vice President <br> and Chief Lending Officer | Sharon Me <br> Corporate |
| David D. Houdeshell |  |
| Executive Vice President |  |
| and Chief Credit Officer |  |


| Dennis S. Hudson, III <br> Chairman <br> Seacoast National Bank | Christopher E. Fogal <br> Proctor, Crook, Crowder <br> Roger O. Goldman |
| :--- | :--- |
| \& Fogal - Certified <br> Lead Independent Director <br> Berkshire Opportunity <br> Fund, LLC |  |
|  | Robert B. Goldstein |
| Dennis J. Arczynski | CapGen Capital Advisors, LLC |
| Dennis Arczynski <br> \& Company, LLC | Dale M. Hudson |
| Serves on bank board only | Retired, |
| Stephen E. Bohner | Seacoast National Bank |
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| Retired, |  |
| John H. Crane | Seacoast National Bank |
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## COMMUNITY BOARD DIRECTORS

## BIG LAKE

| John B. Boy, Jr. <br> Accountant - Boy, Miller, <br> Kisker \& Perry P.A. | Greg Karlson, P.A. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | ERA Advantage Realty |
|  |  |
|  | Robert Lee |
| Richard E. Chartier ICS Computers, Inc. | CEO, Raulerson Hospital |
|  |  |
|  | Valerie Lewis |
| Mary Beth Cooper | McAplin, Cavalcanti |
| Retired, Ag Sales | \& Lewis, CPAs |
| John Court | Elizabeth Maxwell |
| Department of Agriculture | Maxwell \& Maxwell, P.A. |
| Curtis S. Fry | Brandon Tucker |
| Retired, Hardware Sales | Tucker Realty Group |
| INDIAN RIVER COUNTY |  |
| Joseph Bevack | Scott Lambeth |
| Elliott Merrill | Golden River Fruit Company |
| Community Management |  |
|  | Merry Parent |
| Ross Cotherman | Parent Construction, Inc. |
| Harris, Cotherman, Jones, |  |
| Price \& Associates | Angelia Perry |
|  | Gifford Youth Activities |
| Bill Curtis |  |
| Retired, Seacoast National Bank | Ali A. Qizilbash |
|  | Cemco Construction Company |
| William Dyer |  |
| Dyer Auto | Jane Schwiering |
|  | Norris and Company |
| Sheila Griffin | Real Estate |
| Orthopedic Center of Vero Beach |  |
|  | Susan Schuyler Smith |
|  | Spectrum Interior Design |
| Dr. Mike (Myron) Harvey |  |
| Human Capital Consulting | Michael J. Swan |
|  | Rossway, Moore, Taylor |

## CENTRAL FLORIDA

| D. Paul Dietrich II <br> Swann, Hadley, Stump, Dietrich <br> \& Spears, PA | Toni B. Springer <br> Toni B. Springer, CPA, PA |
| :--- | :--- |
| Barry Kalmanson <br> The Kalmanson <br> Organization, Inc. <br> Ramón Ojeda <br> Hispanic Chamber <br> Rog Commerce B. Kennedy, Jr. <br> Roger B. Kennedy, Inc. <br> For Metro Orlando |  |
|  | Darwin J. Yovaish, Jr. <br> Pace Electric, Inc. |

MARTIN COUNTY


| James Beckley | Erik Melville <br> Rivergold Inc. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Raymond James |  |

## Investor Information

FORM 10-K

The Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida's Annual Report to the Securities and Exchange Commission on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ is available at the headquarters upon request and at www.sbcf.com under Financials/ Regulatory filings.

Requests may be directed to:
William R. Hahl
P.O. Box 9012

Stuart, FL 34995-9012
772-221-2825

## TRANSFER AGENT

Continental Stock Transfer and Trust Co.
17 Battery Place, 8th Floor
New York, NY 10004
800-509-5586

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

KPMG LLP

## STOCK LISTING

The Common Stock of Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida is traded on The NASDAQ Global Select Market ${ }^{\text {SM }}$ under the symbol SBCF. The abbreviation in most newspaper stock listings is "SeacBK" or "Seacst BKFL."

## INTERNET

www.sbcf.com
E-mail: information@SeacoastBanking.net

## INFORMATION

For further information on Seacoast Banking
Corporation of Florida, contact: Dennis S. Hudson, III, CEO, at 772-288-6085 or William R. Hahl, CFO, at 772-221-2825 or email Sharon Mehl, Sharon.Mehl@SeacoastNational.com OF FLORIDA


[^0]:    (1) Not meaningful
    (2) On a fully taxable equivalent basis

[^1]:    $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}=$ not meaningful

[^2]:    * Net of unearned income.

[^3]:    (1) The repricing dates may differ from maturity dates for certain assets due to prepayment assumptions.
    (2) Securities are stated at amortized cost.
    (3) Excludes nonaccrual loans.
    (4) This category is comprised of NOW, savings and money market deposits. If NOW and savings deposits (totaling \$674,327) were deemed repriceable in "4-12 months", the interest sensitivity gap and cumulative gap would be $(\$ 84,422)$ or $(4.2) \%$ of total earning assets and an earning assets to interest bearing liabilities for the 0-3 months category of $86.3 \%$
    $n / m=$ not meaningful

