

**Focused Through Changing Times** 

**Annual Report 2006** 



#### CORPORATE PROFILE

Provident Financial Services, Inc. is the holding company for The Provident Bank. We emphasize PERSONAL SERVICE and CUSTOMER CONVENIENCE in attending to the financial needs of individuals, families and businesses in northern and central New Jersey. Originally established in 1839, The Provident Bank continues to build on its strong reputation by offering a broad array of deposit, loan, trust and investment products. In keeping with our CUSTOMER-CENTRIC STRATEGY, we BUILD and RETAIN CUSTOMER RELATIONSHIPS through our network of 75 branches, our telephone and Internet banking services and our participation in the Allpoint Network which provides our customers with surcharge-free access to over 32,000 ATM's nationwide.



#### **PROVIDENT'S MISSION**

#### Our mission is to consistently provide superior value

by maintaining a challenging and rewarding work environment

by exemplifying outstanding leadership

#### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

(In thousands, exc	cept branch data.	per share data a	and percent data)
--------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------

At December 31,	2006	2005	2004	2003
Total assets	\$5,742,964	\$6,052,374	\$6,433,322	\$4,284,878
Net loans outstanding	3,751,230	3,707,142	3,673,455	2,216,736
Investment securities	389,656	410,914	445,633	517,789
Securities available for sale	790,894	1,082,957	1,406,340	1,151,829
Deposits	3,826,463	3,921,458	4,050,473	2,695,976
Borrowings	840,990	970,108	1,166,094	736,328
Stockholders' equity	1,019,156	1,076,295	1,136,776	817,119
Number of branches	75	76	78	54

For the year ended December 31,


Tor the year ended Becerniser 51,				
Net income	\$ 53,685	\$ 58,499	\$ 49,301	\$ 18,744
Basic earnings per share	\$0.88	\$0.89	\$0.80	\$0.31
Diluted earnings per share	\$0.87	\$0.88	\$0.80	\$0.31
Return on average assets	0.92%	0.94%	0.93%	0.46%
Return on average equity	5.17%	5.32%	5.06%	2.31%
Non-performing loans to total loans	0.20%	0.16%	0.17%	0.27%
Non-interest expense to average assets	2.02%	2.00%	2.24%	2.50%*

<sup>\*2003</sup> ratio excludes effect of one-time contribution to The Provident Bank Foundation.

Total Assets (dollars in billions)




Net Income (dollars in millions)



Diluted Earnings per Share (dollars)





IN 2006, WE CONTINUED TO MAKE STEADY PROGRESS ALONG OUR PATH TO BECOMING THE BANK OF CHOICE FOR SMALL AND MEDIUM-SIZED BUSINESSES IN OUR MARKET AREA.

Paul M. Pantozzi Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

### **DEAR FELLOW STOCKHOLDERS:**

# A FOCUS ON LONG-TERM VALUE

A consistent focus on long-term goals has helped insure Provident's ability to offer innovative financial solutions and quality service to our customers and communities for well over a century and a half.

ach year brings unique challenges and opportunities, and 2006 was no exception. I am pleased to report that in the past year, Provident Financial Services, Inc. held a steady course in the face of adverse economic conditions and posted stable earnings results, while continuing to build for future growth and success.

Throughout the year, the prevailing structure of interest rates put increasing pressure on net interest margins across the banking industry. To maintain our current earnings stream, as well as provide a foundation for future earnings growth, we responded to this challenge by continuing to de-leverage

## Our team of banking professionals takes the time to understand the unique needs of each business client.

our balance sheet and to carefully manage our overhead costs. Systematic reductions of wholesale borrowings, in conjunction with reductions of our securities portfolios, constrained growth in total assets but helped minimize compression of our net interest margin.

As a result, year-end 2006 assets totaled \$5.74 billion as compared to \$6.05 billion at year-end 2005, and our net interest margin for the full year 2006 was 3.23% as compared to 3.34% in 2005. Significantly, our continued focus on managing operating overhead costs resulted in equivalent reductions in non-interest expense. Total expenses were down 4.8% in 2006 as compared to 2005, and every major expense category declined. All of these factors contributed to diluted earnings per share of \$0.87 in 2006, as compared to \$0.88 reported in 2005.

We maintain a long-term view of our business, as is amply illustrated by The Provident Bank's 168 years of service to its customers and communities. In support of that view, we marked several accomplishments in 2006.

First and foremost, we continued to make steady progress along our path to becoming the bank of choice for small and medium-sized business owners within our market. Of the \$1.2 billion in loans originated during 2006, 71% were commercial real estate, construction and commercial business loans, as compared to 64% of total originations in 2005. At year-end 2006, the proportion of loan balances outstanding in these categories totaled 41.3%, up from 37.5% at the end of the prior year.

In addition, we expanded our program to underwrite loans guaranteed by the Small Business Administration and attained the status of "Preferred Lender."



"As we've continued to expand our business, Provident has come through for Calandra time and again. Our relationship is a strong one, and Provident has consistently fulfilled all our banking needs."

Anthony and Lou Calandra, owners and proprietors of Calandra's Italian & French Bakery, several hotels and restaurants, and the planned Calandra's Italian Village in Caldwell, New Jersey



"Provident took the time to understand our unique business model and provide financial solutions for our needs. Our relationship has developed into a true partnership."

Thomas J. Frangione,
Director of Accounting &
Finance, and Andrew Mintz,
Executive Director, Summit
Medical Group, one of
the nation's premier multispecialty medical groups
providing exceptional
primary and specialty
health care with their
principal facility in Berkeley
Heights, New Jersey

## BECOMING THE BANK OF CHOICE

## IN OUR MARKET AREA

allowing a more streamlined application and approval process. As a fundamental part of our long-term business strategy, we intend to build on our SBA lending capabilities.

Complementing these lending efforts, we continued to enhance our deposit products and improve delivery channels to meet the needs of business customers. We recorded gains in both the number of accounts and the balances outstanding in our combined business checking, money market and escrow accounts.


We also introduced our remote deposit capture service, which allows commercial depositors to scan checks and transmit the images electronically for posting and clearing, thereby saving them time and effort while improving their cash flow. We will continue to invest in technology that allows us to deliver

truly competitive services to meet the special needs of business owners.

As demonstrated by the comments that are included in this report from several of our valued clients, we are committed to maintaining strong, mutually beneficial relationships with our business customers.

Our long-term perspective is further evidenced by our commitment to

Commercial Loans Originated (dollars in millions)\*



\* CRE, Construction and C&I Loans

We pride ourselves on delivering fast responses to customer requests. This is facilitated by our local decision-making and our ability to craft customized solutions for a broad spectrum of business customers.

asset quality. We ended 2006 with a ratio of non-performing assets to total assets of 0.14%, consistent with the low ratios we have reported for the past several years, and the ratio of net charge-offs to average loans outstanding during the period was 0.02%.


Conservative underwriting standards and effective collection efforts have been fundamental to Provident's business model throughout our history, and we intend to maintain those practices.

We remain convinced that the surest way to enhance long-term stockholder value is to consistently provide superior service to our customers, thereby generating sustainable earnings. As an important complement to this principle, we seek to maintain a sound capital management strategy.

In April 2006, the Board of Directors voted to increase the quarterly cash

dividend for the sixth time in less than three years, and, at this writing, the quarterly dividend is \$0.10 per share. In addition, under Board-authorized programs, we repurchased 5.5 million shares of our common stock during the year. We believe that this balanced approach has continued to return value directly to stockholders as we build the foundation for future earnings growth.

Non-Performing Assets to Total Assets (percent)





"We needed a New Jersey bank that would be responsive to the needs of a growing business. We found that bank in Provident."

Leonard Kohl, Chairman of Generations Management Services, Kohl Partners, LLC and JH Mack, LLC. A builder and developer of commercial and residential real estate for over 45 years, his latest project is Aurora Over the Hudson, a two-tower, all-glass enclave under construction in Cliffside Park, New Jersey.



"It's all about people.
What the team at
CareOne gets from the
Provident team is the
confidence that every
solid business transaction
will come to fruition."

Kevin P. Breslin, Executive Vice President, CareOne. Headquartered in Fort Lee, CareOne is New Jersey's premier provider of long-term care, assisted living and rehabilitation services.

#### OUR GOAL IS

## BUILDING STRONG RELATIONSHIPS

Our primary strategic objective is to achieve and sustain long-term profitable growth, and we believe that all growth initiatives are best built upon solid foundations. With that in mind, we continued to pursue a branch rationalization policy that optimizes the effectiveness of our existing network. This entailed physical upgrades to productive and high-performing offices, and included the closure of one under-performing branch, with a sale of its deposits to another institution.

To build on that foundation, in October 2006 we entered into a definitive agreement to acquire First Morris Bank & Trust and merge its operations into The Provident Bank. With over \$500 million in assets and nine branches located within the prosperous and growing Morris County market, we view First Morris as a promising addition to our franchise that will enhance both our market reach and profit potential. This

Commercial Deposits to Total Deposits (percent)



transaction is scheduled to be completed early in the second quarter of 2007, upon receipt of regulatory approvals and the approval of First Morris' stockholders. We look forward to the opportunity of serving their valued customers and welcoming their team of customer-care professionals to join with ours as we pursue our goals.

Our Board of Directors continues to guide our Company in managing the



Our Teaneck office supports a thriving community in the heart of Bergen County.



Our Milltown office enjoys and enhances the quality of life in central Middlesex County.

challenges and opportunities outlined earlier. Since 1990, Thomas E. Sheenan has served with distinction as a member of the Board. Tom will be retiring in 2007, and his advice and insights will be missed. In November 2006, we were pleased to welcome Laura L. Brooks as our newest Director. Laura's distinguished career as a risk-management professional is certain to add strength and focus to our already solid corporate governance practices.

We are proud to call ourselves a community bank. Every member of the team at Provident Financial Services, Inc. and The Provident Bank is dedicated to adding value to the communities we serve, and they renew that commitment each day. Our people devote countless hours of volunteer service to numerous worthy causes, and we continue to make a positive impact on the quality of life in New Jersey through our charitable foundation.

#### Non-Interest Expense to Average Assets (percent)



\* 2003 ratio excludes effect of one-time contribution to The Provident Bank Foundation.





The entire Provident team proudly maintains a reputation for prompt, courteous and knowledgeable service.

#### **Business Loans and Lines of Credit**

- Commercial Real Estate Loans
- Construction Loans
- Loans to Small Businesses
- SBA Loans
- Term Loans
- Lines of Credit
- Overdraft Lines of Credit
- Equipment Leasing
- Letters of Credit

Our strong ties with the communities we serve provide a springboard for profitable growth. Every day we strengthen our relationships with the businesses that help each community thrive.



Whether your financial needs are business or personal, a Provident banking professional can craft the solution that is right for you.

In 2006, The Provident Bank Foundation donated over \$2.5 million to enhance the wellness, culture and education of our fellow New Jerseyans.

Our team's main task remains to provide financial solutions and personal service that add real value to the prosperity and peace of mind of our customers. We continue to train our talented staff and to add the technology that facilitates the same high level of knowledgeable service, no matter which channel our customers choose to interact with us.

2007 will again bring unique challenges and opportunities, and the growth initiatives we have set in motion for the coming year will allow us to further strengthen our ties to customers and communities and to build long-term value for you, our stockholders.

Our Company has a proud history, a sound and stable present and a promising future. On behalf of our management, our staff and our Board of Directors, I want to thank you for your continued support.

Paul M. Pantozzi

Chairman of the Board and

Chief Executive Officer

## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

#### FORM 10-K

[X]	Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2006  OR	of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
[ ]	Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(c) For the transition period from	
	Commission File I	No. 1-31566
	PROVIDENT FINANCIA (Exact Name of Registrant as S	
(State o	<b>Delaware</b> or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)	42-1547151 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)
	830 Bergen Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey (Address of Principal Executive Offices)	<b>07306-4599</b> (Zip Code)
	(201) 333-1 (Registrant's Teleph	
	Securities Registered Pursua	ant to Section 12(b) of the Act:
	Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share (Title of Class)	New York Stock Exchange (Name Of Exchange On Which Registered)
	Securities Registered Pursuant to None	Section 12(g) of the Act:
YES 🛭	Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known $\square$ NO $\square$	n seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
YES [	Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$	to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.
file suc		filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the onths (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to nents for the past 90 days.
		rs pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, ge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by Form 10-K.
	Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large finition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Accelerated Filer ☑ Accelerated Filer ☐ Non-Accelerated	
YES [	Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$	company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
	nding, including 737,334 shares held by the First Savin	sued and 63,316,762 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock gs Bank Directors' Deferred Fee Plan not otherwise considered to United States of America. The aggregate value of the voting and

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

2006, as quoted by the NYSE, was approximately \$1.11 billion.

(1) Proxy Statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Registrant (Part III).

non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the Registrant, based on the closing price of the Common Stock as of June 30,

#### PROVIDENT FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.

#### INDEX TO FORM 10-K

Item Number	<u>Page</u>	Number
	PART I	
1.	Business	3
1A.	Risk Factors	32
1B.	Unresolved SEC Staff Comments	34
2.	Properties	35
3.	Legal Proceedings	35
4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	35
	PART II	
5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchase of Equity Securities	
6.	Selected Financial Data	37
7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	39
7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	49
8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	
9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	87
9A.	Controls and Procedures	87
9B.	Other Information	87
	PART III	
10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	88
11.	Executive Compensation	
12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	
13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	
14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	
	PART IV	
15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	89
Signatures		92
-		

#### **Forward Looking Statements**

Certain statements contained herein are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Such forward-looking statements may be identified by reference to a future period or periods, or by the use of forward-looking terminology, such as "may," "will," "believe," "expect," "estimate," "anticipate," "continue," or similar terms or variations on those terms, or the negative of those terms. Forward-looking statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, those related to the economic environment, particularly in the market areas in which Provident Financial Services, Inc. ("the Company") operates, competitive products and pricing, fiscal and monetary policies of the U.S. Government, changes in government regulations affecting financial institutions, including regulatory fees and capital requirements, changes in prevailing interest rates, acquisitions and the integration of acquired businesses, in particular risks and uncertainties associated with the successful merger with, and integration of the operations of First Morris Bank & Trust, credit risk management, asset-liability management, the financial and securities markets and the availability of and costs associated with sources of liquidity.

The Company wishes to caution readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. The Company wishes to advise readers that the factors listed above could affect the Company's financial performance and could cause the Company's actual results for future periods to differ materially from any opinions or statements expressed with respect to future periods in any current statements. The Company does not undertake and specifically declines any obligation to publicly release the result of any revisions, which may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

#### PART I

#### Item 1. Business

#### **Provident Financial Services, Inc.**

The Company is a Delaware corporation which, on January 15, 2003, became the holding company for The Provident Bank (the "Bank"), following the completion of the conversion of the Bank to a stock chartered savings bank. On January 15, 2003, the Company issued an aggregate of 59,618,300 shares of its common stock, par value \$0.01 per share in a subscription offering and contributed \$4.8 million in cash and 1,920,000 shares of its common stock to The Provident Bank Foundation, a charitable foundation established by the Bank. As a result of the conversion and related stock offering, the Company raised \$567.2 million in net proceeds, of which \$293.2 million was utilized to acquire all of the outstanding common stock of the Bank. The Company owns all of the outstanding common stock of the Bank, and as such, is a bank holding company subject to regulation by the Federal Reserve Board. On July 14, 2004, the Company completed its acquisition of First Sentinel Bancorp, Inc.

On October 15, 2006, the Company and First Morris Bank & Trust ("First Morris") signed a definitive agreement under which First Morris will merge into the Bank. Consideration will be paid to First Morris stockholders in a combination of stock and cash. The transaction is subject to First Morris stockholder approval and regulatory approvals for both companies and is expected to close early in the second quarter of 2007.

At December 31, 2006, the Company had total assets of \$5.74 billion, net loans of \$3.75 billion, total deposits of \$3.83 billion, and total stockholders' equity of \$1.02 billion. The Company's mailing address is 830 Bergen Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey 07306-4599, and the Company's telephone number is (201) 333-1000.

#### The Provident Bank

Originally established in 1839, the Bank is a New Jersey-chartered capital stock savings bank headquartered in Jersey City, New Jersey. The Bank is a community- and customer-oriented bank operating 75 full-service branch offices in the New Jersey counties of Hudson, Bergen, Essex, Mercer, Middlesex, Monmouth, Morris, Ocean, Somerset and Union, which the Bank considers its primary market area. The Bank emphasizes personal service and customer convenience in serving the financial needs of the individuals, families and businesses residing in its markets. The Bank attracts deposits from the general public in the areas surrounding its banking offices and uses those funds, together with funds generated from operations and borrowings, to originate

commercial real estate loans, residential mortgage loans, commercial business loans and consumer loans. The Bank also invests in mortgage-backed securities and other permissible investments.

#### The following are highlights of The Provident Bank's operations:

Diversified Loan Portfolio. To improve asset yields and reduce its exposure to interest rate risk, the Bank diversifies its loan portfolio by emphasizing the origination of commercial mortgage and commercial business loans. These loans generally have adjustable interest rates that initially are higher than the rates applicable to one- to four-family residential mortgage loans. However, these loans generally have a higher risk of loss than single-family residential mortgage loans.

Asset Quality. As of December 31, 2006, non-performing assets were \$8.1 million or 0.14% of total assets, compared to \$6.7 million or 0.11% of total assets at December 31, 2005. The Bank's asset quality reflects its focus on underwriting criteria and on aggressive collection efforts and conservative charge-off practices. The levels of commercial mortgage and commercial business loans and the relatively larger credit concentrations increase the Bank's credit risk.

Emphasis on Relationship Banking and Core Deposits. The Bank emphasizes the acquisition and retention of core deposit accounts, such as checking and savings accounts, and expanding customer relationships. Core deposit accounts totaled \$2.27 billion at December 31, 2006, representing 59.2% of total deposits. The Bank also focuses on increasing the number of households and businesses served and the number of bank products per customer.

Increasing Non-Interest Income. The Bank's emphasis on transaction accounts and expanded products and services has enabled the Bank to generate non-interest income. A primary source of non-interest income is derived from fees on core deposit accounts. The Bank has also focused on expanding products and services to generate additional non-interest income by offering investment products, estate management and trust services. Total non-interest income was \$32.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared with \$29.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, and fee income increased to \$23.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Managing Interest Rate Risk. Although the Bank's liabilities are more sensitive to changes in interest rates than its assets, the Bank manages its exposure to interest rate risk by emphasizing the origination and retention of adjustable rate and shorter-term loans. In addition, the Bank uses its investments in securities to manage interest rate risk. At December 31, 2006, 49.9% of the Bank's loan portfolio had a term to maturity of one year or less, or had adjustable interest rates. Moreover, at December 31, 2006, the Bank's securities portfolio, excluding equity securities, totaled \$1.15 billion and had an average expected life of 3.87 years.

Capital Management. The Company repurchased \$99.6 million of its common stock and paid cash dividends totaling \$24.3 million in 2006.

Available Information. The Company is a public company, and files interim, quarterly and annual reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). These respective reports are on file and a matter of public record with the SEC and may be read and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC (<a href="http://www.sec.gov">http://www.sec.gov</a>). All filed SEC reports and interim filings can also be obtained from the Bank's website, <a href="https://www.providentnj.com">www.providentnj.com</a>, on the "Investor Relations" page, without charge from the Company.

#### MARKET AREA

The Company and the Bank are headquartered in Jersey City, which is located in Hudson County, New Jersey. At December 31, 2006, the Bank operated a network of 75 full-service banking offices throughout ten counties in northern and central New Jersey, comprised of 16 offices in Hudson County, 3 in Bergen, 6 in Essex, 1 in Mercer, 23 in Middlesex, 10 in Monmouth, 2 in Morris, 6 in Ocean, 5 in Somerset and 3 in Union Counties. The Bank also maintains The Provident Loan Center in Woodbridge, New Jersey. The Bank's lending activities, though concentrated in the communities surrounding its offices, extend predominantly throughout the State of New Jersey.

The Bank's ten-county primary market area includes a mix of urban and suburban communities and has a diversified mix of industries including pharmaceutical and other manufacturing companies, network communications, insurance and financial services, and retail. According to the U.S. Census Bureau's most recent population estimates as of 2005, the Bank's ten-county market area has a population of 6.0 million, which was 68.7% of the state's total population. Because of the diversity of industries in the Bank's market area and, to a lesser extent, because of its proximity to the New York City financial markets, the area's economy can be significantly affected by changes in national and international economies. According to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, employment growth in New Jersey has continued to ease, rising 1.4% in 2006, compared to 1.5% in 2005. However, the state's unemployment rate has also moderated to 4.2% at year-end 2006, compared to 4.6% at year-end 2005.

Within its ten-county market area, the Bank has an approximate 2.39% share of bank deposits as of June 30, 2006, the latest date for which statistics are available, and an approximate 1.85% deposit share of the New Jersey market statewide.

#### **COMPETITION**

The Bank faces intense competition both in originating loans and attracting deposits. The northern and central New Jersey market area has a high concentration of financial institutions, including large money center and regional banks, community banks, credit unions, investment brokerage firms and insurance companies. The Bank faces direct competition for loans from each of these institutions as well as from mortgage companies, mortgage brokers and other loan origination firms operating in our market area. The Bank's most direct competition for deposits has come from the several commercial banks and savings banks in the market area, especially large regional banks which have obtained a major share of the available deposit market due in part to acquisitions and consolidations. Many of these banks have substantially greater financial resources than the Bank and offer services, such as private banking, that the Bank does not provide. In addition, the Bank faces significant competition for deposits from the mutual fund industry and from investors' direct purchase of short-term money market securities and other corporate and government securities.

The Bank competes in this environment by maintaining a diversified product line, including mutual funds, annuities and other investment services made available through its investment subsidiary. Relationships with customers are built and maintained through the Bank's branch network, its deployment of branch and off-site ATMs, and its telephone and web-based banking services.

#### LENDING ACTIVITIES

Historically, the Bank's principal lending activity has been the origination of fixed-rate and adjustable-rate mortgage loans collateralized by one- to four-family residential real estate located within its primary market area. Since 1997, the Bank has taken a more balanced approach to the composition of the loan portfolio by increasing its emphasis on originating commercial real estate loans and commercial business loans.

Residential mortgage loans are primarily underwritten to standards that allow the sale of the loans to the secondary markets, primarily to the Federal National Mortgage Association ("FNMA" or "Fannie Mae") and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("FHLMC" or "Freddie Mac"). To manage interest rate risk, the Bank generally sells the 20-year and 30-year fixed-rate residential mortgages that it originates. The Bank retains a majority of the originated adjustable rate mortgages for its portfolio.

The Bank originates commercial real estate loans that are secured by income-producing properties such as multi-family residences, office buildings, and retail and industrial properties. To limit its exposure to interest rate risk, the Bank generally adjusts the interest rate following an initial five-year period in the majority of the commercial real estate loans it originates.

The Bank provides construction loans for both single family and condominium projects intended for sale and projects that will be retained as investments by the borrower. The Bank underwrites most construction loans for a term of three years or less. The majority of these loans are underwritten on a floating rate basis. The Bank recognizes that there is higher risk in construction lending than permanent lending. As such, the Bank takes certain precautions to mitigate this risk, including the retention of an outside engineering firm to perform site plan and cost reviews and to review all construction advances made against work in place and a limitation on how and when loan proceeds are advanced. In most cases, for the single family/condominium projects, the Bank manages its exposure against houses or units that are not under contract. Similarly, commercial construction loans usually have commitments for significant pre-leasing, or funds are held back until the leases are finalized.

The Bank originates consumer loans that are secured, in most cases, by a borrower's assets. Home equity loans and home equity lines of credit that are primarily secured by a second mortgage lien on the borrower's residence comprise the largest category of the Bank's consumer loan portfolio. The Bank's consumer loan portfolio also includes marine loans that are secured by a first lien on recreational boats. The marine loans are generated by boat dealers located on the Atlantic Coast of the United States. In addition the Bank finances auto loans, which are generated by dealers in the New York metropolitan area. To a lesser extent, the Bank originates personal unsecured loans, primarily as an accommodation to customers. All loans, whether originated directly or purchased, are underwritten to the Bank's lending standards.

Commercial loans are loans to businesses of varying size and type within the Bank's market. The Bank's underwriting standards for commercial loans less than \$100,000 utilize an industry-recognized automated credit scoring system. The Bank lends to established businesses, and the loans are generally secured by business assets such as equipment, receivables, inventory, real estate or marketable securities. On occasion, the Bank makes unsecured commercial loans. Most commercial lines of credit are made on a floating interest rate basis and most term loans are made on a fixed interest rate basis, usually with terms of five years or less.

Loan Portfolio Composition. Set forth below is selected information concerning the composition of the loan portfolio in dollar amounts and in percentages (before deductions for deferred fees and costs, unearned discounts and premiums and allowances for losses) as of the dates indicated.

	At December 31,												
<del>-</del>	2000	6	200:	5	200	04	2003	3	200	)2			
_	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent			
_					(Dollars in	thousands)							
Residential mortgage loans \$	1,623,374	43.28%	\$ 1,773,288	47.83%	\$ 1,866,614	50.82%	\$ 1,044,788	47.12%	\$ 699,469	34.43%			
Commercial mortgage loans	701,519	18.70	594,788	16.04	653,312	17.78	427,341	19.28	420,250	20.68			
Multi-family mortgage loans	69,356	1.85	77,112	2.08	85,785	2.34	90,045	4.06	76,499	3.77			
Construction loans	282,898	7.54	289,453	7.81	188,902	5.14	99,072	4.47	96,028	4.73			
Total mortgage loans	2,677,147	71.37	2,734,641	73.76	2,794,613	76.08	1,661,246	74.93	1,292,246	63.61			
Mortgage warehouse loans	_	_	_	_	_	_	4,148	0.19	276,383	13.60			
Commercial loans	503,786	13.43	436,285	11.77	386,151	10.51	268,864	12.13	207,916	10.23			
Consumer loans	592,948	15.80	556,645	15.02	514,296	14.00	300,825	13.57	275,812	13.57			
Total other loans	1,096,734	29.23	992,930	26.79	900,447	24.51	573,837	25.89	760,111	37.40			
D : 1	11.205	0.20	12 100	0.25	14.401	0.20	5 411	0.24	2 122	0.10			
Premiums on purchased loans	11,285	0.30	13,190	0.35	14,421	0.39	5,411	0.24	2,123	0.10			
Unearned discounts	(875)	(0.02)	(1,110)	(0.03)	(1,309)	(0.04)	(1,547)	(0.07)	_	_			
Net deferred fees	(627)	(0.02)	(529)	(0.01)	(961)	(0.02)	(1,580)	(0.07)	(1,625)	(0.08)			
Allowance for loan losses	(32,434)	(0.86)	(31,980)	(0.86)	(33,766)	(0.92)	(20,631)	(0.92)	(20,986)	(1.03)			
Total loans, net \$	3.751.230	100.00%	\$ 3,707,142	100.00%	\$ 3,673,445	100.00%	\$ 2,216,736	100.00%	\$ 2.031.869	100.00%			

Loan Maturity Schedule. The following table sets forth certain information as of December 31, 2006, regarding the maturities of loans in the loan portfolio. Demand loans having no stated schedule of repayment and no stated maturity, and overdrafts are reported as due within one year.

	One				Ten									
			T	Through		Three		Five	1	hrough		Beyond		
	,	Within	Three		Through		Through		,	Twenty Years		Twenty		
	0	ne Year		Years		Five Years		Ten Years				Years		Total
							(In	thousands	s)					
Residential mortgage loans	\$	6,692	\$	10,272	\$	13,172	\$	121,257	\$	585,416	\$	886,565	\$1,6	23,374
Commercial mortgage loans		24,994		81,081		58,584		417,267		108,628		10,965	70	01,519
Multi-family mortgage loans		3,159		2,950		2,684		53,657		5,231		1,675		59,356
Construction loans		168,424		110,298		4,176					_		2	82,898
Total mortgage loans		203,269		204,601		78,616		592,181		699,275		899,205	2,6	77,147
Commercial loans		145,347		66,604		44,098		213,036		31,209		3,492	50	03,786
Consumer loans		79,506		33,241		55,815	_	87,392		335,473	_	1,521	5	92,948
Total loans	\$_	428,122	\$	304,446	\$	178,529	\$_	892,609	\$1	,065,957	\$	904,218	\$3,7	73,881

*Fixed- and Adjustable-Rate Loan Schedule.* The following table sets forth at December 31, 2006, the dollar amount of all fixed-rate and adjustable-rate loans due after December 31, 2007. Adjustable-rate loans are included based on contractual maturities.

	Due After December 31, 2007										
	Fixed		A	Adjustable		Total					
			(Ir	thousands)							
Residential mortgage loans	\$	815,661	\$	801,021	\$	1,616,682					
Commercial mortgage loans		415,017		261,508		676,525					
Multi-family mortgage loans		39,294		26,903		66,197					
Construction loans				114,474		114,474					
Total mortgage loans		1,269,972		1,203,906		2,473,878					
Commercial loans		144,039		214,400		358,439					
Consumer loans		475,721		37,721		513,442					
Total loans	\$	1,889,732	\$	1,456,027	\$	3,345,759					

Residential Mortgage Lending. A principal lending activity of the Bank is to originate loans secured by first mortgages on one- to four-family residences in the State of New Jersey. The Bank originates residential mortgages primarily through commissioned mortgage representatives and its branch offices. The Bank originates both fixed-rate and adjustable-rate mortgages. Residential mortgage lending represents the largest single component of the total loan portfolio. As of December 31, 2006, \$1.62 billion or 43.0% of the total portfolio consisted of residential real estate loans. Of the one- to four-family loans at that date, 50.7% were fixed-rate and 49.3% were adjustable-rate loans.

The Bank originates fixed-rate fully amortizing residential mortgage loans, with the principal and interest due each month, that have maturities ranging from 10 to 30 years. The Bank also originates fixed-rate residential mortgage loans with maturities of 15, 20 and 30 years that require the payment of principal and interest on a biweekly basis. Fixed-rate jumbo residential mortgage loans (loans over the maximum that one of the government-sponsored agencies will purchase) are originated with maturities of up to 30 years. Adjustable-rate mortgage loans are offered with a fixed-rate period of 1, 3, 5, 7 or 10 years prior to the first annual interest rate adjustment. The standard adjustment formula is the one-year constant maturity Treasury rate plus  $2\frac{3}{4}\%$ , adjusting annually with a  $2\frac{3}{4}\%$  maximum annual adjustment and a 6% maximum adjustment over the life of the loan.

The residential mortgage portfolio is primarily underwritten to Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae standards. The Bank's standard maximum loan to value ratio is 80%. However, working through mortgage insurance companies, the Bank underwrites loans for sale to Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae programs that will finance up to 100% of the value of the residence. Generally all fixed-rate loans with terms of 20 years or more, as well as loans with a loan-to-value ratio of 97% or more, are sold into the secondary market with servicing rights retained. Fixed-rate residential mortgage loans retained in the Bank's portfolio generally include loans with a term of 15 years or less and biweekly payment loans with a term of 20 years or less. The Bank retains the majority of the originated adjustable-rate mortgages for its portfolio.

Loans are sold without recourse, generally with servicing rights retained by the Bank. The percentage of loans sold into the secondary market will vary depending upon interest rates and the Bank's strategies for reducing exposure to interest rate risk. In 2006, \$17.7 million, or 18.5% of residential real estate loans originated were sold into the secondary market. All of the loans sold in 2006 were long-term fixed-rate mortgages.

The retention of adjustable-rate mortgages, as opposed to longer term, fixed-rate residential mortgage loans, helps reduce the Bank's exposure to interest rate risk. However, adjustable-rate mortgages generally pose credit risks different from the credit risks inherent in fixed-rate loans primarily because as interest rates rise, the underlying debt service payments of the borrowers rise, thereby increasing the potential for default. To minimize this risk, borrowers of one- to four-family one-year adjustable-rate loans are qualified at the maximum rate which would be in effect after the first interest rate adjustment. The Bank believes that these risks, which have not had a material adverse effect on the Bank to date, generally are less onerous than the interest rate risks associated with holding 20- and 30-year fixed-rate loans in its loan portfolio.

The Bank has for many years offered discounted rates on loans to low- to moderate-income individuals. Loans originated in this category over the last five years have totaled \$117.3 million. The Bank also offers a special rate program for first time homebuyers under which originations have totaled over \$24.4 million for the past five years.

Commercial Real Estate Loans. The Bank originates loans secured by mortgages on various commercial income producing properties, including office buildings, retail and industrial properties. Commercial real estate and construction loans have increased to 27.9% of the portfolio at December 31, 2006, from 25.8% of the portfolio at December 31, 2005. A substantial majority of the Bank's commercial real estate loans are secured by properties located in the State of New Jersey.

The Bank originates commercial real estate loans with adjustable rates and with fixed interest rates for a period that is generally five to ten years or less, which then adjust after the initial period. Typically these loans are written for maturities of ten years or less and have an amortization schedule of 20 or 25 years. As a result, the typical amortization schedule will result in a substantial principal payment upon maturity. The Bank generally underwrites commercial real estate loans to a maximum 75% advance against either the appraised value of the property, or its purchase price (for loans to fund the acquisition of real estate), whichever is less. The Bank generally requires minimum debt service coverage of 1.25 times. There is a potential risk that the borrower may be unable to pay off or refinance the outstanding balance at the loan maturity date. The Bank typically lends to experienced owners or developers who have knowledge and contacts in the commercial real estate market.

Among the reasons for the Bank's continued emphasis on commercial real estate lending is the desire to invest in assets bearing interest rates that are generally higher than interest rates on residential mortgage loans and more sensitive to changes in market interest rates. Commercial real estate loans, however, entail significant additional credit risk as compared to one- to four-family residential mortgage loans, as they typically involve larger loan balances concentrated with single borrowers or groups of related borrowers. In addition, the payment experience on commercial real estate loans secured by income-producing properties is typically dependent on the successful operation of the related real estate project and thus may be more significantly impacted by adverse conditions in the real estate market or in the economy generally.

The Bank performs more extensive diligence in underwriting commercial real estate loans than loans secured by owner occupied one- to four-family residential properties due to the larger loan amounts and the riskier nature of such loans. The Bank attempts to understand and control the risk in several ways, including inspection of all such properties and the review of the overall financial condition of the borrower and guarantors, which may include, for example, the review of the rent rolls and the verification of income. If applicable, a tenant analysis and market analysis are part of the underwriting. For commercial real estate secured loans in excess of \$750,000 and for all other commercial real estate loans where it is appropriate, the Bank employs environmental experts to inspect the property and ascertain any potential environmental risks.

The Bank requires a full independent appraisal for commercial real estate. The appraiser must be selected from the Bank's approved list. The Bank also employs an independent review appraiser to verify that the appraisal meets the Bank's standards. The underwriting guidelines generally provide that the loan-to-value ratio shall not exceed 75% of the appraised value and the debt service coverage should be at least 1.25 times. In addition, financial statements are required annually for review. The Bank's policy also requires that a property inspection of commercial mortgages over \$1,000,000 be completed at least every 18 months.

The Bank's largest commercial mortgage loan as of December 31, 2006 was a \$25.0 million loan secured by an established, 378 room, full-service hotel in Elizabeth, New Jersey. The Bank's share of the total loan is \$20.0 million, all of which was outstanding at December 31, 2006. A participation in the remaining \$5.0 million was sold to another lending institution. The loan was performing in accordance with its terms and conditions as of December 31, 2006.

Multi-family Lending. The Bank underwrites loans secured by apartment buildings that have five or more units. The Bank classifies multi-family lending as a component of the commercial real estate lending portfolio. The underwriting standards and procedures that are used to underwrite commercial real estate loans are used to underwrite multi-family loans.

Construction Loans. The Bank continues to expand its activities in commercial construction lending. Commercial construction lending includes both new construction of residential and commercial real estate projects and the reconstruction of existing structures.

The Bank's commercial construction financing takes two forms: projects for sale (single family/condominiums) and projects that are constructed for investment purposes (rental property). To mitigate the speculative nature of construction loans, the Bank generally requires significant pre-leasing on rental properties and requires that a percentage of the single-family residences or condominiums be under contract to support construction loan advances.

The Bank underwrites most construction loans for a term of three years or less. The majority of the Bank's construction loans are floating-rate loans with a maximum 75% loan-to-value ratio for the completed project. The Bank employs professional engineering firms to assist in the review of construction cost estimates and make site inspections to determine if the work has been completed prior to the advance of funds for the project.

Construction lending generally involves a greater degree of risk than one- to four-family mortgage lending. Repayment of a construction loan is, to a great degree, dependent upon the successful and timely completion of the construction of the subject project and the successful marketing of the sale or lease of the project. Construction delays or the financial impairment of the builder may further impair the borrower's ability to repay the loan.

For all construction loans, the Bank requires an independent appraisal, which includes information on market rents and/or comparable sales and competing projects. The Bank also attempts to procure personal guarantees and conducts environmental due diligence as appropriate.

The Bank also attempts to control the risk of the construction lending process by other means. For single family/condominium financing, the Bank generally requires payment for the release of a unit that exceeds the amount of the loan advance attributable to such unit. On commercial construction projects that the developer holds for rental, the Bank typically holds back funds for tenant improvements until a lease is executed.

One of the Bank's two largest construction loans as of December 31, 2006 was a \$28.0 million construction/permanent mortgage loan secured by an 80% pre-leased, 115,000 square foot retail shopping center located in Clifton, New Jersey. The borrower is an experienced developer of retail properties in the State of New Jersey. The loan was performing in accordance with its terms and conditions as of December 31, 2006. In addition, the Bank has a second \$28.0 million construction mortgage loan commitment secured by a 100% pre-leased, to be built, 126,000 square foot medical office building in New Brunswick, New Jersey. The borrower is an experienced developer in the State of New Jersey. As of December 31, 2006, there was no outstanding balance with respect to this commitment.

Commercial Loans. The Bank underwrites commercial loans to corporations, partnerships and other businesses. The majority of the Bank's commercial loan customers are local businesses with revenues of less than \$50.0 million. The Bank offers commercial loans for equipment purchases, lines of credit or letters of credit, as well as loans where the borrower is the sole occupant of the property. Most commercial loans are originated on a floating-rate basis and the majority of fixed-rate commercial loans are fully amortized over a five-year period.

The Bank also underwrites Small Business Administration guaranteed loans and guaranteed or assisted loans through various state, county and municipal programs. These governmental guarantees are typically used in cases where the borrower requires additional credit support. The Bank attained "Preferred Lender" status with the SBA in 2006, allowing a more streamlined application and approval process.

The underwriting of a commercial loan is based upon a review of the financial statements of the prospective borrower and guarantors. In most cases the Bank obtains a general lien on accounts receivable and inventory, along with the specific collateral such as real estate or equipment, as appropriate.

For commercial loans less than \$100,000, the Bank uses an automated underwriting system, which includes a nationally-recognized credit scorecard to assist in its decision-making process. For larger commercial loans, a traditional approach of reviewing all the financial information and collateral in greater detail by seasoned lenders is utilized.

Commercial business loans generally bear higher interest rates than residential mortgage loans, but they also involve a higher risk of default since their repayment is generally dependent on the cash flow of the borrower's business. As a result, the availability of funds for the repayment of commercial business loans may be substantially dependent on the success of the business itself and the general economic environment. The Bank's largest commercial loan was a \$25.0 million line of credit to a financial services firm. As of December 31, 2006, there was no outstanding balance with respect to this line of credit.

Consumer Loans. The Bank offers a variety of consumer loans to individuals. Home equity loans and home equity lines of credit constituted 69.7% of the consumer loan portfolio as of December 31, 2006. Indirect marine loans comprised 19.2% of the consumer loan portfolio, and indirect auto loans comprised 9.3% of the consumer loan portfolio at December 31, 2006, respectively.

The remainder of the consumer loan portfolio includes personal loans and unsecured lines of credit, automobile loans and recreational vehicle loans.

Interest rates on home equity loans are fixed for a term not to exceed 20 years and the maximum loan amount is \$500,000. A portion of the home equity loan portfolio includes "first lien product loans," under which the Bank has offered special rates to borrowers who refinance first mortgage loans on the home equity (first lien) basis. The Bank's home equity lines are made at floating interest rates and the Bank provides lines of credit of up to \$350,000. The approved home equity lines and utilization amounts as of December 31, 2006 were \$248.0 million and \$74.8 million, respectively.

The Bank originates a majority of its home equity loans and lines directly. The Bank also purchases marine and auto loans from established dealers and brokers located on the East Coast of the United States, which are underwritten to the Bank's preestablished underwriting standards. The maximum marine loan is \$1,000,000. All marine loans are collateralized by a first lien on the vessel. The maximum automobile loan for a new automobile is \$60,000 and for a used automobile is \$40,000. All automobile loans are collateralized by a first lien on the automobile.

The Bank's consumer loan portfolio contains other types of loans such as loans on motorcycles, recreational vehicles and personal loans, which represent 1.8% of the portfolio. Personal unsecured loans are originated primarily as an accommodation to existing customers.

Consumer loans generally entail greater credit risk than residential mortgage loans, particularly in the case of consumer loans that are unsecured or that are secured by assets that tend to depreciate, such as automobiles, boats and recreational vehicles. Collateral repossessed by the Bank from a defaulted consumer loan may not provide an adequate source of repayment for the outstanding loan, and the remaining deficiency may warrant further substantial collection efforts against the borrower. In addition, consumer loan collections are dependent on the borrower's continued financial stability, and this is more likely to be adversely affected by job loss, divorce, illness or personal bankruptcy. Furthermore, the application of various federal and state laws, including bankruptcy and insolvency laws, may limit the amount which can be recovered on such loans.

Loan Originations, Purchases, and Repayments. The following table sets forth the Bank's loan origination, purchase and repayment activities for the periods indicated.

	•	Year Ended December 3	1,
	2006	2005	2004
		(In thousands)	
Originations:			
Residential mortgage	\$ 95,753	\$ 152,826	\$ 141,338
Commercial mortgage	186,004	61,474	110,019
Multi-family mortgage	226	5,402	901
Construction	254,116	273,750	125,406
Commercial	391,161	407,685	345,111
Consumer	251,941	271,899	244,938
Subtotal of loans originated	1,179,201	1,173,036	967,713
Mortgage warehouse	_	_	3,020
Loans purchased	57,170	137,412	322,011
Total loans originated	1,236,371	1,310,448	1,292,744
Loans acquired from First Sentinel:			
Residential mortgage	_	_	720,875
Commercial mortgage	_	_	223,543
Multi-family mortgage	_	_	7,650
Construction	_	_	124,210
Commercial	_	_	7,204
Consumer	_	_	119,704
Total loans acquired from First Sentinel			1,203,186
Loans sold or securitized	17,687	36,167	86,695
Repayments:			
Residential mortgage	284,475	346,453	276,411
Commercial mortgage	79,272	119,977	107,591
Multi-family mortgage	7,982	14,075	12,812
Construction	260,671	173,199	159,785
Commercial	322,636	356,649	233,576
Consumer	212,889	225,018	147,736
Subtotal of loan repayments	1,167,925	1,235,371	937,911
Mortgage warehouse loans	· · · · —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7,167
Total repayments	1,167,925	1,235,371	945,078
Total reductions	1,185,612	1,271,538	1,031,773
Other items, net (1)	(6,217)	(6,999)	5,687
Net increase	\$ 44,542	\$ 31,911	\$ 1,469,844

<sup>(1)</sup> Other items include charge-offs, deferred fees and expenses, discounts and premiums.

Loan Approval Procedures and Authority. The Bank's Board of Directors approves the Lending Policy on an annual basis as well as on an interim basis as modifications are warranted. The Lending Policy sets the Bank's lending authority for each type of loan. The Bank's individual lending officers are assigned dollar authority limits based upon their experience and expertise.

The largest individual lending authority is \$5.0 million, which only the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Lending Officer have. Loans in excess of \$5.0 million, or which when combined with existing credits of the borrower or related borrowers exceed \$5.0 million, are presented to the management Credit Committee. The Credit Committee consists of six senior officers and requires a majority vote for credit approval. The Credit Committee has a \$15.0 million approval authority and the Loan Committee of the Board of Directors of the Bank has approval authority exceeding \$15.0 million. All credit approvals by the Loan Committee are reported to the Board of Directors of the Bank.

The Bank has adopted a risk rating system as part of the risk assessment of its loan portfolio. The Bank's commercial real estate and commercial lending officers are required to assign a risk rating to each loan in their portfolio at origination. When the lender learns of important financial developments, the risk rating is reviewed accordingly. Similarly, the Credit Committee can adjust a risk rating. Quarterly, management's Credit Risk Management Committee meets to review all loans rated a "watch" or worse. In addition, the Loan Review Department, which is independent of the lending areas, validates the risk ratings. The risk ratings play an important role in the establishment of the loan loss provision and to confirm the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses.

Loans to One Borrower. The Bank's regulatory limit on total loans to any borrower or attributed to any one borrower is 15% of unimpaired capital and surplus. As of December 31, 2006, the regulatory lending limit was \$74.4 million. The Bank's internal policy limit on total loans to a borrower or related borrowers that constitute a group exposure is up to \$65.0 million for loans with a risk rating of 2 or better, \$60.0 million for loans with a risk rating of 3 and \$50.0 million for loans with a risk rating of 4. The Bank reviews these group exposures on a quarterly basis. The Bank also sets additional limits on size of loans by loan type. At

December 31, 2006, the Bank's largest total lending relationship with an individual borrower and its related entities was \$69.9 million, consisting of two lines of credit and thirteen separate commercial mortgage loans with a risk rating of 3. Each of these commercial mortgage loans is secured by a mortgage on an existing retail shopping center located in New Jersey. The borrower is a well-established, experienced developer and operator of retail properties. Management has determined that this exception to the internal policy limit is manageable and is mitigated by the diverse mix of commercial properties that secure a majority of the exposure as well as a large and diverse tenant base. This lending relationship was approved as an exception to the internal policy limits by the Loan Committee of the Board of Directors and reported to the Board of Directors of the Bank. As of December 31, 2006, all of the loans included in this lending relationship were performing in accordance with their respective terms and conditions.

As of December 31, 2006, the Bank had \$850.4 million in loans outstanding to its 50 largest borrowers and their related entities.

#### **ASSET QUALITY**

*General.* One of the Bank's key objectives has been and continues to be to maintain a high level of asset quality. In addition to maintaining sound credit standards for new loan originations, the Bank employs proactive collection and workout processes in dealing with delinquent or problem loans. The Bank actively markets properties that it acquires through foreclosure or otherwise in the loan collection process.

Collection Procedures. In the case of residential mortgage and consumer loans, the collections personnel in the Bank's Asset Recovery Department are responsible for collection activities from the sixteenth day of delinquency. Collection efforts include automated notices of delinquency, telephone calls, letters and other notices to the delinquent borrower. Foreclosure proceedings and other appropriate collection activities such as repossession of collateral are commenced within at least 90 to 120 days after the loan is delinquent. Periodic inspections of real estate and other collateral are conducted throughout the collection process. The collection procedures for Federal Housing Association ("FHA") and Veteran's Administration ("VA") one- to four- family mortgage loans follow the collection guidelines outlined by those agencies.

Real estate and other assets taken by foreclosure or in connection with a loan workout are held as foreclosed assets. The Bank carries other real estate owned and other foreclosed assets at the lower of their cost or their fair market value less estimated selling costs. The Bank attempts to sell the property at foreclosure sale or as soon as practical after the foreclosure sale through a proactive marketing effort.

The collection procedures for commercial real estate and commercial loans include sending periodic late notices and letters to a borrower once a loan is past due. The Bank attempts to make direct contact with a borrower once a loan is 16 days past due, usually by telephone. The Chief Lending Officer reviews all commercial real estate and commercial loan delinquencies on a weekly basis. Delinquent commercial real estate and commercial loans are transferred to the Asset Recovery Department for further action if the delinquency is not cured within a reasonable period of time, typically 60 to 90 days. The Chief Lending Officer has the authority to transfer performing commercial real estate or commercial loans to the Asset Recovery Department if, in his opinion, a credit problem exists or is likely to occur.

Loans deemed uncollectible are proposed for charge-off on a monthly basis. The charge-off recommendation is then submitted to Executive Management for approval.

Delinquent Loans and Non-performing Loans and Assets. The Bank's policies require that the Chief Lending Officer continuously monitor the status of the loan portfolios and report to the Board of Directors on a monthly basis. These reports include information on impaired loans, delinquent loans, criticized and classified assets, and foreclosed assets. An impaired loan is defined as a loan for which it is probable, based on current information, that the Bank will not collect amounts due under the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Smaller balance homogeneous loans including residential mortgages and other consumer loans are evaluated collectively for impairment and are excluded from the definition of impaired loans. Impaired loans are individually identified and reviewed to determine that each loan's carrying value is not in excess of the fair value of the related collateral or the present value of the expected future cash flows. As of December 31, 2006, there were three impaired loans totaling \$234,000.

Accruing interest income is stopped on loans when interest or principal payments are 90 days in arrears or earlier when the timely collectibility of such interest or principal is doubtful. When the accrual of interest on a loan is stopped, the loan is designated as a non-accrual loan and the outstanding interest previously credited is reversed. A non-accrual loan is returned to accrual status when factors indicating doubtful collection no longer exist and the loan has been brought current.

Federal and state regulations as well as the Bank's policy require that the Bank utilize an internal asset classification system as a means of reporting problem and potential problem assets. Under this internal risk rating system, the Bank currently classifies problem and potential problem assets as "substandard," "doubtful" or "loss" assets. An asset is considered "substandard" if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. "Substandard"

assets include those characterized by the "distinct possibility" that the Bank will sustain "some loss" if the deficiencies are not corrected. Assets classified as "doubtful" have all of the weaknesses inherent in those classified "substandard" with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make "collection or liquidation in full," on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, "highly questionable and improbable." Assets classified as "loss" are those considered "uncollectible" and of such little value that their continuance as assets without the establishment of a specific loss reserve is not warranted. Assets which do not currently expose the Bank to sufficient risk to warrant classification in one of the aforementioned categories, but possess weaknesses, are required to be designated "special mention."

General valuation allowances represent loss allowances which have been established to recognize the inherent risk associated with lending activities, but which, unlike specific allowances, have not been allocated to particular problem assets. When the Bank classifies one or more assets, or portions thereof, as "substandard" or "doubtful," the Bank establishes a specific allowance for loan losses in an amount deemed prudent by management. When the Bank classifies one or more assets, or portions thereof, as "loss," the Bank is required either to establish a specific allowance for losses equal to 100% of the amount of the asset so classified or to charge-off such amount.

The determination as to the classification of assets and the amount of the valuation allowances is subject to review by the FDIC and the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance, each of which can order the establishment of additional general or specific loss allowances. In December 2006, the FDIC, in conjunction with the other federal banking agencies, issued an interagency policy statement on the allowance for loan and lease losses. The policy statement provides updated guidance for financial institutions on both the responsibilities of the board of directors and management for the maintenance of adequate allowances, and guidance for banking agency examiners to use in determining the adequacy of general valuation allowances. Generally, the policy statement reaffirms that institutions should have effective loan review systems and controls to identify, monitor and address asset quality problems; that loans deemed uncollectible are promptly charged off; and that the institution's process for determining an adequate level for its valuation allowance is based on a comprehensive, adequately documented, and consistently applied analysis of the institution's loan and lease portfolio. While management believes that on the basis of information currently available to it, the allowance for loans losses is adequate as of December 31, 2006, actual losses are dependent upon future events and, as such, further additions to the level of allowances for loan losses may become necessary.

Assets are classified in accordance with the management guidelines described above. At December 31, 2006, \$20.6 million of assets were classified as "substandard" which consisted of \$4.4 million in residential loans, \$7.5 million in commercial and multi-family mortgage loans, \$7.4 million in commercial loans and \$1.3 million in consumer loans. At that same date, there were no loans classified as "doubtful" or "loss." As of December 31, 2006, \$9.8 million of loans were designated "special mention."

The following table sets forth delinquencies in the loan portfolio as of the dates indicated.

		At Decemb	er 31, 2006			At Decemb	er 31, 2005		At December 31, 2004				
	60-89	0-89 Days 90 D		or More	60-89	60-89 Days		90 Days or More		60-89 Days		90 Days or More	
	Number of Loans	Principal Balance of Loans	Number of Loans	Principal Balance of Loans	Number of Loans	Principal Balance of Loans (Dollars in	Number of Loans thousands)	Principal Balance of Loans	Number of Loans	Principal Balance of Loans	Number of Loans	Principal Balance of Loans	
Residential mortgage loans	14	\$2,023	38	\$4,426	27	\$1,692	40	\$3,956	29	\$2,577	41	\$4,184	
Commercial mortgage loans	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Multi-family mortgage loans	_	_	1	742	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Construction loans	=	=	<u>2</u>	<u>569</u>	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	
Total mortgage loans	14	2,023	41	5,737	27	1,692	40	3,956	29	2,577	41	4,184	
Mortgage warehouse loans	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Commercial loans	8	1,112	4	508	4	110	7	843	6	289	5	862	
Consumer loans	<u>40</u>	1,327	<u>39</u>	1,304	<u>35</u>	1,769	<u>59</u>	1,206	<u>59</u>	1,082	<u>53</u>	1,149	
Total loans	<u>62</u>	<u>\$4,462</u>	<u>84</u>	\$7,549	<u>66</u>	\$3,571	<u>106</u>	\$6,005	<u>94</u>	\$3,948	<u>99</u>	\$6,195	

Non-Accrual Loans and Non-Performing Assets. The following table sets forth information regarding non-accrual loans and other non-performing assets. There were no troubled debt restructurings as defined in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 114 at any of the dates indicated.

	At December 31,									
		2006	2005			2004		2003		2002
				(I	Dollars	in thousand	ds)			
Non-accruing loans:										
Residential mortgage loans	\$	4,426	\$	3,956	\$	4,184	\$	3,395	\$	4,073
Commercial mortgage loans				_		_		151		2,682
Multi-family mortgage loans		742		_		_		_		_
Construction loans		569		_		_		217		_
Mortgage warehouse loans		_		_		_		223		_
Commercial loans		234		843		862		1,016		34
Consumer loans		1,304		1,206		1,149		1,126		1,723
Total non-accruing loans		7,275		6,005		6,195		6,128		8,512
Accruing loans delinquent 90 days or more		274								
Total non-performing loans		7,549		6,005		6,195		6,128		8,512
Foreclosed assets		528		670		140		41		
Total non-performing assets  Total non-performing assets as a	\$	8,077	<u>\$</u>	6,675	\$	6,335	\$	6,169	\$	8,512
percentage of total assets	_	0.14%	_	<u>0.11</u> %		<u>0.10</u> %		<u>0.14</u> %		0.22%
Total non-performing loans to total loans	_	<u>0.20</u> %		<u>0.16</u> %		<u>0.17</u> %	_	<u>0.27</u> %		<u>0.41</u> %

Loans generally are placed on non-accrual status when they become 90 days or more past due or if they have been identified as presenting uncertainty with respect to the collectibility of interest or principal.

If the non-accrual loans had performed in accordance with their original terms, interest income would have increased by \$328,000 during the year ended December 31, 2006. At December 31, 2006, there were no commitments to lend additional funds to borrowers whose loans were on non-accrual status.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is a valuation account that reflects an evaluation of the probable losses in the loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses is maintained through provisions for loan losses that are charged to income. Charge-offs against the allowance for loan losses are taken on loans where it is determined the collection of loan principal is unlikely. Recoveries made on loans that have been charged-off are credited to the allowance for loan losses.

Management's evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses includes the review of all loans on which the collectibility of principal may not be reasonably assured. For residential mortgage and consumer loans this is determined primarily by delinquency and collateral values. For commercial real estate and commercial loans, an extensive review of financial performance, payment history and collateral values is conducted on a quarterly basis.

As part of the evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, each quarter management prepares a worksheet. This worksheet categorizes the entire loan portfolio by certain risk characteristics such as loan type (residential mortgage, commercial mortgage, construction, commercial, etc.) and loan risk rating. The factors considered in assessing loan risk ratings include the following:

- results of the routine loan quality reviews by the Loan Review Department and by outside third parties retained by the Loan Review Department;
- general economic and business conditions affecting key lending areas;
- credit quality trends (including trends in non-performing loans, including anticipated trends based on market conditions);
- collateral values;
- loan volumes and concentrations:
- seasoning of the loan portfolio;
- specific industry conditions within portfolio segments;
- recent loss experience in particular segments of the loan portfolio; and
- duration of the current business cycle.

When assigning a risk rating to a loan, management utilizes the Bank's internal risk rating system which is a nine point rating system. Loans deemed to be "acceptable quality" are rated one through four, with a rating of one established for loans with minimal risk. Loans that are deemed to be of "questionable quality" are rated five (watch) or six (special mention). Loans with adverse classifications (substandard, doubtful or loss) are rated seven, eight or nine, respectively. Commercial mortgage, commercial, multifamily and construction loans are rated individually, and each lending officer is responsible for risk rating loans in his or her portfolio. These risk ratings are then reviewed by the department manager and/or the Chief Lending Officer and by the Credit Administration Department. The risk ratings are then confirmed by the Loan Review Department, and for loans requiring Credit Committee approval, they are periodically reviewed by the Credit Committee in the credit renewal or approval process.

Each quarter the lending groups prepare individual Credit Risk Management Reports for the Credit Administration Department. These reports review all commercial loans and commercial mortgage loans that have been determined to involve above-average risk (risk rating of five or worse). The Credit Risk Management Reports contain the reason for the risk rating assigned to each loan, status of the loan and any current developments. These reports are submitted to a committee chaired by the Credit Administration Officer. Each loan officer reviews the loan and the corresponding credit risk management report with the committee and the risk rating is evaluated for appropriateness.

Based upon market conditions and the Bank's historical experience dealing with problem credits, the reserve factor for each risk rating by type of loan is established based on estimates of probable losses in the loan portfolio. The Bank uses a five-year moving average of charge-off and recovery experience as a tool to assist in the development of the reserve factors in determining the provision for loan losses.

The reserve factors applied to each loan risk rating are inherently subjective in nature. Reserve factors are assigned to each of the risk rating categories. This methodology permits adjustments to the allowance for loan losses in the event that, in management's judgment, significant conditions impacting the credit quality and collectibility of the loan portfolio as of the evaluation date are not otherwise adequately reflected in the analysis.

The provision for loan losses is established after considering the allowance for loan loss worksheet, the amount of the allowance for loan losses in relation to the total loan balance, loan portfolio growth, loan portfolio composition, loan delinquency trends and peer group analysis. As a result of this process, management has established an unallocated portion of the allowance for loan losses. The unallocated portion of the allowance for loan losses is warranted based on factors such as the geographic concentration of our loan portfolio and the losses inherent in commercial lending, as these types of loans are typically riskier than residential mortgages.

Based on the composition of the loan portfolio, management believes the primary risks inherent in the portfolio are possible increases in interest rates, a possible decline in the economy and a possible decline in real estate market values. Management will continue to review the entire loan portfolio to determine the extent, if any, to which further additional loan loss provisions may be deemed necessary. The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level that represents management's best estimate of probable losses related to specifically identified loans as well as probable incurred losses in the remaining loan portfolio. There can be no assurance that the allowance for loan losses will be adequate to cover all losses that may in fact be realized in the future or that additional provisions for loan losses will not be required.

Analysis of the Allowance for Loan Losses. The following table sets forth the analysis of the allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated.

•	Year Ended December 31,										
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002						
		(I	Dollars in thousan	ds)							
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 31,980	\$ 33,766	\$ 20,631	\$ 20,986	\$ 21,909						
Charge offs:											
Residential mortgage loans	9	18	71	1,070	333						
Commercial mortgage loans	_	22	_	_	_						
Multi-family mortgage loans	_	_	_	_	_						
Construction loans	_	_	_	_	_						
Mortgage warehouse loans	_	_	_	_	12,500						
Commercial loans	1,025	1,008	1,671	1,904	1,859						
Consumer loans	1,800	2,986	4,619	1,412	228						
Total	2,834	4,034	6,361	4,386	14,920						
Recoveries:											
Residential mortgage loans	158	155	186	1,523	271						
Commercial mortgage loans	14	93	_	_	_						
Multi-family mortgage loans	_	_	_	_	_						
Construction loans	_	_	_	_	_						
Mortgage warehouse loans	_	_	_	_	_						
Commercial loans	305	340	432	772	451						
Consumer loans	1,491	1,060	2,353	576	475						
Total	1,968	1,648	2,971	2,871	1,197						
Net charge-offs	866	2,386	3,390	1,515	13,723						
Provision for loan losses	1,320	600	3,600	1,160	12,800						
Allowance of acquired institution		_	12,925	´—	´ —						
Balance at end of period	\$ 32,434	\$ 31,980	\$ 33,766	\$ 20,631	\$ 20,986						
Ratio of net charge-offs during the period to											
average loans outstanding during the period	<u>0.02</u> %	<u>0.07</u> %	<u>0.12</u> %	<u>0.08</u> %	<u>0.70</u> %						
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	<u>0.86</u> %	<u>0.86</u> %	<u>0.91</u> %	<u>0.92</u> %	<u>1.02</u> %						
Allowance for loan losses to non-performing											
loans	<u>429.65</u> %	<u>532.56</u> %	<u>545.05</u> %	<u>336.67</u> %	<u>246.55</u> %						

Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses. The following table sets forth the allocation of the allowance for loan losses by loan category for the periods indicated. This allocation is based on management's assessment, as of a given point in time, of the risk characteristics of each of the component parts of the total loan portfolio and is subject to changes as and when the risk factors of each such component part change. The allocation is neither indicative of the specific amounts or the loan categories in which future charge-offs may be taken nor is it an indicator of future loss trends. The allocation of the allowance to each category does not restrict the use of the allowance to absorb losses in any category.

	At December 31,													
_	2006		2005		2004			2003			2002			
	Amount of Allowance for Loan Losses	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	A	mount of llowance or Loan Losses	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	A) fe	mount of llowance or Loan Losses	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	A f	mount of llowance or Loan Losses	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	A f	mount of llowance or Loan Losses	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans
							(Dollars in	thousands)						
Residential mortgage loans S	2,736	43.01%	\$	2,854	47.57%	\$	3,000	50.52%	\$	1,804	46.74%	\$	1,447	34.08%
Commercial mortgage loans	8,873	18.59		7,246	15.96		7,893	17.68		4,898	19.12		4,898	20.48
Multi-family mortgage loans	768	1.84		773	2.07		930	2.32		932	4.03		745	3.73
Construction loans	4,837	7.50		4,397	7.77		2,918	5.11		1,595	4.43		1,247	4.68
Mortgage warehouse loans	_	_		_	_		_	_		43	0.19		3,408	13.47
Commercial loans	6,311	13.35		5,676	11.70		7,400	10.45		5,278	12.03		2,708	10.13
Consumer loans	6,119	15.71		5,760	14.93		5,889	13.92		3,385	13.46		3,507	13.43
Unallocated	2,790			5,274			5,736			2,696			3,026	
Total §	32,434	100.00%	\$	31,980	100.00%	\$	33,766	100.00%	\$	20,631	100.00%	\$	20,986	100.00%

#### **INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES**

General. The investment policy for the Bank and the Company is approved annually by the Board of Directors. The Chief Financial Officer and the Treasurer are authorized by the Board to implement the investment policy and establish investment strategies. The President and Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Assistant Treasurer are authorized to make investment decisions consistent with the investment policy. Investment transactions for the Bank are reported to the Board of Directors of the Bank on a monthly basis.

The investment policy is designed to generate a favorable rate of return, consistent with established guidelines for liquidity, safety and diversification, and to complement the lending activities of the Bank. Investment decisions are made in accordance with the policy and are based on credit quality, interest rate risk, balance sheet composition, market expectations, liquidity, income and collateral needs.

The investment policy does not currently permit participation in hedging programs, interest rate swaps, options or futures transactions or the purchase of any securities that are below investment grade.

The investment strategy is to maximize the return on the investment portfolio consistent with guidelines that have been established for liquidity, safety, duration and diversification. The investment strategy also considers the Bank's and the Company's interest rate risk position as well as liquidity, loan demand and other factors. Acceptable investment securities include U. S. Treasury and Agency obligations, collateralized mortgage obligations ("CMOs"), corporate debt obligations, New Jersey municipal bonds, mortgage-backed securities, commercial paper, mutual funds, bankers acceptances and federal funds. Securities purchased for the investment portfolio require a minimum credit rating of "A" by Moody's or Standard & Poor's.

Securities for the investment portfolio are classified as held to maturity, available for sale or held for trading. Securities that are classified as held to maturity are securities that the Bank or the Company has the intent and ability to hold until their contractual maturity date and are reported at cost. Securities that are classified as available for sale are reported at fair value. Available for sale securities include U.S. Treasury and Agency Obligations, U.S. Agency and privately-issued CMOs, corporate debt obligations and equities. Sales of securities may occur from time to time in response to changes in market rates and liquidity needs and to facilitate balance sheet reallocation to effectively manage interest rate risk. At the present time, there are no securities that are classified as held for trading.

CMOs are a type of debt security issued by a special-purpose entity that aggregates pools of mortgages and mortgage-related securities and creates different classes of CMO securities with varying maturities and amortization schedules as well as a residual interest with each class possessing different risk characteristics. In contrast to mortgage-backed securities from which cash flow is received (and prepayment risk is shared) pro rata by all securities holders, the cash flow from the mortgages or mortgage-related securities underlying CMOs is paid in accordance with predetermined priority to investors holding various tranches of such securities or obligations. A particular tranche of CMOs may therefore carry prepayment risk that differs from that of both the underlying collateral and other tranches. Accordingly, CMOs attempt to moderate risks associated with conventional mortgage-related securities resulting from unexpected prepayment activity. In declining interest rate environments, the Bank attempts to purchase CMOs with principal lock-out periods, reducing prepayment risk in the investment portfolio. During rising interest rate periods, the Bank's strategy is to purchase CMOs that are receiving principal payments that can be reinvested at higher current yields. Investments in CMOs involve a risk that actual prepayments will differ from those estimated in pricing the security, which may result in adjustments to the net yield on such securities. Additionally, the market value of such securities may be adversely affected by changes in the market interest rates. Management believes these securities may represent attractive alternatives relative to other investments due to the wide variety of maturity, repayment and interest rate options available. All privately-issued CMOs in the investment portfolio are rated "AAA" at December 31, 2006.

Amortized Cost and Fair Value of Securities. The following tables sets forth certain information regarding the amortized cost and fair values of our securities as of the dates indicated.

	At December 31,								
	20	06	2005	2004					
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value				
			(Dollars in thousands)						
Held to Maturity:									
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 153,628	\$ 151,054	\$ 188,506 \$ 186,290	\$ 229,001	\$ 230,115				
State and municipal obligations	236,028	235,326	221,634 220,908	215,858	219,182				
Equity securities			<u>774</u> <u>774</u>	774	774				
Total held-to-maturity	\$ 389,656	\$ 386,380	<u>\$ 410,914</u> <u>\$ 407,972</u>	<u>\$ 445,633</u>	<u>\$ 450,071</u>				
Available for Sale:									
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$ 10,998	\$ 10,971	\$ 80,958 \$ 80,378	\$ 95,887	\$ 95,312				
State and municipal obligations	10,917	10,863	10,630 10,610	10,876	10,942				
Mortgage-backed securities	693,274	681,803	902,629 887,188	1,167,838	1,169,087				
FHLMC obligations	9,870	9,882		1,971	2,008				
FNMA obligations	10,016	9,987		_	_				
FHLB obligations	29,893	29,813	9,923 9,844	_	_				
Corporate obligations	11,999	11,999	61,292 61,368	90,735	92,495				
Equity securities	25,837	25,576	32,627 33,569	32,864	36,496				
Total available for sale	<u>\$ 802,804</u>	\$ 790,894	<u>\$1,098,059</u> <u>\$1,082,957</u>	<u>\$ 1,400,171</u>	<u>\$ 1,406,340</u>				
Average expected life of securities(1)	3.87 years		3.67 years	3.37 years					

<sup>(1)</sup> Average expected life is based on prepayment assumptions utilizing prevailing interest rates as of the reporting dates and does not include equity securities.

The aggregate carrying values and fair values of securities by issuer, where the aggregate book value of such securities exceeds ten percent of stockholders' equity are as follows (in thousands):

At December 31, 2006:	_	Carrying Value	Fair Value
FNMA	\$	371,247	\$ 370,481
FHLMC		386,897	385,840

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the carrying value, weighted average yields and contractual maturities of the Company's debt securities portfolio as of December 31, 2006. No tax equivalent adjustments were made to the weighted average yields. Amounts are shown at amortized cost for held to maturity securities and at fair value for available for sale securities.

					At December	r 31, 2006				
	One Year or Less		More Than One Year to Five Years		More Than Five Years to Ten Years		After Ten Years		То	tal
	Carryii Value	0	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield (1)
	(Dollars in thousands)									
Held to Maturity:  Mortgage-backed securities  State and municipal obligations  Total held to maturity	\$ - 6,79 \$ 6,79		\$ — 42,178 \$ 42,178	% 3.87 3.87%	\$ 22,448 118,069 \$ 140,517	4.39% 3.77 3.87%	\$ 131,180 68,984 \$ 200,164	4.84% 3.89 4.51%	\$ 153,628 236,028 \$ 389,656	4.77% 3.83 4.20%
Available for sale:										
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$ 9,97	70 3.57%	\$ 1,001	4.78%	\$ —	%	\$ —	<b>—</b> %	\$ 10,971	3.68%
State and municipal obligations	16	3.94	5,500	4.48	5,202	4.64	_	_	10,863	4.55
Mortgage-backed securities	-		28,749	4.51	143,231	4.46	509,823	4.60	681,803	4.56
Agency obligations	9,92	28 4.18	39,754	5.06	_	_	_	_	49,682	4.88
Corporate obligations	11,99	5.30							11,999	5.30
Total available for sale	\$ 32,05	<u>4.41</u> %	<u>\$ 75,004</u>	<u>4.80</u> %	<u>\$ 148,433</u>	<u>4.46</u> %	\$ 509,823	<u>4.60</u> %	\$ 765,318	<u>4.58</u> %

<sup>(1)</sup> Yields are not tax equivalent.

#### SOURCES OF FUNDS

General. Primary sources of funds consist of principal and interest cash flows received from loans and mortgage-backed securities, contractual maturities on investments, deposits, Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances and proceeds from sales of loans and investments. These sources of funds are used for lending, investing and general corporate purposes, including acquisitions and common stock repurchases.

Deposits. The Bank offers a variety of deposits for retail and business accounts. Deposit products include savings accounts, checking accounts, interest-bearing checking accounts, money market deposit accounts and certificate of deposit accounts at varying interest rates and terms. The Bank also offers IRA and KEOGH accounts. Business customers are offered several checking account and savings plans, cash management services, remote deposit capture services, payroll origination services, escrow account management and MasterCard business cards. The Bank's customer relationship management strategy focuses on relationship banking for retail and business customers to enhance the customer experience. Deposit activity is influenced by state and local economic activity, changes in interest rates, internal pricing decisions and competition. Deposits are primarily obtained from the areas surrounding the Bank's branch locations. In order to attract and retain deposits, the Bank offers competitive rates, quality customer service and offers a wide variety of products and services that meet customers' needs, including online banking. The Bank has no brokered deposits.

Deposit pricing strategy is monitored monthly by the management Asset/Liability Committee. Deposit pricing is set weekly by the Bank's Treasury Department. When considering deposit pricing, the Bank considers competitive market rates, FHLB advance rates and rates on other sources of funds. Core deposits, defined as savings accounts, interest and non-interest bearing checking accounts and money market deposit accounts represented 59.2% of total deposits at December 31, 2006 and 63.1% of total deposits at December 31, 2005. As of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, time deposits maturing in less than one year amounted to \$1.32 billion and \$1.08 billion, respectively.

The following table indicates the amount of certificates of deposit by time remaining until maturity as of December 31, 2006.

	Maturity								
	3 Months or Less		Over 3 to 6 Months		Over 6 to 12 Months		Over 12 Months		 Total
					(In	thousands)			
Certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more Certificates of deposit less than \$100,000	\$	141,118 343,303	\$	62,007 208,652	\$	140,904 427,642	\$	49,805 186,071	\$ 393,834 1,165,668
Total certificates of deposit	\$	484,421	\$	270,659	\$	568,546	\$	235,876	\$ 1,559,502

Certificates of Deposit Maturities. The following table sets forth certain information regarding certificates of deposit.

	Period to Maturity from December 31, 2006								At December 31,			
	Less Than One Year	One to Two Years	Two to Three Years	Three to Four Years	Four to Five Years	Five Years or More	2006	2005	2004			
Rate:					(In thousands)							
0.00 to 0.99%	\$ 2.281	\$ 3	\$ 3	s —	\$ 1	s —	\$ 2,288	\$ 3.799	\$ 7,348			
1.00 to 2.00%	1.096	61	2	_	_	_	1,159	9.190	812,561			
2.01 to 3.00%	45,904	1,919	_	_	_	6	47,829	621,407	251,131			
3.01 to 4.00%	437,555	65,433	40,629	508	1,225	2,636	547,986	589,245	129,109			
4.01 to 5.00%	449,668	9,019	24,497	33,040	14,638	8,948	539,810	179,423	135,152			
5.01 to 6.00%	381,787	2,349	3,239	341	14,581	9,171	411,468	35,212	40,843			
6.01 to 7.00%	5,334	178	599	1,856	722	132	8,821	9,547	18,886			
Over 7.01%	1	39	17	3		81	141	131	165			
Total	1,323,626	\$ 79,001	<u>\$ 68,986</u>	\$ 35,748	<u>\$ 31,167</u>	<u>\$ 20,974</u>	<u>\$ 1,559,502</u>	<u>\$ 1,447,954</u>	\$ 1,395,195			

Borrowed Funds. At December 31, 2006, the Bank had \$841.0 million of borrowed funds. Borrowed funds consist primarily of FHLB advances and repurchase agreements. Repurchase agreements are contracts for the sale of securities owned or borrowed by the Bank, with an agreement to repurchase those securities at an agreed-upon price and date. The Bank uses wholesale repurchase agreements, as well as retail repurchase agreements as an investment vehicle for its commercial sweep checking product. Bank policies limit the use of repurchase agreements to collateral consisting of U.S. Treasury obligations, U.S. agency obligations or mortgage-related securities.

As a member of the FHLB of New York, the Bank is eligible to obtain advances upon the security of the FHLB common stock owned and certain residential mortgage loans, provided certain standards related to credit-worthiness have been met. FHLB advances are available pursuant to several credit programs, each of which has its own interest rate and range of maturities.

The following table sets forth the maximum month-end balance and average monthly balance of FHLB advances and securities sold under agreements to repurchase for the periods indicated.

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2006	2005	2004			
		(Dollars in thousands)				
Maximum Balance:						
FHLB advances	\$ 495,436	\$ 679,726	\$ 768,858			
FHLB line of credit	91,000	48,000	70,000			
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	434,483	466,244	493,409			
Average Balance:						
FHLB advances	437,612	633,000	680,297			
FHLB line of credit	46,033	1,693	9,899			
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	366,933	444,454	254,185			
Weighted Average Interest Rate:						
FHLB advances	3.50%	3.25%	3.04%			
FHLB line of credit	5.29	3.63	1.05			
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	3.86	2.95	2.48			

The following table sets forth certain information as to borrowings at the dates indicated.

	At December 31,					
	2006	2005	2004			
		(Dollars in th	nousands)			
FHLB advances	\$ 429,788 58,000 353,202 \$ 840,990	\$ 530,9 48,0 391,1 \$ 970,1	00 <u> </u>			
Weighted average interest rate of FHLB advances	3.68%	3.2	3.14%			
Weighted average interest rate of FHLB line of credit	5.39%	4.2	1% —			
Weighted average interest rate of securities sold under agreements to repurchase	4.16%	3.4	.0% 2.78%			

#### FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND TRUST SERVICES

The Bank offers a full range of trust and financial management services primarily to individuals. These services include wealth management services, such as investment management and investment advisory accounts, as well as custody accounts. The Bank also serves as trustee for living and testamentary trusts. Trust officers also provide estate settlement services when the Bank has been named executor or guardian of an estate. At December 31, 2006, the book value of assets under administration was \$212.5 million and the number of accounts under administration was 522.

#### SUBSIDIARY ACTIVITIES

Provident Investment Services, Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank. It was established as a New Jersey corporation to provide life and health insurance in the State of New Jersey and conducts non-deposit investment product and insurance sales.

Provident Title, LLC was a joint venture in which the Bank had a 49% interest and Investor's Title Agency, Inc. had a 51% interest. Provident Title, LLC was licensed to sell title insurance in the State of New Jersey. Provident Title, LLC ceased doing business on August 31, 2005.

Dudley Investment Corporation is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank, which operates as a New Jersey Investment Company. Dudley Investment Corporation owns all of the outstanding common stock of PSB Funding Corporation.

PSB Funding Corporation is a majority-owned subsidiary of Dudley Investment Corporation. It was established as a New Jersey corporation to engage in real estate activities (including the acquisition of mortgage loans from the Bank) that enable it to be taxed as a real estate investment trust for federal and New Jersey tax purposes.

FSB Financial LLC is an inactive wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank that engaged in retail non-deposit investment product sales.

First Sentinel Capital Trust I and First Sentinel Capital Trust II were special purpose business trusts established for the purpose of issuing \$25.0 million of preferred capital securities. The Company owned 100% of the common securities of each entity. First Sentinel Capital Trust I and First Sentinel Capital Trust II were cancelled as of December 27, 2006, following the redemption of the related preferred capital securities.

TPB Realty, LLC, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank formed to invest in real estate development joint ventures principally targeted at meeting the housing needs of low- and moderate-income communities in the Bank's market. At December 31, 2006, TPB Realty had total assets of \$2.1 million.

#### **PERSONNEL**

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had 795 full-time and 162 part-time employees. None of the Company's employees were represented by a collective bargaining group. The Company believes its relationship with its employees is good.

#### REGULATION

#### General

The Company, as a bank holding company controlling the Bank, is subject to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended ("BHCA"), and the rules and regulations of the Federal Reserve Board under the BHCA. The Company is also subject to the provisions of the New Jersey Banking Act of 1948 (the "New Jersey Banking Act") and the regulations of the Commissioner of the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance ("Commissioner") under the New Jersey Banking Act applicable to bank holding companies. The Company and the Bank are required to file reports with, and otherwise comply with the rules and regulations of the Federal Reserve Board and the Commissioner conduct periodic examinations to assess the Company's compliance with various regulatory requirements. The Company files certain reports with, and otherwise complies with, the rules and regulations of the SEC under the federal securities laws and the listing requirements of the New York Stock Exchange.

The Bank is a New Jersey chartered savings bank, and its deposit accounts are insured up to applicable limits by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"). The Bank is subject to extensive regulation, examination and supervision by the Commissioner as the issuer of its charter, and by the FDIC as the deposit insurer. The Bank must file reports with the Commissioner and the FDIC concerning its activities and financial condition, and it must obtain regulatory approval prior to entering into certain transactions, such as mergers with, or acquisitions of, other depository institutions and opening or acquiring branch offices. The Commissioner and the FDIC conduct periodic examinations to assess the Bank's compliance with various regulatory requirements. This regulation and supervision establishes a comprehensive framework of activities in which a savings bank can engage and is intended primarily for the protection of the deposit insurance fund and depositors. The regulatory structure also gives the regulatory authorities extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities and examination policies, including policies with respect to the classification of assets and the establishment of adequate loan loss reserves for regulatory purposes.

Any change in applicable laws and regulations, whether by the Commissioner, the FDIC, the Federal Reserve Board or through legislation, could have a material adverse impact on the Company and the Bank and their operations and stockholders.

#### **New Jersey Banking Regulation**

Activity Powers. The Bank derives its lending, investment and other activity powers primarily from the applicable provisions of the New Jersey Banking Act and its related regulations. Under these laws and regulations, savings banks, including the Bank, generally may invest in:

- (1) real estate mortgages;
- (2) consumer and commercial loans;

- (3) specific types of debt securities, including certain corporate debt securities and obligations of federal, state and local governments and agencies;
- (4) certain types of corporate equity securities; and
- (5) certain other assets.

A savings bank may also invest pursuant to a "leeway" power that permits investments not otherwise permitted by the New Jersey Banking Act. "Leeway" investments must comply with a number of limitations on the individual and aggregate amounts of "leeway" investments. A savings bank may also exercise trust powers upon approval of the Commissioner. New Jersey savings banks may exercise those powers, rights, benefits or privileges authorized for national banks or out-of-state banks or for federal or out-of-state savings banks or savings associations, provided that before exercising any such power, right, benefit or privilege, prior approval by the Commissioner by regulation or by specific authorization is required. The exercise of these lending, investment and activity powers is limited by federal law and the related regulations.

Loans-to-One-Borrower Limitations. With certain specified exceptions, a New Jersey chartered savings bank may not make loans or extend credit to a single borrower and to entities related to the borrower in an aggregate amount that would exceed 15% of the bank's capital funds. A savings bank may lend an additional 10% of the bank's capital funds if secured by collateral meeting the requirements of the New Jersey Banking Act. The Bank currently complies with applicable loans-to-one-borrower limitations.

Dividends. Under the New Jersey Banking Act, a stock savings bank may declare and pay a dividend on its capital stock only to the extent that the payment of the dividend would not impair the capital stock of the savings bank. In addition, a stock savings bank may not pay a dividend unless the savings bank would, after the payment of the dividend, have a surplus of not less than 50% of its capital stock, or the payment of the dividend would not reduce the surplus. Federal law may also limit the amount of dividends that may be paid by the Bank.

*Minimum Capital Requirements*. Regulations of the Commissioner impose on New Jersey chartered depository institutions, including the Bank, minimum capital requirements similar to those imposed by the FDIC on insured state banks.

Examination and Enforcement. The New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance may examine the Company and the Bank whenever it deems an examination advisable. The Department examines the Bank at least every two years. The Commissioner may order any savings bank to discontinue any violation of law or unsafe or unsound business practice and may direct any director, officer, attorney or employee of a savings bank engaged in an objectionable activity, after the Commissioner has ordered the activity to be terminated, to show cause at a hearing before the Commissioner why such person should not be removed.

#### **Federal Banking Regulation**

*Capital Requirements.* FDIC regulations require banks to maintain minimum levels of capital. The FDIC regulations define two tiers, or classes, of capital.

Tier 1 capital is comprised of:

- common stockholders' equity, less net unrealized holding losses on available-for-sale equity securities with readily determinable fair values;
- non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock, including any related surplus; and
- minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries minus all intangible assets, other than qualifying servicing rights and any net unrealized loss on marketable equity securities.

The components of Tier 2 capital are comprised of:

- cumulative perpetual preferred stock;
- certain perpetual preferred stock for which the dividend rate may be reset periodically;
- hybrid capital instruments, including mandatory convertible securities;
- term subordinated debt;
- intermediate term preferred stock;

- allowance for loan losses; and
- up to 45% of pretax net unrealized holding gains on available for sale equity securities with readily determinable fair market values.

The allowance for loan losses may be includible in Tier 2 capital up to a maximum of 1.25% of risk-weighted assets. Overall, the amount of Tier 2 capital that may be included in total capital cannot exceed 100% of Tier 1 capital. The FDIC regulations establish a minimum leverage capital requirement for banks in the strongest financial and managerial condition, with a rating of 1 (the highest examination rating of the FDIC for banks) under the Uniform Financial Institutions Rating System that are not anticipating or experiencing significant growth, of not less than a ratio of 3.0% of Tier 1 capital to total assets. For all other banks, the minimum leverage capital requirement is 4.0%, unless a higher leverage capital ratio is warranted by the particular circumstances or risk profile of the bank.

The FDIC regulations also establish a risk-based capital standard. The risk-based capital standard requires the maintenance of a ratio of total capital, which is defined as the sum of Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital, to risk-weighted assets of at least 8% and a ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 4%. In determining the amount of a bank's risk-weighted assets, all assets, plus certain off balance sheet items, are multiplied by a risk-weight of 0% to 100%, based on the risks the FDIC believes are inherent in the type of asset or item.

The federal banking agencies, including the FDIC, have also adopted regulations to require an assessment of a bank's exposure to declines in the economic value of a bank's capital due to changes in interest rates when assessing such bank's capital adequacy. Under such a risk assessment, examiners will evaluate a bank's capital for interest rate risk on a case-by-case basis, with consideration of both quantitative and qualitative factors. According to the agencies, applicable considerations include:

- the quality of the bank's interest rate risk management process;
- the overall financial condition of the bank; and
- the level of other risks at the bank for which capital is needed.

Institutions with significant interest rate risk may be required to maintain additional capital.

The following table shows the Bank's leverage ratio, Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, and total risk-based capital ratio, at December 31, 2006:

	As of December 31, 2006					
	Capital	Percent of Assets <sup>(1)</sup>	Capital Requirements <sup>(1)</sup>			
		(Dollars in thousands)	)			
Regulatory Tier 1 leverage capital	\$ 448,180	8.46%	4.00%			
Tier 1 risk-based capital	448,180	11.31	4.00			
Total risk-based capital	480,614	12.13	8.00			

<sup>(1)</sup> For purposes of calculating Regulatory Tier 1 leverage capital, assets are based on adjusted total leverage assets. In calculating Tier 1 risk based capital and total risk-based capital, assets are based on total risk-weighted assets.

As the table shows, as of December 31, 2006, the Bank was considered "well capitalized" under FDIC guidelines.

Activity Restrictions on State-Chartered Banks. Federal law and FDIC regulations generally limit the activities and investments of state-chartered FDIC insured banks and their subsidiaries to those permissible for national banks and their subsidiaries, unless such activities and investments are specifically exempted by law or consented to by the FDIC.

Before making a new investment or engaging in a new activity that is not permissible for a national bank or otherwise permissible under federal law or FDIC regulations, an insured bank must seek approval from the FDIC to make such investment or engage in such activity. The FDIC will not approve the activity unless the bank meets its minimum capital requirements and the FDIC determines that the activity does not present a significant risk to the FDIC insurance funds. Certain activities of subsidiaries that are engaged in activities permitted for national banks only through a "financial subsidiary" are subject to additional restrictions.

Federal law permits a state-chartered savings bank to engage, through financial subsidiaries, in any activity in which a national bank may engage through a financial subsidiary and on substantially the same terms and conditions. In general, the law permits a national bank that is well-capitalized and well-managed to conduct, through a financial subsidiary, any activity permitted for a financial holding company other than insurance underwriting, insurance investments, real estate investment or development or merchant banking. The total assets of all such financial subsidiaries may not exceed the lesser of 45% of the bank's total assets or \$50

billion. The bank must have policies and procedures to assess the financial subsidiary's risk and protect the bank from such risk and potential liability, must not consolidate the financial subsidiary's assets with the bank's and must exclude from its own assets and equity all equity investments, including retained earnings, in the financial subsidiary. The Bank meets all conditions necessary to establish and engage in permitted activities through financial subsidiaries.

Federal Home Loan Bank System. The Bank is a member of the FHLB system, which consists of twelve regional FHLBs, each subject to supervision and regulation by the Federal Housing Finance Board ("FHFB"). The FHLB provides a central credit facility primarily for member institutions. The Bank, as a member of the FHLB of New York, is required to purchase and hold shares of capital stock in that FHLB in an amount as required by that FHLB's capital plan and minimum capital requirements. The Bank is in compliance with these requirements.

Deposit Insurance. The Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005 was signed into law on February 8, 2006. Among other things this legislation merged the Savings Association Insurance Fund and the Bank Insurance Fund into a unified fund as of March 15, 2006, and increased the amount of deposit insurance from \$100,000 to \$130,000 with a cost of living adjustment to become effective in five years. The Act also requires the reserve ratio to be modified to provide for a range between 1.15% and 1.50% of estimated insured deposits. The new legislation requires the FDIC to issue regulations implementing the law. The changes required by the law will not become effective until the final regulations have been issued.

The FDIC may terminate the insurance of an institution's deposits upon a finding that the institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, order or condition imposed by the FDIC. Management does not know of any practice, condition or violation that might lead to termination of deposit insurance.

*Enforcement*. The FDIC has extensive enforcement authority over insured savings banks, including the Bank. This enforcement authority includes, among other things, the ability to assess civil money penalties, to issue cease and desist orders and to remove directors and officers. In general, these enforcement actions may be initiated in response to violations of law and to unsafe or unsound practices.

Transactions with Affiliates. Transactions between an insured bank, such as the Bank, and any of its affiliates are governed by Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act and its implementing regulations. An affiliate of a bank is any company or entity that controls, is controlled by or is under common control with the bank. A subsidiary of a bank that is not also a depository institution, financial subsidiary or other entity defined by the regulation generally is not treated as an affiliate of the bank for purposes of Sections 23A and 23B.

#### Section 23A:

- limits the extent to which a bank or its subsidiaries may engage in "covered transactions" with any one affiliate to an amount equal to 10% of such bank's capital stock and retained earnings, and limits all such transactions with all affiliates to an amount equal to 20% of such capital stock and retained earnings; and
- requires that all such transactions be on terms that are consistent with safe and sound banking practices.

The term "covered transaction" includes the making of loans, purchase of assets, issuance of guarantees and other similar types of transactions. Further, most loans by a bank to any of its affiliates must be secured by collateral in amounts ranging from 100 to 130 percent of the loan amounts. In addition, any covered transaction by a bank with an affiliate and any purchase of assets or services by a bank from an affiliate must be on terms that are substantially the same, or at least as favorable to the bank, as those that would be provided to a non-affiliate.

Prohibitions Against Tying Arrangements. Banks are subject to statutory prohibitions on certain tying arrangements. A depository institution is prohibited, subject to certain exceptions, from extending credit to or offering any other service, or fixing or varying the consideration for such extension of credit or service, on the condition that the customer obtain some additional service from the institution or its affiliates or that the customer not obtain services of a competitor of the institution.

*Privacy Standards.* FDIC regulations require the Company and the Bank to disclose their privacy policies, including identifying with whom they share "non-public personal information" to customers at the time of establishing the customer relationship and annually thereafter.

The FDIC regulations also require the Company and the Bank to provide their customers with initial and annual notices that accurately reflect their privacy policies and practices. In addition, the Company and the Bank are required to provide their customers with the ability to "opt-out" of having the Company and the Bank share their non-public personal information with unaffiliated third parties before they can disclose such information, subject to certain exceptions.

Community Reinvestment Act and Fair Lending Laws. All FDIC insured institutions have a responsibility under the Community Reinvestment Act and related regulations to help meet the credit needs of their communities, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods. In connection with its examination of a state chartered savings bank, the FDIC is required to assess the institution's record of compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act. Among other things, the current Community Reinvestment Act regulations replace the prior process-based assessment factors with a new evaluation system that rates an institution based on its actual performance in meeting community needs. In particular, the current evaluation system focuses on three tests:

- a lending test, to evaluate the institution's record of making loans in its service areas;
- an investment test, to evaluate the institution's record of investing in community development projects, affordable housing, and programs benefiting low- or moderate-income individuals and businesses; and
- a service test, to evaluate the institution's delivery of services through its branches, ATMs and other offices.

An institution's failure to comply with the provisions of the Community Reinvestment Act could, at a minimum, result in regulatory restrictions on its activities, including, but not limited to, engaging in acquisitions and mergers. The Bank received a satisfactory Community Reinvestment Act rating in its most recently completed federal examination, which was conducted by the FDIC as of March 2005.

In addition, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act prohibit lenders from discriminating in their lending practices on the basis of characteristics specified in those statutes. An institution's failure to comply with the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act could result in enforcement actions by the FDIC, as well as other federal regulatory agencies and the Department of Justice.

Safety and Soundness Standards. Each federal banking agency, including the FDIC, has adopted guidelines establishing general standards relating to internal controls, information and internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth, asset quality, earnings, compensation, fees and benefits. In general, the guidelines require, among other things, appropriate systems and practices to identify and manage the risks and exposures specified in the guidelines. The guidelines prohibit excessive compensation as an unsafe and unsound practice and describe compensation as excessive when the amounts paid are unreasonable or disproportionate to the services performed by an executive officer, employee, director, or principal stockholder.

In addition, FDIC regulations require a bank that is given notice by the FDIC that it is not satisfying any of such safety and soundness standards to submit a compliance plan to the FDIC. If, after being so notified, a bank fails to submit an acceptable compliance plan or fails in any material respect to implement an accepted compliance plan, the FDIC may issue an order directing corrective and other actions of the types to which a significantly undercapitalized institution is subject under the "prompt corrective action" provisions discussed below. If a bank fails to comply with such an order, the FDIC may seek to enforce such an order in judicial proceedings and to impose civil monetary penalties.

Prompt Corrective Action. Federal law requires the FDIC and the other federal banking regulators to promptly resolve the problems of undercapitalized institutions. Federal law also establishes five categories, consisting of "well capitalized," "adequately capitalized," "undercapitalized," "significantly undercapitalized" and "critically undercapitalized." The FDIC's regulations define the five capital categories as follows:

An institution will be treated as "well capitalized" if:

- its ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets is at least 10%;
- its ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets is at least 6%; and
- its ratio of Tier 1 capital to total assets is at least 5%, and it is not subject to any order or directive by the FDIC to meet a specific capital level.

An institution will be treated as "adequately capitalized" if:

- its ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets is at least 8%; or
- its ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets is at least 4%; and
- its ratio of Tier 1 capital to total assets is at least 4% (3% if the bank receives the highest rating under the Uniform Financial Institutions Rating System) and it is not a well-capitalized institution.

An institution will be treated as "undercapitalized" if:

- its total risk-based capital is less than 8%; or
- its Tier 1 risk-based-capital is less than 4%; and
- its leverage ratio is less than 4% (or less than 3% if the institution receives the highest rating under the Uniform Financial Institutions Rating System).

An institution will be treated as "significantly undercapitalized" if:

- its total risk-based capital is less than 6%;
- its Tier 1 capital is less than 3%; or
- its leverage ratio is less than 3%.

An institution that has a tangible capital to total assets ratio equal to or less than 2% would be deemed "critically undercapitalized." The FDIC is required, with some exceptions, to appoint a receiver or conservator for an insured state bank if that bank is critically undercapitalized. The FDIC may also appoint a conservator or receiver for an insured state bank on the basis of the institution's financial condition or upon the occurrence of certain events, including:

- insolvency, or when the assets of the bank are less than its liabilities to depositors and others;
- substantial dissipation of assets or earnings through violations of law or unsafe or unsound practices;
- existence of an unsafe or unsound condition to transact business;
- likelihood that the bank will be unable to meet the demands of its depositors or to pay its obligations in the normal course of business; and
- insufficient capital, or the incurring or likely incurring of losses that will substantially deplete all of the institution's capital with no reasonable prospect of replenishment of capital without federal assistance.

#### Loans to a Bank's Insiders

Federal Regulation. A bank's loans to its executive officers, directors, any owner of 10% or more of its stock (each, an insider) and any of certain entities affiliated with any such person (an insider's related interest) are subject to the conditions and limitations imposed by Section 22(h) of the Federal Reserve Act and the Federal Reserve Board's Regulation O. Under these restrictions, the aggregate amount of the loans to any insider and the insider's related interests may not exceed the loans-to-oneborrower limit applicable to national banks, which is comparable to the loans-to-one-borrower limit applicable to loans by the Bank. All loans by a bank to all insiders and insiders' related interests in the aggregate may not exceed the bank's unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus. With certain exceptions, loans to an executive officer, other than loans for the education of the officer's children and certain loans secured by the officer's residence, may not exceed at any one time the higher of 2.5% of the bank's unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus or \$25,000, but in no event more than \$100,000. Regulation O also requires that any proposed loan to an insider or a related interest of that insider be approved in advance by a majority of the board of directors of the bank, with any interested directors not participating in the voting, if such loan, when aggregated with any existing loans to that insider and the insider's related interests, would exceed either (1) \$500,000; or (2) the greater of \$25,000 or 5% of the bank's unimpaired capital and surplus. Generally, loans to insiders must be made on substantially the same terms as, and follow credit underwriting procedures that are not less stringent than, those that are prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons, and not involve more than the normal risk of payment or present other unfavorable features. The Bank does not, as a matter of policy, make loans to its directors or to their immediate family members and related interests.

An exception is made for extensions of credit made pursuant to a benefit or compensation plan of a bank that is widely available to employees of the bank and that does not give any preference to insiders of the bank over other employees of the bank.

In addition, federal law prohibits extensions of credit to a bank's insiders and their related interests by any other institution that has a correspondent banking relationship with the bank, unless such extension of credit is on substantially the same terms as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons and does not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features.

New Jersey Regulation. Provisions of the New Jersey Banking Act impose conditions and limitations on the liabilities to a savings bank of its directors and executive officers and of corporations and partnerships controlled by such persons that are comparable in many respects to the conditions and limitations imposed on the loans and extensions of credit to insiders and their related interests under Regulation O, as discussed above. The New Jersey Banking Act also provides that a savings bank that is in compliance with Regulation O is deemed to be in compliance with such provisions of the New Jersey Banking Act.

# **Federal Reserve System**

Under Federal Reserve Board regulations, the Bank is required to maintain non-interest earning reserves against its transaction accounts. The Federal Reserve Board regulations generally require that reserves of 3% must be maintained against aggregate transaction accounts over \$8.5 million and up to \$45.8 million, subject to adjustment by the Federal Reserve Board, and an initial reserve of \$1.2 million plus 10% against that portion of total transaction accounts in excess of up to \$45.8 million. The first \$8.5 million of otherwise reservable balances, subject to adjustments by the Federal Reserve Board, are exempted from the reserve requirements. The Bank is in compliance with these requirements. Because required reserves must be maintained in the form of either vault cash, a non-interest bearing account at a Federal Reserve Bank or a pass-through account as defined by the Federal Reserve Board, the effect of this reserve requirement is to reduce the Bank's interest-earning assets.

### **Internet Banking**

Technological developments are significantly altering the ways in which most companies, including financial institutions, conduct their business. The growth of the Internet is prompting banks to reconsider business strategies and adopt alternative distribution and marketing systems. The federal bank regulatory agencies have conducted seminars and published materials targeted to various aspects of internet banking, and have indicated their intention to reevaluate their regulations to ensure that they encourage banks' efficiency and competitiveness consistent with safe and sound banking practices. There can be no assurance that the bank regulatory agencies will adopt new regulations that will not materially affect our internet operations or restrict any such further operations.

### The USA PATRIOT Act

The USA PATRIOT Act was signed into law on October 26, 2001 and was renewed on March 9, 2006. The USA PATRIOT Act gives the federal government powers to address terrorist threats through enhanced domestic security measures, expanded surveillance powers, increased information sharing, and broadened anti-money laundering requirements. By way of amendments to the Bank Secrecy Act, Title III of the USA PATRIOT Act included measures intended to encourage information sharing among bank regulatory agencies and law enforcement bodies. Further, certain provisions of Title III imposed affirmative obligations on a broad range of financial institutions, including banks, thrifts, brokers, dealers, credit unions, money transfer agents and parties registered under the Commodity Exchange Act.

The bank regulatory agencies have increased the regulatory scrutiny of the Bank Secrecy Act and anti-money laundering programs maintained by financial institutions. Significant penalties and fines, as well as other supervisory orders may be imposed on a financial institution for non-compliance with these requirements. In addition, the federal bank regulatory agencies must consider the effectiveness of financial institutions engaging in a merger transaction in combating money laundering activities. The Bank has adopted policies and procedures which are in compliance with these requirements.

#### **Holding Company Regulation**

Federal Regulation. The Company is regulated as a bank holding company. Bank holding companies are subject to examination, regulation and periodic reporting under the Bank Holding Company Act, as administered by the Federal Reserve Board. The Federal Reserve Board has adopted capital adequacy guidelines for bank holding companies on a consolidated basis substantially similar to those of the FDIC for the Bank. As of December 31, 2006, the Company's total capital and Tier 1 capital ratios exceed these minimum capital requirements.

The following table shows the Company's leverage ratio, Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio and the total risk-based capital ratio as of December 31, 2006:

		As of December 31, 200	)6
	Capital	Percent of Assets <sup>(1)</sup>	Capital Requirements <sup>(1)</sup>
		(Dollars in thousands)	)
Regulatory Tier 1 leverage capital	\$ 597,190	11.21%	4.00%
Tier 1 risk-based capital	597,190	14.98	4.00
Total risk-based capital	629,623	15.79	8.00

<sup>(1)</sup> For purposes of calculating Regulatory Tier 1 leverage capital, assets are based on adjusted total leverage assets. In calculating Tier 1 risk-based capital and total risk-based capital, assets are based on total risk-weighted assets.

As the table shows, as of December 31, 2006, the Company was also "well capitalized" under Federal Reserve Bank guidelines.

Regulations of the Federal Reserve Board provide that a bank holding company must serve as a source of strength to any of its subsidiary banks and must not conduct its activities in an unsafe or unsound manner. Under the prompt corrective action provisions discussed above, a bank holding company parent of an undercapitalized subsidiary bank would be directed to guarantee, within limitations, the capital restoration plan that is required of such an undercapitalized bank. If the undercapitalized bank fails to file an acceptable capital restoration plan or fails to implement an accepted plan, the Federal Reserve Board may prohibit the bank holding company parent of the undercapitalized bank from paying any dividend or making any other form of capital distribution without the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board.

As a bank holding company, the Company is required to obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board to acquire all, or substantially all, of the assets of any bank or bank holding company. Prior Federal Reserve Board approval will be required for the Company to acquire direct or indirect ownership or control of any voting securities of any bank or bank holding company if, after giving effect to such acquisition, it would, directly or indirectly, own or control more than 5% of any class of voting shares of such bank or bank holding company.

A bank holding company is required to give the Federal Reserve Board prior written notice of any purchase or redemption of its outstanding equity securities if the gross consideration for the purchase or redemption, when combined with the net consideration paid for all such purchases or redemptions during the preceding 12 months will be equal to 10% or more of the company's consolidated net worth. The Federal Reserve Board may disapprove such a purchase or redemption if it determines that the proposal would constitute an unsafe and unsound practice, or would violate any law, regulation, Federal Reserve Board order or directive, or any condition imposed by, or written agreement with, the Federal Reserve Board. Such notice and approval is not required for a bank holding company that would be treated as "well capitalized" under applicable regulations of the Federal Reserve Board, is well-managed, and that is not the subject of any unresolved supervisory issues.

In addition, a bank holding company which does not qualify as a financial holding company under applicable federal law is generally prohibited from engaging in, or acquiring direct or indirect control of any company engaged in non-banking activities. One of the principal exceptions to this prohibition is for activities found by the Federal Reserve Board to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be permissible. Some of the principal activities that the Federal Reserve Board has determined by regulation to be so closely related to banking as to be permissible are:

- making or servicing loans;
- performing certain data processing services;
- providing discount brokerage services; or acting as fiduciary, investment or financial advisor;
- leasing personal or real property;
- making investments in corporations or projects designed primarily to promote community welfare; and
- acquiring a savings and loan association.

Bank holding companies that do qualify as a financial holding company may engage in activities that are financial in nature or incident to activities which are financial in nature. The Company has not elected to qualify as a financial holding company under federal regulations, although it may seek to do so in the future. Bank holding companies may qualify to become a financial holding company if:

- each of its depository institution subsidiaries is "well capitalized";
- each of its depository institution subsidiaries is "well managed";

- each of its depository institution subsidiaries has at least a "satisfactory" Community Reinvestment Act rating at its most recent examination; and
- the bank holding company has filed a certification with the Federal Reserve Board that it elects to become a financial holding company.

Under federal law, depository institutions are liable to the FDIC for losses suffered or anticipated by the FDIC in connection with the default of a commonly controlled depository institution or any assistance provided by the FDIC to such an institution in danger of default. This law would potentially be applicable to the Company if it ever acquired as a separate subsidiary, a depository institution in addition to the Bank.

New Jersey Regulation. Under the New Jersey Banking Act, a company owning or controlling a savings bank is regulated as a bank holding company. The New Jersey Banking Act defines the terms "company" and "bank holding company" as such terms are defined under the BHCA. Each bank holding company controlling a New Jersey chartered bank or savings bank must file certain reports with the Commissioner and is subject to examination by the Commissioner.

Acquisition of Control. Under federal law and under the New Jersey Banking Act, no person may acquire control of the Company or the Bank without first obtaining approval of such acquisition of control from the Federal Reserve Board and the Commissioner.

Federal Securities Laws. The Company's common stock is registered with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The Company is subject to the information, proxy solicitation, insider trading restrictions and other requirements under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

# Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 addresses, among other issues, corporate governance, auditing and accounting, executive compensation, and enhanced and timely disclosure of corporate information. As directed by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer each certify that the Company's quarterly and annual reports do not contain any untrue statement of a material fact. The rules adopted by the SEC under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 have several requirements, including having these officers certify that: they are responsible for establishing, maintaining and regularly evaluating the effectiveness of the Company's internal controls; they have made certain disclosures to the Company's auditors and the audit committee of the board of directors about the Company's internal controls; and they have included information in the Company's quarterly and annual reports about their evaluation and whether there have been significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls.

# **Delaware Corporation Law**

The Company is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware. As a result, the rights of its stockholders are governed by the Delaware General Corporate Law.

# **TAXATION**

# **Federal Taxation**

*General.* The Company is subject to federal income taxation in the same general manner as other corporations, with some exceptions discussed below. The following discussion of federal taxation is intended only to summarize certain pertinent federal income tax matters and is not a comprehensive description of the tax rules applicable to the Company.

*Method of Accounting.* For federal income tax purposes, the Company currently reports its income and expenses on the accrual method of accounting and uses a tax year ending December 31 for filing its consolidated federal income tax returns.

Bad Debt Reserves. Prior to the Small Business Protection Act of 1996 (the "1996 Act"), the Bank was permitted to establish a reserve for bad debts and to make annual additions to the reserve. These additions could, within specified formula limits, be deducted in arriving at taxable income. The Bank was required to use the direct charge-off method to compute its bad debt deduction beginning with its 1996 federal income tax return. Savings institutions were required to recapture any excess reserves over those established as of December 31, 1987 (base year reserve).

Taxable Distributions and Recapture. Prior to the 1996 Act, bad debt reserves created prior to January 1, 1988 were subject to recapture into taxable income should the Bank fail to meet certain asset and definitional tests. Federal legislation has eliminated these recapture rules.

Retained earnings at December 31, 2006 included approximately \$51.8 million for which no provisions for income tax had been made. This amount represents an allocation of income to bad debt deductions for tax purposes only. Events that would result in taxation of these reserves include failure to qualify as a bank for tax purposes, distributions in complete or partial liquidation, stock redemptions and excess distributions to shareholders. At December 31, 2006, the Bank had an unrecognized tax liability of \$21.2 million with respect to this reserve.

Corporate Alternative Minimum Tax. The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), imposes an alternative minimum tax (AMT) at a rate of 20% on a base of regular taxable income plus certain tax preferences (alternative minimum taxable income or AMTI). The AMT is payable to the extent such AMTI is in excess of an exemption amount and the AMT exceeds the regular income tax. Net operating losses can offset no more than 90% of AMTI. Certain payments of alternative minimum tax may be used as credits against regular tax liabilities in future years. The Company has not been subject to the alternative minimum tax and has no such amounts available as credits for carryover.

*Net Operating Loss Carryovers*. A financial institution may carry back net operating losses to the preceding two taxable years and forward to the succeeding 20 taxable years. At December 31, 2006, the Company had no net operating loss carryforwards for federal income tax purposes.

*Corporate Dividends-Received Deduction.* The Company may exclude from its income 100% of dividends received from the Bank as a member of the same affiliated group of corporations.

# **State Taxation**

*New Jersey State Taxation.* The Company and the Bank file New Jersey Corporation Business Tax returns. Generally, the income of financial institutions in New Jersey, which is calculated based on federal taxable income subject to certain adjustments, is subject to New Jersey tax.

The Company and the Bank pay the greater of the corporate business tax ("CBT") (at 9% of taxable income) or the Alternative Minimum Assessment ("AMA") tax. There are two methods for calculating the AMA tax, the gross receipts method or the gross profits method. Under the gross receipts method, the tax is calculated by multiplying the gross receipts by the applicable factor, which ranges from 0.125% to 0.4%. Under the gross profits method, the tax is calculated by multiplying the gross profits by the applicable factor, which ranges from 0.25% to 0.8%. The taxpayer has the option of choosing either the gross receipts or gross profits method, but once an election is made, the taxpayer must use the same method for the next four tax years. The AMA tax is creditable against the CBT in a year in which the CBT is higher, limited to the AMA for that year, and limited to an amount such that the tax is not reduced by more than 50% of the tax otherwise due and other statutory minimums. The AMA tax for each taxpayer may not exceed \$5.0 million per year and the sum of the AMA for each member of an affiliated group may not exceed \$20.0 million per year for members of an affiliated group with five or more taxpayers. For tax years beginning after June 30, 2006, the AMA tax shall be zero.

New Jersey tax law does not and has not allowed for a taxpayer to file a tax return on a combined or consolidated basis with another member of the affiliated group where there is common ownership. However, under the new tax legislation, if the taxpayer cannot demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that the tax filing discloses the true earnings of the taxpayer on its business carried on in the State of New Jersey, the New Jersey Director of the Division of Taxation may, at the director's discretion, require the taxpayer to file a consolidated return of the entire operations of the affiliated group or controlled group, including its own operations and income.

*Delaware State Taxation.* As a Delaware holding company not earning income in Delaware, the Company is exempted from Delaware corporate income tax but is required to file annual returns and pay annual fees and a franchise tax to the State of Delaware.

# Item 1A. Risk Factors.

In addition to factors discussed in the description of our business and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the following are risk factors that could adversely affect our future results of operations and our financial condition.

# The Company May Fail to Realize the Anticipated Benefits of the Proposed Merger of First Morris Bank & Trust into The Provident Bank

On October 16, 2006, the Company announced the proposed merger of First Morris Bank & Trust with and into The Provident Bank, the Company's wholly-owned bank subsidiary. The proposed merger remains subject to approval by banking regulators, as well as the stockholders of First Morris Bank & Trust. We anticipate completing the merger early in the second quarter of 2007. The success of the proposed merger will depend on, among other things, the Company's ability to realize anticipated cost savings and to combine the businesses of The Provident Bank and First Morris Bank & Trust in a manner that does not materially disrupt the existing customer relationships of The Provident Bank or First Morris Bank & Trust or result in decreased revenues from any loss of customers. If we are not able to successfully achieve these objectives, the anticipated benefits of the merger may not be realized fully or at all or may take longer to realize than expected.

The Company and First Morris Bank & Trust have operated and, until the completion of the merger, will continue to operate, independently. It is possible that the integration process could result in the loss of key employees, the disruption of the Company's or First Morris Bank & Trust's ongoing businesses or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that adversely affect the ability of the Company to maintain relationships with customers and employees or to achieve the anticipated benefits of the merger.

# Our Commercial Real Estate, Multi-Family, and Commercial Loans Expose Us to Increased Lending Risks

Our strategy continues to be to increase our construction loans, commercial mortgage loans and commercial loans. These loans are generally regarded as having a higher risk of default and loss than single-family residential mortgage loans, because repayment of these loans often depends on the successful operation of a business or of the underlying property. In addition, our construction loans, commercial mortgage loans and commercial loans have significantly larger average loan balances compared to our single-family residential mortgage loans. At December 31, 2006, the average loan size for a construction loan was \$3.4 million, for a commercial real estate loan was \$1.5 million and for a commercial loan was \$207,000, compared to an average loan size of \$180,000 for a single-family residential mortgage loan. Also, many of our borrowers of these types of loans have more than one loan outstanding with us. Consequently, any adverse development with respect to one loan or one credit relationship can expose us to a significantly greater risk of loss compared to an adverse development with respect to one single-family residential mortgage loan.

# Our Continuing Concentration of Loans in Our Primary Market Area May Increase Our Risk

Our success depends primarily on the general economic conditions in northern and central New Jersey. Unlike some larger banks that are more geographically diversified, we provide banking and financial services to customers primarily in northern and central New Jersey. The local economic conditions in northern and central New Jersey have a significant impact on our construction loans, commercial mortgage loans and commercial loans, the ability of the borrowers to repay these loans and the value of the collateral securing these loans. A significant decline in general economic conditions caused by inflation, recession, unemployment or other factors beyond our control would impact these local economic conditions and could negatively affect the financial results of our banking operations. Additionally, because we have a significant amount of real estate loans, decreases in real estate values and a slowdown in real estate sales may also have a negative effect on the ability of many of our borrowers to make timely repayments of their loans, which would have an adverse impact on our earnings.

We target our business development and marketing strategy for loans to serve primarily the banking and financial services needs of small- to medium-sized businesses in northern and central New Jersey. These small- to medium-sized businesses generally have fewer financial resources in terms of capital or borrowing capacity than larger entities. If general economic conditions negatively impact these businesses, our results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected.

# If Our Allowance for Loan Losses is Not Sufficient to Cover Actual Loan Losses, Our Earnings Could Decrease

Our loan customers may not repay their loans according to the terms of the loans, and the collateral securing the payment of these loans may be insufficient to pay any remaining loan balance. We may experience significant loan losses, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results. We make various assumptions and judgments about the collectibility of our loan portfolio, including the creditworthiness of our borrowers and the value of the real estate and other assets serving as collateral for the repayment of many of our loans. In determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses, we rely on our loan quality reviews, our experience and our evaluation of economic conditions, among other factors. If our assumptions prove to be incorrect, our allowance for loan losses may not be sufficient to cover losses inherent in our loan portfolio, resulting in additions to our allowance. Material additions to our allowance would materially decrease our net income.

Our emphasis on continued diversification of our loan portfolio through the origination of construction loans, commercial mortgage loans, and commercial loans has been one of the more significant factors we have taken into account in evaluating our

allowance for loan losses and provision for loan losses. In the event we were to further increase the amount of such types of loans in our portfolio, we may determine to make additional or increased provisions for loans losses, which could adversely affect our earnings.

In addition, bank regulators periodically review our allowance for loan losses and may require us to increase our provision for loan losses or recognize further loan charge-offs. Any increase in our allowance for loan losses or loan charge-offs as required by these regulatory authorities could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

# Changes in Interest Rates Could Adversely Affect Our Results of Operations and Financial Condition

Our results of operations and financial condition are significantly affected by changes in interest rates. Our results of operations are affected substantially by our net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income earned on our interest-earning assets and the interest expense paid on our interest-bearing liabilities. Changes in interest rates could have an adverse affect on net interest income because, as a general matter, our interest-bearing liabilities reprice or mature more quickly than our interest-earning assets, an increase in interest rates generally would result in a decrease in our average interest rate spread and net interest income, which would have a negative effect on our profitability. In the event of a 200 basis point increase in interest rates, whereby rates ramp up evenly over a twelve-month period, and assuming management took no actions to mitigate the effect of such change, we are projecting that our net interest income would decrease 2.1% or \$3.4 million.

Changes in interest rates also affect the value of our interest-earning assets, and in particular our securities portfolio. Generally, the value of securities fluctuates inversely with changes in interest rates. At December 31, 2006, our available for sale securities portfolio totaled \$790.9 million. Unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale are reported as a separate component of equity. Decreases in the fair value of securities available for sale resulting from increases in interest rates therefore could have an adverse effect on stockholders' equity.

We are also subject to prepayment and reinvestment risk related to interest rate movements. Changes in interest rates can affect the average life of loans and mortgage related securities. Decreases in interest rates can result in increased prepayments of loans and mortgage related securities, as borrowers refinance to reduce borrowing costs. Under these circumstances, we are subject to reinvestment risk to the extent that we are unable to reinvest such prepayments at rates that are comparable to the rates on existing loans or securities.

# We Operate in a Highly Regulated Environment and May be Adversely Affected by Changes in Laws and Regulations

We are subject to extensive regulation, supervision and examination by the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance, our chartering authority, and by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as insurer of our deposits. As a bank holding company, Provident Financial Services, Inc. is subject to regulation and oversight by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Such regulation and supervision govern the activities in which a bank and its holding company may engage and are intended primarily for the protection of the insurance fund and depositors. These regulatory authorities have extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities, including the imposition of restrictions on our operations, the classification of our assets and the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses. Any change in such regulation and oversight, whether in the form of regulatory policy, regulations, or legislation, could have a material impact on The Provident Bank, Provident Financial Services, Inc., and our operations.

# Strong Competition Within Our Market Area May Limit Our Growth and Profitability

Competition in the banking and financial services industry is intense. In our market area, we compete with commercial banks, savings institutions, mortgage brokerage firms, credit unions, finance companies, mutual funds, insurance companies, and brokerage and investment banking firms operating locally and elsewhere. In particular, over the past decade, New Jersey has experienced the effects of substantial banking consolidation, and large out-of-state competitors have grown significantly. There are also a number of strong locally-based competitors in our market. Many of these competitors (whether regional or national institutions) have substantially greater resources and lending limits than we do, and may offer certain services that we do not or cannot provide. Our profitability depends upon our continued ability to successfully compete in our market area.

# **Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments**

There are no unresolved comments from the staff of the SEC to report.

#### Item 2. Properties

# **Property**

At December 31, 2006, the Bank conducted business through 75 full-service branch offices located in Hudson, Bergen, Essex, Mercer, Middlesex, Monmouth, Morris, Ocean, Somerset and Union Counties, New Jersey. The aggregate net book value of premises and equipment was \$59.8 million at December 31, 2006.

## Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company is involved in various legal actions and claims arising in the normal course of its business. In the opinion of management, these legal actions and claims are not expected to have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

# Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of the Company's stockholders during the fourth quarter of the year ended December 31, 2006.

#### PART II

# Item 5. Market For Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

The Company's common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "PFS". Trading in the Company's common stock commenced on January 16, 2003.

As of December 31, 2006, there were 79,879,017 shares of the Company's common stock issued and 63,233,548 shares outstanding and 6,372 stockholders of record.

The table below shows the high and low closing prices reported on the NYSE for the Company's common stock, as well as, the cash dividends paid per common share during the periods indicated.

	_		2006					2005					
		High		Low		Dividend		High		Low		Dividend	
First Quarter	\$	18.96	\$	18.00	\$	0.09	\$	19.14	\$	17.06	\$	0.07	
Second Quarter		18.61		17.65		0.10		17.88		16.03		0.08	
Third Quarter		18.88		17.50		0.10		18.23		17.22		0.08	
Fourth Quarter		18.78		18.01		0.10		19.00		16.42		0.09	


On January 24, 2007, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.10 per common share, which was paid on February 28, 2007, to common stockholders of record as of the close of business on February 15, 2007. The Company's Board of Directors intends to review the payment of dividends quarterly and plans to continue to maintain a regular quarterly cash dividend in the future, subject to financial condition, results of operations, tax considerations, industry standards, economic conditions, regulatory restrictions that affect the payment of dividends by the Bank to the Company and other relevant factors.

The Company is subject to the requirements of Delaware law that generally limit dividends to an amount equal to the difference between the amount by which total assets exceed total liabilities and the amount equal to the aggregate par value of the outstanding shares of capital stock. If there is no difference between these amounts, dividends are limited to net income for the current and/or immediately preceding year.

# Stock Performance Graph

Set forth below is a stock performance graph comparing (a) the cumulative total return on Provident common stock for the period beginning January 16, 2003, the first date that Provident common stock traded, as reported by the New York Stock Exchange (at a closing price of \$15.50 per share on such date), through December 31, 2006, (b) the cumulative total return on stocks included in the Russell 2000 Index over such period, and (c) the cumulative total return of the SNL Thrift Index over such period. The SNL Thrift Index produced by SNL Financial LC, contains all thrift institutions traded on the New York, American and NASDAQ stock exchanges. The initial offering price of Provident common stock in the mutual-to-stock conversion of The Provident Bank was \$10.00 per share. Cumulative return assumes the reinvestment of dividends and is expressed in dollars based on an assumed investment of \$100.

# PROVIDENT FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.



	Period Ending							
Index	01/16/03	06/30/03	12/31/03	12/31/04	12/31/05	12/31/06		
Provident Financial Services, Inc.	100.00	123.17	122.81	127.53	124.08	124.18		
Russell 2000	100.00	114.32	142.80	168.97	176.67	209.12		
SNL Thrift Index	100.00	115.82	137.31	152.99	158.38	184.62		

The following table reports information regarding purchases of the Company's common stock during the fourth quarter of 2006 and the stock repurchase plan approved by the Company's Board of Directors:

#### ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (1)	(d) Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1)
October 1, 2006				
through October 31, 2006		s —		3,532,626
October 51, 2000		<b>y</b> —		3,332,020
November 1, 2006 through				
November 30, 2006	75,400	18.14	75,400	3,457,226
December 1, 2006 through				
December 31, 2006	262,400	18.16	262,400	3,194,826
Total	337,800	18.15	337,800	

(1) On April 26, 2006, the Company's Board of Directors approved the purchase of up to 3,426,274 shares of its common stock under a general repurchase program. On July 26, 2006, the Company's Board of Directors approved the purchase of an additional 3,284,058 shares of its common stock under a general repurchase program which commenced upon completion of the previous repurchase program. The repurchase program has no expiration date.

# Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The summary information presented below at or for each of the periods presented is derived in part from and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of Provident Financial Services, Inc. presented in Item 8. On January 15, 2003, the Bank completed its conversion from a mutual savings bank to a stock savings bank, and in connection therewith the Company sold 59,618,300 shares of common stock which resulted in \$567.2 million of net proceeds, of which \$293.2 million was utilized to acquire all of the outstanding common stock of the Bank. In addition, the Company contributed \$4.8 million in cash and 1,920,000 shares of its common stock to The Provident Bank Foundation.

			At December 31	,	
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
		<u> </u>	(In thousands)		
Selected Financial Condition Data:					
Total assets	\$ 5,742,964	\$ 6,052,374	\$ 6,433,322	\$ 4,284,878	\$ 3,919,208
Loans, net (1)	3,751,230	3,707,142	3,673,445	2,216,736	2,031,869
Investment securities (2)	389,656	410,914	445,633	517,789	216,119
Securities available for sale	790,894	1,082,957	1,406,340	1,151,829	1,242,118
Deposits	3,826,463	3,921,458	4,050,473	2,695,976	3,243,334
Borrowed funds	840,990	970,108	1,166,064	736,328	323,081
Stockholders' equity	1,019,156	1,076,295	1,136,776	817,119	326,009

	For the Year Ended December 31,									
		2006		2005		2004		2003		2002
					(In	thousands)				
Selected Operations Data:										
Interest income	\$	282,139	\$	276,462	\$	229,543	\$	184,506	\$	177,307
Interest expense		117,611		95,007		67,185		54,633		63,241
Net interest income		164,528		181,455		162,358		129,873		114,066
Provision for loan losses		1,320		600		3,600		1,160		12,800
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		163,208		180,855		158,758		128,713		101,266
Non-interest income		31,951		29,221		29,151		23,834		24,147
Non-interest expense		118,273		124,178		119,334		126,779		89,087
Income before income tax expense and the cumulative										
effect of a change in accounting principle		76,886		85,898		68,575		25,768		36,326
Income tax expense		23,201		27,399		19,274		7,024		9,231
Income before the cumulative effect of a change in										
accounting principle		53,685		58,499		49,301		18,744		27,095
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (3)										(519)
Net income.	\$	53,685	\$	58,499	\$	49,301	\$	18,744	\$	26,576
Earnings Per Share:										
Basic earnings per share (4)		\$ 0.88		\$ 0.89		\$ 0.80		\$ 0.31		_
Diluted earnings per share (4)		\$ 0.87		\$ 0.88		\$ 0.80		\$ 0.31		_
Diacod cultings per share (4)		ψ 0.07		Ψ 0.00		Ψ 0.00		ψ 0.51		

<sup>(1)</sup> Loans are shown net of allowance for loan losses, deferred fees and unearned discount.

<sup>(4)</sup> Basic and diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2003 include the results of operations from January 15, 2003, the date the Company became the holding company for the Bank and the date the Bank completed its conversion, in the amount of \$17,755,000.

	At or For the Year Ended December 31,								
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002				
Selected Financial and Other Data(1)									
Performance Ratios:									
Return on average assets	0.92%	0.94%	0.93%	0.46%	0.86%				
Return on average equity	5.17	5.32	5.06	2.31	8.71				
Average net interest rate spread	2.80	3.01	3.09	2.91	3.59				
Net interest margin (2)	3.23	3.34	3.40	3.37	3.96				
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing									
liabilities	1.18	1.18	1.22	1.32	1.17				
Non-interest income to average total assets	0.55	0.47	0.55	0.58	0.78				
Non-interest expenses to average total assets	2.02	2.00	2.24	3.08	2.90				
Efficiency ratio (3)	60.20	58.94	62.31	66.87	64.46				
Asset Quality Ratios:									
Non-performing loans to total loans	0.20%	0.16%	0.17%	0.27%	0.41%				
Non-performing assets to total assets	0.14	0.11	0.10	0.14	0.22				
Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans	429.65	532.56	545.05	336.67	246.55				
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	0.86	0.86	0.91	0.92	1.02				
Capital Ratios:									
Leverage capital (4)	11.21%	11.98%	11.88%	18.81%	8.98%				
Total risk based capital (4)	15.79	18.45	19.80	31.44	13.32				
Average equity to average assets	17.77	17.68	18.34	19.73	9.92				
Other Data:									
Number of full-service offices	75	76	78	54	49				
Full time equivalent employees	877	892	926	717	656				

<sup>(1)</sup> Averages presented are daily averages.

<sup>(2)</sup> Investment securities are held to maturity.

<sup>(3)</sup> In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 142, the Company performed a goodwill impairment test on the goodwill associated with the purchase of Provident Mortgage Corporation. It was determined that the goodwill was impaired and a charge of \$519,000 was recorded as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle.

<sup>(2)</sup> Net interest income divided by average interest earning assets.

(3) Represents the ratio of non-interest expense divided by the sum of net interest income and non-interest income.

Efficiency Ratio Calculation:	_	12/31/2006	_	12/31/2005	 12/31/2004	12/31/2003	_	12/31/2002
Net interest income	\$	164,528 31,951	\$	181,455 29,221	\$ 162,358 29,151	\$ 129,873 23,834	\$	114,066 24,147
Total income	\$	196,479	\$	210,676	\$ 191,509	\$ 153,707	\$	138,213
Non-interest expense  Less: Provident Bank Foundation donation	\$	118,273	\$	124,178	\$ 119,334	\$ 126,779 (24,000)	\$	89,087
Adjusted non-interest expense	\$	118,273	\$	124,178	\$ 119,334	\$ 102,779	\$	89,087
Expense/income	_	60.20%	_	58.94%	 62.31%	66.87%	_	64.46%

<sup>(4)</sup> Leverage capital ratios are presented as a percentage of tangible assets. Risk-based capital ratios are presented as a percentage of risk-weighted assets.

# Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

#### General

On January 15, 2003, the Company became the holding company for the Bank, following the completion of the conversion of the Bank to a stock-chartered bank. The Company issued an aggregate of 59,618,300 shares of its common stock in a subscription offering to eligible depositors. Concurrent with the conversion, the Company contributed an additional 1,920,000 shares of its common stock and \$4.8 million in cash to The Provident Bank Foundation, a charitable foundation established by the Bank.

The Company conducts business through its subsidiary, the Bank, a community- and customer-oriented bank operating 75 full-service branches in ten counties throughout northern and central New Jersey.

On December 22, 2003, the Company entered into an agreement and plan of merger, under which First Sentinel Bancorp, Inc. ("First Sentinel") merged with and into the Company, and First Savings Bank, the wholly-owned subsidiary of First Sentinel, merged with and into the Bank. The Company completed the acquisition of First Sentinel and the merger of First Savings Bank with and into the Bank, as of July 14, 2004.

On October 15, 2006, the Company and First Morris Bank & Trust ("First Morris") signed a definitive agreement under which First Morris will merge with and into the Bank. Consideration will be paid to First Morris stockholders in a combination of stock and cash. The transaction is subject to First Morris' stockholder approval and regulatory approvals for both companies and is expected to close early in the second quarter of 2007.

# Strategy

The Bank, established in 1839, is the oldest bank in the state of New Jersey. The Bank offers a full range of retail and commercial loan and deposit products, and emphasizes personal service and convenience as part of its Customer Relationship Management strategy.

The Bank's strategy is to grow profitably through a commitment to credit quality and expanding market share by acquiring, retaining and expanding customer relationships, while carefully managing interest rate risk.

In recent years, the Bank has focused on commercial real estate, construction, multi-family and commercial loans as part of its strategy to diversify the loan portfolio and reduce interest rate risk. These types of loans generally have adjustable rates that initially are higher than residential mortgage loans and generally have a higher rate of risk. The Bank's credit policy focuses on quality underwriting standards and close monitoring of the loan portfolio. At year-end 2006, retail loans accounted for 58.7% of the loan portfolio and commercial loans accounted for 41.3%. The Company intends to continue to diversify the loan portfolio and to focus on commercial real estate and commercial and industrial lending relationships.

The Company's Customer Relationship Management strategy focuses on increasing core accounts and expanding relationships through its branch network, online banking and telephone banking touch points. The Company continues to evaluate opportunities to increase market share by expanding within existing and contiguous markets. Core deposits, consisting of all savings and demand deposit accounts, are generally a stable, relatively inexpensive source of funds. At December 31, 2006, core deposits were 59.2% of total deposits.

A significant amount of capital was raised in the conversion of the Bank to a stock-chartered bank in 2003. Management has developed a capital management strategy to effectively utilize excess capital and improve return on equity and earnings per share

growth. The Company's capital management strategy includes the following components: payment of cash dividends; stock repurchases; acquisitions; and use of wholesale leverage. The Company declared and paid its first cash dividend in the second quarter of 2003, and has since increased the quarterly cash dividend per share five times for a total of 125.0%. The Company's Board of Directors approved the most recent quarterly cash dividend of \$0.10 per common share paid on February 28, 2007.

In 2006, the Company repurchased 5.5 million shares of its common stock at an average cost of \$18.20 per share. At December 31, 2006, approximately 3.2 million shares remained eligible for repurchase under the current common stock repurchase authorization.

The Company's results of operations are primarily dependent upon net interest income, the difference between interest earned on interest-earning assets and the interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Changes in interest rates could have an adverse effect on net interest income, because as a general matter, the Company's interest-bearing liabilities reprice or mature more quickly than its interest-earning assets. An increase in interest rates generally would result in a decrease in the Company's average interest rate spread and net interest income, which could have a negative effect on profitability. The Company generates non-interest income such as income from retail and business account fees, loan servicing fees, loan origination fees, income from loan or securities sales, fees from trust services and investment product sales and other fees. The Company's operating expenses primarily consist of compensation and benefits expenses, marketing and advertising expense, occupancy and equipment expense and other general and administrative expenses. The Company's results of operations are also affected by general economic conditions, changes in market interest rates, actions of regulatory agencies and government policies.

# **Critical Accounting Policies**

The calculation of the allowance for loan losses is a critical accounting policy of the Company. The allowance for loan losses is a valuation account that reflects management's evaluation of the probable losses in the loan portfolio. The Company maintains the allowance for loan losses through provisions for loan losses that are charged to income. Charge-offs against the allowance for loan losses are taken on loans where management determines that the collection of loan principal is unlikely. Recoveries made on loans that have been charged-off are credited to the allowance for loan losses.

The Company's evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses includes a review of all loans on which the collectibility of principal may not be reasonably assured. For residential mortgage and consumer loans this is determined primarily by delinquency and collateral values. For commercial real estate and commercial loans, an extensive review of financial performance, payment history and collateral values is conducted on a quarterly basis.

As part of the evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, each quarter management prepares a worksheet. This worksheet categorizes the entire loan portfolio by certain risk characteristics such as loan type (residential mortgage, commercial mortgage, construction, commercial, etc.) and loan risk rating.

When assigning a risk rating to a loan, management utilizes a nine point internal risk rating system. Loans deemed to be "acceptable quality" are rated one through four, with a rating of one established for loans with minimal risk. Loans that are deemed to be of "questionable quality" are rated five (watch) or six (special mention). Loans with adverse classifications (substandard, doubtful or loss) are rated seven, eight or nine, respectively. Commercial mortgage, commercial and construction loans are rated individually and each lending officer is responsible for risk rating loans in his or her portfolio. These risk ratings are then reviewed by the department manager, the Chief Lending Officer and the Credit Administration Department. The risk ratings are then confirmed by the Loan Review Department and, for loans requiring Credit Committee approval, they are periodically reviewed by the Credit Committee in the credit renewal or approval process.

Management believes the primary risks inherent in the portfolio are possible increases in interest rates, a decline in the economy, generally, and a decline in real estate market values. Any one or a combination of these events may adversely affect borrowers' ability to repay the loans, resulting in increased delinquencies, loan losses and future levels of provisions. Accordingly, the Company has provided for loan losses at the current level to address the current risk in the loan portfolio. Management considers it important to maintain the ratio of the allowance for loan losses to total loans at an acceptable level given current economic conditions, interest rates and the composition of the portfolio.

Although management believes that the Company has established and maintained the allowance for loan losses at adequate levels, additions may be necessary if future economic and other conditions differ substantially from the current operating environment. In addition, various regulatory agencies periodically review the Company's allowance for loan losses as an integral part of their examination process. Such agencies may require the Company to recognize additions to the allowance or additional write-downs based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination. Although management uses the best information available, the level of the allowance for loan losses remains an estimate that is subject to significant judgment and short-term change.

Additional critical accounting policies relate to judgments about other asset impairments, including goodwill, investment securities and deferred tax assets. The Company engages an independent third party to perform an annual analysis to test the aggregate balance of goodwill for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets". For purposes of goodwill impairment evaluation, the Bank is identified as the reporting unit. The fair value of goodwill is determined in the same manner as goodwill recognized in a business combination and uses standard valuation methodologies including a review of comparable transactions and discounted cash flow analysis. If the carrying amount of goodwill pursuant to this analysis were to exceed the implied fair value of goodwill, an impairment loss would be recognized. No impairment loss was required to be recognized for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 or 2004.

The Company's available for sale securities portfolio is carried at estimated fair value, with any unrealized gains or losses, net of taxes, reported as accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in stockholders' equity. Estimated fair values are based on published or securities dealers' market prices. Securities which the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held to maturity and carried at amortized cost. The Company conducts a periodic review and evaluation of the securities portfolio to determine if any declines in the fair values of securities are other than temporary. If such a decline were deemed other than temporary, the Company would write down the security to fair value through a charge to current period operations. The market value of the securities portfolio is significantly affected by changes in interest rates. In general, as interest rates rise, the market value of fixed-rate securities decreases and as interest rates fall, the market value of fixed-rate securities increases. With significant changes in interest rates, the Company evaluates its intent and ability to hold securities to maturity or for a sufficient period of time to recover the recorded principal balance.

The determination of whether deferred tax assets will be realizable is predicated on estimates of future taxable income. Such estimates are subject to management's judgment. A valuation reserve is established when management is unable to conclude that it is more likely than not that it will realize deferred tax assets based on the nature and timing of these items. In 2006 and 2005, the valuation reserve pertaining to the charitable contributions carry-forward declined \$108,000 and \$838,000, respectively, as a result of the utilization of the related deferred tax asset. In 2004, the Company reduced the valuation reserve pertaining to the charitable contribution carry-forward as a result of projected improvement in the Company's ability to generate sufficient future taxable income to realize the deferred tax asset.

# **Analysis of Net Interest Income**

Net interest income represents the difference between income on interest-earning assets and expense on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest income depends on the relative amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the rates of interest earned on such assets and paid on such liabilities.

Average Balance Sheet. The following table sets forth certain information for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004. For the periods indicated, the total dollar amount of interest income from average interest-earning assets and the resultant yields, as well as the interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, is expressed both in dollars and rates. No tax equivalent adjustments were made. Average balances are daily averages.

				For the Ye	ar Ended Decem	ber 31,			
		2006			2005			2004	
	Average Outstanding Balance	Interest Earned/Paid	Average Yield/ Rate	Average Outstanding Balance	Interest Earned/Paid	Average Yield/ Rate	Average Outstanding Balance	Interest Earned/Paid	Average Yield/ Rate
				(Dol	lars in thousands	)			
Interest-earning assets:									
Federal funds sold and	¢ 7.655	\$ 404	5.27%	\$ 58,156	\$ 1,801	3.10%	\$ 87,635	\$ 1,001	1.14%
short-term investments Investment securities (1)	\$ 7,655 405,701	16,828	4.15	428,461	17,185	4.01	484,583	19,183	3.96
Securities available for	403,701	10,828	4.13	420,401	17,183	4.01	464,363	19,183	3.90
	925,010	39,758	4.30	1,249,419	48,607	3.89	1,253,570	45,968	3.67
sale Federal Home Loan	923,010	39,736	4.30	1,249,419	40,007	3.69	1,233,370	45,906	3.07
Bank Stock	36,015	2,118	5.88	44,813	2,091	4.67	41,261	707	1.71
Net loans (2)	,	223,031	6.00	3,658,930	206,778	5.65	2,906,982	162,684	5.60
Total interest-earning	3,714,366	223,031	0.00		200,778	5.05	2,900,982	102,004	3.00
assets	5,088,769	282,139	5.54	5,439,779	276,462	5.08	4,774,031	229,543	4.81
Non-interest earning	3,000,707	202,137	5.54	3,437,117	270,402	<u>5.00</u>	4,774,051		4.01
assets	754.789			781,133			541,829		
Total assets	\$ 5,843,558			\$ 6,220,912			\$ 5,315,860		
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>			<u> </u>		
Interest-bearing									
liabilities:	e 1 212 007	10.100	1.200/	e 1 474 052	15.657	1.060/	e 1 254 750	11.011	0.000/
Savings deposits		18,198	1.38%	\$ 1,474,053	15,657	1.06%	\$ 1,254,758	11,011	0.88%
Demand deposits		8,020	1.38	618,280	6,223	1.01	541,120	4,274	0.79
Time deposits	1,527,721 875,011	57,973 33,420	3.79 3.82	1,399,258	37,894	2.71 3.19	1,156,388	24,221	2.09 2.89
Borrowed funds Total interest-bearing	6/3,011	33,420	3.82	1,105,948	35,233	3.19	956,922	27,679	2.89
liabilities	4,296,095	117,611	2.74	4,597,539	95,007	2.07	3,909,188	67,185	1.72
Non-interest bearing	4,290,093	117,011	2.74	4,391,339	93,007	2.07	3,909,100	07,165	1./2
liabilities	508.840			523,531			431,709		
Total liabilities	4,804,935			5,121,070			4,340,897		
Stockholders' equity	, ,			1,099,842			974,963		
Total liabilities and	1,050,025			1,077,042			777,705		
equity	\$ 5.843.558			\$ 6,220,912			\$ 5,315,860		
1 ,	<u> </u>			<u> </u>			<u> </u>		
Net interest income		<u>\$ 164,528</u>			<u>\$ 181,455</u>			<u>\$ 162,358</u>	
Net interest rate spread			<u>2.80</u> %			<u>3.01</u> %			<u>3.09</u> %
Net interest earning									
assets	<u>\$ 792,674</u>			\$ 842,240			<u>\$ 864,843</u>		
Net interest margin (3)			<u>3.23</u> %			<u>3.34</u> %			<u>3.40</u> %
Ratio of interest-earning assets to total interest-									
bearing liabilities	1.18x			1.18x			1.22x		

<sup>(1)</sup> Average outstanding balance amounts are at amortized cost.

<sup>(2)</sup> Average outstanding balances are net of the allowance for loan losses, deferred loan fees and expenses, and loan premiums and discounts and include non-accrual loans.

<sup>(3)</sup> Net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

Rate/Volume Analysis. The following table presents the extent to which changes in interest rates and changes in the volume of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities have affected interest income and interest expense during the periods indicated. Information is provided in each category with respect to: (i) changes attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate); (ii) changes attributable to changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume); and (iii) the net change. The changes attributable to the combined impact of volume and rate have been allocated proportionately to the changes due to volume and the changes due to rate.

Voor Ended December 31

	Year Ended De							Jecember 31,						
	2006 vs. 2005							200	5 vs. 2004					
		Increase/( Du	(Dec e to	rease)	Iı	Total ncrease/	Increase/ Du		(Decr	ease)	I	Total ncrease/		
	,	Volume		Rate	(D	ecrease)		Volume		Rate	(L	Decrease)		
						(In tho	usan	ds)						
Interest-earning assets:														
Federal funds sold and short-term investments	\$	(2,171)	\$	774	\$	(1,397)	\$	(431)	\$	1,231	\$	800		
Investment securities		(939)		582		(357)		(2,239)		241		(1,998)		
Securities available for sale		(13,581)		4,732		(8,849)		(151)		2,790		2,639		
Federal Home Loan Bank Stock		(456)		483		27		66		1,318		1,384		
Loans		3,226		13,027		16,253		42,261		1,833		44,094		
Total interest-earning assets		(13,921)		19,598	_	5,677		39,506	_	7,413		46,919		
Interest-bearing liabilities:														
Savings deposits		(1,823)		4,364		2,541		2,141		2,505		4,646		
Demand deposits		(407)		2,204		1,797		547		1,402		1,949		
Time deposits		3,760		16,319		20,079		5,668		8,005		13,673		
Borrowed funds		(8,093)		6,280		(1,813)		4,533		3,021		7,554		
Total interest-bearing liabilities		(6,563)		29,167		22,604		12,889		14,933		27,822		
Net interest income	\$	(7,358)	\$	(9,569)	\$	(16,927)	\$	26,617	\$	(7,520)	\$	19,097		

# Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005

Total assets were \$5.74 billion at December 31, 2006, compared to \$6.05 billion at December 31, 2005, with the decrease due primarily to reductions in cash and securities balances that were used to fund loan originations, repayments of borrowings, common stock repurchases and net deposit outflows.

Total loans at December 31, 2006 were \$3.78 billion, compared to \$3.74 billion at December 31, 2005. The increase in loans was driven by loan originations of \$1.18 billion and loan purchases of \$57.2 million. Residential mortgage loans decreased \$149.9 million to \$1.62 billion at December 31, 2006, compared to \$1.77 billion at December 31, 2005. Residential mortgage loan originations totaled \$95.8 million and one- to four-family loans purchased totaled \$57.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Principal repayments on residential mortgage loans totaled \$284.5 million, and loans sold totaled \$17.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Commercial real estate loans increased \$106.7 million to \$701.5 million at December 31, 2006, compared to \$594.8 million at December 31, 2005. Commercial real estate loan originations totaled \$186.0 million and repayments on commercial real estate loans totaled \$79.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Multi-family loans decreased \$7.8 million to \$69.4 million at December 31, 2006, compared to \$77.1 million at December 31, 2005. Construction loans decreased \$6.6 million to \$282.9 million at December 31, 2006, compared to \$289.5 million at December 31, 2005. Commercial loans increased \$67.5 million to \$503.8 million at December 31, 2006, compared to \$436.3 million at December 31, 2005. Consumer loans increased \$36.3 million to \$592.9 million at December 31, 2006, compared to \$556.6 million at December 31, 2005. Retail loans, which consist of one- to four-family residential mortgages and consumer loans, such as fixed-rate home equity loans and lines of credit, totaled \$2.22 billion and accounted for 58.7% of the loan portfolio at December 31, 2006, compared to \$2.33 billion, or 62.5%, of the portfolio at December 31, 2005. The decrease in retail loans as a percentage of the total loan portfolio was largely the result of residential loan prepayments and sales and to organic growth in the commercial mortgage and commercial loan portfolios. The Company continues to rebalance the loan portfolio over time, consistent with its strategy towards a more commercial mix. Commercial loans, consisting of commercial real estate, multi-family, construction and commercial loans, totaled \$1.56 billion, accounting for 41.3% of the loan portfolio at December 31, 2006, compared to \$1.40 billion, or 37.5%, at December 31, 2005.

The allowance for loan losses increased \$454,000 at December 31, 2006, as a result of provisions for loan losses of \$1.3 million, partially offset by net charge-offs of \$866,000 during 2006. Non-performing loans totaled \$7.5 million at December 31, 2006, compared to \$6.0 million at December 31, 2005. Non-performing loans as a percentage of total loans were 0.20% at December 31, 2006 and 0.16% at December 31, 2005. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans was 0.86% at December 31, 2006 and 2005.

Intangible assets decreased \$6.1 million to \$429.7 million at December 31, 2006, from \$435.8 million at December 31, 2005, due primarily to the amortization of the core deposit intangible relating to the First Sentinel acquisition. At December 31, 2006, the goodwill and the core deposit intangible related to the First Sentinel acquisition totaled \$389.9 million and \$17.8 million, respectively.

The core deposit intangible is being amortized on an accelerated basis over 8.8 years. The Company performs periodic impairment testing of intangible assets. There was no impairment recognized in 2006 or 2005.

Total investments decreased \$321.8 million, or 20.9%, during the year ended December 31, 2006. Proceeds from investment sales, maturities and scheduled cash flows were used to fund loan growth, repay borrowings, repurchase common stock and fund net deposit outflows.

Total deposits decreased \$95.0 million to \$3.83 billion at December 31, 2006, from \$3.92 billion at December 31, 2005. At December 31, 2006, core deposits represented 59.2% of total deposits, compared with 63.1% at December 31, 2005. Core deposits decreased \$206.5 million to \$2.27 billion at December 31, 2006, from \$2.47 billion at December 31, 2005, as depositors shifted funds to higher-yielding investments. Certificates of deposit increased \$111.5 million to \$1.56 billion at December 31, 2006, from \$1.45 billion at December 31, 2005, with much of that growth occurring in the under one-year maturity categories, as depositors opted for shorter-maturity instruments in a rising interest rate environment.

Borrowed funds decreased \$129.1 million to \$841.0 million at December 31, 2006, from \$970.1 million at December 31, 2005. The decrease was a result of repayments made during the year as part of the Company's strategy to reduce wholesale funding in a flat or inverted yield curve environment. In addition, subordinated debentures that had an outstanding balance of \$26.4 million at December 31, 2005 were redeemed in December 2006.

Total stockholders' equity decreased \$57.1 million to \$1.02 billion at December 31, 2006, from \$1.08 billion at December 31, 2005. This decrease was a result of common stock repurchases of \$99.6 million and cash dividends of \$24.3 million, partially offset by comprehensive income of \$55.5 million and the allocation of shares to stock-based compensation plans of \$11.3 million.

# Comparison of Operating Results for the Years Ended December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005

General. Net income for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$53.7 million, compared to net income of \$58.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. Return on average assets for the year ended December 31, 2006 was 0.92%, compared to 0.94% for 2005. Return on average equity was 5.17% for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to 5.32% for 2005. Basic and diluted earnings per share were \$0.88 and \$0.87, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to basic and diluted earnings per share of \$0.89 and \$0.88, respectively, for 2005. The earnings and per share data for the year ended December 31, 2006 were impacted by a one-time executive severance payment previously reported by the Company, which resulted in an after-tax charge of \$473,000, or \$0.01 per share. The earnings and per share data for the year ended December 31, 2006 were further impacted by a loss on the early extinguishment of debt, which resulted in an after-tax charge of \$403,000, or \$0.01 per share. The earnings and per share data for the year ended December 31, 2005 were impacted by the acceptance of a Voluntary Resignation Initiative ("VRI") by certain officers of the Company, which resulted in an after-tax charge of \$815,000, or \$0.01 per share.

*Net Interest Income.* Net interest income decreased \$16.9 million, or 9.3%, to \$164.5 million for 2006, from \$181.5 million for 2005. The average interest rate spread decreased 21 basis points to 2.80% for 2006, from 3.01% for 2005. The net interest margin decreased 11 basis points to 3.23% for 2006, compared to 3.34% for 2005.

Interest income increased \$5.7 million, or 2.1%, to \$282.1 million for 2006, compared to \$276.5 million for 2005. The increase in interest income was attributable to an increase in the yield on average earning assets. Average interest-earning assets decreased \$351.0 million, or 6.5%, to \$5.09 billion for 2006, compared to \$5.44 billion for 2005. Average outstanding loan balances increased \$55.5 million, or 1.5%, to \$3.71 billion for 2006 from \$3.66 billion for 2005. The average balance of investment securities decreased \$22.8 million, or 5.3%, to \$405.7 million for 2006, compared to \$428.5 million for 2005. The average balance of securities available for sale decreased \$324.4 million, or 26.0%, to \$925.0 million for 2006, compared to \$1.25 billion for 2005. Average federal funds sold and short-term investment balances decreased \$50.5 million, or 86.8%, to \$7.7 million for 2006, from \$58.2 million for 2005. The yield on interest-earning assets increased 46 basis points to 5.54% for 2006, from 5.08% for 2005.

Interest expense increased \$22.6 million, or 23.8%, to \$117.6 million for 2006, from \$95.0 million for 2005. The increase in interest expense was attributable to the increase in the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities for 2006 compared with 2005. The average balance of interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$301.4 million, or 6.6%, to \$4.30 billion for 2006, compared to \$4.60 billion for 2005. Rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities increased 67 basis points to 2.74% for 2006, from 2.07% for 2005. Average interest-bearing deposits decreased \$70.5 million, or 2.0%, to \$3.42 billion for 2006, from \$3.49 billion for 2005. The average rate paid on interest-bearing deposits increased 75 basis points to 2.46% for 2006, from 1.71% for 2005. Average interest-bearing core deposits decreased \$199.0 million, or 9.5%, for 2006, compared with 2005, while average time deposits increased \$128.5 million, or 9.2%, for 2006, compared with 2005. Average outstanding borrowings, including subordinated debentures, decreased \$230.9 million, or 20.9%, to \$875.0 million for 2006, compared with \$1.11 billion for 2005. The average rate paid on borrowings increased to 3.82% for 2006, from 3.19% for 2005.

Provision for Loan Losses. Provisions for loan losses are charged to operations in order to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level management considers necessary to absorb probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. In determining the level of the allowance for loan losses, management considers past and current loss experience, evaluations of real estate collateral, current economic conditions, volume and type of lending, adverse situations that may affect a borrower's ability to repay the loan and the levels of non-performing and other classified loans. The amount of the allowance is based on estimates and the ultimate losses may vary from such estimates as more information becomes available or later events change. Management assesses the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses on a quarterly basis and makes provisions for loan losses in order to maintain the adequacy of the allowance. The Company's emphasis on continued diversification of the loan portfolio through the origination of construction loans, commercial mortgage loans and commercial loans has been one of the more significant factors management has considered in evaluating the allowance for loan losses and provision for loan losses. In the event the Company further increases the amount of such types of loans in the portfolio, it may be determined that additional or increased provisions for loan losses are necessary, which could adversely affect earnings.

The provision for loan losses was \$1.3 million in 2006, compared to \$600,000 in 2005. The increase in the provision for loan losses was primarily attributable to loan growth and a shift in the composition of the loan portfolio to a higher percentage of commercial loans compared with 2005. Net charge-offs for 2006 were \$866,000, compared to \$2.4 million for 2005. Total charge-offs for the year ended December 31, 2006 were \$2.8 million, compared to \$4.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. Recoveries for the year ended December 31, 2006 were \$2.0 million, compared to \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2005.

The allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2006 was \$32.4 million, or 0.86% of total loans, compared to \$32.0 million, or 0.86% of total loans at December 31, 2005.

At December 31, 2006, non-performing loans as a percentage of total loans were 0.20%, compared to 0.16% at December 31, 2005. Non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets were 0.14% at December 31, 2006, compared to 0.11% at December 31, 2005. At December 31, 2006, non-performing loans were \$7.5 million, compared to \$6.0 at December 31, 2005, and non-performing assets were \$8.1 million at December 31, 2006, compared to \$6.7 million at December 31, 2005.

Non-Interest Income. For the year ended December 31, 2006, non-interest income totaled \$32.0 million, an increase of \$2.7 million, or 9.3%, compared to 2005. Other income increased \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared with 2005, primarily due to gains recognized on the call of FHLB advances. In addition, gains on securities sales increased \$862,000 and fee income increased \$337,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared with 2005. The increase in fee income was primarily due to increases in deposit fees.

Non-Interest Expense. For the year ended December 31, 2006, non-interest expense decreased \$5.9 million, or 4.8%, to \$118.3 million, compared to \$124.2 million for the same period in 2005. Compensation and employee benefits expense decreased \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared with 2005, as a result of reductions in medical benefit costs due to changes in plan design, benefits and participant contributions, as well as reductions in staff and the \$1.4 million expense recorded in the second quarter of 2005 in connection with the VRI, partially offset by \$800,000 in executive severance recorded in the third quarter of 2006. The Company employed 877 full-time equivalent employees at December 31, 2006, compared to 926 full-time equivalent employees at January 1, 2005. Net occupancy expense decreased \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared with 2005, primarily as a result of reductions in equipment maintenance costs and depreciation expense. Amortization of intangibles decreased \$1.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared with 2005, as a result of scheduled reductions in the amortization of core deposit intangibles. Other non-interest expense decreased \$829,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared with 2005, despite a \$682,000 loss on the early extinguishment of subordinated debentures, due to reductions in a variety of expense categories including ATM and debit card maintenance costs, insurance, litigation and telephone expense. Advertising and promotions expense decreased \$458,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared with 2005. Data processing expense decreased \$439,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared with 2005. Data processing in the fourth quarter of 2005.

The Company's non-interest expense as a percentage of average assets was 2.02% for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared with 2.00% for 2005. The efficiency ratio (non-interest expense divided by the sum of net interest income and non-interest income) was 60.20% for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared with 58.94% for 2005. The Company's expense and efficiency ratios have been adversely impacted by the reductions in assets and revenue resulting from the Company's de-leveraging of the balance sheet to reduce interest rate risk, given the current unfavorable interest rate environment.

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense decreased \$4.2 million, to \$23.2 million, on income before taxes of \$76.9 million resulting in an effective tax rate of 30.2% in 2006, compared to income tax expense of \$27.4 million on income before taxes of \$85.9 million in 2005, resulting in an effective tax rate of 31.9%. The reduction in the Company's effective tax rate was a result of a larger proportion of the Company's income being derived from tax-exempt interest and Bank-owned life insurance appreciation, as well as state tax benefits recorded on subsidiary company net operating losses.

# Comparison of Operating Results for the Years Ended December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004

General. Net income for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$58.5 million, compared to net income of \$49.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. Return on average assets for the year ended December 31, 2005 was 0.94%, compared to 0.93% for 2004. Return on average equity was 5.32% for the year ended December 31, 2005, compared to 5.06% for 2004. Basic and diluted earnings per share were \$0.89 and \$0.88 respectively for the year ended December 31, 2005, compared to basic and diluted earnings per share of \$0.80 for 2004. Earnings and per share data reflect the inclusion of the operations of First Sentinel which merged with the Company on July 14, 2004, and the related issuance of 18.5 million shares of the Company's common stock in connection with the merger from the July 14, 2004 merger date. Earnings for the year ended December 31, 2005 also reflect the acceptance of a Voluntary Resignation Initiative ("VRI") by certain officers of the Company in the second quarter of 2005, which resulted in an after-tax charge of \$815,000. One-time expenses totaling \$1.2 million, net of tax, related to the merger and integration of First Sentinel's operations were recognized in 2004.

*Net Interest Income.* Net interest income increased \$19.1 million, or 11.8%, to \$181.5 million for 2005, from \$162.4 million for 2004. The average interest rate spread decreased 8 basis points to 3.01% for 2005, from 3.09% for 2004. The net interest margin decreased six basis points to 3.34% for 2005, compared to 3.40% for 2004.

Interest income increased \$46.9 million, or 20.4%, to \$276.5 million for 2005, compared to \$229.5 million for 2004. The increase in interest income was primarily attributable to increased earning asset volume as a result of the First Sentinel acquisition and increases in the yield on average earning assets. Average interest-earning assets increased \$665.7 million, or 13.9%, to \$5.44 billion for 2005, compared to \$4.77 billion for 2004. Average outstanding loan balances increased \$751.9 million, or 25.9%, to \$3.66 billion for 2005 from \$2.91 billion for 2004. The average balance of investment securities decreased \$56.1 million, or 11.6%, to \$428.5 million for 2005, compared to \$484.6 million for 2004. The average balance of securities available for sale decreased \$4.2 million, or 0.3%, to \$1.25 billion for 2005, compared to \$1.25 billion for 2004. Average federal funds sold and short-term investment balances decreased \$29.5 million, or 33.6%, to \$58.2 million for 2005, from \$87.6 million for 2004. The yield on interest-earning assets increased 27 basis points to 5.08% for 2005, from 4.81% for 2004.

Interest expense increased \$27.8 million, or 41.4%, to \$95.0 million for 2005, from \$67.2 million for 2004. The increase in interest expense was attributable to increased interest-bearing liability volume as a result of the First Sentinel acquisition and to the increase in the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities for 2005 compared with 2004. The average balance of interest-bearing liabilities increased \$688.4 million, or 17.6%, to \$4.60 billion for 2005, compared to \$3.91 billion for 2004. Rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities increased 35 basis points to 2.07% for 2005, from 1.72% for 2004. Average interest-bearing deposits increased \$539.3 million, or 18.3%, to \$3.49 billion for 2005, from \$2.95 billion for 2004. The average rate paid on interest-bearing deposits increased 37 basis points to 1.71% for 2005, from 1.34% for 2004. Average interest-bearing core deposits increased \$296.5 million, or 16.5%, for 2005, compared with 2004, while average time deposits increased \$242.9 million, or 21.0%, for 2005, compared with 2004. Average outstanding borrowings, including subordinated debentures, increased \$149.0 million, or 15.6%, to \$1.11 billion for 2005, compared with \$956.9 million for 2004. The average rate paid on borrowings increased to 3.19% for 2005, from 2.89% for 2004.

*Provision for Loan Losses.* The provision for loan losses was \$600,000 in 2005, compared to \$3.6 million in 2004. The decrease in the provision for loan losses was attributable to lower loan growth and an improvement in asset quality compared with 2004. Net charge-offs for 2005 were \$2.4 million, compared to \$3.4 million for 2004. Total charge-offs for the year ended December 31, 2005 were \$4.0 million, compared to \$6.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. Recoveries for the year ended December 31, 2005 were \$1.6 million, compared to \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2004.

The allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2005 was \$32.0 million, or 0.86% of total loans, compared to \$33.8 million, or 0.91% of total loans at December 31, 2004.

At December 31, 2005, non-performing loans as a percentage of total loans were 0.16%, compared to 0.17% at December 31, 2004. Non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets were 0.11% at December 31, 2005, compared to 0.10% at December 31, 2004. At December 31, 2005, non-performing loans were \$6.0 million, compared to \$6.2 at December 31, 2004, and non-performing assets were \$6.7 million at December 31, 2005, compared to \$6.3 million at December 31, 2004.

Non-Interest Income. For the year ended December 31, 2005, total non-interest income totaled \$29.2 million, an increase of \$70,000 or 0.2% compared to 2004. Fee income from deposit accounts increased \$2.1 million, or 10.1%, to \$23.0 million for 2005, from \$20.9 million for 2004. This increase was primarily attributable to deposit fees, loan prepayment fees, ATM and debit card fees and fees related to the outsourcing of the official check function. Income on BOLI increased \$666,000 or 14.9% in 2005 compared to 2004, primarily as a result of the additional BOLI acquired from the First Sentinel merger. The increases in fee income and BOLI were largely offset by a reduction in securities gains of \$1.0 million and a decline in other income of \$1.7 million. Other income for the year ended December 31, 2005, included losses on loan sales of \$152,000 compared with gains of \$1.5 million recorded in 2004.

Non-Interest Expense. For the year ended December 31, 2005, non-interest expense increased \$4.8 million, or 4.1%, to \$124.2 million, compared to \$119.3 million for 2004. Compensation and employee benefits expense increased \$3.7 million, or 6.1%, to \$64.8 million for 2005, from \$61.1 million for 2004. The increase in compensation and benefits expense for 2005 was primarily attributable to the increase in staff following the First Sentinel acquisition and the expense recognized in the second quarter of 2005 in connection with the VRI. The increase in salaries, incentives and related payroll taxes of \$2.0 million, include the \$1.4 million in expense recognized in the second quarter of 2005 in connection with the acceptance of the VRI by certain officers of the Bank. Pension and other post retirement benefit expense increased \$1.6 million and employee insurance increased \$846,000 in 2005, compared with 2004. For the year ended December 31, 2005, stock-based compensation expense decreased \$336,000 to \$11.4 million compared to \$11.7 million for the same period in 2004.

Net occupancy expense increased \$2.4 million, or 14.4% for 2005, compared with 2004, primarily as a result of the additional 22 branch locations added through the First Sentinel acquisition, including the former headquarters building which serves as the Provident Loan Center as well as two de novo branches opened in 2004.

Advertising and promotions expense decreased \$1.7 million, or 28.3% for the year ended 2005, compared with the same period in 2004, as a result of customer communications associated with the integration of First Sentinel in 2004.

Data processing expense increased \$530,000, or 6.4% for 2005, compared with 2004, primarily due to the acquisition and integration of First Sentinel's operations.

Amortization of intangibles increased \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, compared with the same period in 2004, primarily as a result of the amortization of the core deposit intangible recorded in connection with the First Sentinel acquisition.

Other operating expenses decreased \$2.0 million, or 9.4% for 2005, compared with 2004. This decrease was primarily due to significant reductions in consultant and audit related expense, insurance costs and ATM processing expense.

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense increased \$8.1 million, to \$27.4 million, on income before taxes of \$85.9 million resulting in an effective tax rate of 31.9% in 2005, compared to income tax expense of \$19.3 million on income before taxes of \$68.6 million in 2004 resulting in an effective tax rate of 28.1%. In 2004, the Company reduced a valuation reserve pertaining to charitable contribution carry-forwards created in connection with the formation of The Provident Bank Foundation in early 2003. The reduction in valuation reserve resulted in a decrease in 2004 income tax expense of \$1.9 million.

# **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Liquidity refers to the Company's ability to generate adequate amounts of cash to meet financial obligations to its depositors, to fund loans and securities purchases, deposit outflows and operating expenses. Sources of funds include scheduled amortization of loans, loan prepayments, scheduled maturities of investments, cash flows from mortgage-backed securities and the ability to borrow funds from the FHLB of New York and approved broker dealers. The Bank has a \$100.0 million overnight line of credit and a \$100.0 million one-month overnight repricing line of credit with the FHLB of New York. As of December 31, 2006, there were \$58.0 million outstanding borrowings against these lines of credit.

Cash flows from loan payments and maturing investment securities are a fairly predictable source of funds. Changes in interest rates, local economic conditions and the competitive marketplace can influence loan prepayments, prepayments on mortgage-backed securities and deposit flows. For the year ended December 31, 2006, loan repayments totaled \$1.17 billion compared to \$1.24 billion for the year ended December 31, 2005.

One- to four-family residential loans, consumer loans, commercial real estate loans, multi-family loans and commercial and small business loans are the primary investments of the Company. Purchasing securities for the investment portfolio is a secondary use of funds and the investment portfolio is structured to complement and facilitate the Company's lending activities and ensure adequate liquidity. Loan originations and purchases totaled \$1.24 billion for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to \$1.31 billion for the year ended December 31, 2005. Purchases for the investment portfolio totaled \$87.9 million for the year-ended December 31, 2006, compared to \$124.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2005.

At December 31, 2006, the Bank had outstanding loan commitments to borrowers of \$772.6 million. Undisbursed home equity lines and personal credit lines were \$159.1 million at December 31, 2006. Total deposits decreased \$95.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Deposit activity is affected by changes in interest rates, competitive pricing and product offerings in the marketplace, local economic conditions and other factors such as stock market volatility. Certificate of deposit accounts that are scheduled to mature within one year totaled \$1.32 billion at December 31, 2006. Based on its current pricing strategy and customer retention experience, the Bank expects to retain a significant share of these accounts. The Bank manages liquidity on a daily basis and expects to have sufficient funds to meet all of its funding requirements.

As of December 31, 2006, the Bank exceeded all regulatory capital requirements. At December 31, 2006, the Bank's leverage (Tier 1) capital ratio was 8.46%. FDIC regulations require banks to maintain a minimum leverage ratio of Tier 1 capital to adjusted total assets of 4.00%. At December 31, 2006, the Bank's total risk-based capital ratio was 12.13%. Under current regulations, the minimum required ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets is 8.00%. A bank is considered to be well-capitalized if it has a leverage (Tier 1) capital ratio of at least 5.00% and a risk-based capital ratio of at least 10.00%. As of December 31, 2006, the Bank exceeded the well-capitalized capital requirements.

# **Off-Balance Sheet and Contractual Obligations**

Off-balance sheet and contractual obligations as of December 31, 2006, are summarized below:

		Paymer	its Due by Period		
		Less than			More than
	Total	1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	5 years
Off-Balance Sheet:		-	-	-	
Long-term commitments	\$ 772,641	\$ 772,641	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Letters of credit	30,946	30,946	_	_	_
Total Off-Balance Sheet	803,587	803,587	_	_	_
Contractual Obligations:					
Operating leases	13,504	2,966	4,618	3,380	2,540
Certificate of deposits	1,559,502	1,323,626	147,987	66,915	20,974
Total Contractual Obligations	1,573,006	1,326,592	152,605	70,295	23,514
Total	\$2,376,593	\$2,130,179	\$152,605	\$70,295	\$23,514

Off-balance sheet commitments consist of unused commitments to borrowers for term loans, unused lines of credit and outstanding letters of credit. Total off-balance sheet obligations were \$803.6 million at December 31, 2006, an increase of \$100.2 million, or 14.2%, from \$703.4 million at December 31, 2005.

Contractual obligations consist of operating leases and certificate of deposit liabilities. There were no securities purchases that were entered into in December 2006 or 2005 that would have settled in January 2007 or 2006, respectively. Total contractual obligations at December 31, 2006 were \$1.57 billion, an increase of \$111.7 million, or 7.6%, compared to \$1.46 billion at December 31, 2005. Contractual obligations under operating leases increased \$180,000, or 1.4%, to \$13.5 million at December 31, 2006,

compared to \$13.3 million at December 31, 2005, and certificate of deposit accounts increased \$111.5 million, or 7.7%, to \$1.56 billion at December 31, 2006, from \$1.45 billion at December 31, 2005.

# Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Qualitative Analysis. Interest rate risk is the exposure of a bank's current and future earnings and capital arising from adverse movements in interest rates. The Company's most significant risk exposure is interest rate risk. The guidelines of the Company's interest rate risk policy seek to limit the exposure to changes in interest rates that affect the underlying economic value of assets and liabilities, earnings and capital. To minimize interest rate risk, the Company generally sells all 20- and 30-year fixed-rate mortgage loans at origination. Commercial real estate loans generally have interest rates that reset in five years, and other commercial loans such as construction loans and commercial lines of credit reset with changes in the prime rate, the federal funds rate or LIBOR. Investment securities purchases generally have maturities of five years or less, and mortgage-backed securities have weighted average lives between three and five years.

The management Asset/Liability Committee meets on a monthly basis to review the impact of interest rate changes on net interest income, net interest margin, net income and economic value of equity. Members of the Asset/Liability Committee include the Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer, Vice Chairman, and Chief Financial Officer, as well as other senior officers from the Bank's finance, lending and customer management departments. The Asset/Liability Committee reviews a variety of strategies that project changes in asset or liability mix and the impact of those changes on projected net interest income and net income.

The Company's strategy for liabilities has been to maintain a stable core-funding base by focusing on core deposit account acquisition and increasing products and services per household. Certificate of deposit accounts as a percentage of total deposits were 40.8% at December 31, 2006 compared to 36.9% at December 31, 2005. Certificate of deposit accounts are generally short-term. As of December 31, 2006, 84.9% of all time deposits had maturities of one year or less compared to 74.3% at December 31, 2005. The Company's ability to retain maturing certificate of deposit accounts is the result of a strategy to remain competitively priced within the marketplace, typically within the upper quartile of rates offered by competitors. The Company's pricing strategy may vary depending upon funding needs and the Company's ability to fund operations through alternative sources, primarily by accessing short-term lines of credit with the FHLB during periods of pricing dislocation.

Quantitative Analysis. Current and future sensitivity to changes in interest rates are measured through the use of balance sheet and income simulation models. The analyses capture changes in net interest income using flat rates as a base, a most likely rate forecast and rising and declining interest rate forecasts. Changes in net interest income and net income for the forecast period, generally twelve to twenty-four months, are measured and compared to policy limits for acceptable change. The Company periodically reviews historical deposit re-pricing activity and makes modifications to certain assumptions used in its income simulation model regarding the interest rate sensitivity of deposits without maturity dates. These modifications are made to more closely reflect the most likely results under the various interest rate change scenarios. Since it is inherently difficult to predict the sensitivity of interest bearing deposits to changes in interest rates, the changes in net interest income due to changes in interest rates cannot be precisely predicted. There are a variety of reasons that may cause actual results to vary considerably from the predictions presented below which include, but are not limited to, the timing, magnitude, and frequency of changes in interest rates, interest rate spreads, prepayments, and actions taken in response to such changes. Specific assumptions used in the simulation model include:

- Parallel yield curve shifts for market rates;
- Current asset and liability spreads to market interest rates are fixed;
- Traditional savings and interest bearing demand accounts move at 10% of the rate ramp in either direction;
- Money Market accounts move at 25% of the rate ramp in either direction; and
- Higher-balance demand deposit tiers and promotional demand accounts move at 50% of the rate ramp in either direction.

The following table sets forth the results of the twelve month projected net interest income model as of December 31, 2006.

Change in					
Interest Rates in Basis Points	A	amount (\$)	C	hange (\$)	Change (%)
(Rate Ramp)			(Dollar	s in thousands)	
-200	\$	158,683	\$	1,250	0.8%
-100		158,509		1,076	0.7
Static		157,433		_	_
+100		155,841		(1,592)	(1.0)
+200		154,082		(3,351)	(2.1)

The above table indicates that as of December 31, 2006, in the event of a 200 basis point increase in interest rates, whereby rates ramp up evenly over a twelve-month period, the Company would experience a 2.1%, or \$3.4 million decrease in net interest income. In the event of a 200 basis point decrease in interest rates, whereby rates ramp down 200 basis points evenly over a twelve-month period, the Company would experience a 0.8%, or \$1.3 million increase in net interest income.

Another measure of interest rate sensitivity is to model changes in economic value of equity through the use of immediate and sustained interest rate shocks. The following table illustrates the economic value of equity model results as of December 31, 2006.

	Pro	esent Value of E	quity	Percent of Pres	ent Value of
Change in Interest Rates	Dollar Amount	Dollar Change	Percent Change	Present Value Ratio	Percent Change
(Basis Points)	(E	ollars in thousa			
-200	\$ 1,284,749	\$ 74,100	6.1%	21.3%	4.0%
-100	1,260,133	49,484	4.1	21.0	2.8
Flat	1,210,649	_	_	20.4	_
+100	1,144,454	(66,195)	(5.5)	19.6	(4.1)
+200	1,077,876	(132,773)	(11.0)	18.8	(8.3)

The above table indicates that as of December 31, 2006, in the event of an immediate and sustained 200 basis point increase in interest rates, the Company would experience an 11.0%, or \$132.8 million reduction in the present value of equity. If rates were to decrease 200 basis points, the Company would experience a 6.1%, or \$74.1 million increase in the present value of equity.

Certain shortcomings are inherent in the methodologies used in the above interest rate risk measurements. Modeling changes in net interest income requires the making of certain assumptions regarding prepayment and deposit decay rates, which may or may not reflect the manner in which actual yields and costs respond to changes in market interest rates. While management believes such assumptions are reasonable, there can be no assurance that assumed prepayment rates and decay rates will approximate actual future loan prepayment and deposit withdrawal activity. Moreover, the net interest income table presented assumes that the composition of interest sensitive assets and liabilities existing at the beginning of a period remains constant over the period being measured and also assumes that a particular change in interest rates is reflected uniformly across the yield curve regardless of the duration to maturity or repricing of specific assets and liabilities. Accordingly, although the net interest income table provides an indication of the Company's interest rate risk exposure at a particular point in time, such measurement is not intended to and does not provide a precise forecast of the effect of changes in market interest rates on net interest income and will differ from actual results.

# Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The following are included in this item:

- (A) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- (B) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
- (C) Consolidated Financial Statements:
  - (1) Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of December 31, 2006 and 2005
  - (2) Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004
  - (3) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004
  - (4) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004
  - (5) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
- (D) Provident Financial Services, Inc., Condensed Financial Statements:
  - (1) Condensed Statement of Financial Condition as of December 31, 2006 and 2005
  - (2) Condensed Statement of Income for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004
  - (3) Condensed Statement of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

The supplementary data required by this Item (selected quarterly financial data) is provided in Note 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

# Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders Provident Financial Services, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of Provident Financial Services, Inc. and subsidiary (the "Company") as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Provident Financial Services, Inc. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As disclosed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, "Share-Based Payments" on January 1, 2006.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Provident Financial Services, Inc. and subsidiary's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 28, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on management's assessment of, and the effective operation of internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP Short Hills, New Jersey February 28, 2007

# Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Board of Directors and Stockholders Provident Financial Services, Inc.:

We have audited management's assessment, included on page 87 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 9A., Controls and Procedures – Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that Provident Financial Services, Inc. and subsidiary (the "Company") maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Management of the Company is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that Provident Financial Services, Inc. and subsidiary maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Also, in our opinion, Provident Financial Services, Inc. and subsidiary maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated statements of financial condition of Provident Financial Services, Inc. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006, and our report dated February 28, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP Short Hills, New Jersey February 28, 2007

Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition
December 31, 2006 and 2005
(Dollars in Thousands, except share data)

<u>ASSETS</u>	December 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
Cash and due from banks Short-term investments	89,390 2,667	\$ 107,353 9,915
Total cash and cash equivalents	92,057	117,268
Investment securities (market value of \$386,380 and \$407,972 at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively) Securities available for sale, at fair value Federal Home Loan Bank Stock	389,656 790,894 35,335	410,914 1,082,957 43,794
Loans Less allowance for loan losses Net loans	3,783,664 32,434 3,751,230	3,739,122 31,980 3,707,142
Foreclosed assets, net Banking premises and equipment, net Accrued interest receivable Intangible assets Bank-owned life insurance Other assets	528 59,811 21,705 429,718 116,271 55,759	670 60,949 23,155 435,838 111,075 58,612
Total assets \$	5,742,964	\$ 6,052,374
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Deposits:  Demand deposits \$ Savings deposits  Certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more  Other time deposits	1,261,282 393,834 1,165,668	\$ 1,109,507 1,363,997 304,229 1,143,725
Total deposits  Mortgage escrow deposits Borrowed funds Subordinated debentures Other liabilities	3,826,463 17,616 840,990 — 38,739	3,921,458 18,121 970,108 26,444 39,948
Total liabilities	4,723,808	4,976,079
Stockholders' Equity:  Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized, none issued Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 79,879,017 shares issued and 63,233,548 shares outstanding at December 31, 2006 and	_	_
68,661,800 shares outstanding at December 31, 2005, respectively Additional paid-in capital Retained earnings	799 937,616 424,958	799 964,555 395,589
Accumulated other comprehensive loss Treasury stock Unallocated common stock held by the Employee Stock Ownership Plan	(7,150) (266,587) (70,480)	(8,906) (167,113) (73,316)
Common stock acquired by the Stock Award Plan Common stock acquired by the Directors' Deferred Fee Plan Deferred compensation – Directors' Deferred Fee Plan	(13,010) 13,010	(35,313) (13,224) 13,224
Total stockholders' equity	1,019,156	1,076,295
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity \$	\$ 5,742,964	\$ 6,052,374

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Income Years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 (Dollars in Thousands, except share data)

			Years	ended December	31.			
		2006		2005	,	2004		
Interest income:								
Real estate secured loans	\$	160,192	\$	154,332	\$	121,291		
Commercial loans		27,840		21,923		18,309		
Consumer loans		34,999		30,523		23,084		
Investment securities		16,828		17,185		19,183		
Securities available for sale		41,876		50,698		46,675		
Other short-term investments		161		513		480		
Federal funds		243		1,288		521		
Total interest income	_	282,139		276,462		229,543		
Interest expense:								
Deposits		84,191		59,774		39,506		
Borrowed funds		31,884		33,759		27,107		
Subordinated debentures		1,536		1,474		572		
Total interest expense		117,611		95,007		67,185		
Net interest income	_	164,528		181,455		162,358		
Provision for loan losses		1,320		600		3,600		
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		163,208		180,855		158,758		
Non-interest income:		_		·		_		
Fees		23,305		22,968		20,859		
Bank-owned life insurance Net gain on securities transactions		5,196 1,170		5,143 308		4,477 1,310		
Other income		2,280		802		2,505		
Total non-interest income		31,951		29,221		29,151		
Non-interest expense:	_	51,701				27,101		
Compensation and employee benefits		63,295		64,800		61,098		
Net occupancy expense		18,054		19,456		17,008		
Data processing expense		8,325		8,764		8,234		
Amortization of intangibles		5,888		7,160		5,266		
Advertising and promotion expense		3,819		4,277		5,969		
Other operating expenses	_	18,892		19,721		21,759		
Total non-interest expenses	_	118,273		124,178		119,334		
Income before income tax expense	\$	76,886	\$	85,898	\$	68,575		
Income tax expense	_	23,201		27,399		19,274		
Net income	\$	53,685	\$	58,499	\$	49,301		
Basic earnings per share	\$	0.88	\$	0.89	\$	0.80		
Average basic shares outstanding		60,968,533		66,083,173		61,576,544		
Diluted earnings per share	\$	0.87	\$	0.88	\$	0.80		
Average diluted shares outstanding		61,703,906		66,836,536		61,932,173		

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 (Dollars in Thousands)

	_	COMMON STOCK	ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL	RETAINED EARNINGS	ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	TREASURY STOCK	F	LOCATED ESOP HARES	COMMON STOCK AWARDS UNDER SAP	COMMON STOCK ACQUIRED BY DDFP	DEFERRED COMPENSATION DDFP	TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
Balance at December 31, 2003	\$	615 \$	606,541	\$ 324,250	\$ 6,416	\$ -	\$	(78,816) \$	(41,887) \$	- \$	- 9	817,119
Comprehensive income:												
Net income		-	-	49,301	-	-		-	-	-	-	49,301
Other comprehensive income:												
Unrealized holding loss on												
securities arising during the												
period (net of tax of (\$1,198))		-	-	-	(1,874)	-		-	-	-	-	(1,874)
Reclassification adjustment for												
gains included in net income												
(net of tax of \$535)		-	-	-	(775)	-		-	-	-	-	(775)
Total comprehensive income											\$	46,652
Cash dividends paid		-	_	(14,873)	-	_		_	-	_	-	(14,873)
Common stock issued in				, , ,								, , ,
connection with the First												
Sentinel acquisition, net		184	350,357	-	-	-		_	-	-	-	350,541
DDFP acquired from												
First Sentinel		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	(13,379)	13,379	-
Purchases of treasury stock		-	-	-	-	(70,909)		_	-	-	-	(70,909)
Option exercises		-	-	-	-	99		-	-	-	-	99
Allocation of ESOP shares		-	311	-	-	-		2,715	-	-	-	3,026
Purchase of SAP shares		-	-	-	-	-		-	(3,565)	-	-	(3,565)
Allocation of SAP shares		-	94	-	-	-		-	5,103	-	-	5,197
Allocation of stock options	_	<u>-</u>	3,489					<u> </u>	<u> </u>			3,489
Balance at December 31, 2004	\$	799 \$	960,792	\$ 358,678	\$ 3,767	\$ (70,810)	\$	(76,101) \$	(40,349) \$	(13,379) \$	13,379	1,136,776
Comprehensive income:												
Net income		_	-	58,499	-	-		-	-	-	-	58,499
Other comprehensive income:												
Unrealized holding loss on												
securities arising during the												
period (net of tax of (\$8,472))		-	-	-	(12,491)	-		_	-	-	-	(12,491)
• ` ` ` ' '/					` ' '	56						,

Reclassification adjustment for										
gains included in net income										
(net of tax of \$126)	-	-	-	(182)	-	-	-	-		(182)
Total comprehensive income									\$	45,826
Cash dividends paid	-	-	(21,588)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(21,588)
Distributions from DDFP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	155	(155)	-
Purchases of treasury stock	-	-	-	-	(96,303)	-	-	-	-	(96,303)
Tax benefit on stock compensation	_	100	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	100
Allocation of ESOP shares	_	104	_	_	_	2,785	_	_	_	2,889
Allocation of SAP shares	_	59	_	_	_	2,703	5,036	_	_	5,095
Allocation of stock options	_	3,500	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	3,500
_				<u> </u>						
Balance at December 31, 2005	5 799 \$	964,555 \$	395,589 \$	(8,906) \$	(167,113) \$	(73,316) \$	(35,313) \$	(13,224) \$	13,224 \$	1,076,295
Comprehensive income:										
Net income	-	-	53,685	-	-	-	-	-	-	53,685
Other comprehensive income:										
Unrealized holding gain on										
securities arising during the										
period (net of tax of \$1,729)	-	-	-	2,633	-	-	-	-	-	2,633
Reclassification adjustment for										
gains included in net income										
(net of tax of \$389)	-	-	-	(781)	-	-	-	-		(781)
Total comprehensive income									\$	55,537
Adoption of SFAS No. 158										
(net of tax of \$66)	-	-	-	(96)	-	-	-	-	-	(96)
Cash dividends paid	-	-	(24,316)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(24,316)
Distributions from DDFP	-	43	-	-	-	-	-	214	(214)	43
Purchases of treasury stock	-	-	-	-	(99,583)	-	-	-	-	(99,583)
Option exercises	-	3	-	-	109	-	-	-	-	112
Allocation of ESOP shares	-	188	-	-	-	2,836	-	-	-	3,024
Allocation of SAP shares	-	4,810	-	-	-	-	-	=	-	4,810
Adoption of SFAS No. 123R	-	(35,313)	-	-	-	-	35,313	-	-	-
Allocation of stock options		3,330	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	3,330
Balance at December 31, 2006	5 799 \$	937,616 \$	424,958 \$	(7,150) \$	(266,587) \$	(70,480) \$	- \$	(13,010) \$	13,010 \$	1,019,156
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.2.,,,,,,,,,	(1,120) Ψ	(=00,007)	(το, ιου)	Ψ	(15,010)	15,010	1,017,100

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

PROVIDENT FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004
(Dollars in Thousands)
Years Ended December 31,

(= 0			r 31,	Ι,		
		2006		2005		2004
Cash flows from operating activities: Net income	\$	52 695	¢.	59 400	¢	49,301
Adjustments to reconcile net income to	Þ	53,685	\$	58,499	\$	49,301
net cash provided by operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization of intangibles		13,214		15,053		12,255
Provision for loan losses		1,320		600		3,600
Deferred tax expense (benefit)		215		4,378		(5,269)
Increase in cash surrender value of				.,		(=,===)
Bank-owned Life Insurance		(5,196)		(5,143)		(4,477)
Net amortization of premiums and discounts		(-,,		(-, -,		(, )
on securities		2,688		6,978		6,692
Accretion of net deferred loan fees		(1,904)		(2,316)		(1,551)
Amortization of premiums on purchased loans		3,762		4,838		3,885
Net increase in loans originated for sale		(17,687)		(21,592)		_
Proceeds from sales of loans originated for sale		17,805		21,440		_
Proceeds from sales of foreclosed assets		1,091		972		74
Allocation of ESOP shares		2,842		2,781		3,026
Allocation of SAP shares		4,810		5,095		5,197
Allocation of stock options		3,330		3,500		3,489
Net (gain) loss on sale of loans		(118)		152		(1,470)
Net gain on securities available for sale		(1,170)		(308)		(1,310)
Net gain on sale of premises and equipment		(46)		(88)		_
Net gain on sale of foreclosed assets		_		(35)		_
Decrease in accrued interest receivable		1,450		710		2,233
Decrease in other assets		6,164		5,638		23,137
Increase in other liabilities		1,209		2,441		2,910
Net cash provided by operating activities		87,464		103,593		101,722
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Proceeds from sale of loans		_		14,575		88,165
Proceeds from maturities, calls and						
paydowns of investment securities		44,042		73,891		82,630
Purchases of investment securities		(23,485)		(40,946)		(11,498)
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale		47,121		34,582		316,633
Proceeds from maturities and paydowns						
of securities available for sale		313,076		346,327		451,456
Purchases of securities available for sale		(65,759)		(83,693)		(289,783)
Cash consideration paid to acquire First Sentinel, net of cash and cash equivalents received						(149.205)
Purchases of loans		(57,170)		(127.412)		(148,395)
Net decrease (increase) in loans		9,821		(137,412) 86,937		(322,011) (33,849)
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment		57		1,201		(33,649)
Purchases of premises and equipment, net		(6,199)		(5,350)		(8,650)
Net cash provided by investing activities	-	261,504		290,112		124,698
Net eash provided by investing activities		201,304		270,112		124,070
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Net decrease in deposits		(94,995)		(129,015)		(4,691)
(Decrease) increase in mortgage escrow deposits		(505)		2,732		(7,890)
Purchase of SAP shares, net		_		_		(3,565)
Purchase of treasury stock		(99,583)		(96,303)		(70,909)
Cash dividends paid to stockholders		(24,316)		(21,588)		(14,873)
Stock options exercised		112				99
Proceeds from long-term borrowings		224,500		108,700		1,696,000
Payments on long-term borrowings		(378,985)		(343,719)		(1,837,404)
Net increase in short-term borrowings		25,367		39,062		4,655
Redemption of subordinated debentures  Net cash used in financing activities	_	(25,774) (374,179)		(440,131)		(238,578)
·						
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(25,211)		(46,426)		(12,158)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		117,268		163,694		175,852
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	92,057	\$	117,268	\$_	163,694
Cash paid during the period for:						
Interest on deposits and borrowings	\$	116,872	\$	95,186	\$	64,794
Income taxes	\$	23,639	\$	17,504	\$	30,816
	_	*		•		•

# Non cash investing activities:

Transfer of loans receivable to other real estate owned	\$ 949	\$_	1,467	\$ 173
Fair value of assets acquired	\$ _	\$	_	\$ 2,152,075
Goodwill and core deposit intangible	\$ 	\$	_	\$ 423,217
Liabilities assumed	\$ _	\$	_	\$ 1,972,888
Common stock issued for First Sentinel acquisition	\$ 	\$	_	\$ 350,541

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

# (1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

## **Principles of Consolidation**

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Provident Financial Services, Inc. (the "Company"), The Provident Bank (the "Bank") and their wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

#### **Business**

The Company, through the Bank, provides a full range of banking services to individual and corporate customers through branch offices in New Jersey. The Bank is subject to competition from other financial institutions and to the regulations of certain federal and state agencies, and undergoes periodic examinations by those regulatory authorities.

# Basis of Financial Statement Presentation

The consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the dates of the consolidated statements of financial condition and revenues and expenses for the periods then ended. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

A material estimate that is particularly susceptible to change in the near term relates to the determination of the allowance for loan losses. In connection with the determination of the allowance for loan losses, management generally obtains independent appraisals for significant properties.

# Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash and due from banks, federal funds sold and commercial paper with maturity dates less than 90 days.

#### Securities

Securities include investment securities and securities available for sale. Securities that an entity has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as "investment securities" and reported at amortized cost. Securities to be held for indefinite periods of time and not intended to be held to maturity are classified as "securities available for sale" and are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported as a separate component of equity, net of deferred taxes. Fair values are based on published or securities dealers' market prices. Gains or losses on the sale of securities are based upon the specific identification method. All securities are adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts using the level-yield method over the estimated lives of the securities.

#### Federal Home Loan Bank of New York Stock

The Bank, as a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York ("FHLB"), is required to hold shares of capital stock of the FHLB at cost based on a specified formula. The Bank carries this investment at cost, which approximates market value.

# Loans

Mortgages on real estate and other loans are stated at the face amount of the loans. Unearned income on purchased residential mortgage loans is recognized in income based on the level yield method. Generally, accrued interest on loans that are contractually 90 days or more past due or when collection of interest appears doubtful is reversed and charged against interest income unless such loans are well-securitized and in the process of collection. Income is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash payments are received and the principal balance is expected to be recovered. Such loans are restored to an accrual status only if the loan is brought contractually current and the borrower has demonstrated the ability to make future payments of principal and interest.

An impaired loan is defined as a loan for which it is probable, based on current information, that the lender will not collect amounts due under the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are individually assessed to determine that each loan's carrying value is not in excess of the fair value of the related collateral or the present value of the expected future cash flows. Residential mortgage and consumer loans are deemed smaller balance homogeneous loans which are evaluated collectively for impairment and are therefore excluded from the population of impaired loans.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

# Loan Origination and Commitment Fees and Related Costs

Loan fees and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred and the net fee or cost is recognized in interest income using the level-yield method over the estimated lives of the specifically identified loans adjusted for prepayments.

#### Allowance for Loan Losses

Losses on loans are charged to the allowance for loan losses. Additions to this allowance are made by recoveries of loans previously charged off and by a provision charged to expense. The determination of the balance of the allowance for loan losses is based on an analysis of the loan portfolio, economic conditions, historical loan loss experience and other factors that warrant recognition in providing for an adequate allowance.

While management uses available information to recognize losses on loans and real estate, future additions to the allowance for loan losses may be necessary based on changes in economic conditions in the Bank's market area. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Bank's allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the Bank to recognize additions to the allowance or additional write-downs based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination.

#### Foreclosed Assets

Assets acquired through foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure are carried at fair value, less estimated costs to sell. Fair market value is generally based on recent appraisals. When an asset is acquired, the excess of the loan balance over fair value, less estimated costs to sell, is charged to the allowance for loan losses. A reserve for foreclosed assets may be established to provide for possible write-downs and selling costs that occur subsequent to foreclosure. Foreclosed assets are carried net of the related reserve. Operating results from real estate owned, including rental income, operating expenses, and gains and losses realized from the sales of real estate owned, are recorded as incurred.

# **Banking Premises and Equipment**

Land is carried at cost. Banking premises, furniture, fixtures and equipment are carried at cost, less accumulated depreciation, computed using the straight-line method based on their estimated useful lives (generally 25 to 40 years for buildings and 3 to 5 years for furniture and equipment). Leasehold improvements, carried at cost, net of accumulated depreciation, are amortized over the terms of the leases or the estimated useful lives of the assets, whichever are shorter, using the straight-line method. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred.

# Income Taxes

The Company uses the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

# Trust Department

Trust assets consisting of securities and other property (other than cash on deposit held by the Bank in fiduciary or agency capacities for customers of the Trust Department) are not included in the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition because such properties are not assets of the Bank.

# Intangible Assets

Intangible assets of the Bank consist of goodwill, core deposit premiums, and mortgage servicing rights. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of identifiable net assets acquired through purchase acquisitions. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 142, goodwill with an indefinite useful life is not amortized, but is evaluated for impairment on an annual basis.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Core deposit premiums represent the intangible value of depositor relationships assumed in purchase acquisitions and are amortized on an accelerated basis over 8.8 years. Mortgage servicing rights are recorded when purchased or when originated mortgage loans are sold, with servicing rights retained. Mortgage servicing rights are amortized on an accelerated method based upon the estimated lives of the related loans, adjusted for prepayments. Mortgage servicing rights are carried at fair value. The amortization of the core deposit premiums and mortgage servicing rights is recorded in other operating expenses.

# Bank-owned Life Insurance

Bank-owned life insurance is accounted for using the cash surrender value method and is recorded at its realizable value. The change in the net asset value is included in other assets and other non-interest income.

# Employee Benefit Plans

The Bank maintains a pension plan which covers full-time employees hired prior to April 1, 2003. The Bank's policy is to fund at least the minimum contribution required by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. On April 1, 2003, the pension plan was frozen. In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans – an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)." SFAS No. 158 requires an employer to: (a) recognize in its statement of financial position the over-funded or under-funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan measured as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the benefit obligation; (b) measure a plan's assets and its obligations that determine its funded status as of the end of the employer's fiscal year (with limited exceptions); and (c) recognize as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax, the actuarial gains and losses and the prior service costs and credits that arise during the period. The Company adopted SFAS No. 158 effective December 31, 2006. Upon adoption of SFAS No. 158, the impact related to the pension plan was an increase in other comprehensive income of \$598,000, net of tax. The requirement to measure plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of the employer's fiscal year-end statement of financial position is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008.

The Bank has a 401(k) plan covering substantially all employees of the Bank. The Bank may match a percentage of the first 6% contributed by participants. The Bank's matching contribution, if any, is determined by the Board of Directors in its sole discretion.

The Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP") is accounted for in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Position 93-6, "Employer Accounting for Employee Stock Ownership Plans." The funds borrowed by the ESOP from the Company to purchase the Company's common stock are being repaid from the Bank's contributions and dividends paid on unallocated ESOP shares over a period of up to 30 years. The Company's common stock not allocated to participants is recorded as a reduction of stockholders' equity at cost. Compensation expense for the ESOP is based on the average price of the Company's stock during each quarter.

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company's stock option plan and stock award plan ("SAP") were accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", and related Interpretations. Accordingly, compensation expense has been recognized for the stock option plan and SAP. The expense related to stock options is based on the fair value of the options at the date of the grant and is recognized ratably over the vesting period of the options. The expense related to the SAP is based on the fair value of the common stock at the date of the grant and is recognized ratably over the vesting period of the awards. Unvested and unallocated SAP shares were recorded as a separate component of stockholders' equity at cost.

In December 2004, SFAS No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment," was issued and became effective on January 1, 2006. SFAS No. 123R requires companies to recognize in the statement of earnings the grant-date fair value of stock options issued to employees. As a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company reclassified the unvested and unallocated SAP shares to additional paid in capital. Additionally, the Company has analyzed the expected forfeitures of stock options as compared to actual forfeitures, which were previously recorded as a reduction of expense in the quarter of forfeiture in accordance with SFAS No. 123, and has deemed the impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R to be immaterial.

In connection with the First Sentinel acquisition, the Company assumed the First Savings Bank Directors' Deferred Fee Plan (the "DDFP"). The DDFP was frozen prior to the acquisition. The Company recorded a deferred compensation equity instrument and corresponding contra-equity account for the value of the shares held by the DDFP at the July 14, 2004 acquisition date. These accounts will be liquidated as shares are distributed from the DDFP in accordance with the plan document. At December 31, 2006, there were 744,214 shares held by the DDFP.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

#### Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions

The Bank provides postretirement health care and life insurance plans to its employees. The life insurance coverage is noncontributory to the participant. Participants contribute to the cost of medical coverage based on the employee's length of service with the Bank. The costs of such benefits are accrued based on actuarial assumptions from the date of hire to the date the employee is fully eligible to receive the benefits. On December 31, 2002, the Bank eliminated postretirement healthcare benefits for employees with less than 10 years of service. In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans – an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)." SFAS No. 158 requires an employer to: (a) recognize in its statement of financial position the over-funded or under-funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan measured as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the benefit obligation; (b) measure a plan's assets and its obligations that determine its funded status as of the end of the employer's fiscal year (with limited exceptions); and (c) recognize as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax, the actuarial gains and losses and the prior service costs and credits that arise during the period. The Company adopted SFAS No. 158 effective December 31, 2006. Upon adoption of SFAS No. 158, the impact to postretirement healthcare and life insurance plans was a decrease in other comprehensive income of \$640,000, net of tax. The requirement to measure plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of the employer's fiscal year-end statement of financial position is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008.

#### Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is divided into net income and other comprehensive income. Other comprehensive income includes items previously recorded directly to equity, such as unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale. Comprehensive income is presented in the Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity.

#### Segment Reporting

The Company's operations are solely in the financial services industry and include providing to its customers traditional banking and other financial services. The Company operates primarily in the geographical regions of Northern and Central New Jersey. Management makes operating decisions and assesses performance based on an ongoing review of the Bank's consolidated financial results. Therefore, the Company has a single operating segment for financial reporting purposes.

#### Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock (such as stock options) were exercised or resulted in the issuance of common stock. These potentially dilutive shares would then be included in the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period using the treasury stock method. Shares issued and shares reacquired during the period are weighted for the portion of the period that they were outstanding.

#### Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2005 and 2004 consolidated financial statements to conform to the 2006 presentation.

#### Impact of Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company's stock option plan and stock award plan ("SAP") were accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", and related Interpretations. Accordingly, compensation expense has been recognized for the stock option plan and SAP. The expense related to stock options is based on the fair value of the options at the date of the grant and is recognized ratably over the vesting period of the options. The expense related to the SAP is based on the fair value of the common stock at the date of the grant and is recognized ratably over the vesting period of the awards. Unvested and unallocated SAP shares were recorded as a separate component of stockholders' equity at cost.

In December 2004, SFAS No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment," was issued. SFAS No. 123R requires companies to recognize in the statement of earnings the grant-date fair value of stock options issued to employees. The statement was effective January 1, 2006. As a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company reclassified the unvested and unallocated SAP shares to additional paid in capital. Additionally, the Company has analyzed the expected forfeitures of stock options as compared to actual forfeitures, which were previously recorded as a reduction of expense in the quarter of forfeiture in accordance with SFAS No. 123, and has deemed the impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R to be immaterial.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, "Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets." SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities," established, among other things, the accounting for all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. SFAS No. 156 amends SFAS No. 140 to require that all recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. SFAS No. 156 permits, but does not require, the subsequent measurement of separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities at fair value. An entity that uses derivative instruments to mitigate the risks inherent in servicing assets and servicing liabilities is required to account for those derivative instruments at fair value. Under SFAS No. 156, an entity can elect subsequent fair value measurement to account for its separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. By electing that option, an entity may simplify its accounting because SFAS No. 156 permits income statement recognition of the potential offsetting changes in fair value of those servicing assets and servicing liabilities and derivative instruments in the same accounting period. SFAS No. 156 is effective in the first fiscal year beginning after September 15, 2006 with earlier adoption permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 156 to have a material impact on its financial condition, results of operations or financial statement disclosures.

FASB Interpretation 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" ("FIN 48") was released in July 2006. FIN 48 establishes a recognition threshold and measurement for income tax positions recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." FIN 48 also prescribes a two-step evaluation process for tax positions. The first step is recognition and the second is measurement. For recognition, an enterprise judgmentally determines whether it is more-likely-thannot that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. If the tax position meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, it is measured and recognized in the financial statements as the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized. If a tax position does not meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, the benefit of that position is not recognized in the financial statements.

Tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold at the effective date of FIN 48 may be recognized or, may continue to be recognized, upon adoption of FIN 48. The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of FIN 48 shall be reported as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings for that fiscal year. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Accordingly, the Company plans to adopt FIN 48 on January 1, 2007. The Company does not expect the adoption of FIN 48 to have a material impact on its financial condition, results of operations or financial statement disclosures.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements." SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, and enhances disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies when other accounting pronouncements require fair value measurements; it does not require new fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those years. Earlier application is encouraged, provided the entity has not yet issued financial statements, including financial statements for any interim period for that fiscal year. The Company does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 157 to have a material impact on its financial condition, results of operations or financial statement disclosures.

Also in September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans – an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)." SFAS No. 158 requires an employer to: (a) recognize in its statement of financial position the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan measured as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the benefit obligation; (b) measure a plan's assets and its obligations that determine its funded status as of the end of the employer's fiscal year (with limited exceptions); and (c) recognize as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax, the actuarial gains and losses and the prior service costs and credits that arise during the period. SFAS No. 158 is effective for public entities for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006, and for nonpublic entities for fiscal years ending after June 15, 2007. The requirement to measure plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of the employer's fiscal year-end statement of financial position is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS No. 158 at December 31, 2006 resulted in a \$96,000 charge against other comprehensive income, net of taxes.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") released SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 108, "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements," in September 2006. SAB No. 108 requires registrants to consider the effect of all carry-over and reversing effects of prior year misstatements when quantifying errors in current-year financial statements. The SAB does not change the SEC staff's previous guidance on evaluating the materiality of errors. SAB No. 108 allows registrants to record the effect of adopting the guidance as a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings. This adjustment must be reported as of the beginning of the first fiscal year ending after November 15, 2006. The Company's adoption of SAB No. 108 at December 31, 2006 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or financial statement disclosures.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

#### (2) Stockholders' Equity and Acquisitions

#### Stockholders' Equity

On January 15, 2003, the Bank completed its plan of conversion, and the Bank became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The Company sold 59.6 million shares of common stock (par value \$0.01 per share) at \$10.00 per share. The Company received net proceeds in the amount of \$567.2 million.

In connection with the Bank's commitment to its community, the plan of conversion provided for the establishment of a charitable foundation. Provident donated \$4.8 million in cash and 1.92 million of authorized but unissued shares of common stock to the foundation, which amounted to \$24.0 million in aggregate. The Company recognized an expense, net of income tax benefit, equal to the cash and fair value of the stock during 2003.

Conversion costs were deferred and deducted from the proceeds of the shares sold in the offering.

Upon completion of the plan of conversion, a "liquidation account" was established in an amount equal to the total equity of the Bank as of the latest practicable date prior to the conversion. The liquidation account was established to provide a limited priority claim to the assets of the Bank to "eligible account holders" and "supplemental eligible account holders" as defined in the Plan, who continue to maintain deposits in the Bank after the conversion. In the unlikely event of a complete liquidation of the Bank, and only in such event, each eligible account holder and supplemental eligible account holder would receive a liquidation distribution, prior to any payment to the holder of the Bank's common stock. This distribution would be based upon each eligible account holder's and supplemental eligible account holder's proportionate share of the then total remaining qualifying deposits. At December 31, 2006, the liquidation account, which is an off-balance sheet memorandum account, amounted to \$56,112,000.

#### Acquisition

The Company completed the acquisition of First Sentinel and the merger of its wholly-owned subsidiary, First Savings Bank, with and into the Bank, on July 14, 2004. Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, 60% of First Sentinel's common stock was converted into Provident common stock at an exchange rate of 1.092 Provident shares per each First Sentinel share and 40% was converted into \$22.25 in cash for each First Sentinel share. The aggregate consideration paid in the merger consisted of \$251.9 million in cash and 18,540,662 shares of the Company's common stock, which had a value of \$19.09 per share based on the Company's average closing price from December 21, 2003 to December 26, 2003. Shares of the Company's common stock amounting to 199,945 shares issued in exchange for shares of First Sentinel common stock owned by the Company at the time of the merger were retired upon issuance. The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase and the excess cost over the fair value of net assets acquired ("goodwill") in the transaction was \$390.2 million. The Company also recorded a core deposit intangible of \$33.0 million in connection with the acquisition, which is being amortized on an accelerated basis over 8.8 years.

#### Pending Acquisition

On October 15, 2006, the Company and First Morris Bank & Trust ("First Morris") signed a definitive agreement under which First Morris will merge into the Bank. Consideration will be paid to First Morris stockholders in a combination of stock and cash. The transaction is subject to First Morris' stockholder approval and regulatory approvals for both companies and is expected to close early in the second quarter of 2007.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

#### (3) Cash and Due from Banks

Included in cash on hand and due from banks at December 31, 2006 and 2005 is \$4,114,000 and \$6,141,000, respectively, representing reserves required by banking regulations.

#### (4) Investment Securities Held to Maturity

Investment securities held to maturity at December 31, 2006 and 2005 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

		200	6		
	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Market value	
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 153,628		(2,574)	151,054	
State and municipal obligations	236,028	1,811	(2,513)	235,326	
	\$ 389,656	1,811	(5,087)	386,380	
	2005				
	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Market value	
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 188,506	66	(2,282)	186,290	
State and municipal obligations	221,634	2,411	(3,137)	220,908	
Equity securities	 774			774	
	\$ 410,914	2,477	(5,419)	407,972	

The Bank generally purchases securities for long-term investment purposes, and differences between carrying and market values may fluctuate during the investment period. Securities having a carrying value of \$2,426,000 and \$0 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, are pledged to secure other borrowings and securities sold under repurchase agreements.

The amortized cost and market value of investment securities at December 31, 2006 by contractual maturity, are shown below (in thousands). Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities due to prepayment or early call privileges of the issuer.

 Amortized cost	Market value	
\$ 6,797	6,823	
42,178	42,208	
118,069	118,009	
68,984	68,286	
153,628	151,054	
\$ 389,656	386,380	
\$	\$ 6,797 42,178 118,069 68,984 153,628	

The following table represents the Company's disclosure on investment securities that are accounted for under FAS 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities," with temporary impairment (in thousands):

			De	cember 31, 2006 Unr	ealized Losses		
	_	Less than 1	12 months	12 months o	r longer	Total	
	_		Gross unrealized		Gross unrealized		Gross unrealized
	_	Fair value	losses	Fair value	losses	Fair value	losses
Mortgage-backed securities	\$	17,069	(124)	133,985	(2,450)	151,054	(2,574)
State and municipal obligations		49,237	(407)	75,602	(2,106)	124,839	(2,513)
	\$	66,306	(531)	209,587	(4,556)	275,893	(5,087)
	_			cember 31, 2005 Unr			
	_	Less than 1	12 months	12 months o	r longer	Total	
			Gross unrealized		Gross unrealized		Gross unrealized
		Fair value	losses	Fair value	losses	Fair value	losses
Mortgage-backed securities	\$	133,065	(1,904)	30,594	(378)	163,659	(2,282)
State and municipal obligations	_	77,163	(1,331)	41,144	(1,806)	118,307	(3,137)
	\$	210,228	(3,235)	71,738	(2,184)	281,966	(5,419)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Securities with unrealized loss positions listed in this disclosure do not represent impairments that are other than temporary. The temporary loss position is the result of changes in interest rates relative to the coupon of the individual security. In the opinion of management, the Bank expects to recover carrying values as management has the ability and intent to hold these investment securities until their maturity.

#### (5) Securities Available for Sale

State and municipal obligations

Corporate obligations

Equity securities

Securities available for sale at December 31, 2006 and 2005 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

10,630

61,292

32.627

1,098,059

	_	2006				
	_	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Market value	
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$	10,998	_	(27)	10,971	
Agency obligations		49,779	12	(109)	49,682	
Mortgage-backed securities		693,274	762	(12,233)	681,803	
State and municipal obligations		10,917	9	(63)	10,863	
Corporate obligations		11,999	1	(1)	11,999	
Equity securities		25,837	864	(1,125)	25,576	
	\$	802,804	1,648	(13,558)	790,894	
		Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Market value	
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$	80,958		(580)	80,378	
FNMA obligations		9,923	_	(79)	9,844	
Mortgage-backed securities		902,629	684	(16,125)	887,188	

Securities available for sale having a carrying value of \$481,895,000 and \$497,403,000 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, are pledged to secure other borrowings and securities sold under repurchase agreements.

25

141

2.099

2,949

(45)

(65)

(1,157)

(18,051)

10,610

61,368

33,569

1,082,957

The amortized cost and market value of securities available for sale at December 31, 2006, by contractual maturity, are shown below (in thousands). Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities due to prepayment or early call privileges of the issuer.

 2006		
Amortized	Market	
 cost	value	
\$ 32,133	32,058	
46,337	46,255	
5,223	5,202	
693,274	681,803	
 25,837	25,576	
\$ 802,804	790,894	
_	Amortized cost  \$ 32,133 46,337 5,223 693,274 25,837	

Proceeds from the sale of securities available for sale during 2006 were \$47,121,000, resulting in gross gains and gross losses of \$2,795,000 and \$1,625,000, respectively. Proceeds from the sale of securities available for sale during 2005 were \$34,582,000, resulting in gross gains and gross losses of \$578,000 and \$270,000, respectively. During 2004, proceeds from the sale of securities available for sale were \$316,633,000, resulting in gross gains and gross losses of \$2,637,000 and \$1,327,000, respectively.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

The following table represents the Company's disclosure on securities available for sale with temporary impairment (in thousands):

		December 31, 2006 Unrealized Losses						
	-	Less than 1	2 months	12 months o	or longer	Total		
	-	Gross unrealized					Gross unrealized	
		Fair value	losses	Fair value	losses	Fair value	losses	
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$	1,001	(1)	9,970	(26)	10,971	(27)	
Agency obligations		29,872	(62)	9,928	(47)	39,800	(109)	
Mortgage-backed securities		10,228	(26)	578,072	(12,207)	588,300	(12,233)	
State and municipal								
obligations		5,776	(18)	3,341	(45)	9,117	(63)	
Corporate obligations		1,999	(1)	_	`	1,999	(1)	
Equity securities		195	(6)	9,037	(1,119)	9,232	(1,125)	
	\$	49,071	(114)	610,348	(13,444)	659,419	(13,558)	

	December 31, 2005 Unrealized Losses						
	Less than 12 months		12 months o	r longer	Total		
		Gross unrealized		Gross unrealized		Gross unrealized	
	Fair value	losses	Fair value	losses	Fair value	losses	
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$ 5,987	(10)	74,391	(570)	80,378	(580)	
FHLB obligations	9,844	(79)	_	_	9,844	(79)	
Mortgage-backed securities	601,704	(9,621)	215,266	(6,504)	816,970	(16,125)	
State and municipal							
obligations	3,034	(10)	2,332	(35)	5,366	(45)	
Corporate obligations	_	_	12,994	(65)	12,994	(65)	
Equity securities	9,622	(951)	771	(206)	10,393	(1,157)	
	\$ 630,191	(10,671)	305,754	(7,380)	935,945	(18,051)	

Securities with unrealized loss positions listed in this disclosure do not represent impairments that are other than temporary. The temporary loss position associated with debt securities is the result of changes in interest rates relative to the coupon of the individual security. Equity securities consist primarily of common stocks of financial institutions that are subject to short-term cyclical market price fluctuations as a result of a number of factors including the current and projected interest rate environment. The Company has the ability to hold such securities until market prices recover.

#### (6) Loans

Loans receivable at December 31, 2006 and 2005 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	 2006	2005
Mortgage loans:		
Residential	\$ 1,623,374	1,773,288
Commercial	701,519	594,788
Multi-family	69,356	77,112
Construction	282,898	289,453
Total mortgage loans	 2,677,147	2,734,641
Commercial loans	503,786	436,285
Consumer loans	592,948	556,645
Total other loans	 1,096,734	992,930
Premiums on purchased loans	 11,285	13,190
Less: unearned discounts	875	1,110
Less: net deferred fees	627	529
	\$ 3,783,664	3,739,122

Premiums and discounts on purchased loans are amortized over the lives of the loans as an adjustment to the loans' yield. Required reductions due to loan prepayments are charged against interest income. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, \$3,762,000, \$4,838,000 and \$3,885,000, respectively, was charged to interest income as a result of prepayments and normal amortization.

Included in Loans are loans for which the accrual of interest income has been discontinued due to deterioration in the financial condition of the borrowers. The principal amount of these nonaccrual loans was \$7,275,000 and \$6,005,000 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

If the nonaccrual loans had performed in accordance with their original terms, interest income would have increased by \$328,000, \$281,000 and \$274,000, for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. At December 31, 2006, there are no commitments to lend additional funds to borrowers whose loans are nonaccrual.

At December 31, 2006, impaired loans consisted of three commercial loans totaling \$234,000, all of which were included in nonaccrual loans. At December 31, 2005, impaired loans consisted of seven commercial loans totaling \$843,000, all of which were included in nonaccrual loans. Specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses attributable to impaired loans totaled \$37,000 and \$267,000 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The average balances of impaired loans during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were \$231,000, \$873,000 and \$1,174,000 respectively. The amount of cash basis interest income that was recognized on impaired loans during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was insignificant for the respective periods.

Loans serviced for others are not included in the accompanying consolidated statements of condition. The unpaid principal balance of loans serviced for others was approximately \$242,782,000 and \$259,781,000, at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Bank, in the normal course of conducting its business, extends credit to meet the financing needs of its customers through commitments. Commitments and contingent liabilities, such as commitments to extend credit (including loan commitments of \$613,575,000 and \$523,048,000, at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and undisbursed home equity and personal credit lines of \$159,066,000 and \$173,121,000, at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively), exist which are not reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. These instruments involve elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated financial statements. The Bank uses the same credit policies and collateral requirements in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet loans. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since the commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Bank evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the borrower.

The Bank grants residential real estate loans on single- and multi-family dwellings to borrowers throughout New Jersey. Its borrowers' abilities to repay their obligations are dependent upon various factors, including the borrowers' income and net worth, cash flows generated by the underlying collateral, value of the underlying collateral, and priority of the Bank's lien on the property. Such factors are dependent upon various economic conditions and individual circumstances beyond the Bank's control; the Bank is therefore subject to risk of loss. The Bank believes that its lending policies and procedures adequately minimize the potential exposure to such risks and that adequate provisions for loan losses are provided for all known and inherent risks. Collateral and/or guarantees are required for virtually all loans.

#### (7) Allowance for Loan Losses

The activity in the allowance for loan losses for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 is as follows (in thousands):

	_	Years ended December 31,				
		2006	2005	2004		
Balance at beginning of period	\$	31,980	33,766	20,631		
Allowance of acquired institution (First Sentinel)		_	_	12,925		
Provision charged to operations		1,320	600	3,600		
Recoveries of loans previously charged off		1,968	1,648	2,971		
Loans charged off		(2,834)	(4,034)	(6,361)		
Balance at end of period	\$	32,434	31,980	33,766		

#### (8) Banking Premises and Equipment

A summary of banking premises and equipment at December 31, 2006 and 2005 is as follows (in thousands):

		2006	2005
Land	\$	12,783	11,276
Banking premises		63,760	62,396
Furniture, fixtures and equipment		40,625	42,215
Leasehold improvements		16,680	16,215
Construction in progress		2,993	1,228
	_	136,841	133,330
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization		77,030	72,381
	\$	59.811	60,949

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 amounted to \$7,326,000, \$7,893,000 and \$6,989,000, respectively.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

#### (9) Intangible Assets

Intangible assets at December 31, 2006 and 2005 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	2006	2005
Goodwill	\$ 409,781	409,850
Core deposit premiums	18,979	24,735
Mortgage servicing rights	958	929
SERP	_	324
	\$ 429,718	435,838

Amortization expense of intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 is as follows (in thousands):

	2006	2005	2004
Core deposit premiums	\$ 5,756	6,838	4,421
Mortgage servicing rights	132	322	845
	\$ 5,888	7,160	5,266

Scheduled amortization of core deposit intangibles for each of the next five years is as follows (in thousands):

Year ended December 31,	
2007	\$ 4,997,000
2008	4,238,000
2009	3,479,000
2010	2,720,000
2011	1,961,000

#### (10) Deposits

Deposits at December 31, 2006 and 2005 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

		Weighted average		Weighted average
	 2006	interest rate	 2005	interest rate
Savings deposits	\$ 1,261,282	1.56%	\$ 1,363,997	1.13%
Money market accounts	116,544	2.17	117,080	1.30
NOW accounts	447,505	1.53	516,462	1.27
Non-interest bearing deposits	441,630	_	475,965	_
Certificate of deposits	1,559,502	4.37	1,447,954	3.30
	\$ 3,826,463		\$ 3,921,458	

Scheduled maturities of certificates of deposit accounts at December 31, 2006 and 2005 are as follows (in thousands):

	2006	2005
Within one year	\$ 1,323,626	1,076,067
One to three years	147,987	217,778
Three to five years	66,915	123,515
Five years and thereafter	 20,974	30,594
	\$ 1,559,502	1,447,954

Interest expense on deposits for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 is summarized as follows (in thousands):

	Yea	rs ended December	31,
	 2006	2005	2004
Savings deposits	\$ 18,198	15,657	11,011
NOW and money market accounts	8,020	6,222	4,274
Certificates of deposits	57,973	37,895	24,221
	\$ 84,191	59,774	39,506

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

#### (11) Borrowed Funds

Borrowed funds at December 31, 2006 and 2005 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	_	2006	2005
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	\$	353,202	391,126
FHLB line of credit		58,000	48,000
FHLB advances	_	429,788	530,982
	\$	840,990	970,108

FHLB advances are at fixed and variable rates and mature between January 2, 2007 and November 13, 2018. These advances are secured by investment securities and loans receivable under a blanket collateral agreement.

Scheduled maturities of FHLB advances at December 31, 2006 are as follows (in thousands):

	 2006
Due in one year or less	\$ 188,420
Due after one year through two years	183,158
Due after two years through three years	13,618
Due after three years through four years	_
Due after four years through five years	36,677
Thereafter	7,915
	\$ 429,788

Scheduled maturities of securities sold under repurchase agreements at December 31, 2006 are as follows (in thousands):

	_	2006
Due in one year or less	\$	77,126
Due after one year through two years		67,338
Due after two years through three years		141,298
Due after three years through four years		51,746
Due after four years through five years		15,694
Thereafter		_
	\$	353,202

The following tables set forth certain information as to borrowed funds for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

	Maximum balance	Average balance	Weighted average interest rate
2006:	 		
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	\$ 434,483	366,933	3.86%
FHLB line of credit	91,000	46,033	5.29
FHLB advances	495,436	437,612	3.50
2005:			
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	\$ 466,244	444,454	2.95%
FHLB line of credit	48,000	1,693	3.63
FHLB advances	679,726	633,000	3.25

Securities sold under repurchase agreements include wholesale borrowing arrangements, as well as arrangements with deposit customers of the Bank to sweep funds into short-term borrowings. The Bank uses securities available for sale to pledge as collateral for the repurchase agreements. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Bank had unused lines of credit with the FHLB of \$142,000,000 and \$152,000,000, respectively.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

#### (12) Subordinated Debentures

As part of the First Sentinel acquisition, the Company assumed subordinated debentures issued by First Sentinel in connection with the issuance, in November 2001, of \$25,000,000 of Company-obligated mandatorily redeemable preferred capital securities through special purpose business trusts. Of the \$25,000,000 of preferred capital securities sold, \$12,500,000 had a floating rate of interest, which reset semi-annually, equal to six-month LIBOR plus 3.75%. The remaining \$12,500,000 of preferred capital securities had a fixed interest rate of 9.95%. Distributions on the preferred capital securities were payable semi-annually. The stated maturity of the preferred capital securities was December 8, 2031, with early redemption permitted on any June 8 or December 8 on or after December 8, 2006, at par. Upon its assumption of the subordinated debentures, the Company recorded a premium of \$1,674,000, representing the fair market value adjustment at the acquisition date. This premium was accreted as an adjustment to interest expense on a straight-line basis over 2.5 years. On December 8, 2006, the Company redeemed the preferred capital securities. Upon redemption, the Company recognized a \$682,000 loss on the early extinguishment of debt, representing the remaining unamortized bond issuance expense. This loss is included as a component of other non-interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2006.

#### (13) Benefit Plans

#### Pension and Post-retirement Benefits

As previously discussed in Note 1, the Company adopted SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans – an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)" effective December 31, 2006. SFAS No. 158 requires an employer to: (a) recognize in its statement of financial condition the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan measured as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the benefit obligation; (b) measure a plan's assets and its obligations that determine its funded status as of the date of its year-end statement of financial condition and (c) recognize as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax, the actuarial gains and losses and the prior service costs and credits that arise during the period. SFAS No. 158 does not change how an employer determines the amount of net periodic benefit cost. The adoption of SFAS No. 158 at December 31, 2006 resulted in a \$96,000 charge against other comprehensive income, net of taxes. The following table shows the impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 158 on the Company's consolidated statement of financial condition at December 31, 2006 (in thousands):

		Before Adoption of SFAS No. 158	Adjustments	After Adoption of SFAS No. 158
All other assets	\$	5,702,669	_	5,702,669
Prepaid pension asset		2,524	1,012	3,536
Intangible asset-SERP		128	(128)	_
Deferred tax assets		36,693	66	36,759
Total assets		5,742,014	950	5,742,964
All other liabilities		4,695,801	_	4,695,801
Accrued OPEB liability		24,953	1,082	26,035
Accrued pension liability		240	(36)	204
Accrued expense: SERP		1,768		1,768
Total liabilities	'-	4,722,762	1,046	4,723,808
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(7,054)	(96)	(7,150)
Total stockholders' equity		1,019,252		1,019,156
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	5,742,014		5,742,964

The Bank has a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan covering its full-time employees who had attained age 21 with at least one year of service as of April 1, 2003. The pension plan was frozen on April 1, 2003. The pension plan provides for 100% vesting after five years of service. The pension plan's assets are invested in group annuity contracts and investment funds currently managed by the Principal Financial Group and Allmerica Financial. Based on the measurement date of December 31, 2006, management believes that no contributions will be made to the pension plan in 2007.

In addition to pension benefits, certain healthcare and life insurance benefits are currently made available to retired employees. The cost of such benefits are accrued based on actuarial assumptions from the date of hire to the date the employee is fully eligible to receive the benefits. Effective January 1, 2003, eligibility for retiree health care benefits was frozen to new entrants and benefits were eliminated for employees with less than ten years of service as of December 31, 2002. The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (the "Act") provides for a prescription drug benefit under Medicare (Medicare Part D), as well as a federal subsidy to sponsors of retiree health care benefit plans that are at least actuarially equivalent to Medicare Part D. This subsidy

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

was applicable to the Company commencing in 2005. Measurement of the benefit obligation and net periodic benefit cost for January 1, 2006 through June 30, 2006 and for the year ended December 31, 2005 shown below reflect the Act's 28% subsidy. Effective July 1, 2006, the Bank implemented two new retiree healthcare plans which reduced monthly premium costs. These plans are Supplemental Medicare Plans fully managed by the Bank's health insurance carrier that provide prescription drug coverage that is equal to or greater than Medicare Part D. However, based on the structural arrangement of the plan contracts, the Bank is no longer eligible for the Medicare subsidy.

The following table sets forth information regarding the pension plan and post-retirement healthcare and life insurance plans (in thousands):

	Pension			Post-retirement			
	2006	2005	2004	2006	2005	2004	
Change in benefit obligation:							
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 19,530	21,513	22,033	28,389	31,060	22,272	
Acquisition of First Sentinel	_	_	_	_	_	3,165	
Service cost	_	_	_	519	813	659	
Interest cost	1,068	1,241	1,336	1,516	1,502	1,582	
Actuarial (gain) loss	(714)	(32)	(432)	(3,656)	(4,566)	1,721	
Benefits paid	(2,953)	(3,192)	(2,925)	(733)	(420)	(506)	
Change in actuarial assumptions			1,501			2,167	
Benefit obligation at end of year	\$ 16,931	19,530	21,513	26,035	28,389	31,060	
Change in plan assets:							
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$ 20,841	22,938	22,734	_	_	_	
Actual return on plan assets	2,579	1,095	3,129	_	_	_	
Employer contributions	_	_	_	733	420	506	
Benefits paid	(2,953)	(3,192)	(2,925)	(733)	(420)	(506)	
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	\$ 20,467	20,841	22,938				
Funded status at end of year	\$ 3,536	1,311	1,425	(26,035)	(28,389)	(31,060)	

The over-funded pension benefits of \$3.5 million and the un-funded post-retirement benefits of \$26.0 million at December 31, 2006 are included in other assets and other liabilities, respectively, in the consolidated statement of financial condition. The components of accumulated other comprehensive (gain) loss related to the pension plan and other post-retirement benefits, on a pre-tax basis, at December 31, 2006 are summarized in the following table (in thousands):

	Pension	Post-
		Retirement
Unrecognized transition asset		3,069
Unrecognized net actuarial gain	(1,012)	(1,987)
Total accumulated other comprehensive gain	\$ (1,012)	1,082

Information concerning the funded status of the pension plan and post-retirement healthcare and life insurance plans and the net amounts recognized in the consolidated statement of financial condition at December 31, 2005 and 2004, prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 158, is summarized below (in thousands):

	 Pen	sion	Postretir	ement
	 2005	2004	2005	2004
Funded status at end of year	\$ 1,311	1,425	(28,389)	(31,060)
Unrecognized transition asset	_	_	3,452	3,836
Unrecognized net actuarial loss (gain)	638	(57)	1,690	6,342
Net amount recognized	\$ 1,949	1,368	(23,247)	(20,882)
Components of net amount recognized:				
Prepaid benefit cost	\$ 1,949	1,368	_	_
Accrued benefit liability	_	_	(23,247)	(20,882)
Net amount recognized	\$ 1,949	1,368	(23,247)	(20,882)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Dost watinament

Net periodic benefit cost for the years ending December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, included the following components (in thousands): Donaton

	Pension			r		
	2006	2005	2004	 2006	2005	2004
Service cost	\$ _	_	_	\$ 519	813	659
Interest cost	1,068	1,241	1,336	1,516	1,502	1,582
Expected return on plan assets	(2,579)	(1,095)	(3,129)	_	_	_
Amortization of:	. , ,					
Net gain (loss)	936	(727)	1,328	20	87	159
Unrecognized remaining assets	=	=	=	<u>384</u>	<u>384</u>	<u>384</u>
Net periodic benefit (increase) cost	\$ (575)	<u>(581)</u>	<u>(465)</u>			
Post-retirement benefit (increase) cost				\$ <u>2,439</u>	<u>2,786</u>	<u>2,784</u>

The weighted average actuarial assumptions used in the plan determinations at December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were as follows:

	Pension			Post-retirement			
	2006	2005	2004	2006	2005	2004	
Discount rate	5.75%	5.75%	5.75%	5.75%	5.75%	5.75%	
Rate of compensation increase	_	_	_	5.50	5.50	5.50	
Expected return on plan assets	8.00	8.00	8.00	_	_	_	
Medical and life insurance benefits cost rate of increase				7.00	7.00	9.00	

The Company provides its actuary with certain rate assumptions used in measuring the benefit obligation. The most significant of these is the discount rate used to calculate the period-end present value of the benefit obligations, and the expense to be included in the following year's financial statements. A lower discount rate will result in a higher benefit obligation and expense, while a higher discount rate will result in a lower benefit obligation and expense. The discount rate assumption was determined based on a cash flowyield curve model specific to the Company's pension and post-retirement plans. We compare this rate to certain market indices, such as long-term treasury bonds, or the Merrill Lynch bond indices, for reasonableness. A discount rate of 5.75% was selected for the December 31, 2006 measurement date and the 2006 expense calculation.

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for health care plans. A 1% change in the assumed health care cost trend rate would have had the following effects on post-retirement benefits at December 31, 2006 (in thousands):

	1% increase	1% decrease
Effect on total service cost and interest cost	\$ 390	(300)
Effect on post-retirement benefits obligation	4,510	(3,560)

Estimated future benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate for the next five years are as follows (in thousands):

	Pension	Post-retirement
2007	\$ 491,000	\$ 775,000
2008	534,000	801,000
2009	569,000	836,000
2010	617,000	886,000
2011	693,000	930,000

The weighted-average asset allocation of pension plan assets at December 31 were as follows:

Asset Category	2006	2005
Domestic equities	67%	68%
Foreign equities	11%	12%
US bonds	17%	12%
International bonds	_	1%
Real estate	5%	5%
Cash		2%
Total	100%	100%

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

The Company's expected return on pension plan assets assumption is based on historical investment return experience and evaluation of input from the trustee managing the pension plan's assets. The expected return on pension plan assets is also impacted by the target allocation of assets, which is based on the Company's goal of earning the highest rate of return while maintaining risk at acceptable levels.

Management strives to have pension plan assets sufficiently diversified so that adverse or unexpected results from one security class will not have a significant detrimental impact on the entire portfolio. The target allocation of assets and acceptable ranges around the targets are as follows:

<b>Asset Category</b>	Target	Allowable Range		
Domestic equities	60%	50-70%		
Foreign equities	10%	5-17%		
US bonds	25%	10-30%		
International bonds	0%	0-5%		
Real estate	5%	0-10%		
Cash	0%	0-35%		
Total	100%			

The Company anticipates that the long-term asset allocation on average will approximate the targeted allocation. Actual asset allocations are the result of investment decisions by a hired investment manager that are bound by the allowable investment target ranges.

#### 401(k) Plan

The Bank has a 401(k) plan covering substantially all employees of the Bank. For 2006 and 2005, the Bank matched 25% of the first 6% contributed by the participants. For 2004, the Bank matched 50% of the first 6% contributed by the participants. The contribution percentage is determined by the Board of Directors in its sole discretion. The Bank's aggregate contributions to the 401(k) Plan for 2006, 2005 and 2004 were \$351,000, \$395,000 and \$702,000, respectively.

#### Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

The Bank also maintains a non-qualified supplemental retirement plan for certain senior officers of the Bank. This plan was frozen as of April 1, 2003. The Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, which is unfunded, provides benefits in excess of the benefits permitted to be paid by the pension plan under provisions of the tax law. Amounts expensed under this supplemental retirement plan amounted to \$116,000, \$153,000 and \$134,000 for the years 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, \$1,768,000 and \$1,850,000, respectively, was recorded in other liabilities on the consolidated statements of condition for this supplemental retirement plan. The SERP was accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 158 as of December 31, 2006. As a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 158, a charge of \$76,000, net of tax, was recorded in other comprehensive income.

#### Retirement Plan for the Board of Directors of The Provident Bank

The Bank maintains a Retirement Plan for the Board of Directors of the Bank, a non-qualified plan that provides cash payments for up to ten years to eligible retired board members based on age and length of service requirements. The maximum payment under this plan to a board member, who terminates service on or after the age of 72 with at least ten years of service on the board, is forty quarterly payments of \$1,250. The Bank may suspend payments under this plan if it does not meet Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance minimum capital requirements. The Bank may terminate this plan at any time although such termination may not reduce or eliminate any benefit previously accrued to a board member without his or her consent. The plan further provides that, in the event of a change in control (as defined in the plan), the undistributed balance of a director's accrued benefit will be distributed to him or her within 60 days of the change in control. For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, The Provident Bank paid \$10,500 and \$7,000, respectively, to former board members under this plan. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, \$203,668 and \$232,088, respectively, was recorded in other liabilities on the consolidated statements of financial condition for

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

this retirement plan. The Retirement Plan for the Board of Directors was accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 158 as of December 31, 2006. As a result of the adoption of SFAS No 158, an increase of \$22,000, net of tax, was recorded in other comprehensive income.

Effective January 1, 2005, a safe harbor amendment to this plan was adopted to satisfy the requirements of Internal Revenue Code ("IRC") Section 409A created by the American Jobs Creation Act ("AJCA"). The plan was further amended in December 2005 to terminate benefits under this plan for any directors who had less than ten years of service on the board of directors of the Bank as of December 31, 2006.

#### Employee Stock Ownership Plan

The ESOP is a tax-qualified plan designed to invest primarily in the Company's common stock that provides employees with the opportunity to receive a funded retirement benefit from the Bank, based primarily on the value of the Company's common stock. The ESOP was authorized to purchase, and did purchase 4,769,464 shares of the Company's common stock at an average price of \$17.09 per share with the proceeds of a loan from the Company to the ESOP. The outstanding loan principal at December 31, 2006, was \$74.0 million. Shares of the Company's common stock pledged as collateral for the loan are released from the pledge for allocation to participants as loan payments are made.

For the ESOP year ending December 31, 2006, 165,973 shares are committed to be released and will be allocated to participants, compared to 162,984 shares released in the ESOP year ending December 31, 2005. Unallocated ESOP shares held in suspense totaled 4,125,097 at December 31, 2006, and had a fair market value of \$74.8 million. ESOP compensation expense for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$2,842,000, \$2,781,000 and \$3,026,000, respectively.

#### The Supplemental Executive Savings Plan

This is a non-qualified plan that provides supplemental benefits to certain executives who are prevented from receiving the full benefits contemplated by the 401(k) Plan's and the ESOP's benefit formulas under tax law limits for tax-qualified plans. The supplemental payments for the 401(k) Plan portion of the Supplemental Executive Savings Plan consist of payments representing employee and employer contributions that cannot be allocated to participants under the 401(k) Plan due to the limitations imposed on tax-qualified plans. The supplemental payments for the ESOP portion of the Supplemental Executive Savings Plan consist of payments representing shares that cannot be allocated to participants under the ESOP due to legal limitations imposed on tax-qualified plans. The Supplemental Executive Savings Plan was frozen effective December 31, 2003. Accrued benefits under the frozen plan will continue to be governed by the tax laws in effect prior to the enactment of IRC Section 409A created by the AJCA.

#### Non-Qualified Supplemental Employee Stock Ownership Plan

Effective January 1, 2004, the Bank established a new deferred compensation plan for executive management and key employees of the Bank, known as The Provident Bank Non-Qualified Supplemental Employee Stock Ownership Plan (the "Supplemental ESOP"). The Supplemental ESOP was adopted to satisfy the requirements of IRC Section 409A created by the AJCA. The Supplemental ESOP is a non-qualified plan that provides additional benefits to certain executives whose benefits under the ESOP are limited by tax law limitations applicable to tax-qualified plans. The Supplemental ESOP requires a contribution by the Bank for each participant who also participates in the ESOP equal to the amount that would have been contributed under the terms of the ESOP but for the tax law limitations, less the amount actually contributed under the ESOP.

#### Stock Award Plan

The purpose of the SAP is to promote the growth and profitability of the Company by providing directors and key employees with an equity interest in the Company as an incentive to achieve corporate goals. The SAP was approved by the Company's stockholders on July 17, 2003. Under the SAP, 2,384,732 shares of the Company's common stock were made available for awards. The Company purchased 2,384,732 shares to fund the SAP on the open market at an average price of \$19.85 per share.

As a general rule, restricted stock grants granted under the SAP are held in escrow for the benefit of the award recipient until vested. Awards outstanding generally vest in five annual installments, commencing one year from the date of the award. As of December 31, 2006, common stock available for awards under the SAP totaled 1,099,087 shares. Expense attributable to the SAP amounted to \$4,810,000, \$5,095,000 and \$5,197,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

A summary status of the granted but unvested shares under the SAP as of December 31, and changes during the year, is presented below:

	Restricted Stock Awards				
	2006	2005	2004		
Outstanding at beginning of year	747,380	1,059,095	1,260,000		
Granted	33,000	_	91,095		
Forfeited	(6,900)	(51,550)	(40,000)		
Vested	(243,094)	(260, 165)	(252,000)		
Outstanding at the end of year	530,386	747,380	1,059,095		

#### Stock Option Plan

Each stock option granted entitles the holder to purchase one share of the Company's common stock at an exercise price not less than the fair market value of a share of the Company's common stock at the date of grant. Options vest over a five-year period from the date of grant and expire no later than 10 years following the grant date. Under the Company's stock option plan, 5,961,830 shares of the Company's common stock were reserved for issuance. Directors and employees have been granted 4,388,285 stock options as of December 31, 2006.

A summary of the status of the granted, but unexercised stock options as of December 31, and changes during the year is presented below:

	2006			2005			2004		
	Number of stock options		Weighted average exercise price	Number of stock options		Weighted average exercise price	Number of stock options		Weighted average exercise price
Outstanding at beginning of year.	4,464,230	\$	18.55	4,766,615	\$	18.56	4,943,800	\$	18.57
Granted	186,000		18.52	47,000		18.03	100,000		18.15
Exercised	(6,055)		18.57	_		_	(5,385)		18.57
Forfeited	(117,700)		18.57	(257,860)		18.57	(271,800)		18.57
Expired	(149,630)		18.57	(91,525)		18.57	· · · · —		_
Outstanding at the end of year	4,376,845	\$	18.55	4,464,230	\$	18.55	4,766,615	\$	18.56

The total fair value of shares vesting during 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$3,304,000, \$3,585,000 and \$3,633,000, respectively.

Compensation expense of approximately \$3,270,000 (\$1,934,000 net of tax), \$1,914,000 (\$1,132,000 net of tax), and \$283,000 (\$167,000 net of tax), is projected for 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively, on stock options outstanding at December 31, 2006.

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2006:

		Options Outstanding				Options Exercisable			
		Number	Average		Weighted	Number		Weighted	
	Range of exercise	of options	remaining contractual		average exercise	of options		average exercise	
_	prices	outstanding	life	_	price	exercisable		price	
\$	17.43-19.22	4,376,845	6.7 years	\$	18.55	2,471,131	\$	18.56	

The aggregate intrinsic value for stock options outstanding and stock options exercisable at December 31, 2006 is \$49,000 and \$18,000, respectively.

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company's stock option plan was accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", and related Interpretations. Accordingly, compensation expense has been recognized for the stock option plan. The expense related to stock options is based on the fair value of the options at the date of the grant and is recognized ratably over the vesting period of the options. In December 2004, SFAS No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment," was issued. SFAS No. 123R requires companies to recognize in the statement of earnings the grant-date fair value of stock options issued to employees. The statement was effective January 1, 2006. As a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company has analyzed the expected forfeitures of stock options as compared to actual forfeitures, which were previously recorded as a reduction of expense in the quarter of forfeiture in accordance with SFAS No. 123, and has deemed the impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R to be immaterial.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Compensation expense related to the Company's stock option plan totaled \$3,330,000, \$3,500,000 and \$3,489,000 for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The estimated fair values were determined on the dates of grant using the Black-Scholes Option pricing model. The fair value of the Company' stock option awards is expensed on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the stock option. The risk-free rate is based on the implied yield on a U.S. Treasury bond with a term approximating the expected term of the option. The expected volatility computation is based on historical volatility over a period approximating the expected term of the option. The dividend yield is based on the annual dividend payment per share, divided by the grant date stock price. The expected option term is a function of the option life and the vesting period.

The fair value of the option grants was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	For	r the year ended December 31,	
	2006	2005	2004
Expected dividend yield	1.98%	1.77%	1.22%
Expected volatility	16.72%	22.42%	19.59%
Risk-free interest rate	4.64%	4.10%	3.68%
Expected option life	8 years	8 years	8 years

The intrinsic value of options exercised during 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$0.18, \$0, and \$0.45 per option, respectively. The weighted average fair value of options granted during 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$4.42, \$5.02, and \$4.97 per option, respectively.

#### (14) Income Taxes

The current and deferred amounts of income tax expense (benefit) for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 are as follows (in thousands):

			Years ended December 31,				
		_	2006	2005	2004		
Current:		_					
Federal		\$	21,853	21,618	23,030		
State			1,133	1,403	1,513		
	Total current	_	22,986	23,021	24,543		
Deferred:		_					
Federal			1,902	4,917	(5,562)		
State			(1,687)	(539)	293		
	Total deferred	_	215	4,378	(5,269)		
		\$ _	23,201	27,399	19,274		

The Bank recorded, in accumulated other comprehensive income, a deferred tax expense (benefit) of \$1,340,000, (\$8,598,000) and (\$1,733,000) during the years 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, to reflect the tax effect of the unrealized loss on securities available for sale. The Bank recorded, in accumulated other comprehensive income, a deferred tax benefit of \$66,000 during the year 2006 to reflect the tax effect of the adoption of SFAS No. 158.

A reconciliation between the amount of reported total income tax expense and the amount computed by multiplying the applicable statutory income tax rate is as follows (in thousands):

	Years ended December 31,					
	2006	2005	2004			
Tax expense at statutory rate of 35%	\$ 26,910	30,064	24,001			
Increase (decrease) in taxes resulting from:						
State tax, net of federal income tax benefit	(360)	562	1,174			
Tax-exempt interest income	(2,945)	(3,167)	(3,068)			
Change in valuation reserve	_	_	(1,848)			
Bank-owned life insurance	(1,819)	(1,800)	(1,567)			
Other, net	 1,415	1,740	582			
	\$ 23,201	27,399	19,274			

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

The net deferred tax asset is included in other assets in the consolidated statements of financial condition. The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2006 and 2005 are as follows (in thousands):

	2006	2005
Deferred tax assets:		
Allowance for loan losses \$	12,938	12,752
Post-retirement benefit	10,700	9,480
Deferred compensation	5,169	5,240
Intangibles	2,167	3,071
Depreciation	385	_
SERP	820	833
Contribution carry-forward	1,304	3,771
ESOP	1,455	1,042
Stock compensation	4,563	3,560
Unrealized loss on securities	4,856	6,196
State AMA	849	203
Other	564	465
Total gross deferred tax assets	45,770	46,613
Valuation Reserve	_	108
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Depreciation	_	796
Pension expense	1,445	928
Deferred loan costs	1,548	625
Investment securities, principally due to accretion of		
discounts	211	181
Purchase accounting adjustments	5,473	5,090
Originated mortgage servicing rights	334	302
Other	_	1
Total gross deferred tax liabilities	9,011	7,923
Net deferred tax asset \$	36,759	38,582

Equity at December 31, 2006 includes approximately \$51,800,000 for which no provision for income tax has been made. This amount represents an allocation of income to bad debt deductions for tax purposes only. Events that would result in taxation of these reserves include the failure to qualify as a bank for tax purposes, distributions in complete or partial liquidation, stock redemptions and excess distributions to stockholders. At December 31, 2006 the Company had an unrecognized tax liability of \$21,160,000 with respect to this reserve.

In 2006 and 2005, the valuation reserve pertaining to the charitable contributions carry-forward declined \$108,000 and \$838,000, respectively, as a result of utilization of the related deferred tax asset. Management has determined that it is more likely than not that it will realize the net deferred tax asset based upon the nature and timing of the items listed above. In order to fully realize the net deferred tax asset, the Bank will need to generate future taxable income. Management has projected that the Bank will generate sufficient taxable income to utilize the net deferred tax asset; however, there can be no assurance that such levels of taxable income will be generated.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

#### (15) Lease Commitments

The approximate future minimum rental commitments for all significant non-cancellable operating leases at December 31, 2006 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

Year ending December 31:	
2007	\$ 2,966
2008	2,456
2009	2,162
2010	1,852
2011	1,528
Thereafter	2,540
	\$ 13,504

Rental expense was \$4,393,000, \$4,296,000 and \$3,107,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

#### (16) Commitments, Contingencies and Concentrations of Credit Risk

In the normal course of business, various commitments and contingent liabilities are outstanding which are not reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, the consolidated financial position of the Company will not be materially affected by the outcome of such commitments or contingent liabilities.

A substantial portion of the Bank's loans are one- to four-family residential first mortgage loans secured by real estate located in New Jersey. Accordingly, the collectibility of a substantial portion of the Bank's loan portfolio and the recovery of a substantial portion of the carrying amount of other real estate owned are susceptible to changes in local real estate market conditions.

The Company has entered into employment agreements with three executives. Each of these agreements has a term of thirty-six months. The agreements renew for an additional year beginning on the first anniversary date of the agreement, and on each anniversary date thereafter, so that the remaining term is thirty-six months. In the event the executive's employment is terminated for reasons other than for cause, for retirement or for disability or following a change in control, the executive would be entitled to a lump sum payment equivalent to the greater of: the payments due for the remaining term of the employment agreement, or three times the sum of (i) the highest annual rate of base salary and (ii) the greater of (x) the average bonus paid over the last three years or (y) the cash bonus paid in the last year, as well as continuation of life, medical, dental and disability insurance coverage for three years. The agreements generally provide that following a change in control (as defined in the agreement), the executive will receive the severance payments and other benefits described above if he resigns during the one-year period following the change in control or if the executive is terminated during the remaining term of the employment agreement following the change in control. The executives would receive an aggregate of \$5,774,000 in cash payments, as well as continuation of life, medical, dental and disability coverage, pursuant to the employment agreements upon a change of control of the Company based upon current levels of compensation.

#### (17) Regulatory Capital Requirements

FDIC regulations require banks to maintain minimum levels of regulatory capital. Under the regulations in effect at December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Bank is required to maintain (i) a minimum leverage ratio of Tier 1 capital to total adjusted assets of 4.00%, and (ii) minimum ratios of Tier 1 and total capital to risk-weighted assets of 4.00% and 8.00%, respectively.

Under its prompt corrective action regulations, the FDIC is required to take certain supervisory actions (and may take additional discretionary actions) with respect to an undercapitalized institution. Such actions could have a direct material effect on an institution's financial statements. The regulations establish a framework for the classification of savings institutions into five categories: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized. Generally, an institution is considered well capitalized if it has a leverage (Tier 1) capital ratio of at least 5.00%; a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of at least 6.00%; and a total risk-based capital ratio of at least 10.00%.

The foregoing capital ratios are based in part on specific quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the FDIC about capital components, risk weightings and other factors.

As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Bank meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject. Further, the most recent FDIC notification categorized the Bank as a well-capitalized institution under the prompt corrective action regulations. There have been no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's capital classification.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

The following is a summary of the Bank's actual capital amounts and ratios as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, compared to the FDIC minimum capital adequacy requirements and the FDIC requirements for classification as a well-capitalized institution. The Bank's actual capital amounts and ratios are also presented in the following table (in thousands).

		Act	ual	FDIC minim		To be well-o under promp action pr	ot corrective
		Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of December 31, 2006: Leverage (Tier 1) Risk-based capital:	\$	448,180	8.46%	\$ 211,811	4.00%	\$ 264,764	5.00%
Tier 1		448,180	11.31	158,469	4.00	237,703	6.00
Total		480,614	12.13	316,938	8.00	396,172	10.00
	_	Act		 FDIC minim adequacy rec	quirements	 To be well-o under promp action pr	ot corrective ovisions
	_	Amount	Ratio	 Amount	Ratio	 Amount	Ratio
As of December 31, 2005 : Leverage (Tier 1) Risk-based capital:	\$	514,869	9.18%	\$ 224,253	4.00%	\$ 280,316	5.00%
Tier 1							
		514,869	13.53	152,254	4.00	228,382	6.00
Total		546,927	14.37	304,509	8.00	380,636	10.00

#### (18) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 107, "Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments," requires that the Company disclose estimated fair values for its financial instruments. Fair value estimates, methods and assumptions are set forth below for the Company's financial instruments.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

For cash and due from banks, federal funds sold and short-term investments, the carrying amount approximates fair value.

#### **Investment Securities and Securities Available for Sale**

The fair value of investment securities and securities available for sale is estimated based on bid quotations received from securities dealers, if available. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices of similar instruments, adjusted for differences between the quoted instruments and the instruments being valued.

#### Loans

Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by type such as residential mortgage, construction and consumer. Each loan category is further segmented into fixed and adjustable rate interest terms and into performing and non-performing categories.

The fair value of performing loans is estimated using a combination of techniques, including discounting estimated future cash flows and quoted market prices of similar instruments, where available.

The fair value for significant non-performing loans is based on recent external appraisals of collateral securing such loans, adjusted for the timing of anticipated cash flows.

#### **Deposits**

The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as non-interest bearing demand deposits and savings deposits, is equal to the amount payable on demand. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits with similar remaining maturities.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

#### **Borrowed Funds**

The fair value of borrowed funds is estimated by discounting future cash flows using rates available for debt with similar terms and maturities.

#### **Commitments to Extend Credit and Letters of Credit**

The fair value of commitments to extend credit and letters of credit is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates. The fair value estimates of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit are deemed immaterial.

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 are presented in the following table (in thousands):

	200	6	2005	;
	Carrying value	Fair value	Carrying value	Fair value
Financial assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	92,057	92,057	117,268	117,268
Securities available for sale	790,894	790,894	1,082,957	1,082,957
Investment securities	389,656	386,380	410,914	407,972
FHLB stock	35,335	35,335	43,794	43,794
Loans	3,751,230	3,679,744	3,707,142	3,620,789
Financial liabilities:				
Deposits	3,826,463	3,828,984	3,921,458	3,920,018
Borrowed funds	840,990	828,372	970,108	948,123
Subordinated debentures	_	_	26,444	26,195

#### Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Company's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Fair value estimates are based on existing on- and off-balance sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. Significant assets and liabilities that are not considered financial assets or liabilities include deferred tax assets and premises and equipment. In addition, the tax ramifications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been considered in the estimates.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

#### (19) Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following tables are a summary of certain quarterly financial data for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005.

				2006 Qu	ıarter Ended		
		March 31		June 30	September 30		December 31
				(In thousands, e	xcept per share data)		
Interest income	\$	69,594	\$	70,694	\$ 70,867	\$	70,984
Interest expense		26,211		28,000	30,948		32,452
Net interest income		43,383		42,694	39,919		38,532
Provision for loan losses		555		565	100		100
Net interest income after					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_
provision for loan losses		42,828		42,129	39,819		38,432
Non-interest income		7,333		7,284	8,335		8,999
Non-interest expense		30,204		29,944	30,089		28,036
Income before income tax expense		19,957		19,469	18,065	_	19,395
Income tax expense		6,155		5,951	5,080		6,015
Net income	\$	13,802	\$	13,518	\$ 12,985	\$	13,380
Basic earnings per share		0.22		0.22	0.22		0.23
Diluted earnings per share		0.22		0.22	0.22		0.22
		March 31			narter Ended		December 31
	_	March 31		June 30	September 30	_	December 31
Interest income	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	June 30 (In thousands, e	September 30xcept per share data)	_ - \$	
Interest income	\$	68,957	\$	June 30 (In thousands, e. 68,826	September 30 xcept per share data) \$ 69,271	\$	69,408
Interest expense	\$ 	68,957 21,792	\$	June 30 (In thousands, e. 68,826 22,855	September 30 xcept per share data) \$ 69,271 24,460	\$	69,408 25,900
Interest expense  Net interest income	\$	68,957	\$	June 30 (In thousands, e. 68,826 22,855 45,971	September 30 xcept per share data) \$ 69,271 24,460 44,811	\$	69,408 25,900 43,508
Interest expense	\$	68,957 21,792	\$ 	June 30 (In thousands, e. 68,826 22,855	September 30 xcept per share data) \$ 69,271 24,460	\$ -	69,408 25,900
Interest expense	\$	68,957 21,792 47,165	\$ _	June 30 (In thousands, e. 68,826 22,855 45,971 400	September 30 xcept per share data) \$ 69,271 24,460 44,811 100	\$ -	69,408 25,900 43,508 100
Interest expense	\$	68,957 21,792 47,165 47,165	\$ 	June 30 (In thousands, e: 68,826 22,855 45,971 400	September 30 xcept per share data) \$ 69,271 24,460 44,811 100 44,711	\$ -	69,408 25,900 43,508 100 43,408
Interest expense	\$	68,957 21,792 47,165 — 47,165 6,170	\$ 	June 30 (In thousands, e: 68,826 22,855 45,971 400 45,571 7,552	September 30 xcept per share data) \$ 69,271 24,460 44,811 100 44,711 7,820	\$ -	69,408 25,900 43,508 100 43,408 7,679
Interest expense	\$	68,957 21,792 47,165 — 47,165 6,170 31,377	\$ -	June 30 (In thousands, e: 68,826 22,855 45,971 400	September 30 xcept per share data) \$ 69,271 24,460 44,811 100 44,711 7,820 30,030	\$ -	69,408 25,900 43,508 100 43,408 7,679 29,543
Interest expense	\$ -	68,957 21,792 47,165 — 47,165 6,170 31,377 21,958	\$ 	June 30 (In thousands, e. 68,826 22,855 45,971 400 45,571 7,552 33,228	September 30 xcept per share data) \$ 69,271 24,460 44,811 100 44,711 7,820	\$ -	69,408 25,900 43,508 100 43,408 7,679
Interest expense	\$ 	68,957 21,792 47,165 — 47,165 6,170 31,377	\$ - - \$	June 30 (In thousands, e: 68,826 22,855 45,971 400 45,571 7,552 33,228 19,895	September 30 xcept per share data) \$ 69,271 24,460 44,811 100 44,711 7,820 30,030 22,501	- \$ - - \$	69,408 25,900 43,508 100 43,408 7,679 29,543 21,544
Interest expense	\$  \$	68,957 21,792 47,165 ————————————————————————————————————	\$ \$ \$	June 30 (In thousands, e. 68,826 22,855 45,971 400 45,571 7,552 33,228 19,895 6,126 13,769	September 30 xcept per share data) \$ 69,271 24,460 44,811 100  44,711 7,820 30,030 22,501 7,564 \$ 14,937	\$	69,408 25,900 43,508 100 43,408 7,679 29,543 21,544 6,773 14,771
Interest expense	\$  \$ 	68,957 21,792 47,165 ————————————————————————————————————	\$ - - \$	June 30 (In thousands, e: 68,826 22,855 45,971 400 45,571 7,552 33,228 19,895 6,126	September 30 xcept per share data) \$ 69,271 24,460 44,811 100  44,711 7,820 30,030 22,501 7,564	\$ - \$ - \$ -	69,408 25,900 43,508 100 43,408 7,679 29,543 21,544 6,773

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

#### (20) Earnings Per Share

The following is a reconciliation of the outstanding shares used in the basic and diluted earnings per share computations.

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)	data) For the Year Ended December 31,					· 31,
		2006		2005		2004
Net income	\$	53,685	\$	58,499	\$	49,301
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding		60,968,533		66,083,173	_	61,576,544
Plus:						
Dilutive DDFP shares		735,373		751,869		343,498
Dilutive stock options	_	_	_	1,495	_	12,131
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding		61,703,906		66,836,536		61,932,173
Earnings per share:					-	
Basic	\$	0.88	\$	0.89	\$	0.80
Diluted	\$	0.87	\$	0.88	\$	0.80

Anti-dilutive stock options and awards totaling 4,694,780 shares at December 31, 2006, were excluded from the earnings per share calculations.

#### (21) Parent-only Financial Information

The condensed financial statements of Provident Financial Services, Inc. (parent company only) are presented below:

#### PROVIDENT FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.

Condensed Statements of Financial Condition (Dollars in Thousands)

ASSETS	December 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
Cash and due from banks	\$ 17,763	\$ 7,383
Short-term investments	100	8,000
Total cash and cash equivalents	17,863	 15,383
Securities available for sale, at fair value	25,576	33,232
Investment in subsidiaries	869,952	939,584
Due from subsidiary – SAP	32,342	37,168
ESOP loan	74,041	75,840
Accrued interest receivable	_	28
Other assets	131	1,789
Total assets	\$ 1,019,905	\$ 1,103,024
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Subordinated debentures	_	26,444
Other liabilities	749	285
Total stockholders' equity	1,019,156	1,076,295
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 1,019,905	\$ 1,103,024

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

#### PROVIDENT FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.

Condensed Statements of Income (Dollars in Thousands)

		F	or the Year Ended Decembe	er 31,	
	2006		2005		2004
Income:					
Dividends from subsidiary	\$ 134,958	\$	59,960	\$	239,189
Interest income	3,034		3,100		3,633
Investment income	4,616		2,178		3,022
Other income	 				12
Total income	 142,608		65,238		245,856
Interest expense	2,205		2,144		907
Non-interest expense	 1,452		857		1,536
Total expense	 3,657		3,001		2,443
Income before income tax expense	138,951		62,237		243,413
Income tax expense	 149		95		164
Income before dividends in excess of earnings of subsidiary	138,802		62,142		243,249
Dividends in excess of earnings of subsidiary	 (85,117)		(3,643)		(193,948)

53,685

58,499

49,301

Net income

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

PROVIDENT FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Dollars in Thousands)

		For th	e Year Ended Decem	ber 31,	
	 2006		2005		2004
Cash flows from operating activities:					
Net income	\$ 53,685	\$	58,499	\$	49,301
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash					
provided by operating activities					
Dividends in excess of earnings of subsidiary	85,117		3,643		193,948
ESOP allocation	2,842		2,781		3,026
SAP allocation	4,810		5,095		5,197
Stock option allocation	3,330		3,500		3,489
Gain on sales of securities available for sale	(2,548)		(192)		(743)
Decrease in Due from Subsidiary - SAP	4,826		5,163		5,002
Increase in other assets	(11,460)		(11,862)		(519)
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	464		(1,276)		(6,369)
Net cash provided by operating activities	141,066		65,351		252,332
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Purchases of available for sale securities	(3,900)		(1,711)		(109,226)
Proceeds from sales of available for sale securities	13,076		1,921		71,584
Proceeds from maturities and paydowns					
of securities available for sale	_		_		103,891
Net decrease in ESOP loan	1,799		1,658		1,492
Cash consideration paid to acquire First Sentinel net of	ŕ		,		
cash and cash equivalents received	_		_		(239,092)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	10,975		1,868		(171,351)
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Purchases of treasury stock	(99,583)		(96,303)		(70,909)
Purchases of SAP shares	· · · —		` <u> </u>		(3,565)
Stock option exercises	112		_		99
Cash dividends paid	(24,316)		(21,588)		(14,873)
Redemption of subordinated debentures	(25,774)		` <u> </u>		` <del>_</del> _
Net cash used in financing activities	(149,561)		(117,891)		(89,248)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	2,480		(50,672)		(8,267)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	 15,383		66,055		74,322
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 17,863	\$	15,383	\$	66,055

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

#### Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

#### Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

#### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Paul M. Pantozzi, the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and Linda A. Niro, the Company's Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-14(c) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of December 31, 2006. Based upon their evaluation, they each found that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files and submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported as and when required and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

#### Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of Provident Financial Services, Inc. (the "Company") is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control system is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements.

The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect transactions and dispositions of assets; provide reasonable assurances that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and the directors of the Company; and provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework*. Based on the assessment management believes that, as of December 31, 2006, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm that audited the consolidated financial statements has issued an audit report on our assessment of, and the effectiveness of, the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006. This report appears on page 53.

Item 9	9B.	Other	Informa	ation

None.

#### PART III

#### Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Information regarding director nominees, incumbent directors, executive officers, the Audit Committee of the board of directors, Audit Committee financial experts and procedures by which stockholders may recommend director nominees required by this item is set forth under "Proposal I Election of Provident Directors" under the captions "Directors and Executive Officers", "Audit Committee Matters----Audit Committee", and "Corporate Governance Matters-----Procedures for the Nomination of Directors by Stockholders" in the Proxy Statement filed for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on April 25, 2007 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Information regarding compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is set forth under "General Information" under the caption "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the Proxy Statement filed for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on April 25, 2007 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Provident has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that is applicable to all directors, officers and employees of Provident and The Provident Bank, including the principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, and all persons performing similar functions. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is posted on the "Governance Documents" section of the "Investor Relations" page on The Provident Bank's website at <a href="https://www.providentnj.com">www.providentnj.com</a>. Amendments to and waivers from the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics will also be disclosed on The Provident Bank website.

#### Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item is set forth under "Proposal I Election of Provident Directors" under the captions "Compensation Committee Matters", "Executive Compensation" and "Director Compensation" in the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on April 25, 2007 and is incorporated herein by reference.

#### Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this item regarding security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is set forth under "General Information" under the caption "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in the Proxy Statement filed for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on April 25, 2007 and is incorporated herein by reference.

#### **Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans**

Set forth below is information as of December 31, 2006 regarding equity compensation plans categorized by those plans that have been approved by stockholders and those plans that have not been approved by stockholders.

Plan	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options and Rights (1)	Weighted Average Exercise Price (2)	Number of Securities Remaining Available For Issuance Under Plan
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	4,376,845	\$18.55	1,573,545 (3)
Total	4,376,845	\$18.55	1,573,545

<sup>(1)</sup> Consists of outstanding stock options to purchase 4,376,845 shares of common stock granted under the Provident Financial Services, Inc. 2003 Stock Option Plan.

- (2) The weighted average exercise price reflects the exercise price of \$18.57 per share for stock options granted in 2003, an exercise price of \$17.43 for 60,000 stock options and \$19.22 for 40,000 stock options granted in 2004, an exercise price of \$18.03 for 47,000 stock options granted in 2005, and an exercise price of \$18.55 for 96,000 stock options, \$18.48 for 60,000 stock options, \$17.86 for 10,000 stock options and \$18.87 for 20,000 stock options granted in 2006 under the Provident Financial Services, Inc. 2003 Stock Option Plan.
- (3) Consists of stock options to purchase 1,573,545 shares that remained available to grant under the Provident Financial Services, Inc. 2003 Stock Option Plan.

The Provident Financial Services, Inc. 2003 Stock Award Plan ("SAP") was approved by the Company's stockholders on July 17, 2003. The Company has awarded 1,285,645 shares of common stock under the SAP and 1,099,087 shares remained available to award at December 31, 2006.

#### Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this item is set forth under "Proposal I Election of Provident Directors" under the caption "Corporate Governance Matters – "Director Independence" and "Transactions With Certain Related Persons" in the Proxy Statement filed for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on April 25, 2007 and is incorporated herein by reference.

#### Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by this item is set forth under "Proposal II Ratification of the Appointment of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" in the Proxy Statement filed for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on April 25, 2007 and is incorporated herein by reference.

#### PART IV

#### **Item 15.** Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

The exhibits and financial statement schedules filed as a part of this Form 10-K are as follows:

#### (a)(1) Financial Statements

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, December 31, 2006 and 2005

Consolidated Statements of Income, Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity, Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### (a)(2) <u>Financial Statement Schedules</u>

No financial statement schedules are filed because the required information is not applicable or is included in the consolidated financial statements or related notes.

#### (a)(3) Exhibits

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of Provident Financial Services, Inc.\*
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Provident Financial Services, Inc.\*\*
- 4.1 Form of Common Stock Certificate of Provident Financial Services, Inc. \*
- 10.1 Form of Employment Agreement between Provident Financial Services, Inc. and certain executive officers. \*
- 10.2 Form of Change in Control Agreement between Provident Financial Services, Inc. and certain executive officers. \*
- 10.3 Amended and Restated Employee Savings Incentive Plan, as amended. \*\*
- 10.4 Employee Stock Ownership Plan\* and Amendment No. 1 to the Employee Stock Ownership Plan. \*\*
- 10.5 Amended and Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan. \*\*
- 10.6 Amended and Restated Supplemental Executive Savings Plan, as amended. \*\*
- 10.7 Retirement Plan for the Board of Directors of The Provident Bank, as amended. \*
- 10.8 Amendment No. 1 and Amendment No. 2 to The Provident Bank Amended and Restated Board of Directors Voluntary Fee Deferral Plan. \*\*
- 10.9 Voluntary Bonus Deferral Plan, as amended. \*
- 10.10 Provident Financial Services, Inc. Board of Directors Voluntary Fee Deferral Plan, as amended. \*\*
- 10.11 First Savings Bank Directors' Deferred Fee Plan, as amended. \*\*\*
- 10.12 The Provident Bank 2005 Board of Directors Voluntary Fee Deferral Plan. \*\*\*\*
- 10.13 The Provident Bank Non-Qualified Supplemental Employee Stock Ownership Plan. \*\*\*\*
- 10.14 Provident Financial Services, Inc. 2003 Stock Option Plan. \*\*\*\*\*
- 10.15 Provident Financial Services, Inc. 2003 Stock Award Plan. \*\*\*\*\*
- 10.16 Agreement and Plan of Merger by and between Provident Financial services, Inc. and First Morris Bank & Trust dated October 15, 2006. \*\*\*\*\*\*
- 21 Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
- 23. Consent of KPMG LLP.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

- \* Filed as exhibits to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, and any amendments thereto, with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Registration No. 333-98241).
- \*\* Filed as exhibits to the Company's June 30, 2004 Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (File No. 001-31566).
- \*\*\* Filed as an exhibit to the Company's September 30, 2004 Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (File No. 001-31566).
- \*\*\*\* Filed as exhibits to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 29, 2004 (File No. 001-31566).
- \*\*\*\*\* Filed as exhibits to the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 4, 2003 (File No. 001-31566).
- \*\*\*\*\* Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 16, 2006 (File No. 001-31566).
- (b) The exhibits listed under (a)(3) above are filed herewith.

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

#### PROVIDENT FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.

Date: March 1, 2007 By: /s/ PAUL M. PANTOZZI

Paul M. Pantozzi Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ PAUL M. PANTOZZI By: /s/ LINDA A. NIRO Paul M. Pantozzi, Chairman and Linda A. Niro, Senior Vice President and Chief Executive Officer Chief Financial Officer (Principal Executive Officer) (Principal Financial Officer) March 1, 2007 Date: March 1, 2007 Date: /s/ THOMAS M. LYONS /s/ THOMAS W. BERRY By: By: Thomas W. Berry, Director Thomas M. Lyons First Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer of The Provident Bank (Principal Accounting Officer) Date: March 1, 2007 Date: March 1, 2007 /s/ LAURA L. BROOKS /s/ JOHN G. COLLINS By: By: Laura L. Brooks, Director John G. Collins, Director March 1, 2007 March 1, 2007 Date: Date: /s/ GEOFFREY M. CONNOR /s/ FRANK L. FEKETE By: By: Geoffrey M. Connor, Director Frank L. Fekete, Director Date: March 1, 2007 Date: March 1, 2007 By: /s/ CARLOS HERNANDEZ By: /s/ WILLIAM T. JACKSON Carlos Hernandez, Director William T. Jackson, Director Date: March 1, 2007 Date: March 1, 2007

/s/ CHRISTOPHER MARTIN By: /s/ ARTHUR MCCONNELL By: Christopher Martin, Director and President Arthur McConnell, Director Date: March 1, 2007 Date: March 1, 2007 By: /s/ JOHN P. MULKERIN By: /s/ EDWARD O'DONNELL John P. Mulkerin, Director Edward O'Donnell, Director March 1, 2007 Date: March 1, 2007 Date: By: /s/ THOMAS E. SHEENAN /s/ JEFFRIES SHEIN By: Thomas E. Sheenan, Director Jeffries Shein, Director March 1, 2007 March 1, 2007 Date: Date:

#### **EXHIBIT INDEX**

3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of Provident Financial Services, Inc.*
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Provident Financial Services, Inc.**
4.1	Form of Common Stock Certificate of Provident Financial Services, Inc. *
10.1	Form of Employment Agreement between Provident Financial Services, Inc. and certain executive officers. *
10.2	Form of Change in Control Agreement between Provident Financial Services, Inc. and certain executive officers. *
10.3	Amended and Restated Employee Savings Incentive Plan, as amended. **
10.4	Employee Stock Ownership Plan * and Amendment No. 1 to the Employee Stock Ownership Plan. **
10.5	Amended and Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan. **
10.6	Amended and Restated Supplemental Executive Savings Plan, as amended. **
10.7	Retirement Plan for the Board of Directors of The Provident Bank, as amended. *
10.8	Amendment No. 1 and Amendment No. 2 to The Provident Bank Amended and Restated Board of Directors Voluntary Fee Deferral Plan. **
10.9	Voluntary Bonus Deferral Plan, as amended. *
10.10	Provident Financial Services, Inc. Board of Directors Voluntary Fee Deferral Plan, as amended. **
10.11	First Savings Bank Directors' Deferred Fee Plan, as amended. ***
10.12	The Provident Bank 2005 Board of Directors Voluntary Fee Deferral Plan. ****
10.13	The Provident Bank Non-Qualified Supplemental Employee Stock Ownership Plan. ****
10.14	Provident Financial Services, Inc. 2003 Stock Option Plan. ****
10.15	Provident Financial Services, Inc. 2003 Stock Award Plan. ****
10.16	Agreement and Plan of Merger by and between Provident Financial services, Inc. and First Morris Bank & Trust dated October 15, 2006. ******
21	Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
23	Consent of KPMG LLP.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

94

32

Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

- \* Filed as exhibits to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, and any amendments thereto, with the Securities and Exchange Commission. (Registration No. 333-98241).
- \*\* Filed as exhibits to the Company's June 30, 2004 Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (File No. 001-31566).
- \*\*\* Filed as an exhibit to the Company's September 30, 2004 Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (File No. 001-31566).
- \*\*\*\* Filed as exhibits to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 29, 2004 (File No. 001-31566).
- \*\*\*\*\* Filed as exhibits to the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 4, 2003 (File No. 001-31566).
- \*\*\*\*\*\* Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 16, 2006 (File No. 001-31566).

#### **EXHIBIT 21**

#### SUBSIDIARIES OF THE REGISTRANT

Parent Company	Subsidiary Company	State of Incorporation
Provident Financial Services, Inc.	The Provident Bank	New Jersey

## EXHIBIT 23 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors
Provident Financial Services, Inc.:

We consent to the incorporation by reference in registration statements No. 333-103041 and No. 333-110195 on Form S-8 of Provident Financial Services, Inc. of our reports dated February 28, 2007, with respect to the consolidated statements of financial condition of Provident Financial Services, Inc. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006, management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, which reports appear in the December 31, 2006 annual report on Form 10-K of Provident Financial Services, Inc.

As disclosed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, "Share-Based Payments" on January 1, 2006.

/s/ KPMG LLP Short Hills, New Jersey March 1, 2007

#### **EXHIBIT 31.1**

#### **Certification of Chief Executive Officer**

#### Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

#### I, Paul M. Pantozzi, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Provident Financial Services, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a–15(e) and 15d–15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a–15(f) and 15d–15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information;
  - b) and any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;

March 1, 2007

/s/ PAUL M. PANTOZZI

Date

Paul M. Pantozzi Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

#### **EXHIBIT 31.2**

#### **Certification of Chief Financial Officer**

#### Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

#### I, Linda A. Niro, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Provident Financial Services, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a–15(e) and 15d–15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a–15(f) and 15d–15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;

March 1, 2007 Date /s/ LINDA A. NIRO

Linda A. Niro Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

#### Exhibit 32

# Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Paul M. Pantozzi, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Linda A. Niro, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Provident Financial Services, Inc. (the "Company") each certify in his or her capacity as an officer of the Company that he or she has reviewed the annual report of the Company on Form 10-K for the fiscal ended December 31, 2006 and that to the best of his knowledge:

- (1) the report fully complies with the requirements of Sections 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations.

The purpose of this statement is solely to comply with Title 18, Chapter 63, Section 1350 of the United States Code, as amended by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

March 1, 2007 /s/ PAUL M. PANTOZZI

Date Paul M. Pantozzi Chairman and

Chief Executive Officer

March 1, 2007 /s/ LINDA A. NIRO

Date Linda A. Niro

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

#### SEC AND NYSE CERTIFICATIONS

The certifications by the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Provident Financial Services, Inc. (the "Company") required under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 have been filed as exhibits to the Company's 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K. In addition, the Chief Executive Officer of the Company made an unqualified certification to the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") regarding the Company's compliance with the NYSE corporate governance listing standards in 2006.

#### **BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND CORPORATE MANAGEMENT**

#### **DIRECTORS**

Paul M. Pantozzi Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Thomas W. Berry Former Partner, Goldman Sachs & Co.

Laura L. Brooks Vice President—Risk Management and Chief Risk Officer, PSEG

John G. Collins Former President, Fleet NJ

Geoffrey M. Connor Partner, Reed Smith LLP

Frank L. Fekete Managing Partner, Mandel, Fekete & Bloom, CPAs

Carlos Hernandez President, New Jersey City University

William T. Jackson Former Executive Director, Bayview/New York Cemetery

Christopher Martin President

Arthur McConnell President, McConnell Realty

John P. Mulkerin Retired President and Chief Executive Officer, First Sentinel Bancorp, Inc.

Edward O'Donnell President, Tradelinks Transport, Inc.

Thomas E. Sheenan President, Sheenan Funeral Home

Jeffries Shein Principal, JGT Management Co., LLC

#### **MANAGEMENT**

Provident Financial Services, Inc.

Paul M. Pantozzi Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Christopher Martin President

Kevin J. Ward Vice Chairman

John F. Kuntz General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Linda A. Niro Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Kenneth J. Wagner Senior Vice President— Investor Relations

#### **MANAGEMENT**

The Provident Bank

Paul M. Pantozzi Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Christopher Martin President and Chief Operating Officer

Kevin J. Ward Vice Chairman

Donald Blum Executive Vice President and Chief Lending Officer

John F. Kuntz Executive Vice President and General Counsel

Linda A. Niro Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Janet D. Krasowski Senior Vice President— Human Resources

Giacamo Novielli Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer

#### **CORPORATE INFORMATION**

**Annual Meeting** 

The annual meeting of stockholders will be held on April 25, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. at the Hilton Newark Airport, 1170 Spring Street, Elizabeth, New Jersey. Notice of the meeting and a proxy statement are included with this mailing to stockholders of record as of March 5, 2007.

Stock Listing

Provident Financial Services, Inc. is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and trades under the ticker symbol PFS.

Transfer Agent

Stockholders wishing to change an address, transfer ownership of stock certificates, report lost certificates or inquire regarding other stock registration matters are instructed to contact:

Registrar and Transfer Company Investor Relations Department 10 Commerce Drive Cranford, NJ 07016-3572 1 (800) 368-5948 www.rtco.com email: info@rtco.com

Contact Information Information regarding The Provident Bank and Provident Financial Services, Inc. is available on our web site: www.providentnj.com

Those seeking additional information regarding PFS should contact:

Kenneth J. Wagner Senior Vice President—Investor Relations 830 Bergen Avenue Jersey City, NJ 07306 1 (201) 915-5344 email: ken.wagner@providentnj.com

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm KPMG LLP 150 JFK Parkway Short Hills, NJ 07078



830 Bergen Avenue Jersey City, N.J. 07306 www.providentnj.com