


# 

#### FRANKLIN STREET PROPERTIES CORP.

Franklin Street Properties Corp. (the "Company", "FSP", "we" or "our") (NYSE MKT: FSP) is a real estate investment trust that owns and operates a portfolio of high-quality office buildings in select major markets in the U.S. We are focused on achieving current income and long-term value creation through investments in quality suburban office buildings and select CBD investment properties. As of December 31, 2012, FSP owns 37 properties in 13 states, consisting of approximately 7.9 million rentable square feet. FSP's portfolio was approximately 94.0% leased as of December 31, 2012. Additionally, the Company manages 15 single-asset REITs (or "Sponsored REITs"), not majority owned by us, and holds seven promissory notes secured by mortgages on real estate owned by Sponsored REITs. The principal revenue sources for our real estate operations include rental income from real estate leasing, interest income from secured loans made on office properties and fee income from asset/property management. In order to create value for shareholders, the Company may engage in real estate acquisitions and dispositions as market conditions dictate.

Based in Wakefield, Massachusetts, FSP is a Maryland corporation. The Company was originally founded in 1997 and has been publicly-traded since mid-2005. To learn more about FSP please visit its website at: www.franklinstreetproperties.com





#### FELLOW STOCKHOLDERS

Our Company's operating profit performance rose in 2012 – the second consecutive year of increase since the financial crisis and subsequent recession began in 2008. Profits as measured by funds from operations, or FFO1, increased by approximately \$7.8 million or 9.2% from \$0.87 per share in 2011 to \$0.95 per share in 2012. We paid a \$0.76 per share dividend during 2012. In the current low interest rate environment, we believe the income yield provided by our cash dividend distributions was more valuable than ever to investors. In the past, we had repeatedly referred to 2010 as our "hump year" and said that we thought 2011 would be our first year of profit increases since the economic recession in 2008. We further said that, barring any new significant downturn or "double dip" in the U.S. economy, 2011 would be a transitional year to a longerterm upward trend in the commercial/office real estate cycle which would result in continued growth in FSP's profits for 2012 and beyond. In fact, the U.S. economy did experience sustained slow growth during 2012 and marginal improvement in employment metrics, and our profits in 2012 grew as anticipated.

We were pleased with the performance of our property portfolio in 2012 and the significant progress we made strengthening our balance sheet and improving occupancy in the midst of a mixed macroeconomic environment. During the year, we acquired two high-quality office properties for a total of \$207 million. In addition, we invested approximately \$41.6 million in mortgage loans secured by properties owned by our affiliated Sponsored REITs. We fortified our balance sheet with a larger credit line, increasing total capacity to \$900 million, taking

advantage of lower interest rates and extending the term to 2017. Our new credit facility provides the Company with significant liquidity and investable capital, and with a debt-to-total market capitalization ratio of 37.7%, our leverage remains low. Additionally, by year-end 2012, we achieved 94% occupancy in our operating portfolio. When compared to the year-end national office occupancy rate of about 83%, this achievement illustrated the quality of our properties and their market locations. In addition, one of our Sponsored REITs, FSP Phoenix Tower Corp., took advantage of increasing capital demand for high-quality office assets by selling its approximately 624,000 squarefoot office complex in Houston, Texas for \$123,750,000. FSP's first mortgage loan investment of \$15 million was repaid, and we realized a gain of \$1.6 million on our equity investment.

As we look to 2013 and beyond, we remain committed to our core principles of owning high-quality real estate in attractive markets around the country, anchored by a strong balance sheet to provide liquidity and support opportunistic growth. The economy, though hampered by uncertainty in Washington and the imposition of higher taxes, continues a course of slow, steady improvement, and unemployment is back below 8.0% for the first time since 2009. The Federal Reserve appears committed to keeping interest rates low for the foreseeable future, which should support a continuation of the modest economic expansion we have been experiencing. Regardless of the economic backdrop, we believe that FSP's investment and operating strategy can succeed throughout the cycle, and we remain committed to opportunistic real estate investing.





In fact, a slow steady recovery works to our advantage in several ways.

First, we expect continued improvement in office Job growth is positive, which drives absorption for office space. With office construction still constrained, due to a lack of credit availability for speculative projects and rising construction costs, even a slow improvement in demand can support fundamental improvement in office market occupancy rates and, ultimately, rental rates. Because a significant percentage of our leases were signed during the last five years, future lease expirations should provide potential opportunities for upside in revenue, net operating incomes, and asset values. Second, institutional capital investment has been slower to return to the office property sector (particularly suburban office) compared to other real estate sectors, and investment yields continue to offer a wide spread to financing costs. We will continue to look for attractive investment opportunities, in high-quality properties and faster-growing submarkets. To that end, we have continued to invest capital in cities such as Houston, Dallas and Denver in which we see significant employment growth coming from businesses in the rapidly-expanding energy industry. Finally, we are committed to simplifying our Company, with an ever-sharper focus on our core business: owning and operating a high-quality office portfolio in attractive markets nationwide. To this end, we have wound down our investment banking activities, and will continue to manage the Sponsored REITs, recommending that the Sponsored REIT shareholders agree to sell their respective real estate

assets when we believe we have best maximized value for those shareholders. While these activities have provided FSP with attractive returns in the past, we believe our Company's valuation will benefit from the simplification of our business model and the growth of our wholly-owned portfolio. In addition, we remain dedicated to harvesting gains and recycling capital to maximize returns, taking advantage of both specific value-added successes achieved on individual properties in our portfolio as well as broader cyclical economic trends. With a strong balance sheet and ample cash flow, our disposition timing is determined on an asset-by-asset basis, rather than driven by capital needs or arbitrary dates.

In closing, I'd like all shareholders to know that our team of dedicated professionals at FSP has continued to work hard over the past year. We believe that FSP is very well positioned as the economy continues its slow improvement and that the efforts of the past few years have given the Company an excellent opportunity to generate increased profits from our strengthening property portfolio and enhanced balance sheet.

Thank you for your continued trust, confidence and support.

George J. Carter

George J. Cart

Chairman & Chief Executive Officer

# COMPELLING OPPORTUNITIES





The sustainability of our portfolio of properties remains a central area of focus for FSP. Commercial buildings are one of the main consumers of energy and also greatly affect other areas of environmental concern such as water and materials. FSP actively manages its properties to mitigate the adverse effects of the built environment, while at the same time maximizing tenant comfort and shareholder returns.


The optimization of our energy use is a particular area of emphasis. Our portfolio includes buildings that are rated in the top percentile in the nation for energy efficiency. A significant percentage of FSP's owned or asset-managed buildings have earned the ENERGY STAR label, denoting that their energy performance is among the top 25% of similar properties.

The measurement, benchmarking and analysis of the energy use at our buildings allow FSP to identify the properties that would most benefit from additional sustainability resources as well as track the progress of our efforts. In the coming year, FSP will be enhancing its energy monitoring and benchmarking capabilities to provide for further opportunities for cost savings through reduced energy consumption.


An additional resource that FSP uses as a tool to measure and improve building-wide sustainability is the U.S. Green Building Council's Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED®) rating system. Through LEED, properties in FSP's portfolio have been recognized for superior site and water management, material use and indoor environmental quality.

FSP's commitment to the sustainability of its commercial properties not only improves the health of the environment, but also benefits the occupants of its buildings as well as the Company's stockholders.


# PROPERTY MAP








# FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS




Distributions Paid (per share)


as of December 31



Funds from Operations (FFO)\* (per share) as of December 31



Leased Percentage as of December 31





### 2345 Grand Boulevard, Kansas City


#### Balance Sheet Data - Year Ended December 31

(In thousands, except per share amounts)	2009	2010	2011	2012
Total assets Total liabilities	\$1,154,850 217,576	\$1,238,735 317,177	\$1,408,661 487,294	\$1,527,179 662,430
Total shareholders' equity	937,274	921,558	921,367	864,749
Shares outstanding at year-end	79,681	81,437	82,937	82,937
Shareholders' equity per share	\$11.76	\$11.32	\$11.11	\$10.42
Dividends paid for the year ended December 31	\$55,313	\$60,586	\$62,177	\$63,032

<sup>\*</sup>FFO is a non-GAAP financial measure currently used in the real estate industry that we believe provides useful information to investors. Please refer to page A-I of this Annual Report for a definition of FFO and a reconciliation of net income to FFO.

# FSP STRATEGY

FSP's investment strategy is to make direct investments in real estate assets. Our primary type of real estate asset is suburban office properties. The Company prefers to maintain a lower range of leverage in order to maximize access to capital throughout cycles and promote an opportunistic investment strategy in which assets are selectively bought at attractive prices during cyclical lows and sold at more advantageous times. Our active portfolio management, focused across a diverse set of institutional investment markets, allows the Company to maintain a high-quality portfolio and generate attractive returns on capital.



This Annual Report contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of federal securities laws. For more information, please refer to the discussion in the first paragraph of Item 7 in the attached Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.



Following is the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

#### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

#### FORM 10-K

UANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
URSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
ssion File No. 001-32470
REET PROPERTIES CORP. registrant as specified in its charter)
04-3578653
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
identification (No.)
nusetts 01880 (Zip Code)
umber, including area code: (781) 557-1300
d pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:
Name of each exchange on which registered: re NYSE MKT
oursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None
nt is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the
nt is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-K, Continued

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  $\underline{X}$  No  $\underline{\hspace{0.5cm}}$ .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes X No \_\_.

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

	Large accelerated filer ⊠	Accelerated filer
	Non-accelerated filer	Smaller reporting company
Yes	Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as de No $\underline{X}$ .	efined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates based on the closing sale price as reported on NYSE MKT, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, June 30, 2012, was approximately \$771,388,918.

There were 82,937,405 shares of common stock of the registrant outstanding as of February 15, 2013.

Documents incorporated by reference: The registrant intends to file a definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A, promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, to be used in connection with the registrant's Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 16, 2013 (the "Proxy Statement"). The information required in response to Items 10 – 14 of Part III of this Form 10-K, other than that contained in Part I under the caption, "Directors and Executive Officers of FSP Corp.," is hereby incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement.

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

PART I		1
Item 1.	Business.	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors.	7
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments.	14
Item 2.	Properties	15
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	20
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	20
PART II		21
Item 5.	Market For Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases	
	of Equity Securities	21
	Stock Performance Graph.	22
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data.	23
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	44
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	46
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	46
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures.	
Item 9B.	Other Information	47
PART III		48
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	48
	Executive Compensation	
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Mat	ters.48
	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	
	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	
PART IV		49
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	49
SIGNATUI	RES	50

#### PART I

#### Item 1. Business

#### History

Our company, Franklin Street Properties Corp., which we refer to as FSP Corp., the Company, we or our, is a Maryland corporation that operates in a manner intended to qualify as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, for federal income tax purposes. Our common stock is traded on the NYSE MKT under the symbol "FSP". FSP Corp. is the successor to Franklin Street Partners Limited Partnership, or the FSP Partnership, which was originally formed as a Massachusetts general partnership in January 1997 as the successor to a Massachusetts general partnership that was formed in 1981. On January 1, 2002, the FSP Partnership converted into FSP Corp., which we refer to as the conversion. As a result of this conversion, the FSP Partnership ceased to exist and we succeeded to the business of the FSP Partnership. In the conversion, each unit of both general and limited partnership interests in the FSP Partnership was converted into one share of our common stock. As a result of the conversion, we hold, directly and indirectly, 100% of the interest in three former subsidiaries of the FSP Partnership: FSP Investments LLC, FSP Property Management LLC, and FSP Holdings LLC. We operate some of our business through these subsidiaries.

#### Our Business

We are a REIT focused on commercial real estate investments primarily in suburban office markets and currently operate in only one segment: real estate operations. The principal revenue sources for our real estate operations include rental income from real estate leasing, interest income from secured loans made on office properties and fee income from asset/property management.

Previously we also operated in an investment banking segment, which was discontinued in December 2011. Our investment banking segment generated brokerage commissions, loan origination fees, development services and other fees related to the organization of single-purpose entities that own real estate and the private placement of equity in those entities. We refer to these entities which are organized as corporations and operated in a manner intended to qualify as REITs, as Sponsored REITs. On December 15, 2011, we announced that our broker/dealer subsidiary, FSP Investments LLC, would no longer sponsor the syndication of shares of preferred stock in newlyformed Sponsored REITs. FSP Investments LLC is a registered broker/dealer with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, or FINRA.

From time-to-time we may acquire real estate or invest in real estate by making secured loans on real estate or by acquiring our Sponsored REITs, although we have no legal or any other enforceable obligation to acquire or to offer to acquire any Sponsored REIT. We may also pursue on a selective basis the sale of our properties to take advantage of the value creation and demand for our properties, or for geographic or property specific reasons.

#### Real Estate

We own and operate a portfolio of real estate consisting of 37 office properties as of December 31, 2012. We derive rental revenue from income paid to us by tenants of these properties. See Item 2 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information about our properties. From time-to-time we dispose of properties generating gains or losses in an ongoing effort to improve and upgrade our portfolio. We also held preferred stock investments in two Sponsored REITs as of December 31, 2012, from which we record our share of income or loss under the equity method of accounting, and from which we receive dividends.

We provide asset management, property management, property accounting, investor and/or development services to our portfolio and certain of our Sponsored REITs through our subsidiaries FSP Investments LLC and FSP Property Management LLC. FSP Corp. recognizes revenue from its receipt of fee income from Sponsored REITs that have not been consolidated or acquired by us. Neither FSP Investments LLC nor FSP Property Management LLC receives any rental income.

From time-to-time we may make secured loans to Sponsored REITs in the form of mortgage loans or revolving lines of credit to fund construction costs, capital expenditures, leasing costs and for other purposes. We anticipate that these loans will be repaid at their maturity or earlier from long-term financings of the underlying

properties, cash flows from the underlying properties or some other capital event. We refer to these loans as Sponsored REIT Loans. We have seven Sponsored REIT Loans secured by real estate outstanding as of December 31, 2012, from which we derive interest income.

Prior to discontinuing our investment banking segment in December 2011, we typically made an acquisition loan to each Sponsored REIT to fund the purchase of its underlying property, which was secured by a mortgage on the borrower's real estate. These loans typically were repaid out of the proceeds of the borrower's equity offering. We refer to these loans as Acquisition Loans. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, there were no Acquisition Loans outstanding.

#### **Investment Objectives**

Our investment objectives are to create shareholder value by increasing revenue from rental, dividend and interest income and net gains from sales of properties and increase the cash available for distribution in the form of dividends to our stockholders. We expect that we will continue to derive real estate revenue from Sponsored REIT Loans and fees from asset management, property management and investor services. We may also acquire additional real properties by direct purchase or by acquisition of Sponsored REITs, though we have no obligation to acquire or offer to acquire any Sponsored REIT in the future.

From time to time, as market conditions warrant, we may sell properties owned by us. We sold one property located in Southfield, Michigan on December 21, 2012 at a loss. We sold one industrial property located in Savage, Maryland on June 24, 2011, and one office property located in Falls Church, Virginia on January 21, 2011, each at a gain. We did not sell any properties in 2010. When we sell a property, we either distribute some or all of the sale proceeds to our stockholders as a distribution or retain some or all of such proceeds for investment in real properties or other corporate activities.

We may acquire, and have acquired, real properties in any geographic area of the United States and of any property type. We own 37 properties that are located in 13 different states. See Item 2 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information about our properties.

We rely on the following principles in selecting real properties for acquisition by FSP Corp. and managing them after acquisition:

- we seek to buy or develop investment properties at a price which produces value for investors and avoid overpaying for real estate merely to outbid competitors;
- we seek to buy or develop properties in excellent locations with substantial infrastructure in place around them and avoid investing in locations where the future construction of such infrastructure is speculative;
- we seek to buy or develop properties that are well-constructed and designed to appeal to a broad base of
  users and avoid properties where quality has been sacrificed for cost savings in construction or which
  appeal only to a narrow group of users;
- we aggressively manage, maintain and upgrade our properties and refuse to neglect or undercapitalize management, maintenance and capital improvement programs; and
- we believe that we have the ability to hold properties through down cycles because we generally do not have significant leverage on the Company, which could place the properties at risk of foreclosure. As of February 15, 2013, none of our 37 properties was subject to mortgage debt.

#### Competition

With respect to our real estate investments, we face competition in each of the markets where our properties are located. In order to establish, maintain or increase the rental revenues for a property, it must be competitive on location, cost and amenities with other buildings of similar use. Some of our competitors may have significantly more resources than we do and may be able to offer more attractive rental rates or services. On the other hand, some of our competitors may be smaller or have less fixed overhead costs, less cash or other resources that make them willing or able to accept lower rents in order to maintain a certain occupancy level. In markets where there is not currently significant existing property competition, our competitors may decide to enter the market and build new buildings to compete with our existing projects or those in a development stage. Our

competition is not only with other developers, but also with property users who choose to own their building or a portion of the building in the form of an office condominium. Competitive conditions are affected by larger market forces beyond our control, such as general economic conditions, that may increase competition among landlords for quality tenants, and individual decisions by tenants that are beyond our control.

#### **Employees**

We had 35 employees as of December 31, 2012 and February 15, 2013.

#### Available Information

We are subject to the informational requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and, in accordance therewith, we file reports and other information with the SEC. The reports and other information we file can be inspected and copied at the SEC Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Such reports and other information may also be obtained from the web site that the SEC maintains at <a href="http://www.sec.gov">http://www.sec.gov</a>. Further information about the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

We make available, free of charge through our website http://www.franklinstreetproperties.com our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with the SEC.

Reports and other information concerning us may also be obtained electronically through a variety of databases, including, among others, the Electronic Data Gathering, Analysis, and Retrieval (EDGAR) program at <a href="http://www.sec.gov">http://www.sec.gov</a>, Knight-Ridder Information Inc., Federal Filing/Dow Jones and Lexis/Nexis.

We will voluntarily provide paper copies of our filings and code of ethics upon written request received at the address on the cover of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, free of charge.

#### Directors and Executive Officers of FSP Corp.

The following table sets forth the names, ages and positions of all our directors and executive officers as of February 15, 2013.

<u>Name</u>	Age	<u>Position</u>
George J. Carter (5)	64	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Barbara J. Fournier (4)	57	Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer,
		Treasurer, Secretary and Director
Janet Prier Notopoulos (3)	65	Executive Vice President and Director
John N. Burke (1) (2) (4) (6)	51	Director
Brian N. Hansen(1) (2) (3)	41	Director
Dennis J. McGillicuddy (1) (2) (3)	71	Director
Georgia Murray (1) (2) (5) (7)	62	Director
Barry Silverstein (1) (2) (4)	79	Director
Jeffery B. Carter	41	Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer
Scott H. Carter	41	Executive Vice President, General Counsel
		and Assistant Secretary
John G. Demeritt	52	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

- (1) Member of the Audit Committee
- (2) Member of the Compensation Committee
- (3) Class I Director
- (4) Class II Director
- (5) Class III Director
- (6) Chair of the Audit Committee
- (7) Chair of the Compensation Committee

George J. Carter, age 64, is President, Chief Executive Officer and has been a Director of FSP Corp. since 2002. Mr. Carter is responsible for all aspects of the business of FSP Corp. and its affiliates, with special emphasis on the evaluation, acquisition and structuring of real estate investments. Prior to the conversion, he was President of the general partner of the FSP Partnership (the "General Partner") and was responsible for all aspects of the business of the FSP Partnership and its affiliates. From 1992 through 1996 he was President of Boston Financial Securities, Inc. ("Boston Financial"). Prior to joining Boston Financial, Mr. Carter was owner and developer of Gloucester Dry Dock, a commercial shipyard in Gloucester, Massachusetts. From 1979 to 1988, Mr. Carter served as Managing Director in charge of marketing at First Winthrop Corporation, a national real estate and investment banking firm headquartered in Boston, Massachusetts. Prior to that, he held a number of positions in the brokerage industry including those with Merrill Lynch & Co. and Loeb Rhodes & Co. Mr. Carter is a graduate of the University of Miami (B.S.). Mr. Carter is a FINRA General Securities Principal (Series 24) and holds a FINRA Series 7 general securities license and a FINRA Series 79, Investment Banker Registration license.

Barbara J. Fournier, age 57, is Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer, Treasurer, Secretary and has been a Director of FSP Corp. since 2002. Ms. Fournier has as her primary responsibility, together with Mr. Carter, the management of all operating business affairs of FSP Corp. and its affiliates. Ms. Fournier was the Principal Financial Officer until March 2005. Prior to the conversion, Ms. Fournier was the Vice President, Chief Operating Officer, Treasurer and Secretary of the General Partner. From 1993 through 1996, she was Director of Operations for the private placement division of Boston Financial. Prior to joining Boston Financial, Ms. Fournier served as Director of Operations for Schuparra Securities Corp. and as the Sales Administrator for Weston Financial Group. From 1979 through 1986, Ms. Fournier worked at First Winthrop Corporation in administrative and management capacities; including Office Manager, Securities Operations and Partnership Administration. Ms. Fournier attended Northeastern University and the New York Institute of Finance. Ms. Fournier is a member of the

NYSE MKT Listed Company Council. Ms. Fournier participates in corporate governance-related continuing education sessions offered by the NYSE affiliate, Corporate Board Member. Ms. Fournier is a FINRA General Securities Principal (Series 24). She also holds other FINRA supervisory licenses including Series 4 and Series 53, and a FINRA Series 7 general securities license, a FINRA Series 99, Operations Professional license and a FINRA Series 79, Investment Banker Registration license.

Janet Prier Notopoulos, age 65, is an Executive Vice President of FSP Corp. and has been a Director of FSP Corp. and President of FSP Property Management since 2002. Ms. Notopoulos has as her primary responsibility the oversight of the management of the real estate assets of FSP Corp. and its affiliates. Prior to the conversion, Ms. Notopoulos was a Vice President of the General Partner. Prior to joining the FSP Partnership in 1997, Ms. Notopoulos was a real estate and marketing consultant for various clients. From 1975 to 1983, she was Vice President of North Coast Properties, Inc., a Boston real estate investment company. Between 1969 and 1973, she was a real estate paralegal at Goodwin, Procter & Hoar. Ms. Notopoulos is a graduate of Wellesley College (B.A.) and the Harvard School of Business Administration (M.B.A).

John N.Burke, age 51, has been a Director of FSP Corp. and Chair of the Audit Committee since June 2004. Mr. Burke is a certified public accountant with approximately 30 years of experience in the practice of public accounting working with both private and publicly traded companies with extensive experience serving clients in the real estate industry. Such experience includes analysis and evaluation of financial reporting, accounting systems, internal controls and audit matters. Mr. Burke has been involved as an advisor on several public offerings, private equity and debt financings and merger and acquisition transactions. Mr. Burke's consulting experience includes a wide range of business planning services. Prior to starting his own firm in 2003, Mr. Burke was a Partner in the Boston office of BDO USA, LLP. Mr. Burke is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the Massachusetts Society of CPAs. Mr. Burke earned an M.S. in Taxation and studied undergraduate accounting and finance at Bentley University.

Brian N. Hansen, age 41, became a Director in November 2012. Mr. Hansen is President and Chief Operating Officer of Confluence Investment Management LLC, a St. Louis based Registered Investment Advisor. Prior to founding Confluence in 2007, Mr. Hansen served as a Managing Director in A.G. Edwards' Financial Institutions & Real Estate Investment Banking practice. While at A.G. Edwards, Mr. Hansen advised a wide variety of Real Estate Investment Trusts on numerous capital markets transactions, including public and private offerings of debt and equity securities as well as the analysis of various merger & acquisition opportunities. Prior to joining A.G. Edwards, Mr. Hansen served as a Manager in Arthur Andersen LLP's Audit & Business Advisory practice. Mr. Hansen serves on the board of a number of non-profit entities and the Investment Committee of the Archdiocese of St. Louis. Mr. Hansen earned his MBA from the Kellogg School of Management at Northwestern University and his Bachelor of Science in Commerce from DePaul University. Mr. Hansen is a Certified Public Accountant.

Dennis J. McGillicuddy, age 71, has been a Director of FSP Corp. since May 2002. Mr. McGillicuddy graduated from the University of Florida with a B.A. degree and from the University of Florida Law School with a J.D. degree. In 1968, Mr. McGillicuddy joined Barry Silverstein in founding Coaxial Communications, a cable television company. In 1998 and 1999, Coaxial sold its cable systems. Mr. McGillicuddy has served on the boards of various charitable organizations. He is currently president of the Board of Trustees of Florida Studio Theater, a professional non-profit theater organization, and he serves as a Co-Chair, together with his wife, of Embracing Our Differences, an annual month-long art exhibit that promotes the values of diversity and inclusion. Mr. McGillicuddy also is a member of the Advisory Board to the Center For Mindfulness In Medicine, Health Care & Society, University of Massachusetts Medical School.

Georgia Murray, age 62, has been a Director of FSP Corp. since April 2005 and Chair of the Compensation Committee since October 2006. Ms. Murray is retired from Lend Lease Real Estate Investments, Inc., where she served as a Principal from November 1999 until May 2000. From 1973 through October 1999, Ms. Murray worked at The Boston Financial Group, Inc., serving as Senior Vice President and a Director at times during her tenure. Boston Financial was an affiliate of the Boston Financial Group, Inc. She is a past Trustee of the Urban Land Institute and a past President of the Multifamily Housing Institute. Ms. Murray previously served on the Board of Directors of Capital Crossing Bank. She also serves on the boards of numerous non-profit entities. Ms. Murray is a graduate of Newton College.

Barry Silverstein, age 79, has been a Director of FSP Corp. since May 2002. Mr. Silverstein took his law degree from Yale University in 1957 and subsequently held positions as attorney/officer/director of various privately-held manufacturing companies in Chicago, Illinois. In 1964, he moved to Florida to manage his own portfolio and to teach at the University of Florida Law School. In 1968, Mr. Silverstein became the principal founder and shareholder in Coaxial Communications, a cable television company. In 1998 and 1999, Coaxial sold its cable systems. Since January 2001, Mr. Silverstein has been a private investor.

Jeffrey B. Carter, age 41, is Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer of FSP Corp. Mr. Carter was appointed to that position in February 2012. Previously, Mr. Carter served as Senior Vice President and Director of Acquisitions of FSP Corp. from 2005 to 2012 and as Vice President - Acquisitions from 2003 to 2005. Mr. Carter is primarily responsible for developing and implementing the Company's investment strategy, including coordination of acquisitions and dispositions. Prior to joining FSP Corp., Mr. Carter worked in Trust Administration for Northern Trust Bank in Miami, Florida. Mr. Carter is a graduate of Arizona State University (B.A.) and The George Washington University (M.A.). Mr. Carter holds a FINRA Series 7 general securities license and a FINRA Series 79, Investment Banker Registration license. Mr. Carter's father, George J. Carter, serves as President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of FSP Corp. and Mr. Carter's brother, Scott H. Carter, serves as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Assistant Secretary of FSP Corp.

Scott H. Carter, age 41, is Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Assistant Secretary of FSP Corp. Mr. Carter has been General Counsel since February 2008. Mr. Carter joined FSP Corp. in October 2005 as Senior Vice President, In-house Counsel and was appointed to the position of Assistant Secretary in May 2006. Mr. Carter has as his primary responsibility the management of all of the legal affairs of FSP Corp. and its affiliates. Prior to joining FSP Corp. in October 2005, Mr. Carter was associated with the law firm of Nixon Peabody LLP, which he originally joined in 1999. At Nixon Peabody LLP, Mr. Carter concentrated his practice on the areas of real estate syndication, acquisitions and finance. Mr. Carter received a Bachelor of Business Administration (B.B.A.) degree in Finance and Marketing and a Juris Doctor (J.D.) degree from the University of Miami. Mr. Carter is admitted to practice law in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Mr. Carter's father, George J. Carter, serves as President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of FSP Corp. and Mr. Carter's brother, Jeffery B. Carter, serves as Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer of FSP Corp.

John G. Demeritt, age 52, is Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of FSP Corp. and has been Chief Financial Officer since March 2005. Mr. Demeritt previously served as Senior Vice President, Finance and Principal Accounting Officer since September 2004. Prior to September 2004, Mr. Demeritt was a Manager with Caturano & Company, an independent accounting firm (which later merged with McGladrey) where he focused on Sarbanes Oxley compliance. Previously, from March 2002 to March 2004 he provided consulting services to public and private companies where he focused on SEC filings, evaluation of business processes and acquisition integration. During 2001 and 2002 he was Vice President of Financial Planning & Analysis at Cabot Industrial Trust, a publicly traded real estate investment trust, which was acquired by CalWest in December 2001. From October 1995 to December 2000 he was Controller and Officer of The Meditrust Companies, a publicly traded real estate investment trust (formerly known as the The La Quinta Companies, which was then acquired by the Blackstone Group), where he was involved with a number of merger and financing transactions. Prior to that, from 1986 to 1995 he had financial and accounting responsibilities at three other public companies, and was previously associated with Laventhol & Horwath, an independent accounting firm from 1983 to 1986. Mr. Demeritt is a Certified Public Accountant and holds a Bachelor of Science degree from Babson College.

Each of the above executive officers has been a full-time employee of FSP Corp. for the past five fiscal years.

George J. Carter, Barbara J. Fournier and Janet Notopoulos is each also a director of each of the following public reporting companies, each of which is a Sponsored REIT: FSP Galleria North Corp.; FSP 50 South Tenth Street Corp.; and FSP 303 East Wacker Drive Corp. Each of these directors holds office in these companies from the time of his or her election until the next annual meeting and until a successor is elected and qualified, or until such director's earlier death, resignation or removal.

#### Item 1A Risk Factors

The following important factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated by forward-looking statements made in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and presented elsewhere by management from time-to-time.

### Economic conditions in the United States could have a material adverse impact on our earnings and financial condition.

Because economic conditions in the United States may affect real estate values, occupancy levels and property income, current and future economic conditions in the United States could have a material adverse impact on our earnings and financial condition. The economy in the United States is continuing to experience a period of limited economic growth, including high levels of unemployment, the failure and near failure of a number of financial institutions and increased credit risk premiums for a number of market participants. These conditions may continue or worsen in the future. Economic conditions may be affected by numerous factors, including but not limited to, inflation and employment levels, energy prices, slow growth and/or recessionary concerns, changes in currency exchange rates, fiscal policy uncertainty, geopolitical events, changes in government regulations, regulatory uncertainty, the availability of debt and interest rate fluctuations. At this time we cannot predict the extent or duration of any negative impact that the current state of the U.S. economy will have on our earnings and financial condition.

# If a Sponsored REIT defaults on a Sponsored REIT Loan, we may be required to keep a balance outstanding on our unsecured credit facility or use our cash balance to repay our unsecured credit facility which may reduce cash available for distribution to our stockholders or for other corporate purposes.

From time-to-time, we may draw on our unsecured credit facility comprised of both a revolving line of credit and a term loan, which we refer to as the 2012 Credit Facility, to make secured loans to Sponsored REITs in the form of mortgage loans or revolving lines of credit to fund construction costs, capital expenditures, leasing costs and for other purposes. We refer to these loans as Sponsored REIT Loans. We anticipate that each Sponsored REIT Loan will be repaid at maturity or earlier from long term financing of the property securing the loan, cash flows from that underlying property or some other capital event. If a Sponsored REIT defaults on a Sponsored REIT Loan, the Sponsored REIT could be unable to fully repay the Sponsored REIT Loan and we would have to satisfy our obligation under the 2012 Credit Facility through other means. If we are required to use cash for this purpose, we would have less cash available for distribution to our stockholders or for other corporate purposes.

# Our operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected if we are unable to refinance the 2012 Credit Facility.

There can be no assurance that we will be able to refinance either the revolving line of credit portion of our 2012 Credit Facility upon its maturity on September 27, 2016 (subject to extension until September 27, 2017) or the term loan portion of our 2012 Credit Facility upon its maturity on September 27, 2017, that any such refinancings would be on terms as favorable as the terms of the 2012 Credit Facility, or that we will be able to otherwise obtain funds by selling assets or raising equity to make required payments on the 2012 Credit Facility. If we are unable to refinance the 2012 Credit Facility at maturity or meet our payment obligations, the amount of our distributable cash flow and our financial condition would be adversely affected.

## Failure to comply with covenants in our 2012 Credit Facility credit agreement could adversely affect our financial condition.

Our 2012 Credit Facility credit agreement contains customary restrictions, requirements and other limitations on our ability to incur indebtedness, including maximum leverage ratios, maximum secured leverage ratios, minimum fixed charge coverage ratios, maximum unencumbered leverage ratios and minimum unsecured debt service coverage ratios, which we must maintain. Our continued ability to borrow under the 2012 Credit Facility is subject to compliance with our financial and other covenants. Failure to comply with such covenants could cause a default under the 2012 Credit Facility, and we may then be required to repay the 2012 Credit Facility

with capital from other sources. Under those circumstances, other sources of capital may not be available to us, or be available only on unattractive terms.

We may use the 2012 Credit Facility to purchase properties directly for our real estate portfolio, to make Sponsored REIT Loans or for other corporate purposes. If we breach covenants in our 2012 Credit Facility credit agreement, the lenders can declare a default. A default under our 2012 Credit Facility credit agreement could result in difficulty financing growth in our business and could also result in a reduction in the cash available for distribution to our stockholders or for other corporate purposes. A default under our 2012 Credit Facility credit agreement could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

## An increase in interest rates would increase our interest costs on variable rate debt and could adversely impact our ability to refinance existing debt or sell assets.

As of December 31, 2012, we had approximately \$217 million of indebtedness under the revolving line of credit portion of our 2012 Credit Facility that bears interest at variable rates, and we may incur more of such indebtedness in the future. Borrowings under the revolving line of credit portion of our 2012 Credit Facility may not exceed \$500 million outstanding at any time, although such amount may be increased by up to an additional \$250 million through the exercise of an accordion feature. The term loan portion of our 2012 Credit Facility is for \$400 million. On September 27, 2012, we fixed the base LIBOR rate on the term loan portion of our 2012 Credit Facility at 0.75% for five years by entering into an interest rate swap agreement. In the future, if interest rates increase, then so will the interest costs on our unhedged variable rate debt, which could adversely affect our cash flow, our ability to pay principal and interest on our debt and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders. In addition, rising interest rates could limit our ability to both incur new debt and to refinance existing debt when it matures. From time to time, we may enter into interest rate swap agreements and other interest rate hedging contracts, including swaps, caps and floors. While these agreements are intended to lessen the impact of rising interest rates on us, they also expose us to the risks that the other parties to the agreements will not perform, we could incur significant costs associated with the settlement of the agreements, the agreements will be unenforceable and the underlying transactions will fail to qualify as highly-effective cash flow hedges. In addition, an increase in interest rates could decrease the amount third parties are willing to pay for our assets, thereby limiting our ability to change our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions.

# If we are not able to collect sufficient rents from each of our owned real properties, investments in Sponsored REITs or interest on Sponsored REIT Loans we fund, we may suffer significant operating losses or a reduction in cash available for future dividends.

A substantial portion of our revenue is generated by the rental income of our real properties and investments in Sponsored REITs. If our properties do not provide us with a steady rental income or we do not collect interest income from Sponsored REIT Loans we fund, our revenues will decrease, which may cause us to incur operating losses in the future and reduce the cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

#### We may not be able to find properties that meet our criteria for purchase.

Growth in our portfolio of real estate is dependent on the ability of our acquisition executives to find properties for sale and/or development which meet the applicable investment criteria. To the extent they fail to find such properties, we would be unable to increase the size of our portfolio of real estate, which would reduce the cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

#### We are dependent on key personnel.

We depend on the efforts of George J. Carter, our President and Chief Executive Officer and a Director; Barbara J. Fournier, our Chief Operating Officer, Treasurer, Secretary, an Executive Vice President and a Director; John G. Demeritt, our Chief Financial Officer and an Executive Vice President; Jeffery B. Carter, our Chief Investment Officer and an Executive Vice President; Janet Prier Notopoulos, an Executive Vice President and a Director; and Scott H. Carter, our General Counsel, Assistant Secretary and an Executive Vice President. If any of our executive officers were to resign, our operations could be adversely affected. We do not have employment agreements with any of our executive officers.

#### Our level of dividends may fluctuate.

Because our real estate occupancy levels and rental rates can fluctuate, there is no predictable recurring level of revenue from such activities. As a result of this, the amount of cash available for distribution may fluctuate, which may result in our not being able to maintain or grow dividend levels in the future.

#### We face risks from tenant defaults or bankruptcies.

If any of our tenants defaults on its lease, we may experience delays in enforcing our rights as a landlord and may incur substantial costs in protecting our investment. In addition, at any time, a tenant of one of our properties may seek the protection of bankruptcy laws, which could result in the rejection and termination of such tenant's lease and thereby cause a reduction in cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

#### The real properties held by us may significantly decrease in value.

As of February 15, 2013, we owned 37 properties. Some or all of these properties may decline in value. To the extent our real properties decline in value, our stockholders could lose some or all of the value of their investments. The value of our common stock may be adversely affected if the real properties held by us decline in value since these real properties represent the majority of the tangible assets held by us. Moreover, if we are forced to sell or lease the real property held by us below its initial purchase price or its carrying costs, respectively, or if we are forced to lease real property at below market rates because of the condition of the property, our results of operations would be adversely affected and such negative results of operations may result in lower dividends being paid to holders of our common stock.

#### New acquisitions may fail to perform as expected.

We may acquire new properties by direct FSP Corp. purchase, by acquisition of Sponsored REITs or by acquisition of other entities. We may purchase these properties with cash, by drawing on the 2012 Credit Facility, by assuming existing indebtedness, by entering into new indebtedness, by issuing shares of our stock or by other means. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we acquired one property located in Georgia and one property located in Texas. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we acquired one property in North Carolina, one property in Illinois and three properties in Texas. Newly acquired properties may fail to perform as expected, in which case, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

#### We face risks in owning, developing and operating real property.

An investment in us is subject to the risks incident to the ownership, development and operation of real estate-related assets. These risks include the fact that real estate investments are generally illiquid, which may affect our ability to vary our portfolio in response to changes in economic and other conditions, as well as the risks normally associated with:

- changes in general and local economic conditions;
- the supply or demand for particular types of properties in particular markets;
- changes in market rental rates;
- the impact of environmental protection laws;
- changes in tax, real estate and zoning laws; and
- the impact of obligations and restrictions contained in title-related documents.

Certain significant costs, such as real estate taxes, utilities, insurance and maintenance costs, generally are not reduced even when a property's rental income is reduced. In addition, environmental and tax laws, interest rate levels, the availability of financing and other factors may affect real estate values and property income. Furthermore, the supply of commercial space fluctuates with market conditions.

#### We may encounter significant delays in reletting vacant space, resulting in losses of income.

When leases expire, we may incur expenses and may not be able to re-lease the space on the same terms. While we cannot predict when existing vacancy will be leased or if existing tenants with expiring leases will renew their leases or what the terms and conditions of the lease renewals will be, we expect to renew or sign new leases at current market rates for locations in which the buildings are located, which in some cases may be below the expiring rates. Certain leases provide tenants the right to terminate early if they pay a fee. If we are unable to re-lease space promptly, if the terms are significantly less favorable than anticipated or if the costs are higher, we may have to reduce distributions to our stockholders. This risk is currently heightened because the economy in the United States is continuing to experience a period of limited economic growth, including high levels of unemployment, the failure and near failure of a number of financial institutions and increased credit risk premiums for a number of market participants. These conditions may continue or worsen in the future. Typical lease terms range from five to ten years, so up to approximately 20% of our rental revenue from commercial properties could be expected to expire each year.

#### We face risks of tenant-type concentration.

As of December 31, 2012, our top twenty tenants leased, based on leased square feet, held approximately 42.7% of the total rentable square feet in our owned portfolio of properties. Approximately 22% and 22% of our top twenty tenants as a percentage of the top twenty tenants rentable square feet (or 9.6% and 9.4% of the total rentable square feet in our portfolio) operated in the bank and credit services and energy services industry, respectively. An economic downturn in these or any industry in which a high concentration of our tenants operate or in which a significant number of our tenants currently or may in the future operate, could negatively impact the financial condition of such tenants and cause them to fail to make timely rental payments or default on lease obligations, fail to renew their leases or renew their leases on terms less favorable to us, become bankrupt or insolvent, or otherwise become unable to satisfy their obligations to us, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

#### We face risks from geographic concentration.

The properties in our portfolio as of December 31, 2012, by aggregate square footage, are distributed geographically as follows: South -46.4%, Midwest -21.4%, East -18.3%, West -13.8%. However, within certain of those regions, we hold a larger concentration of our properties in Dallas, Texas -18.7%, Houston, Texas -15.1%, Greater Denver, Colorado -8.6%, and Northern Virginia -4.9%. We are likely to face risks to the extent that any of these areas in which we hold a larger concentration of our properties suffer deteriorating economic conditions.

### We compete with national, regional and local real estate operators and developers, which could adversely affect our cash flow.

Competition exists in every market in which our properties are currently located and in every market in which properties we may acquire in the future will be located. We compete with, among others, national, regional and numerous local real estate operators and developers. Such competition may adversely affect the percentage of leased space and the rental revenues of our properties, which could adversely affect our cash flow from operations and our ability to make expected distributions to our stockholders. Some of our competitors may have more resources than we do or other competitive advantages. Competition may be accelerated by any increase in availability of funds for investment in real estate. For example, decreases in interest rates tend to increase the availability of funds and therefore can increase competition. To the extent that our properties continue to operate profitably, this will likely stimulate new development of competing properties. The extent to which we are affected by competition will depend in significant part on both local market conditions and national and global economic conditions.

We are subject to possible liability relating to environmental matters, and we cannot assure you that we have identified all possible liabilities.

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, an owner or operator of real property may become liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous substances released on or in its property. Such laws may impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or caused, the release of such hazardous substances. The presence of hazardous substances on a property may adversely affect the owner's ability to sell such property or to borrow using such property as collateral, and it may cause the owner of the property to incur substantial remediation costs. In addition to claims for cleanup costs, the presence of hazardous substances on a property could result in the owner incurring substantial liabilities as a result of a claim by a private party for personal injury or a claim by an adjacent property owner for property damage.

In addition, we cannot assure you that:

- future laws, ordinances or regulations will not impose any material environmental liability;
- proposed legislation to address climate change will not increase utility and other costs of operating our properties which, if not offset by rising rental income and/or paid by tenants, would materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations;
- the current environmental conditions of our properties will not be affected by the condition of properties in the vicinity of such properties (such as the presence of leaking underground storage tanks) or by third parties unrelated to us;
- tenants will not violate their leases by introducing hazardous or toxic substances into our properties that could expose us to liability under federal or state environmental laws; or
- environmental conditions, such as the growth of bacteria and toxic mold in heating and ventilation systems or on walls, will not occur at our properties and pose a threat to human health.

## We are subject to compliance with the Americans With Disabilities Act and fire and safety regulations, any of which could require us to make significant capital expenditures.

All of our properties are required to comply with the Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA), and the regulations, rules and orders that may be issued thereunder. The ADA has separate compliance requirements for "public accommodations" and "commercial facilities," but generally requires that buildings be made accessible to persons with disabilities. Compliance with ADA requirements might require, among other things, removal of access barriers and noncompliance could result in the imposition of fines by the U.S. government or an award of damages to private litigants.

In addition, we are required to operate our properties in compliance with fire and safety regulations, building codes and other land use regulations, as they may be adopted by governmental agencies and bodies and become applicable to our properties. Compliance with such requirements may require us to make substantial capital expenditures, which expenditures would reduce cash otherwise available for distribution to our stockholders.

## We face risks associated with our tenants being designated "Prohibited Persons" by the Office of Foreign Assets Control.

Pursuant to Executive Order 13224 and other laws, the Office of Foreign Assets Control of the United States Department of the Treasury, or OFAC, maintains a list of persons designated as terrorists or who are otherwise blocked or banned, which we refer to as Prohibited Persons. OFAC regulations and other laws prohibit conducting business or engaging in transactions with Prohibited Persons (the "OFAC Requirements"). Our current leases and certain other agreements require the other party to comply with the OFAC Requirements. If a tenant or other party with whom we contract is placed on the OFAC list we may be required by the OFAC Requirements to terminate the lease or other agreement. Any such termination could result in a loss of revenue or a damage claim by the other party that the termination was wrongful.

## Security breaches and other disruptions could compromise our information and expose us to liability, which could cause our business and reputation to suffer.

In the ordinary course of our business, we collect and store sensitive data concerning investors in the Sponsored REITS. Despite our security measures, our information technology and infrastructure may be vulnerable to attacks by hackers or breached due to employee error, malfeasance or other disruptions. Any such breach could

compromise our networks and the information stored there could be accessed, publicly disclosed, lost or stolen. Any such access, disclosure or other loss of information could result in legal claims or proceedings and liability under laws that protect the privacy of personal information, and could damage our reputation.

# Actual or threatened terrorist attacks may adversely affect our ability to generate revenues and the value of our properties.

We have significant investments in markets that may be the targets of actual or threatened terrorism attacks in the future. As a result, some tenants in these markets may choose to relocate their businesses to other markets or to lower-profile office buildings within these markets that may be perceived to be less likely targets of future terrorist activity. This could result in an overall decrease in the demand for office space in these markets generally or in our properties in particular, which could increase vacancies in our properties or necessitate that we lease our properties on less favorable terms or both. In addition, future terrorist attacks in these markets could directly or indirectly damage our properties, both physically and financially, or cause losses that materially exceed our insurance coverage. As a result of the foregoing, our ability to generate revenues and the value of our properties could decline materially. See also "We may lose capital investment or anticipated profits if an uninsured event occurs."

#### We may lose capital investment or anticipated profits if an uninsured event occurs.

We carry, or our tenants carry, comprehensive liability, fire and extended coverage with respect to each of our properties, with policy specification and insured limits customarily carried for similar properties. There are, however, certain types of losses that may be either uninsurable or not economically insurable. Should an uninsured material loss occur, we could lose both capital invested in the property and anticipated profits.

# Contingent or unknown liabilities acquired in mergers or similar transactions could require us to make substantial payments.

The properties which we acquired in mergers were acquired subject to liabilities and without any recourse with respect to liabilities, whether known or unknown. As a result, if liabilities were asserted against us based upon any of these properties, we might have to pay substantial sums to settle them, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition and our cash flow and ability to make distributions to our stockholders. Unknown liabilities with respect to properties acquired might include:

- liabilities for clean-up or remediation of environmental conditions;
- claims of tenants, vendors or other persons dealing with the former owners of the properties; and
- liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business.

#### Our employee retention plan may prevent changes in control.

During February 2006, our Board of Directors approved a change in control plan, which included a form of retention agreement and discretionary payment plan. Payments under the discretionary plan are capped at 1% of the market capitalization of FSP Corp. as reduced by the amount paid under the retention plan. The costs associated with these two components of the plan may have the effect of discouraging a third party from making an acquisition proposal for us and may thereby inhibit a change in control under circumstances that could otherwise give the holders of our common stock the opportunity to realize a greater premium over the then-prevailing market prices.

#### Further issuances of equity securities may be dilutive to current stockholders.

The interests of our existing stockholders could be diluted if additional equity securities are issued to finance future acquisitions, repay indebtedness or to fund other general corporate purposes. Our ability to execute our business strategy depends on our access to an appropriate blend of debt financing, including unsecured lines of credit and other forms of secured and unsecured debt, and equity financing.

#### The price of our common stock may vary.

The market prices for our common stock may fluctuate with changes in market and economic conditions, including the market perception of REITs in general, and changes in the financial condition of our securities. Such fluctuations may depress the market price of our common stock independent of the financial performance of FSP Corp. The market conditions for REIT stocks generally could affect the market price of our common stock.

#### We would incur adverse tax consequences if we failed to qualify as a REIT.

The provisions of the tax code governing the taxation of real estate investment trusts are very technical and complex, and although we expect that we will be organized and will operate in a manner that will enable us to meet such requirements, no assurance can be given that we will always succeed in doing so. In addition, as a result of our past acquisition of certain Sponsored REITs by merger, which we refer to as target REITs, we might no longer qualify as a real estate investment trust. We could lose our ability to so qualify for a variety of reasons relating to the nature of the assets acquired from the target REITs, the identity of the stockholders of the target REITs who become our stockholders or the failure of one or more of the target REITs to have previously qualified as a real estate investment trust. Moreover, you should note that if one or more of the target REITs that we acquired in May 2008, April 2006, April 2005 or June 2003 did not qualify as a REIT` immediately prior to the consummation of its acquisition, we could be disqualified as a REIT as a result of such acquisition.

If in any taxable year we do not qualify as a real estate investment trust, we would be taxed as a corporation and distributions to our stockholders would not be deductible by us in computing our taxable income. In addition, if we were to fail to qualify as a real estate investment trust, we could be disqualified from treatment as a real estate investment trust in the year in which such failure occurred and for the next four taxable years and, consequently, we would be taxed as a regular corporation during such years. Failure to qualify for even one taxable year could result in a significant reduction of our cash available for distribution to our stockholders or could require us to incur indebtedness or liquidate investments in order to generate sufficient funds to pay the resulting federal income tax liabilities.

#### Provisions in our organizational documents may prevent changes in control.

Our Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws contain provisions, described below, which may have the effect of discouraging a third party from making an acquisition proposal for us and may thereby inhibit a change of control under circumstances that could otherwise give the holders of our common stock the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market prices.

Ownership Limits. In order for us to maintain our qualification as a real estate investment trust, the holders of our common stock may be limited to owning, either directly or under applicable attribution rules of the Internal Revenue Code, no more than 9.8% of the lesser of the value or the number of our equity shares, and no holder of common stock may acquire or transfer shares that would result in our shares of common stock being beneficially owned by fewer than 100 persons. Such ownership limit may have the effect of preventing an acquisition of control of us without the approval of our board of directors. Our Articles of Incorporation give our board of directors the right to refuse to give effect to the acquisition or transfer of shares by a stockholder in violation of these provisions.

Staggered Board. Our board of directors is divided into three classes. The terms of these classes will expire in 2013, 2014 and 2015, respectively. Directors of each class are elected for a three-year term upon the expiration of the initial term of each class. The staggered terms for directors may affect our stockholders' ability to effect a change in control even if a change in control were in the stockholders' best interests.

*Preferred Stock.* Our Articles of Incorporation authorize our board of directors to issue up to 20,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$.0001 per share, and to establish the preferences and rights of any such shares issued. The issuance of preferred stock could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control even if a change in control were in our stockholders' best interest.

*Increase of Authorized Stock.* Our board of directors, without any vote or consent of the stockholders, may increase the number of authorized shares of any class or series of stock or the aggregate number of authorized shares

we have authority to issue. The ability to increase the number of authorized shares and issue such shares could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control even if a change in control were in our stockholders' best interest.

Amendment of Bylaws. Our board of directors has the sole power to amend our Bylaws. This power could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control even if a change in control were in our stockholders' best interests.

Stockholder Meetings. Our Bylaws require advance notice for stockholder proposals to be considered at annual meetings of stockholders and for stockholder nominations for election of directors at special meetings of stockholders. The advance notice provisions require a proponent to provide us with detailed information about the proponent and/or nominee. Our Bylaws also provide that stockholders entitled to cast more than 50% of all the votes entitled to be cast at a meeting must join in a request by stockholders to call a special meeting of stockholders and that a specific process for the meeting request must be followed. These provisions could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control even if a change in control were in the best interests of our stockholders.

Supermajority Votes Required. Our Articles of Incorporation require the affirmative vote of the holders of no less than 80% of the shares of capital stock outstanding and entitled to vote in order (i) to amend the provisions of our Articles of Incorporation relating to the classification of directors, removal of directors, limitation of liability of officers and directors or indemnification of officers and directors or (ii) to amend our Articles of Incorporation to impose cumulative voting in the election of directors. These provisions could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control even if a change in control were in our stockholders' best interest.

#### Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>

None.

Set forth below is information regarding our properties as of December 31, 2012:

Item 2.

**Properties** 

	Date of	Approx. Square	Percent Leased as	Approx. Number	
Property Location	Purchase (1)	Feet	of 12/31/12	of Tenants	Major Tenants (2)
<u>Office</u>					
1515 Mockingbird Lane Charlotte, NC 28209	8/1/97	109,406	79%	56	Primary PhysicianCare
678-686 Hillview Drive Milpitas, CA 95035	3/9/99	36,288	100%	1	Headway Technologies, Inc.
600 Forest Point Circle Charlotte, NC 28273	7/8/99	62,212	100%	1	American National Red Cross
4820 & 4920 Centennial Blvd. Colorado Springs, CO 80919	9/28/00	110,405	85%	3	Comcast of ColoradoX, LLC Walter Kidde Portable Equipment, Inc. METSO Minerals Industries, Inc.
14151 Park Meadow Drive Chantilly, VA 20151	3/15/01	138,537	100%	5	American Systems Corporation Omniplex World Services Booz Allen Hamilton, Inc.
1370 & 1390 Timberlake Manor Parkway, Chesterfield, MO 63017	5/24/01	232,766	97%	6	RGA Reinsurance Company AMDOCS, Inc.
501 & 505 South 336th Street Federal Way, WA 98003	9/14/01	117,010	47%	10	SunGard Availability Services, LP
50 Northwest Point Rd. Elk Grove Village, IL 60005	12/5/01	176,848	100%	1	Citicorp Credit Services, Inc.
1350 Timberlake Manor Parkway Chesterfield, MO 63017	3/4/02	116,197	97%	5	RGA Reinsurance Company AB Mauri Food Inc. d/b/a Fleischmanns Yeast
16285 Park Ten Place Houston, TX 77084	6/27/02	155,715	96%	10	Modec International, Inc. PB Americas, Inc. BAE Systems Land & Armaments, LP Bluware, Inc.
2730-2760 Junction Avenue 408-410 East Plumeria San Jose, CA 95134	8/27/02	145,951	100%	3	County of Santa Clara Spidercloud Wireless, Inc. AltiGen Communications, Inc.
15601 Dallas Parkway Addison, TX 75001	9/30/02	293,787	98%	8	Behringer Harvard Holdings, LLC Noble Royalties, Inc. Federal National Mortgage Association
1500 & 1600 Greenville Ave. Richardson, TX 75080	3/3/03	298,766	90%	4	ARGO Data Resource Corp. VCE Company, LLC Id Software, LLC

	Date of	Approx. Square	Percent Leased as	Approx. Number	
Property Location	Purchase (1)	<u>Feet</u>	of 12/31/12	of Tenants	Major Tenants (2)
6550 & 6560 Greenwood Plaza Englewood, CO 80111	2/24/05	196,236	100%	3	DIRECTV, Inc. Kaiser Foundation Health Plan
3815-3925 River Crossing Pkwy Indianapolis, IN 46240	7/6/05	205,059	92%	14	Somerset CPAs, P.C. Crow Horwath, LLP The College Network, Inc.
5055 & 5057 Keller Springs Rd. Addison, TX 75001	2/24/06	218,934	82%	30	See Footnote 3
2740 North Dallas Parkway Plano, TX 75093	12/15/00	117,050	78%	5	Masergy Communications, Inc. Special Insurance Services, Inc. NelsonArchitectural Engineers, Inc. WR Starky Mortgage, LLP
5505 Blue Lagoon Drive Miami, FL 33126	11/6/03	212,619	100%	1	Burger King Corporation
5600, 5620 & 5640 Cox Road Glen Allen, VA 23060	7/16/03	298,456	98%	5	SunTrust Bank General Electric Company ChemTreat, Inc.
1293 Eldridge Parkway Houston, TX 77077	1/16/04	248,399	100%	1	CITGO Petroleum Corporation
380 Interlocken Crescent Broomfield, CO 80021	8/15/03	240,184	90%	10	VMWare, Inc. MWH Americas, Inc Cooley LLP Sierra Financial Services, Inc.
3625 Cumberland Boulevard Atlanta, GA 30339	6/27/06	387,267	95%	27	Corporate Holdings, LLC Century Business Services, Inc. Bennett Thrasher PC
390 Interlocken Crescent Broomfield, CO 80021	12/21/06	241,516	97%	11	Vail Holdings, Inc. Urban Settlement Services LLC Leopard Communications, Inc. MSI, LLC
120 East Baltimore St. Baltimore, MD 21202	6/13/07	325,445	77%	15	SunTrust Bank State's Attorney for Baltimore City State Retirement and Pension Systems of Maryland
16290 Katy Freeway Houston, TX 77094	9/28/05	156,746	100%	3	Murphy Exploration and Production Company

Property Location	Date of Purchase (1)	Approx. Square <u>Feet</u>	Percent Leased as of 12/31/12	Approx. Number of Tenants	Major Tenants (2)
2291 Ball Drive St Louis, MO 63146	12/11/08	127,778	100%	1	Monsanto Company
45925 Horseshoe Drive Sterling, VA 20166	12/26/08	135,888	100%	1	Giesecke & Devrient America, Inc.
4807 Stonecroft Blvd. Chantilly, VA 20151	6/26/09	111,469	100%	1	Northrop Grumman Systems Corp.
14800 Charlson Road Eden Praire, MN 55347	6/30/09	153,028	100%	1	C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.
121 South Eighth Street and 801 Marquette Ave. S. Minneapolis, MN 55402	6/29/10	475,303	91%	41	TCF National Bank
4820 Emperor Boulevard Durham, NC 27703	3/4/11	259,531	100%	1	Quintiles Transnational Corp.
5100 & 5160 Tennyson Pkwy Plano, TX 75024	3/10/11	202,600	100%	1	Denbury Onshore LLC
7500 Dallas Parkway Plano, TX 75024	3/24/11	214,110	100%	6	ADS Alliance Data Systems, Inc. Americorp., Inc. d/b/a Altair Global
909 Davis Street Evanston, IL 60201	9/30/11	195,245	98%	6	Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Publishing Company Northshore University Healthsystem
1410 East Renner Road Richardson, TX 75082	10/6/11	122,300	100%	1	AT&T Services, Inc.
One Ravinia Drive Atlanta, Georgia	7/31/12	386,603	91%	16	T-Mobile South LLC Internap Network Services Corporation
10370 & 10350 Richmond Ave. Houston, TX 77042	11/1/12	629,025	96%	48	Petrobras America, Inc.
<b>Total Office</b>	- -	7,854,679	94%		

<sup>(1)</sup> Date of purchase or merged entity date of purchase.

All of the properties listed above are owned, directly or indirectly, by us. None of our properties are subject to any mortgage loans. We have no material undeveloped or unimproved properties, or proposed programs for material renovation, improvement or development of any of our properties. We believe that our properties are adequately covered by insurance as of December 31, 2012.

<sup>(2)</sup> Major tenants that occupy 10% or more of the space in an individual property.

<sup>(3)</sup> No tenant occupies more than 10% of the space.

The information presented below provides the weighted average GAAP rent per square foot for the year ending December 31, 2012 for our properties and weighted occupancy square feet and percentages. GAAP rent includes the impact of tenant concessions and reimbursements. This table does not include information about properties held by our investments in nonconsolidated REITs or those which we have provided Sponsored REIT Loans.

						Weighted	
						Occupied	Weighted
			Year Built		Weighted	Percentage as of	Average
			or	Net Rentable	Occupied	December 31,	Rent per Occupied
Property Name	City	State	Renovated	Square Feet	Sq. Ft.	2012 (a)	Square Feet (b)
Park Seneca	Charlotte	NC	1969	109,406	85,074	77.8%	\$ 15.75
Forest Park	Charlotte	NC	1999	62,212	62,212	100.0%	13.27
Meadow Point	Chantilly	VA	1999	138,537	138,537	100.0%	26.56
Innsbrook	Glen Allen	VA	1999	298,456	284,787	95.4%	17.41
East Baltimore	Baltimore	MD	1989	325,445	184,234	26.6%	26.12
Loudoun Tech Center	Dulles	VA	1999	135,888	135,888	100.0%	15.89
Stonecroft	Chantilly	VA	2008	111,469	111,469	100.0%	37.74
Emperor Boulevard	Durham	NC	2009	259,531	259,531	100.0%	34.72
East total				1,440,944	1,261,732	%9'.28	24.56
Northwest Point	Elk Grove Village	IL	1999	176,848	176,848	100.0%	19.42
909 Davis Street	Evanston	П	2002	195,245	185,737	95.1%	34.62
River Crossing	Indianapolis	Z	1998	205,059	188,900	92.1%	21.79
Timberlake	Chesterfield	МО	1999	232,766	225,876	%0'.26	21.25
Timberlake East	Chesterfield	MO	2000	116,197	105,367	%2'06	23.33
Lakeside Crossing	Sl. Louis	МО	2008	127,778	127,778	100.0%	24.17
Eden Bluff	Eden Praire	MN	2006	153,028	153,028	100.0%	27.33
121 South 8th Street	Minneapolis	MN	1974	475,303	434,427	91.4%	15.22
Midwest total				1,682,224	1,597,961	%0'56	21.98
Blue Lagoon Drive	Miami	FL	2002	212,619	212,619	100.0%	24.34
One Overton Place	Atlanta	GA	2002	387,267	356,828	92.1%	21.97
Willow Bend Office Center	Plano	TX	1999	117,050	87,905	75.1%	20.80
Park Ten	Houston	TX	1999	155,715	137,995	%9.88	27.00
Addison Circle	Addison	TX	1999	293,787	281,507	%8:56	24.74
Collins Crossing	Richardson	ΤX	1999	298,766	260,494	87.2%	23.05

The following table is continued from the previous page and provides the weighted average GAAP rent per square foot for the year ending December 31, 2012 for our properties and weighted occupancy square feet and percentages. GAAP rent includes the impact of tenant concessions and reimbursements. This table does not include information about properties held by our investments in nonconsolidated REITs or those which we have provided Sponsored REIT Loans.

						Weighted Occupied	Weighted
			Year Built		Weighted	Percentage as of	Average
			or	Net Rentable	Occupied	December 31,	Rent per Occupied
Property Name	City	State	Renovated	Square Feet	Sq. Ft.	2012 (a)	Square Feet (b)
Eldridge Green	Houston	ΤΧ	1999	248,399	248,399	100.0%	28.92
Park Ten Phase II	Houston	XT	2006	156,746	156,746	100.0%	29.06
Liberty Plaza	Addison	XX	1985	218,934	173,330	79.2%	19.01
Legacy Tennyson Center	Plano	XX	1999/2008	202,600	202,600	100.0%	17.09
One Legacy Circle	Plano	TX	2008	214,110	214,110	100.0%	32.83
East Renner Road	Richardson	TX	1999	122,300	122,300	100.0%	66.6
One Ravinia Drive	Atlanta	GA	1985	386,603	323,200	83.6%	22.13
Westchase I & II	Houston	TX	1983/2008	629,025	595,624	94.7%	30.04
South Total				3,643,921	3,373,656	92.6%	24.70
Centennial Technology Center	Colorado Springs	CO	1999	110,405	90,863	82.3%	15.95
380 Interlocken	Broomfield	CO	2000	240,184	209,681	87.3%	26.57
Greenwood Plaza	Englewood	CO	2000	196,236	93,997	47.9%	19.96
390 Interlocken	Broomfield	CO	2002	241,516	231,928	%0.96	25.67
Hillview Center	Milpitas	CA	1984	36,288	36,288	100.0%	14.52
Federal Way	Federal Way	WA	1982	117,010	54,176	46.3%	18.63
Montague Business Center	San Jose	CA	1982	145,951	138,975	95.2%	15.43
West Total				1,087,590	855,907	78.7%	21.65
Grand Total				7,854,679	7,089,256	90.3%	\$ 23.69

(a) Based on weighted occupied square feet for the year ended December 31, 2012, including month-to-month tenants, divided by the Property's net rentable square footage.

(b) Represents GAAP rental revenue for year ended December 2012 per weighted occupied square foot.

#### Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>

From time to time, we may be subject to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of our business. Although occasional adverse decisions (or settlements) may occur, we believe that the final disposition of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

#### Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>

Not applicable.

#### PART II

# Item 5. Market For Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is listed on the NYSE MKT under the symbol "FSP". The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices on the NYSE MKT for the quarterly periods indicated.

Three Months	 Range						
<b>Ended</b>	High		Low				
December 31, 2012	\$ 12.88	\$	10.42				
September 30, 2012	\$ 12.34	\$	9.98				
June 30, 2012	\$ 10.84	\$	9.57				
March 31, 2012	\$ 11.14	\$	9.43				
December 31, 2011	\$ 13.08	\$	9.90				
September 30, 2011	\$ 13.91	\$	10.49				
June 30, 2011	\$ 14.25	\$	12.00				
March 31, 2011	\$ 15.63	\$	13.52				

As of February 8, 2013, there were 6,917 holders of our common stock, including both holders of record and participants in securities position listings.


On January 11, 2013, our board of directors declared a dividend of \$0.19 per share of our common stock payable to stockholders of record as of January 25, 2013 that was paid on February 14, 2013. Set forth below are the distributions per share of common stock made by FSP Corp. in each quarter since 2011.

Quarter	Distribution Per Share of
<b>Ended</b>	Common Stock of FSP Corp.
December 31, 2012	\$0.19
September 30, 2012	\$0.19
June 30, 2012	\$0.19
March 31, 2012	\$0.19
December 31, 2011	\$0.19
September 30, 2011	\$0.19
June 30, 2011	\$0.19
March 31, 2011	\$0.19

While not guaranteed, we expect that cash dividends on our common stock comparable to our most recent quarterly dividend will continue to be paid in the future. See Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors, "Our level of dividends may fluctuate.", for additional information.

#### STOCK PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following graph compares the cumulative total stockholder return on the Company's common stock between December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2012 with the cumulative total return of (1) the NAREIT Equity Index, (2) the Standard & Poor's 500 Composite Stock Price Index ("S&P 500") and (3) the Russell 2000 Total Return Index over the same period. This graph assumes the investment of \$100.00 on December 31, 2007 and assumes that any distributions are reinvested.



	 As of December 31,										
	2007		2008		2009		<u>2010</u>		<u>2011</u>		2012
FSP	\$ 100	\$	106	\$	111	\$	114	\$	86	\$	112
NAREIT Equity	100		62		80		102		110		132
S&P 500	100		63		80		92		94		109
Russell 2000	100		66		84		107		102		119

# Notes to Graph:

The above performance graph and related information shall not be deemed "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the Securities and Exchange Commission, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act, as amended of 1933 or Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each as amended, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate it by reference into such filing.

# Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected financial information is derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of FSP Corp. This information should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 7 and with FSP Corp.'s consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto included in Item 8.

	Year Ended December 31,									
		2012		2011		2010	2009			2008
(In thousands, except per share amounts)										
Operating Data:										
Total revenue	\$	162,802	\$	138,333	\$	115,802	\$	118,635	\$	108,897
Income from:										
Income from continuing operations		23,341		19,382		17,729		27,085		29,151
Income from discontinued operations		(15,708)		24,142		4,364		787		2,808
Net income		7,633		43,524		22,093		27,872		31,959
Basic and diluted income per share:										
Continuing operations	\$	0.28	\$	0.24	\$	0.22	\$	0.37	\$	0.41
Discontinued operations		(0.19)		0.29		0.06		0.01		0.04
Total	\$	0.09	\$	0.53	\$	0.28	\$	0.38	\$	0.45
Distributions declared per										
share outstanding (1):	\$	0.76	\$	0.76	\$	0.76	\$	0.76	\$	1.00
				As	ofΓ	December 3	1,			
•		2012		2011		2010		2009		2008
<b>Balance Sheet Data:</b>										
Total assets	\$	1,527,179	\$	1,408,661	\$	1,238,735	\$	1,154,850	\$	1,025,433
Total liabilities		662,430		487,294		317,177	217,576			176,436
Total shareholders' equity		864,749		921,367		921,558		937,274		848,997

(1) The first half of 2008 quarterly distributions were each in the amount of \$0.31 per share of common stock, or \$1.24 on an annual basis. Commencing with FSP Corp.'s distribution payable for the quarter ended September 30, 2008, the amount of the distribution was decreased from \$0.31 per share of common stock to \$0.19 per share of common stock resulting in \$1.00 in distributions being paid in 2008 and \$0.76 in distributions in 2009.

The 2008 financial statements reflect acquisition by merger of one Sponsored REIT. Prior to its acquisition, FSP Corp. held a non-controlling common stock interest with virtually no economic benefits or risks and a preferred stock interest in this Sponsored REIT.

#### Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. Historical results and percentage relationships set forth in the consolidated financial statements, including trends which might appear, should not be taken as necessarily indicative of future operations. The following discussion and other parts of this Annual Report on Form 10-K may also contain forward-looking statements based on current judgments and current knowledge of management, which are subject to certain risks, trends and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated in such forward-looking statements. Accordingly, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. Investors are cautioned that our forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainty, including without limitation, economic conditions in the United States, disruptions in the debt markets, economic conditions in the markets in which we own properties, risks of a lessening of demand for the types of real estate owned by us, uncertainties relating to fiscal policy, changes in government regulations and regulatory uncertainty, geopolitical events, and expenditures that cannot be anticipated such as utility rate and usage increases, unanticipated repairs, additional staffing, insurance increases and real estate tax valuation reassessments. See "Risk Factors" in Item 1A. Although we believe the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. We may not update any of the forward-looking statements after the date this Annual Report on Form 10-K is filed to conform them to actual results or to changes in our expectations that occur after such date, other than as required by law.

#### Overview

FSP Corp., or we, operate in the real estate operations segment. The real estate operations segment involves real estate rental operations, leasing, secured financing of real estate and services provided for asset management, property management, property acquisitions, dispositions and development.

The main factor that affects our real estate operations is the broad economic market conditions in the United States. These market conditions affect the occupancy levels and the rent levels on both a national and local level. We have no influence on broader economic/market conditions. We look to acquire and/or develop quality properties in good locations in order to lessen the impact of downturns in the market and to take advantage of upturns when they occur.

#### Trends and Uncertainties

#### Economic Conditions

The economy in the United States is continuing to experience a period of limited economic growth, including high levels of unemployment, which directly affects the demand for office space, our primary income producing asset. The broad economic market conditions in the United States are affected by numerous factors, including but not limited to, inflation and employment levels, energy prices, slow economic growth and/or recessionary concerns, uncertainty about government fiscal and tax policy, changes in currency exchange rates, geopolitical events, the regulatory environment, the availability of debt and interest rate fluctuations. We believe that recent economic conditions in the United States have negatively affected our business by, among other factors, contributing to a decline in occupancy and rental rates in our real estate portfolio in 2009 and 2010. Although occupancy levels in our real estate portfolio improved in 2011 and 2012, future economic factors may negatively affect real estate values, occupancy levels and property income. At this time, we cannot predict the extent or duration of any negative impact that the current state of the United States economy will have on our business.

## Real Estate Operations

# Leasing

Our real estate portfolio was approximately 94.0% leased as of December 31, 2012 and approximately 88.7% leased as of December 31, 2011. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we leased approximately 1,017,000 square feet of office space, of which approximately 701,000 square feet were with existing tenants, at a weighted average term of 5.1 years. On average, tenant improvements for such leases were \$12.26 per square foot, lease commissions were \$5.40 per square foot and rent concessions were approximately three months of free rent.

GAAP base rents under such leases were \$22.41 per square foot, or 1.5% higher than average rents in the respective properties as applicable compared to the prior period.

As of December 31, 2012, approximately 3.6% of the square footage in our portfolio is scheduled to expire during 2013, and approximately 5.3% is scheduled to expire during 2014. Our property portfolio is primarily suburban office assets. Most of the rental/leasing markets where our properties are located remained stable during the fourth quarter both in terms of occupancy and rental rate levels. Within this environment, we made steady leasing progress that helped us achieve higher year-end occupancy. Our property portfolio has relatively modest lease expirations over the next two years and, along with the improvement in our occupancy levels, should allow overall tenant improvement expenditures and leasing costs to moderate in relation to the level of rental revenues being achieved.

While we cannot generally predict when existing vacancy in our real estate portfolio will be leased or if existing tenants with expiring leases will renew their leases or what the terms and conditions of the lease renewals will be, we expect to renew or sign new leases at then-current market rates for locations in which the buildings are located, which in many cases may be below the expiring rates. Also, even as the economy recovers, we believe the potential for any of our tenants to default on its lease or to seek the protection of bankruptcy still exists. If any of our tenants defaults on its lease, we may experience delays in enforcing our rights as a landlord and may incur substantial costs in protecting our investment. In addition, at any time, a tenant of one of our properties may seek the protection of bankruptcy laws, which could result in the rejection and termination of such tenant's lease and thereby cause a reduction in cash available for distribution to our stockholders

#### Real Estate Acquisition and Investment Activity

#### During 2012, we:

- acquired two properties directly into our portfolio with a total of approximately 1,016,000 rentable square feet at an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$207.6 million. On July 31, 2012, we acquired an office property with approximately 387,000 square feet for approximately \$52.8 million in Atlanta, Georgia and on November 1, 2012 we acquired an office property with approximately \$629,000 square feet for approximately \$154.8 million in Houston, Texas.
- funded advances on Sponsored REIT Loans for revolving lines of credit of an aggregate of approximately \$41.6 million including \$30 million during March 2012 to FSP 50 South Tenth Street Corp., and \$11.6 million for revolving lines of credit made during the year ended December 31, 2012;
- received repayments on Sponsored REIT Loans of \$121.2 million, including \$106.2 million on July 27, 2012 from a first mortgage loan on a property owned by FSP 50 South Tenth Street Corp., and \$15.0 million on December 20, 2012 from a secured revolving line of credit with FSP Phoenix Tower Corp.;
- made and funded a Sponsored REIT Loan on July 5, 2012, in the form of a first mortgage loan in the principal amount of \$33 million to a wholly-owned subsidiary of a Sponsored REIT, FSP Energy Tower I Corp., which owns a property in Houston, Texas.

#### During 2011, we:

- we acquired five properties directly into our portfolio with an aggregate of approximately 994,000 rentable square feet at an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$214.2 million. On March 4, 2011 we acquired a commercial property with approximately 260,000 rentable square feet for approximately \$75.8 million in North Carolina, on March 10, 2011, we acquired a commercial property with approximately 203,000 rentable square feet for approximately \$37.0 million in Texas, on March 24, 2011, we acquired another commercial property with approximately 214,000 rentable square feet for approximately \$53.0 million in Texas, on September 30, 2011 we acquired a property with approximately \$195,000 rentable square feet for approximately \$37.1 million in Illinois and on October 6, 2011 we acquired another commercial property with approximately \$122,000 rentable square feet for approximately \$11.3 million in Texas; and
- funded advances on Sponsored REIT Loans of an aggregate of approximately \$82.8 million including \$76.2 million on December 29, 2011, for a first mortgage loan on a property owned by FSP 50 South Tenth Street Corp., which was repaid in 2012 and \$6.6 million for revolving lines of credit and construction loans made during the year ended December 31, 2011.

#### During 2010, we:

 acquired a commercial property on June 29, 2010, with approximately 470,000 rentable square feet for approximately \$40.5 million in Minneapolis, Minnesota; and funded advances on Sponsored REIT Loans for revolving lines of credit and a construction loan of an aggregate of approximately \$21.1 million.

#### Discontinued Operations and Dispositions

We include properties sold or held for sale and investment banking activities as discontinued operations.

#### Property Dispositions

During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we reached a decision to classify our office property located in Southfield, Michigan as an asset held for sale. In evaluating the Southfield, Michigan property, management considered various subjective factors, including the time, cost and likelihood of successfully leasing the property, the effect of the property's results on its unencumbered asset value, which is part of the leverage ratio used to calculate interest rates under the 2012 Credit Facility and future capital costs to upgrade and reposition the multi-tenant property and to lease up the building, recent leasing and economic activity in the local area, and offers to purchase the property. We concluded that selling the property was the more prudent decision and outweighed the potential future benefit of continuing to hold the property. The property was expected to sell within one year at a loss, which was recorded as a provision for loss on a property held for sale of \$14.3 million net of applicable income taxes and was classified as an asset held for sale of \$0.7 million at September 30, 2012. We sold the property on December 21, 2012 for \$0.3 million resulting in a total loss of \$14.8 million.

We sold an industrial property located in Savage, Maryland on June 24, 2011 at a \$2.3 million gain and in 2010 reached an agreement to sell an office property, located in Falls Church, Virginia, which was sold on January 21, 2011 at a \$19.6 million gain. The Falls Church, Virginia property was classified as an asset held for sale at December 31, 2010. Accordingly, properties sold were classified as discontinued for all periods presented.

We will continue to evaluate our portfolio, and in the future may decide to dispose of additional properties from time-to-time in the ordinary course of business. We believe that the current property sales environment remains challenged in many markets relative to both liquidity and pricing. However, we also believe that we are witnessing improving pricing and liquidity in certain markets, extending a trend that we believe began in the second half of 2009. We believe that both improving office property fundamentals as well as plentiful and attractive financing availability will likely be required to broadly improve the marketplace for property dispositions. As an important part of our total return strategy, we intend to be active in property dispositions when we believe that market conditions warrant such activity and, as a consequence, we continuously review and evaluate our portfolio of properties for potentially advantageous dispositions and would anticipate one or more potential dispositions during 2013.

#### Investment Banking

Previously we operated in the investment banking segment, and in December 2011, we discontinued those activities. The investment banking segment involved the structuring of real estate investments and broker/dealer services that included the organization of Sponsored REITs, the acquisition and development of real estate on behalf of Sponsored REITs and the raising of capital to equitize the Sponsored REITs through sale of preferred stock in private placements. On December 15, 2011, we announced that our broker/dealer subsidiary, FSP Investments LLC, would no longer sponsor the syndication of shares of preferred stock in newly-formed Sponsored REITs.

FSP Investments LLC continues to provide investor services to existing Sponsored REITs, which is not a significant activity, and has the capability to sponsor the syndication of any additional shares of preferred stock in existing Sponsored REITs. Our decision to no longer sponsor the syndication of shares of preferred stock in newly-formed Sponsored REITs was made after judging the potential for meaningful future profit contribution to our earnings from such syndications to be limited. Our investment banking segment had been marginal in its profit contribution over the last four years and we believed time and resources would be more productively deployed elsewhere

#### Critical Accounting Policies

We have certain critical accounting policies that are subject to judgments and estimates by our management and uncertainties of outcome that affect the application of these policies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates. In the event estimates or assumptions prove to be different from actual results, adjustments are made in subsequent periods to reflect more current information. The accounting policies that we believe are most critical to the understanding of our financial position and results of operations, and that require significant management estimates and judgments, are discussed below. Significant estimates in the consolidated financial statements include the allowance for doubtful accounts, purchase price allocations, useful lives of fixed assets, impairment considerations and the valuation of the derivative.

Critical accounting policies are those that have the most impact on the reporting of our financial condition and results of operations and those requiring significant judgments and estimates. We believe that our judgments and estimates are consistently applied and produce financial information that fairly presents our results of operations. Our most critical accounting policies involve our investments in Sponsored REITs and our investments in real property. These policies affect our:

- allocation of purchase prices;
- allowance for doubtful accounts;
- assessment of the carrying values and impairments of long lived assets;
- useful lives of fixed assets and intangibles;
- valuation of derivatives; and
- classification of leases.

These policies involve significant judgments made based upon our experience, including judgments about current valuations, ultimate realizable value, estimated useful lives, salvage or residual value, the ability of our tenants to perform their obligations to us, current and future economic conditions and competitive factors in the markets in which our properties are located. Competition, economic conditions and other factors may cause occupancy declines in the future. In the future we may need to revise our carrying value assessments to incorporate information which is not now known and such revisions could increase or decrease our depreciation expense related to properties we own, result in the classification of our leases as other than operating leases or decrease the carrying values of our assets.

#### Allocation of Purchase Price

We have historically allocated the purchase prices of properties to land, buildings and improvements. Each component of purchase price generally has a different useful life. For properties acquired subsequent to June 1, 2001, we allocate the value of real estate acquired among land, buildings, improvements and identified intangible assets and liabilities, which may consist of the value of above market and below market leases, the value of in-place leases, and the value of tenant relationships. Purchase price allocations and the determination of the useful lives are based on management's estimates. Under some circumstances we may rely upon studies commissioned from independent real estate appraisal firms in determining the purchase price allocations.

Purchase price allocated to land and building and improvements is based on management's determination of the relative fair values of these assets assuming the property was vacant. Management determines the fair value of a property using methods similar to those used by independent appraisers. Purchase price allocated to above or below market leases is based on the present value (using an interest rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases including consideration of potential lease renewals and (ii) our estimate of fair market lease rates for the corresponding leases, measured over a period equal to the remaining non-cancelable terms of the respective leases. Purchase price allocated to in-place leases and tenant relationships is determined as the excess of (i) the purchase price paid for a property after adjusting existing in-place leases to market rental rates over (ii) the estimated fair value of the property as if vacant. This aggregate value is allocated between in-place lease values and tenant relationships based on management's evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant's lease; however, the value of tenant relationships has not been separated from in-place lease value because such value and its consequence to amortization expense is immaterial for acquisitions reflected in our financial statements. Factors considered by us in performing these analyses include (i) an estimate of carrying costs during the expected lease-up periods, including

real estate taxes, insurance and other operating income and expenses, and (ii) costs to execute similar leases in current market conditions, such as leasing commissions, legal and other related costs. If future acquisitions result in our allocating material amounts to the value of tenant relationships, those amounts would be separately allocated and amortized over the estimated life of the relationships.

#### Allowance for Bad Debts

We provide an allowance for bad debts based on our estimate of a tenant's ability to make future rent payments. The computation of this allowance is based in part on the tenants' payment history and current credit status.

#### *Impairment*

We periodically evaluate our real estate properties for impairment indicators. These indicators may include declining tenant occupancy, weak or declining tenant profitability, cash flow or liquidity, our decision to dispose of an asset before the end of its estimated useful life or legislative, economic or market changes that permanently reduce the value of our investments. If indicators of impairment are present, we evaluate the carrying value of the property by comparing it to its expected future undiscounted cash flows. If the sum of these expected future cash flows is less than the carrying value, we reduce the net carrying value of the property to the present value of these expected future cash flows. This analysis requires us to judge whether indicators of impairment exist and to estimate likely future cash flows. If we misjudge or estimate incorrectly or if future tenant profitability, market or industry factors differ from our expectations, we may record an impairment charge which is inappropriate or fail to record a charge when we should have done so, or the amount of such charges may be inaccurate.

#### Depreciation and Amortization Expense

We compute depreciation expense using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of up to 39 years for buildings and improvements, and up to 15 years for personal property. Costs incurred in connection with leasing (primarily tenant improvements and leasing commissions) are capitalized and amortized over the lease period. The allocated cost of land is not depreciated. The value of above or below-market leases is amortized over the remaining non-cancelable periods of the respective leases as an adjustment to rental income. The value of in-place leases, exclusive of the value of above-market and below-market in-place leases, is also amortized over the remaining non-cancelable periods of the respective leases. If a lease is terminated prior to its stated expiration, all unamortized amounts relating to that lease are written off. Inappropriate allocation of acquisition costs, or incorrect estimates of useful lives, could result in depreciation and amortization expenses which do not appropriately reflect the allocation of our capital expenditures over future periods, as is required by generally accepted accounting principles.

#### Derivative Instruments

We recognize derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. Derivatives that do not qualify, or are not designated as hedge relationships, must be adjusted to fair value through income. Derivative instruments designated in a hedge relationship to mitigate exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Cash flow hedges are accounted for by recording the fair value of the derivative instrument on the balance sheet as either an asset or liability. To the extent hedges are effective, a corresponding amount, adjusted for swap payments, is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income within stockholders' equity. Amounts are then reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to the income statement in the period or periods the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. Ineffectiveness, if any, is recorded in the income statement. Derivative instruments designated in a hedge relationship to mitigate exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. We currently have no fair value hedges outstanding. Fair values of derivatives are subject to significant variability based on changes in interest rates. To the extent we enter into fair value hedges in the future, the results of such variability could be a significant increase or decrease in our derivative assets, derivative liabilities, book equity, and/or earnings.

# Lease Classification

Some of our real estate properties are leased on a triple net basis, pursuant to non-cancelable, fixed term, operating leases. Each time we enter a new lease or materially modify an existing lease we evaluate whether it is

appropriately classified as a capital lease or as an operating lease. The classification of a lease as capital or operating affects the carrying value of a property, as well as our recognition of rental payments as revenue. These evaluations require us to make estimates of, among other things, the remaining useful life and market value of a property, discount rates and future cash flows. Incorrect assumptions or estimates may result in misclassification of our leases.

#### Ownership of Stock in a Sponsored REIT and Related Interests

Common stock investments in Sponsored REITs were consolidated while the entity was controlled by the Company. Following the commencement of syndication the Company exercised influence over, but did not control these entities and investments are accounted for using the equity method. Once under the equity method of accounting, our cost basis is adjusted by our share of the Sponsored REITs' earnings, if any, prior to completion of the syndication. Equity in losses or dividends received from Sponsored REITs generally are recognized as income once the investment balance is reduced to zero, unless there is an asset held for syndication from the Sponsored REIT entity. Equity in losses or distributions received in excess of investment is recorded as an adjustment to the carrying value of the asset held for syndication. In December 2011, we announced that we will no longer sponsor the syndication of newly-formed Sponsored REITs.

We recognized our share of the operations during the period we consolidated and when the equity method is appropriate, as opposed to classifying the Sponsored REITs as discontinued operations, because we earned an ongoing asset and/or property management fee from Sponsored REITs. These ongoing fees, in addition to the influence that we exercise over the Sponsored REIT, constituted a continuing involvement between the Company and the Sponsored REIT and precluded treatment as discontinued operations.

We currently hold preferred stock interests in two Sponsored REITs. As a result of our common and preferred stock interests in these two Sponsored REITs, we exercise influence over, but do not control these entities. These preferred stock interests are accounted for using the equity method. Under the equity method of accounting our cost basis is adjusted by our share of the Sponsored REITs' operations and distributions received. We also agreed to vote our preferred shares (i) with respect to any merger in the same manner that a majority of the other stockholders of the Sponsored REIT vote for or against the merger and (ii) with respect to any other matter presented to a vote by the stockholders of these Sponsored REITs in the same proportion as shares voted by other stockholders of that Sponsored REIT.

We also previously held a preferred stock interest in a third Sponsored REIT known as Phoenix Tower. On December 20, 2012, the property owned by Phoenix Tower was sold and, thereafter, Phoenix Tower declared and issued a liquidating distribution for its preferred shareholders, from which the Company was entitled to \$4,862,000. As a result of the sale, the Company recognized its share of the gain of \$1,582,000. As of December 31, 2012, we held a beneficial interest in the Phoenix Tower liquidating trust in the amount of \$4,862,000 of which \$4,752,000 was received on January 4, 2013.

#### **Results of Operations**

Impact of Real Estate Acquisition and Investment Activity:

The results of operations for each of the acquired properties are included in our operating results as of their respective purchase dates and the funding and repayment dates for mortgage investments. Increases in rental revenues, interest income from loans and expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, or the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 are primarily a result of the timing of these acquisitions and subsequent contribution of these acquired properties as well as the affect on interest income from the dates of funding and repayment on our mortgage investments.

#### Sales of Real Estate:

On December 21, 2012, we sold an office property located in Southfield, Michigan at a loss. On June 24, 2011, we sold an industrial property located in Savage, Maryland at a gain. We had no sales of properties in 2010. However, during 2010 we reached an agreement to sell an office property located in Falls Church, Virginia, which sold on January 21, 2011, at a gain. The operating results of the properties sold are classified as discontinued operations in our consolidated financial statements for all periods presented.

The following table shows financial results for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(in thousands)					
Revenues:	2012		<u>2011</u>	(	Change_
Rental	\$ 151,656	\$	134,238	\$	17,418
Related party revenue:					
Management fees and interest income from loans	10,947		4,046		6,901
Other	199		49		150
Total revenues	162,802		138,333		24,469
Expenses:					
Real estate operating expenses	37,441		35,076		2,365
Real estate taxes and insurance	22,913		20,114		2,799
Depreciation and amortization	54,872		47,622		7,250
Selling, general and administrative	9,916		6,913		3,003
Interest	16,068		12,666		3,402
Total expenses	141,210		122,391		18,819
Income before interest income, equity in earnings (losses)	21,592		15,942		5,650
Interest income	51		22		29
Equity in earnings (losses) of non-consolidated REITs	2,033		3,685		(1,652)
Income before taxes on income	23,676		19,649		4,027
Taxes on income	335		267		68
Income from continuing operations	23,341		19,382		3,959
Discontinued operations:					
Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax	(882)		2,203		(3,085)
Gain (loss) on sale, less applicable income tax	(14,826)		21,939		(36,765)
Total discontinued operations	(15,708)		24,142		(39,850)
		_			(2.5.00%)
Net income	\$ 7,633	\$	43,524	\$	(35,891)

#### Comparison of the year ended December 31, 2012 to the year ended December 31, 2011

#### Revenues

Total revenues increased by \$24.5 million to \$162.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily a result of:

- O An increase in rental revenue of approximately \$17.4 million arising primarily from the acquisition of one property in July 2012, another property in November 2012, another property in October 2011, another property in September 2011 and three properties in March 2011 that were included in the results for the year ended December 31, 2012, and leasing, which raised occupancy to 94.0% at December 31, 2012 compared to 88.7% at December 31, 2011.
- A \$0.2 million increase in management fee income from Sponsored REITs we managed during the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011 primarily as a result of syndications started during 2011.
- A \$6.7 million increase in interest income from Sponsored REIT Loans, which was principally a result of a larger loan receivable balance, from which interest income is derived, and a higher interest rate charged during the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011.

#### Expenses

Total expenses increased by \$18.8 million to \$141.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily a result of:

- An increase in real estate operating expenses and real estate taxes and insurance of approximately \$5.2 million, and depreciation of \$7.3 million, which were primarily from the acquisition of one property in July 2012, another property in November 2012, another property in October 2011, another property in September 2011 and three properties in March 2011.
- O An increase to interest expense of approximately \$3.4 million to \$16.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$12.7 million for the same period in 2011. The increase was attributable to a higher amount of debt outstanding, and to a lesser extent the acceleration of some amortization of deferred financing costs related to the 2012 Credit Facility.
- O An increase in selling, general and administrative expenses of approximately \$3.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, which was primarily the result of a \$0.5 million increase in compensation accruals during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 and a realignment of personnel and resources in our real estate business following a decision to discontinue our investment banking activities in December 2011. These increases were partially offset by lower acquisition costs of \$0.3 million related to the acquisition of properties during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$0.6 million related to acquisition of properties during the year ended December 31, 2011. We had 35 and 33 employees as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, at our headquarters in Wakefield, Massachusetts.

#### Equity in earnings of non-consolidated REITs

Equity in earnings from non-consolidated REITs decreased approximately \$1.6 million to \$2.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease of \$1.7 million from syndications that we had in 2011 from investment banking, which was discontinued in December 2011. We also had a decrease of \$1.5 million in equity in income from our preferred stock investment in Sponsored REIT, FSP 303 East Wacker Drive Corp., which we refer to as East Wacker, during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. These decreases were partially offset by a \$1.6 million gain included in equity in income from our preferred stock investment in Phoenix Tower, which sold its property on December 20, 2012.

#### Taxes on income

Included in income taxes for both periods is the Revised Texas Franchise Tax, which is derived from an income based measure so it is considered an income tax, which increased during the year ended December 31, 2012 by \$68,000 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011.

## Income from continuing operations

Income from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$23.3 million compared to \$19.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, for the reasons described above.

## Discontinued operations and gain (loss) on sale

Income from discontinued operations decreased \$39.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease is primarily from a loss on the sale of a property of \$14.8 million compared to a gain on sale of properties of \$21.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. To a lesser extent, the decrease also included an increase in the loss from operations of properties we have sold of \$36,000 to \$882,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$846,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011. In December 2011, we discontinued the investment banking segment, which is included in discontinued operations. Income derived from the investment bank was \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011.

The sale of properties from our portfolio results in a reclassification of real estate income from those properties for all periods presented to discontinued operations. We sold one office property located in Southfield, Michigan on December 21, 2012, at a loss of \$14.8 million. We sold one industrial property located in Savage, Maryland on June 24, 2011, at a gain of \$2.3 million and one office property located in Falls Church, Virginia on January 21, 2011, at a gain of \$19.6 million. The operations of those properties are reported as discontinued operations on our financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

#### Net income

Net income for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$7.6 million compared to \$43.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, for the reasons described above.

The following table shows financial results for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

(in thousands)				
Revenues:	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	(	<u> hange</u>
Rental	\$ 134,238	\$ 113,274	\$	20,964
Related party revenue:				
Management fees and interest income from loans	4,046	2,440		1,606
Other	49	88		(39)
Total revenues	138,333	115,802		22,531
Expenses:				
Real estate operating expenses	35,076	32,042		3,034
Real estate taxes and insurance	20,114	17,782		2,332
Depreciation and amortization	47,622	35,640		11,982
Selling, general and administrative	6,913	6,399		514
Interest	12,666	7,284		5,382
Total expenses	122,391	99,147		23,244
Income before interest income, equity in earnings (losses)	15,942	16,655		(713)
Interest income	22	25		(3)
Equity in earnings (losses) of non-consolidated REITs	3,685	1,266		2,419
Income before taxes on income	19,649	17,946		1,703
Taxes on income	267	217		50
Income from continuing operations	19,382	17,729		1,653
Discontinued operations:				
Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax	2,203	4,364		(2,161)
Gain on sale, less applicable income tax	21,939	-		21,939
Total discontinued operations	24,142	4,364		19,778
Net income	\$ 43,524	\$ 22,093	\$	21,431

# Comparison of the year ended December 31, 2011 to the year ended December 31, 2010

## Revenues

Total revenues increased by \$22.5 million to \$138.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase was primarily a result of:

- O An increase in rental revenue of approximately \$20.9 million arising primarily from the acquisition of one property in October 2011, another property in September 2011, three properties in March 2011 and a property in June 2010, that were included in the results for the year ended December 31, 2011, and leasing, which raised occupancy to 88.7% at December 31, 2011 compared to 85.6% at December 31, 2010.
- A \$0.1 million increase in management fee income from Sponsored REITs we managed during the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 as a result of syndications started during 2010 and in 2011.
- A \$1.5 million increase in interest income from Sponsored REIT Loans, which was principally a
  result of a larger loan receivable balance, from which interest income is derived, and a higher
  interest rate charged during the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the year ended
  December 31, 2010.

#### Expenses

Total expenses increased by \$23.2 million to \$122.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase was primarily a result of:

- An increase in real estate operating expenses and real estate taxes and insurance of approximately \$5.3 million, and depreciation of \$12.0 million, which were primarily from the acquisition of one property in October 2011, another property in September 2011, three properties in March 2011 and a property in June 2010.
- O An increase to interest expense of approximately \$5.4 million to \$12.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$7.3 million for the same period in 2010. The increase was attributable to a higher amount of debt outstanding and an increase in interest rate associated with our indebtedness during the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to our indebtedness during the year ended December 31, 2010.
- O An increase in selling, general and administrative expenses of approximately \$0.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, which was primarily the result of a \$0.5 million increase in acquisition costs. We had 33 and 42 employees as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, at our headquarters in Wakefield, Massachusetts.

#### Equity in earnings of non-consolidated REITs

Equity in earnings from non-consolidated REITs increased approximately \$2.4 million to \$3.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The increase was primarily due to an increase of \$1.6 million from syndications in process and an increase of \$0.9 million in equity in income from our preferred stock investment in Sponsored REIT, FSP 303 East Wacker Drive Corp., which we refer to as East Wacker, during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. These increases were partially offset by a \$0.1 million decrease in equity in income from our preferred stock investment in Sponsored REIT, FSP Grand Boulevard Corp., which we refer to as Grand Boulevard, during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

### Taxes on income

Included in income taxes for both periods is the Revised Texas Franchise Tax, which is derived from an income based measure so it is considered an income tax, which increased during the year ended December 31, 2011 by \$50,000 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010.

# Income from continuing operations

Income from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$19.4 million compared to \$17.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, for the reasons described above.

# Discontinued operations and gain on sale of properties

Income from discontinued operations increased \$19.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase is primarily from a gain on sale of properties of \$21.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, which was partially offset by an increase to the loss from operations of properties we have sold of \$4.4 million to a loss of \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to income of \$3.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The sale of properties from our portfolio results in a reclassification of real estate income from those properties for all periods presented to discontinued operations.

In December 2011, we discontinued the investment banking segment, which is included in discontinued operations. Income derived from the investment bank increased \$2.3 million to \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$0.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to an increase in gross syndication proceeds for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010, from which our revenues are derived and was partially offset by a one-time charge of \$378,000

for costs consisting primarily of the write off of outstanding draw balances, severance payments and fees to outside professionals associated with this event.

The sale of properties from our portfolio results in a reclassification of real estate income from those properties for all periods presented to discontinued operations. We sold one office property located in Southfield, Michigan on December 21, 2012 at a loss. We sold one industrial property located in Savage, Maryland on June 24, 2011 and one office property located in Falls Church, Virginia on January 21, 2011, each at a gain. We did not sell any properties in 2010. The operations of those properties are reported as discontinued operations on our financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we reported a \$21.9 million gain on the sale of the properties sold in 2011.

# Net income

Net income for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$43.5 million compared to \$22.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, for the reasons described above.

#### **Non-GAAP Financial Measures**

## **Funds From Operations**

The Company evaluates performance based on Funds From Operations, which we refer to as FFO, as management believes that FFO represents the most accurate measure of activity and is the basis for distributions paid to equity holders. The Company defines FFO as net income (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property and acquisition costs of newly acquired properties that are not capitalized, plus depreciation and amortization, including amortization of acquired above and below market lease intangibles and impairment charges, and after adjustments to exclude non-cash income (or losses) from non-consolidated or Sponsored REITs, plus distributions received from non-consolidated or Sponsored REITs.

FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP), nor as an indicator of the Company's financial performance, nor as an alternative to cash flows from operating activities (determined in accordance with GAAP), nor as a measure of the Company's liquidity, nor is it necessarily indicative of sufficient cash flow to fund all of the Company's needs.

Other real estate companies and the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, may define this term in a different manner. We have included the NAREIT FFO definition in our table and note that other REITs may not define FFO in accordance with the current NAREIT definition or may interpret the current NAREIT definition differently than we do.

We believe that in order to facilitate a clear understanding of the results of the Company, FFO should be examined in connection with net income and cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities in the consolidated financial statements

The calculations of FFO are shown in the following table:

	For the Year Ended December 31,							
(in thousands):	2012	2011	2010					
Net income (loss)	\$ 7,633 \$	43,524	\$ 22,093					
(Gain) loss on sale, less applicable income tax	14,826	(21,939)	-					
Equity in earnings of non-consolidated REITs	(2,033)	(4,490)	(1,190)					
Distribution from non-consolidated REITs	2,810	5,056	5,170					
Depreciation and amortization	55,518	48,439	40,724					
NAREIT FFO	78,754	70,590	66,797					
Acquisition costs of new properties	287	620	125					
			_					
Funds From Operations	\$ 79,041 \$	71,210	\$ 66,922					

# Net Operating Income (NOI)

The Company provides property performance based on Net Operating Income, which we refer to as NOI. Management believes that investors are interested in this information. NOI is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company defines as net income (the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure) plus selling, general and administrative expenses, depreciation and amortization, including amortization of acquired above and below market lease intangibles and impairment charges, interest expense, less equity in earnings of nonconsolidated REITs, interest income, management fee income, gains or losses on the sale of assets and excludes non-property specific income and expenses. The information presented includes footnotes and the data is shown by region with properties owned in both periods, which we call Same Store. The Comparative Same Store results exclude significant nonrecurring income such as bankruptcy settlements and lease termination fees. NOI, as defined by the Company, may not be comparable to NOI reported by other REITs that define NOI differently. NOI should not be considered an alternative to net income as an indication of our performance or to cash flows as a measure of the Company's liquidity or its ability to make distributions. The calculations of NOI are shown in the following table:

	Net Operating Income (NOI)*										
(in thousands)	Rentable		Year		Year						
	Square Feet	E	Ended	I	Ended		Inc	%			
Region	or RSF	31.	-Dec-12	31	-Dec-11		(Dec)	Change			
East	1,181	\$	12,833	\$	12,085	\$	748	6.2%			
MidWest	1,487		16,318		17,437		(1,119)	-6.4%			
South	2,089		27,566		25,667		1,899	7.4%			
West	1,088		9,937		8,654		1,283	14.8%			
Same Store	5,845		66,654		63,843		2,811	4.4%			
Acquisitions	2,010		23,499		12,546		10,953	13.6%			
Property NOI from the continuing											
portfolio	7,855	_	90,153		76,389		13,764	18.0%			
Dispositions			(306)		(147)		(159)	-0.2%			
Property NOI		\$	89,847	\$	76,242	\$	13,605	17.8%			
Same Store		\$	66,654	\$	63,843	\$	2,811	4.4%			
Nonrecurring											
Items in NOI (a)			927		1,266		(339)	-0.6%			
Comparative Same Store		\$	65,727	\$	62,577	\$	3,150	5.0%			
Reconciliation to Net income			Year		Year						
		Ε	Ended	I	Ended						
		31.	-Dec-12	31	-Dec-11						
Net Income		\$	7,633		43,524						
Add (deduct):											
Discontinued operations			881		(2,752)						
Gain (loss) on sale, less applicable income tax			14,826		(21,939)						
Management fee income			(2,120)		(1,794)						
Depreciation and amortization			54,872		47,865						
Amortization of above/below market leases			71		(47)						
Selling, general and administrative			9,916		6,913						
Interest expense			16,068		12,665						
Interest income			(9,848)		(3,110)						
Equity in earnings of											
nonconsolidated REITs			(2,033)		(3,685)						
Non-property specific items, net			(113)		(1,251)						
Property NOI from the continuing portfolio		\$	90,153	\$	76,389	•					
Dispositions and asset held for sale			(306)		(147)						
Property NOI		\$	89,847	\$	76,242						

<sup>(</sup>a) Nonrecurring Items in NOI include proceeds from bankruptcies, lease termination fees or other significant nonrecurring income or expenses, which may affect comparability.

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes NOI from investments in and interest income from secured loans to non-consolidated REITs.

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Cash and cash equivalents were \$21.3 million and \$23.8 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. This decrease of \$2.5 million is attributable to \$70.4 million provided by operating activities less \$172.3 million used by investing activities plus \$99.4 million provided by financing activities. Management believes that existing cash, cash anticipated to be generated internally by operations and our existing debt financing will be sufficient to meet working capital requirements and anticipated capital expenditures for at least the next 12 months. Although there is no guarantee that we will be able to obtain the funds necessary for our future growth, we anticipate generating funds from continuing real estate operations. We believe that we have adequate funds to cover unusual expenses and capital improvements, in addition to normal operating expenses. Our ability to maintain or increase our level of dividends to stockholders, however, depends in significant part upon the level of rental income from our real properties.

#### Operating Activities

The cash provided by our operating activities of \$70.4 million is primarily attributable to net income of \$7.6 million, excluding gain or loss on on the sale, less applicable income taxes of \$14.8 million, plus the add-back of \$51.1 million of non-cash activities, \$0.7 million of distributions from non-consolidated REITs, an increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$4.0 million and increase in tenant security deposits of \$0.5 million. These increases were partially offset by increases in tenant receivables of \$0.3 million, increases in lease acquisition costs of \$2.5 million, increases in prepaid expenses and other assets of \$0.3 million and payment of leasing commissions of \$5.2 million.

### **Investing Activities**

Our cash used for investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 of \$172.3 million is primarily attributable to additions to real estate investments and office equipment of approximately \$221.2 million, which was partially offset by net repayments on secured Sponsored REIT Loans made to Sponsored REITs of approximately \$46.6 million, distributions in excess of earnings from non-consolidated REITs of \$2.1 million and net proceeds from the sale of properties of \$0.2 million.

#### Financing Activities

Our cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 of \$99.4 million is primarily attributable to repayment of the 2011 Revolver (as defined below), which had a balance outstanding of \$449.0 million at the beginning of 2012 and \$482.0 million on the date that we closed the 2012 Credit Facility and funding, financing costs of the 2012 Credit Facility of \$5.3 million and distributions paid to stockholders of \$63.0 million. These uses were partially offset by borrowings under the 2011 Revolver before it was repaid and initial borrowings under the 2012 Credit Facility of \$482.0 million and subsequent borrowings under the 2012 Revolver (as defined below) of \$134.7 million.

#### 2012 Credit Facility

As of December 31, 2012, we had bank notes payable to a group of banks for an unsecured credit facility comprised of both a revolving line of credit and a term loan (the "2012 Credit Facility"). The revolving line of credit portion of the 2012 Credit Facility is for borrowings, at our election, of up to \$500,000,000 (the "2012 Revolver"). The term loan portion of the 2012 Credit Facility is for \$400,000,000 (the "2012 Term Loan").

On September 27, 2012, we entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "2012 Credit Agreement") with the lending institutions referenced in the 2012 Credit Agreement and those lenders from time to time party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, letter of credit issuer and swing line lender, for the 2012 Credit Facility. The 2012 Revolver portion of the 2012 Credit Facility is for borrowings, at our election, of up to \$500,000,000. The 2012 Term Loan portion of the 2012 Credit Facility is for \$400,000,000. On September 27, 2012, we drew down the entire \$400,000,000 under the 2012 Term Loan and \$82,000,000 under the 2012 Revolver. Our \$600,000,000 revolving credit facility (the "2011 Revolver") that was scheduled to mature on February 22, 2014 was amended and restated in its entirety by the 2012 Credit Agreement and the \$482,000,000 in advances outstanding under the 2011 Revolver were repaid from the proceeds of the 2012 Credit Facility.

The 2012 Term Loan has a five year term that matures on September 27, 2017. Borrowings made pursuant to the 2012 Revolver may be revolving loans, swing line loans or letters of credit, the combined sum of which may not exceed \$500,000,000 outstanding at any time. Borrowings made pursuant to the 2012 Revolver may be borrowed, repaid and reborrowed from time to time for four years until September 27, 2016, the initial maturity date of the 2012 Revolver. We have the right to extend the initial maturity date of the 2012 Revolver by an additional 12 months, or until September 27, 2017, upon payment of a fee and satisfaction of certain customary conditions. The 2012 Revolver includes an accordion feature that allows for up to \$250,000,000 of additional borrowing capacity subject to receipt of lender commitments and satisfaction of certain customary conditions.

The 2012 Credit Facility bears interest at either (i) a rate equal to LIBOR plus 135 to 190 basis points depending on our total leverage ratio at the time of the borrowing (LIBOR plus 145 basis points, or 1.67% at December 31, 2012) or (ii) a rate equal to the bank's base rate plus 35 to 90 basis points depending on our total leverage ratio at the time of the borrowing (the bank's base rate plus 45 basis points, or 3.70% at December 31, 2012). The 2012 Credit Facility also obligates us to pay an annual facility fee of 20 to 40 basis points depending on our total leverage ratio (30 basis points at December 31, 2012). The facility fee is assessed against the total amount of the 2012 Credit Facility, or \$900,000,000. The actual amount of any applicable facility fee, LIBOR rate or base rate is determined based on our total leverage ratio as described in the table below:

Leverage Ratio	Facility Fee	LIBOR Margin	Base Rate Margin
<u>≤</u> 25%	20.0 bps	135.0 bps	35.0 bps
$> 25\%$ and $\leq 35\%$	25.0 bps	140.0 bps	40.0 bps
$> 35\%$ and $\leq 45\%$	30.0 bps	145.0 bps	45.0 bps
$> 45\%$ and $\leq 55\%$	35.0 bps	165.0 bps	65.0 bps
> 55%	40.0 bps	190.0 bps	90.0 bps

For purposes of the 2012 Credit Facility, base rate means, for any day, a fluctuating rate per annum equal to the highest of: (i) the bank's prime rate for such day, (ii) the Federal Funds Rate for such day, plus 1/2 of 1.00%, and (iii) the one month LIBOR based rate for such day plus 1.00%.

Although the interest rate on the 2012 Credit Facility is variable, under the 2012 Credit Agreement, we fixed the base LIBOR interest rate on the 2012 Term Loan by entering into an interest rate swap agreement. On September 27, 2012, we entered into an ISDA Master Agreement with Bank of America, N.A. that fixed the base LIBOR interest rate on the 2012 Term Loan at 0.75% per annum for five years. Accordingly, based upon our leverage ratio, as of December 31, 2012, the interest rate on the 2012 Term Loan was 2.20% per annum. In addition, based upon our leverage ratio, as of December 31, 2012, there were borrowings of \$216,750,000 outstanding under the 2012 Revolver at a weighted average rate of 1.66% per annum. The weighted average interest rate on all amounts outstanding during the year ended December 31, 2012 was approximately 2.23% per annum.

As of December 31, 2011, there were borrowings of \$449,000,000 outstanding under the 2011 Revolver at a weighted average rate of 2.24% per annum. The weighted average interest rate on all amounts outstanding during the year ended December 31, 2011 was approximately 1.95% per annum.

The 2012 Credit Agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants for credit facilities of this type, including limitations with respect to indebtedness, liens, investments, mergers and acquisitions, disposition of assets, changes in business, certain restricted payments, the requirement to join certain subsidiaries as coborrowers under the 2012 Credit Agreement and transactions with affiliates. The 2012 Credit Agreement also contains financial covenants that require the Company to maintain a minimum tangible net worth, a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, a maximum secured leverage ratio, a maximum leverage ratio, a maximum unencumbered leverage ratio, a minimum unencumbered debt service coverage ratio, a maximum ratio of certain investments to total assets and a maximum amount of secured recourse indebtedness. The 2012 Credit Agreement provides for customary events of default with corresponding grace periods, including failure to pay any principal or interest when due, certain cross defaults and a change in control of the Company (as defined in the 2012 Credit Agreement). In the event of a default by us, the administrative agent may, and at the request of the requisite number of lenders shall, declare all obligations under the 2012 Credit Agreement immediately due and payable, terminate the lenders'

commitments to make loans under the 2012 Credit Agreement, and enforce any and all rights of the lenders or administrative agent under the 2012 Credit Agreement and related documents. For certain events of default related to bankruptcy, insolvency, and receivership, the commitments of lenders will be automatically terminated and all outstanding obligations will become immediately due and payable. We were in compliance with the 2012 Credit Facility financial covenants as of December 31, 2012.

We may use the proceeds of the loans under the 2012 Credit Agreement to finance the acquisition of real properties and for other permitted investments; to finance investments associated with Sponsored REITs, to refinance or retire existing indebtedness and for working capital and other general business purposes, in each case to the extent permitted under the 2012 Credit Agreement.

#### **Equity Securities**

On May 6, 2010, we entered into an on demand offering sales agreement that allows us to offer and sell up to an aggregate gross sales price of \$75 million of our common stock from time to time, which we refer to as our ATM Sales Program. The on demand offering sales agreement for the ATM Sales Program was amended on April 27, 2012 in connection with our filing of a new Registration Statement on Form S-3. Sales of shares of our common stock depend upon market conditions and other factors determined by us and are deemed to be "at the market offerings" as defined in Rule 415 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, including sales made directly on the NYSE MKT or sales made to or through a market maker other than on an exchange, as well as in negotiated transactions, if and to the extent agreed by us in writing. We have no obligation to sell any shares of our common stock, and may at any time suspend solicitation and offers. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we did not sell any shares of our common stock under our ATM Sales Program. As of December 31, 2012, we were authorized to offer and sell a remainder of approximately \$34.3 million of our shares of common stock under the ATM Sales Program.

As of December 31, 2012, we had an automatic shelf registration statement on Form S-3 on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission relating to the offer and sale, from time to time, of an indeterminate amount of our common stock. From time to time, we expect to issue additional shares of our common stock under our automatic shelf registration statement or a different registration statement to fund the acquisition of additional properties, to pay down any existing debt financing and for other corporate purposes.

#### Contingencies

From time-to-time we may make secured loans to Sponsored REITs in the form of mortgage loans or revolving line of credit to fund construction costs, capital expenditures, leasing costs and for other purposes. As of December 31, 2012, we were committed to fund up to \$118.8 million to seven Sponsored REITs under such arrangements, of which \$93.9 million in the aggregate has been drawn and is outstanding. We anticipate that advances made under these facilities will be repaid at their maturity date or earlier from long-term financings of the underlying properties, cash flows from the underlying properties or another other capital event.

We may be subject to various legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of our business. Although occasional adverse decisions (or settlements) may occur, we believe that the final disposition of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

#### **Related Party Transactions**

In March 2011, we commenced the syndication of FSP Union Centre Corp., which was fully subscribed on December 29, 2011. In November 2010, we commenced the syndication of FSP Monument Circle Corp., which was fully subscribed on March 1, 2011.

We intend to draw on the 2012 Credit Facility in the future for a variety of corporate purposes, including the acquisition of properties that we acquire directly for our portfolio and for Sponsored REIT Loans as described below.

#### Loans to Sponsored REITs

# Sponsored REIT Loans

From time to time we may make secured loans ("Sponsored REIT Loans") to Sponsored REITs in the form of mortgage loans or revolving lines of credit to fund construction costs, capital expenditures, leasing costs and for other purposes. We anticipate that each Sponsored REIT Loan will be repaid at maturity or earlier from long term financings of the underlying properties, cash flows from the underlying properties or some other capital event. Each Sponsored REIT Loan is secured by a mortgage on the underlying property and has a term of approximately two to three years. Except for the mortgage loan with a revolving line of credit component which bore interest at a fixed rate and was repaid in July 2012 and a mortgage loan which also bears interest at a fixed rate, advances under each Sponsored REIT Loan bear interest at a rate equal to the 30-day LIBOR rate plus an agreed upon amount of basis points and most advances also require a 50 basis point draw fee. In December 2011, the Company received a loan fee of \$762,000 at the time of the closing of the mortgage loan with a revolving line of credit component. In March 2012, a \$300,000 fee was collected in connection with a \$30 million draw from the revolving line of credit component. That loan was repaid in full during July 2012 and also included a 0.49% fee collected of \$520,000. In July 2012, the Company received a loan fee of \$300,630 at the time of the closing of the mortgage loan and a 0.98% fee will be collected on all amounts repaid under the loan.

Our Sponsored REIT Loans subject us to credit risk. However, we believe that our position as asset manager of each of the Sponsored REITs helps mitigate that risk by providing us with unique insight and the ability to rely on qualitative analysis of the Sponsored REITs. Before making a Sponsored REIT Loan, we consider a variety of subjective factors, including the quality of the underlying real estate, leasing, the financial condition of the applicable Sponsored REIT and local and national market conditions. These factors are subject to change and we do not apply a formula or assign relative weights to the factors. Instead, we make a subjective determination after considering such factors collectively.

## Acquisition Loans

Prior to terminating the activities of our investment banking segment in December 2011, we typically made an acquisition loan to each Sponsored REIT which was secured by a mortgage on the borrower's real estate. These loans enabled Sponsored REITs to acquire their respective properties prior to the consummation of the offerings of their equity interests. We refer to these loans as Acquisition Loans. We anticipated that each Acquisition Loan would be repaid at maturity or earlier from the proceeds of the Sponsored REIT's equity offering. Each Acquisition Loan had an original term of two years and bore interest at approximately the same rate paid by FSP Corp. for borrowings under our previous revolving lines of credit, as applicable. We made one Acquisition Loan for the syndication of FSP Union Centre Corp. during 2011, which was repaid on October 20, 2011. There were no Acquisition Loans outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011. Acquisition Loans are classified as assets held for syndication.

Additional information about our Sponsored REIT Loans outstanding as of December 31, 2012, including a summary table of our Sponsored REIT Loans, is incorporated herein by reference to Note 4, "Related Party Transactions and Investments in Non-Consolidated Entities - Management fees and interest income from loans", in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report.

#### Other Considerations

We generally pay the ordinary annual operating expenses of our properties from the rental revenue generated by the properties. For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the rental income exceeded the expenses for each individual property, with the exception of our properties located in Southfield, Michigan and Englewood, Colorado.

Our property located in Southfield, Michigan with approximately 215,000 square feet of rentable space was 41.4% and 39.2% leased at December 21, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The property was sold on December 21, 2012. Rental revenue did not cover ordinary operating expenses for the period ended December 21, 2012 or the year ended December 31, 2011. The property generated rental income of \$1,113,000 and \$1,153,000 for the period ended December 21, 2012 and year ended December 31, 2011, respectively; and had operating expenses of

\$1,419,000 and \$1,928,000 for the period ended December 21, 2012 and the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Our property located in Englewood, Colorado with approximately 198,000 square feet of rentable space was 100% leased and 48.9% leased at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. A single tenant lease, for 100% of the rentable space, expired on April 30, 2010, and since then we have signed leases with various tenants, the most recent during November 2012, and the property is now 100% leased. For the year ended December 31, 2012 the property had rental income sufficient to cover operating expenses. Rental revenue did not cover ordinary operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2011. The property generated rental income of \$1,737,000 and had operating expenses of \$1,937,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011.

### Rental Income Commitments

Our commercial real estate operations include the leasing of office buildings and industrial properties subject to leases with terms greater than one year. The leases thereon expire at various dates through 2023. Approximate future minimum rental income from non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2012 is:

(in thousands)		Year ending December 31,							
2013	\$	127,789							
2014	Ф	132,025							
2015		116,155							
2016		104,296							
2017		81,397							
Thereafter (2018-2024)		207,774							
	\$	769,436							

# **Contractual Obligations**

The following table sets forth our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2012.

Contractual	Payment due by period (in thousands)												
Obligations	Total		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017	The	ereafter
2012 Revolver	\$ 216,750	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	216,750	\$	-	\$	-
2012 Term Loan	400,000		-		-		-		-		400,000		-
Operating Leases	1,998		405		417		424		428		324		-
Total	\$ 618,748	\$	405	\$	417	\$	424	\$	217,178	\$	400,324	\$	-

The operating leases in the table above consist of our lease of corporate office space, which commenced September 1, 2010 and expires on August 31, 2017 and has one five-year renewal option. The lease includes a base annual rent and additional rent for our share of taxes and operating costs.

In addition to the amounts in the table above, from time to time, we may provide Sponsored REIT Loans to our Sponsored REITs. As of December 31, 2012, we were committed to fund Sponsored REIT Loans up to \$118.8 million to seven Sponsored REITs, of which \$93.9 million in the aggregate was drawn and outstanding. Additional information about our Sponsored REIT Loans outstanding as of December 31, 2012, including a summary table of our Sponsored REIT Loans, is incorporated herein by reference to Note 4, "Related Party Transactions and Investments in Non-Consolidated Entities - Management fees and interest income from loans", in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

## Investments in Sponsored REITs

Previously we operated in the investment banking segment, and in December 2011, we discontinued those activities. The investment banking segment involved the structuring of real estate investments and broker/dealer services that included the organization of Sponsored REITs, the acquisition and development of real estate on behalf of Sponsored REITs and the raising of capital to equitize the Sponsored REITs through sale of preferred stock in private placements. On December 15, 2011, we announced that our broker/dealer subsidiary, FSP Investments LLC, would no longer sponsor the syndication of shares of preferred stock in newly-formed Sponsored REITs.

The Sponsored REITs own real estate, purchases of which were financed through the private placement of equity in those entities, typically through syndication. These Sponsored REITs are operated in a manner intended to qualify as real estate investment trusts. We earned fees related to the sale of preferred stock in the Sponsored REITs in these syndications. The Sponsored REITs issued both common stock and preferred stock. The common stock is owned by FSP Corp. Generally the preferred stock is owned by unaffiliated investors, however, we acquired an interest in preferred shares of five Sponsored REITs. In addition, directors and officers of FSP Corp., have from time to time invested in Sponsored REITs. Following consummation of the offerings, the preferred stockholders in each of the Sponsored REITs were entitled to 100% of the Sponsored REIT's cash distributions. Subsequent to the completion of the offering of preferred shares, except for the preferred stock we own, we do not share in any of the Sponsored REIT's earnings, or any related dividend, and the common stock ownership interests have virtually no economic benefit or risk. Prior to the completion of the offering of preferred shares, we shared in Sponsored REIT's earnings (and related dividends) to the extent of our ownership interest in the Sponsored REIT.

As a common stockholder, upon completion of the syndication, we have no rights to the Sponsored REIT's earnings or any related cash distributions. However, upon liquidation of a Sponsored REIT, we are entitled to our percentage interest as a common stockholder in any proceeds remaining after the preferred stockholders have recovered their investment. Our common stock percentage interest in each Sponsored REIT is less than 1%. The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the Sponsored REIT's preferred stockholders is required for any actions involving merger, sale of property, amendment to charter or issuance of additional capital stock. In addition, all of the Sponsored REITs allow the holders of more than 50% of the outstanding preferred shares to remove (without cause) and replace one or more members of that Sponsored REIT's board of directors.

Common stock investments in Sponsored REITs are consolidated while the entity is controlled by us. Following the commencement of syndication we exercise influence over, but do not control these entities and investments are accounted for using the equity method. Under the equity method of accounting, the cost basis is increased by its share of the Sponsored REITs' earnings, if any, prior to completion of the syndication. Equity in losses of Sponsored REITs was not recognized to the extent that the investment balance would become negative and distributions received are recognized as income once the investment balance is reduced to zero, unless there are assets held for syndication from the Sponsored REIT entity. Equity in losses or distributions received in excess of investment is recorded as an adjustment to the carrying value of the asset held for syndication. In December 2011, the Company discontinued sydicating newly-formed Sponsored REITs and had no Acquisition Loans outstanding at December 31, 2011.

We have acquired a preferred stock interest in five Sponsored REITs, including one that sold the property owned by it on December 20, 2012 and made a liquidating distribution to us, one we acquired on May 15, 2008 by cash merger and another we acquired on April 30, 2006 by merger. As a result of our common stock interest and our preferred stock interest in the remaining two Sponsored REITs, we exercise influence over, but do not control these entities. These preferred share investments are accounted for using the equity method. Under the equity method of accounting our cost basis is adjusted by our share of the Sponsored REITs' operations and distributions received. We also agreed to vote our preferred shares in any matter presented to a vote by the stockholders of these Sponsored REITs in the same proportion as shares voted by other stockholders of the Sponsored REITs.

At December 31, 2012, we held a common stock interest in 15 Sponsored REITs, all of which were fully syndicated and in which we no longer share economic benefit or risk. At December 31, 2011, we held a common stock interest in 16 Sponsored REITs, all of which were fully syndicated and in which we no longer share economic benefit or risk. At December 31, 2010, we held a common stock interest in 15 Sponsored REITs, 14 of which were

fully syndicated and in which we no longer share economic benefit or risk. The one that was not fully syndicated at December 31, 2010 commenced in November 2010. The value of the entity that was not fully syndicated at December 31, 2010 was approximately \$3.0 million and was shown on the consolidated balance sheets as an asset held for syndication.

The table below shows our income and expenses from Sponsored REITs. Management fees of \$6,000, and \$14,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and interest expense related to the Company's mortgage on properties is eliminated in consolidation.

	Yea	Year Ended December 31,						
(in thousands)	;	<u>2011</u> <u>20</u>						
Operating Data:								
Rental revenues	\$	1,482	\$	498				
Operating and maintenance								
expenses		480		536				
Depreciation and amortization		610		146				
Interest expense		197		38				
Interest income		-		1				
	\$	195	\$	(221)				

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we recorded equity in income from two Sponsored REITs following commencement of the syndication of \$1.7 million. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we recorded equity in income from two Sponsored REITs following commencement of the syndication of \$71,000.

From time to time, we may provide Sponsored REIT Loans to our Sponsored REITs. As of December 31, 2012, we were committed to fund Sponsored REIT Loans up to \$118.8 million to seven Sponsored REITs, of which \$93.9 million in the aggregate was drawn and outstanding. Additional information about our Sponsored REIT Loans outstanding as of December 31, 2012, including a summary table of our Sponsored REIT Loans, is incorporated herein by reference to Note 4, "Related Party Transactions and Investments in Non-Consolidated Entities - Management fees and interest income from loans", in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report.

# Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

#### Market Rate Risk

We use interest rate derivative instruments to manage exposure to interest rate changes. As of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, if market rates on our outstanding borrowings under our 2012 Revolver and the 2011 Revolver, respectively, increased by 10% at maturity, or approximately 17 and 22 basis points, respectively, over the current variable rate, the increase in interest expense would decrease future earnings and cash flows by \$0.4 million and \$1.0 million annually. Based upon our leverage ratio, the interest rate on our borrowings on the 2012 Revolver as of December 31, 2012 was LIBOR plus 145 basis points, or 1.66% per annum. We do not believe that the interest rate risk represented by borrowings under our 2012 Revolver is material as of December 31, 2012.

Although the interest rate on the 2012 Credit Facility is variable, the Company fixed the base LIBOR interest rate on the 2012 Term Loan by entering into an interest rate swap agreement. On September 27, 2012, the Company entered into an ISDA Master Agreement with Bank of America, N.A. that fixed the base LIBOR interest rate on the 2012 Term Loan at 0.75% per annum for five years. Accordingly, based upon the Company's leverage ratio, as of December 31, 2012, the interest rate on the 2012 Term Loan was 2.20% per annum. The fair value of the interest rate swap agreement is affected by changes in market interest rates. We believe that we have mitigated interest rate risk with respect to the 2012 Term Loan through the interest rate swap agreement for the five year term of the 2012 Term Loan. This interest rate swap agreement was our only derivative instrument as of December 31, 2012.

The table below lists our derivative instrument, which is hedging variable cash flows related to interest on our 2012 Term Loan as of December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Notional	Strike	Effective	Expiration	Fair
	Value	Rate	<u>Date</u>	<u>Date</u>	Value
Interest Rate Swap	\$ 400,000	0.75%	Sep-12	Sep-17	\$ (1,219)

Our 2012 Term Loan hedging transaction used a derivative instrument that involves certain additional risks such as counterparty credit risk, the enforceability of hedging contracts and the risk that unanticipated and significant changes in interest rates will cause a significant loss of basis in the contract. We require our derivatives contracts to be with counterparties that have an investment grade rating. The counterparty to our derivative arrangement is Bank of America, N.A., which has an investment grade rating. As a result, we do not anticipate that the counterparty will fail to meet its obligations. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to adequately protect against the foregoing risks or that we will ultimately realize an economic benefit that exceeds the related amounts incurred in connection with engaging in such hedging strategies.

The 2012 Revolver has a term of four years and matures on September 27, 2016. We have the right to extend the initial maturity date of the 2012 Revolver by an additional 12 months, or until September 27, 2017, upon payment of a fee and satisfaction of certain customary conditions. The 2012 Revolver includes an accordion feature that allows for up to \$250,000,000 of additional borrowing capacity subject to receipt of lender commitments and satisfaction of certain customary conditions. Upon maturity, our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments will be dependent upon the balance then outstanding and prevalent market interest rates.

We borrow from time-to-time under the 2012 Revolver. These borrowings bear interest at either (i) a rate equal to LIBOR plus 135 to 190 basis points depending on our total leverage ratio at the time of the borrowing (LIBOR plus 145 basis points, or 1.67% at December 31, 2012) or (ii) a rate equal to the bank's base rate plus 35 to 90 basis points depending on our total leverage ratio at the time of the borrowing (the bank's base rate plus 45 basis points, or 3.70% at December 31, 2012). There were borrowings totaling \$216.75 million on the 2012 Revolver and \$449.0 million on the 2011 Revolver, at a weighted average rate of 1.66% and 2.24% outstanding at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. We have drawn on the 2012 Revolver, and intend to draw on the 2012 Revolver in the future for a variety of corporate purposes, including the funding of Sponsored REIT Loans and the acquisition of properties that we acquire directly for our portfolio. Information about our Sponsored REIT Loans as of December 31, 2012 is incorporated herein by reference to Note 4, "Related Party Transactions and Investments in Non-Consolidated Entities - Management fees and interest income from loans", in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report.

The following table presents as of December 31, 2012 our contractual variable rate borrowings under our 2012 Revolver, which matures on September 27, 2016, and under our 2012 Term Loan, which matures on September 27, 2017. Under the 2012 Revolver, we have the right to extend the initial maturity date by an additional 12 months, or until September 27, 2017, upon payment of a fee and satisfaction of certain customary conditions.

	Payment due by period (in thousands)												
	Total		2013		2014	2015 2016		2017		Thereafter			
2012 Revolver	\$ 216,750	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	216,750	\$	-	\$	-
2012 Term Loan	400,000										400,000		
Total	\$ 616,750	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	216,750	\$	400,000	\$	-

## Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The information required by this item is included in the financial pages following the Exhibit index herein and incorporated herein by reference. Reference is made to the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 15 of Part IV.

# Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable.

#### Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

#### **Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2012. The term "disclosure controls and procedures," as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2012, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

#### Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's principal executive and principal financial officer and effected by the Company's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and
- Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. In making this assessment, the Company's management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework.

Based on our assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2012, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

Ernst & Young LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited our financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K, has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. Please see page F-3.

# **Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

No change in our internal control over financial reporting occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### Item 9B. Other Information

In November 2012, the Company acquired a property in Houston, Texas. Selected Unaudited Combining Condensed Consolidated Pro Forma Financial Data of the Company that give effect to the acquisition are attached as Exhibit 99.1.

#### **PART III**

Certain information required by Part III of this Form 10-K will be contained in our definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A (the "Proxy Statement") which we plan to file not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and is incorporated herein by reference.

### Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The response to this item is contained under the caption "Directors and Executive Officers of FSP Corp." in Part I hereof and in the Proxy Statement under the captions "CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRINCIPLES AND BOARD MATTERS," "PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS" and "SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE" and is incorporated herein by reference.

Our board of directors has adopted a code of business conduct and ethics that applies to all of our executive officers, directors and employees. The code was approved by the audit committee of our board of directors and by the full board of directors. We have posted a current copy of our code under "Corporate Governance" in the "Investor Relations" section of our website at <a href="http://www.franklinstreetproperties.com">http://www.franklinstreetproperties.com</a>. To the extent permitted by applicable rules of the NYSE MKT, we intend to satisfy the disclosure requirements under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding an amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of the code of business conduct and ethics with respect to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, by posting such information on our website.

#### **Item 11.** Executive Compensation

The response to this item is contained in the Proxy Statement under the captions "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION" and "COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS" and is incorporated herein by reference.

The "Compensation Committee Report" contained in the Proxy Statement under the caption "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION" shall not be deemed "soliciting material" or "filed" with the SEC or otherwise subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended or the Exchange Act, except to the extent we specifically request that such information be treated as soliciting material or specifically incorporate such information by reference into a document filed under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

# Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The response to this item is contained in the Proxy Statement under the captions "BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF VOTING STOCK" and "SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS" and is incorporated herein by reference.

#### Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The response to this item is contained in the Proxy Statement under the captions "PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS" and "TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS" and is incorporated herein by reference.

#### Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The response to this item is contained in the Proxy Statement under the caption "PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM" and is incorporated herein by reference.

# **PART IV**

# Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

#### 1. Financial Statements:

The Financial Statements listed in the accompanying Index to Consolidated Financial Statements are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

# 2. Financial Statement Schedules:

The Financial Statement Schedules listed on the accompanying Index to Consolidated Financial Statements are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

# 3. Exhibits:

The Exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

# **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf as of February 19, 2013 by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

# FRANKLIN STREET PROPERTIES CORP.

By: /s/ George J. Carter

George J. Carter
President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
/s/ George J. Carter George J. Carter	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	February 19, 2013
/s/ Barbara J. Fournier Barbara J. Fournier	Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer, Treasurer, Secretary and Director	February 19, 2013
/s/ John G. Demeritt John G. Demeritt	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	February 19, 2013
/s/ Janet P. Notopoulos Janet P. Notopoulos	Director, Executive Vice President	February 19, 2013
/s/ John Burke John Burke	Director	February 19, 2013
/s/ Brian N. Hansen Brian N. Hansen	Director	February 19, 2013
/s/ Dennis J. McGillicuddy Dennis J. McGillicuddy	Director	February 19, 2013
/s/ Georgia Murray Georgia Murray	Director	February 19, 2013
/s/ Barry Silverstein Barry Silverstein	Director	February 19, 2013

# EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>
3.1 (1)	Articles of Incorporation.
3.2 (2)	Amended and Restated By-laws.
10.1 (3)	2002 Stock Incentive Plan of FSP Corp.
10.2 (4)	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated September 27, 2012, among FSP Corp. and the other parties thereto.
10.3 (5)	ISDA Master Agreement, dated September 27, 2012, between FSP Corp. and Bank of America, N.A., together with the schedule relating thereto.
10.4 (6)	Contract of Sale, dated October 10, 2012, between FSP Westchase LLC and Granite Westchase Partners, Ltd., together with First Amendment to Contract of Sale, dated October 24, 2012, between FSP Westchase LLC and Granite Westchase Partners, Ltd.
10.5+(7)	Form of Retention Agreement.
10.6+(8)	Change in Control Discretionary Plan.
10.6 (9)	Baird On Demand Offering Sales Agreement between FSP Corp. and Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated dated May 6, 2010.
10.7 (10)	Amendment No.1 to Baird On Demand Offering Sales Agreement between FSP Corp. and Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated dated April 27, 2012.
21.1*	Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
23.1*	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.
31.1*	Certification of FSP Corp.'s President and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2*	Certification of FSP Corp.'s Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1*	Certification of FSP Corp.'s President and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2*	Certification of FSP Corp.'s Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.1*	Selected Unaudited Combining Condensed Consolidated Pro Forma Financial Data reflecting the acquisition of a property in Houston, Texas.
101**	The following materials from FSP Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets; (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income; (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Other Comprehensive Income; and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to FSP Corp.'s Form 8-A, filed April 5, 2005 (File No. 001-32470).
- (2) Incorporated by reference to FSP Corp.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on February 15, 2013 (File No. 001-32470).
- (3) Incorporated by reference to FSP Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed on March 29, 2002 (File No. 0-32615).
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to FSP Corp.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on September 27, 2012 File No. 001-32470).
- (5) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to FSP Corp.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on September 27, 2012 File No. 001-32470).
- (6) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to FSP Corp.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on October 30, 2012 File No. 001-32470).
- (7) Incorporated by reference to FSP Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed on February 24, 2006 (File No. 001-32470).
- (8) Incorporated by reference to FSP Corp.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on February 8, 2006 (File No. 001-32470).
- (9) Incorporated by reference to FSP Corp.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on May 7, 2010 (File No. 001-32470).
- (10) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.2 to FSP Corp.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on April 27, 2012 File No. 001-32470).
- Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement filed as an Exhibit to this Form 10-K pursuant to Item 15(b) of Form 10-K.
- \* Filed herewith.
- \*\* XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these Sections.

# Franklin Street Properties Corp. Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Financial Statements:	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Income for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Other Comprehensive Income for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012	F-7
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012	F-8
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012	F-9
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	F-11
Financial Statement Schedules – Schedule II and III	F-32

All other schedules for which a provision is made in the applicable accounting resolutions of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable, and therefore have been omitted.

## Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Franklin Street Properties Corp.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Franklin Street Properties Corp. as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income and other comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the Index at Item 15(a)(2). These financial statements and schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Franklin Street Properties Corp. at December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Franklin Street Properties Corp.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 19, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Boston, Massachusetts February 19, 2013

#### Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Franklin Street Properties Corp.:

We have audited Franklin Street Properties Corp.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Franklin Street Properties Corp.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in Item 9A of Franklin Street Properties Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K under the heading Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Franklin Street Properties Corp. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the 2012 consolidated financial statements of Franklin Street Properties Corp. and our report dated February 19, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Boston, Massachusetts February 19, 2013

# Franklin Street Properties Corp. Consolidated Balance Sheets

	December 31,				
(in thousands)		2012	2011		
Assets:					
Real estate assets:					
Land	\$	144,336 \$	132,393		
Buildings and improvements	4	1,178,144	1,006,267		
Fixtures and equipment		904	831		
Threates and equipment		1,323,384	1,139,491		
Less accumulated depreciation		180,756	148,266		
Real estate assets, net		1,142,628	991,225		
Acquired real estate leases, less accumulated amortization of \$40,062 and					
\$31,189, respectively		111,982	91,613		
Investment in non-consolidated REITs		81,960	87,598		
Asset held for sale		- -	15,355		
Cash and cash equivalents		21,267	23,813		
Restricted cash		575	493		
Tenant rent receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts					
of \$1,300 and \$1,235, respectively		1,749	1,460		
Straight-line rent receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts					
of \$135 and \$135, respectively		35,441	28,502		
Prepaid expenses		1,106	1,223		
Related party mortgage loan receivable		93,896	140,516		
Other assets		12,655	4,070		
Office computers and furniture, net of accumulated					
depreciation of \$584 and \$428, respectively		544	468		
Deferred leasing commissions, net of accumulated amortization					
of \$11,812 and \$9,139, respectively		23,376	22,325		
Total assets	\$	1,527,179 \$	1,408,661		

### Franklin Street Properties Corp. Consolidated Balance Sheets

	December 31,					
(in thousands, except share and par value amounts)		2012	2011			
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity:						
Liabilities:						
Bank note payable	\$	216,750 \$	449,000			
Term loan payable	Ψ	400,000	-			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		31,122	26,446			
Accrued compensation		2,540	2,222			
Tenant security deposits		2,489	2,008			
Other liabilities: derivative liability		1,219	-			
Acquired unfavorable real estate leases, less accumulated amortization		,				
of \$4,870 and \$3,759, respectively		8,310	7,618			
		- ,-				
Total liabilities		662,430	487,294			
Commitments and contingencies						
Stockholders' Equity:						
Preferred stock, \$.0001 par value, 20,000,000 shares		-	-			
authorized, none issued or outstanding						
Common stock, \$.0001 par value, 180,000,000 shares authorized,						
82,937,405 and 82,937,405 shares issued and outstanding, respectively		8	8			
Additional paid-in capital		1,042,876	1,042,876			
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(1,219)	-			
Earnings (distributions) in excess of accumulated earnings/distributions		(176,916)	(121,517)			
Total stockholders' equity		864,749	921,367			
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	1,527,179 \$	1,408,661			

# Franklin Street Properties Corp. Consolidated Statements of Income

For the Year Ended December 31.

	December 31,							
(in thousands, except per share amounts)		2012	2011	2010				
Revenues:								
Rental	\$	151,656 \$	134,238 \$	113,274				
Related party revenue:	*	, +	, +	,				
Management fees and interest income from loans		10,947	4,046	2,440				
Other		199	49	88				
Total revenues		162,802	138,333	115,802				
Expenses:								
Real estate operating expenses		37,441	35,076	32,042				
Real estate taxes and insurance		22,913	20,114	17,782				
Depreciation and amortization		54,872	47,622	35,640				
Selling, general and administrative		9,916	6,913	6,399				
Interest		16,068	12,666	7,284				
Total expenses		141,210	122,391	99,147				
Income before interest income, equity in earnings								
of non-consolidated REITs and taxes on income								
		21,592	15,942	16,655				
Interest income		51	22	25				
Equity in earnings of non-consolidated REITs		2,033	3,685	1,266				
Income before taxes on income		23,676	19,649	17,946				
Taxes on income		335	267	217				
Income from continuing operations		23,341	19,382	17,729				
Discontinued operations:								
Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax		(882)	2,203	4,364				
Gain (loss) on sale, less applicable income tax		(14,826)	21,939	_				
Total discontinued operations		(15,708)	24,142	4,364				
Net income	\$	7,633 \$	43,524 \$	22,093				
Weighted average number of shares outstanding,		00.027	01.057	70.026				
basic and diluted		82,937	81,857	79,826				
Earnings per share, basic and diluted, attributable to:								
Continuing operations	\$	0.28 \$	0.24 \$	0.22				
Discontinued operations	\$	(0.19) \$	0.29 \$	0.06				
Net income per share, basic and diluted	\$	0.09 \$	0.53 \$	0.28				

# Franklin Street Properties Corp. Consolidated Statements of Other Comprehensive Income

For the	
Year Ended	l
December 3	1

	December 31,					
(in thousands)		2012		2011		2010
Net income	\$	7,633	\$	43,524	\$	22,093
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative financial instruments		(1,219)		94		999
Amortized gain on derivative financial instruments		-		983		-
Total other comprehensive income (loss)		(1,219)		1,077		999
Comprehensive income	\$	6,414	\$	44,601	\$	23,092

# Franklin Street Properties Corp. Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

	Commo	on Stocl	k_	Additional Paid-In		ccumulated other nprehensive	(dist	arnings ributions) cess of umulated arnings/	Sto	Total ckholders'								
(in thousands)	Shares	Amount		Capital	loss		loss		loss		loss		tal loss		dist	ributions		Equity
Balance, December 31, 2009 Comprehensive income Shares issued for:	79,681	\$ -	8	\$ 1,003,713	\$	(2,076) 999	\$	(64,371) 22,093	\$	937,274 23,092								
Equity offering Distributions	1,756	-		21,778		-		- (60,586)		21,778 (60,586)								
Balance, December 31, 2010 Comprehensive income Shares issued for:	81,437	-	8	1,025,491		(1,077) 1,077		(102,864) 43,524		921,558 44,601								
Equity offering Distributions	1,500 -	- -		17,385		- -		- (62,177)		17,385 (62,177)								
Balance, December 31, 2011 Comprehensive income Shares issued for: Equity offering	82,937	-	8	1,042,876		(1,219)		(121,517) 7,633		921,367 6,414								
Distributions Balance, December 31, 2012	82,937	\$	8	\$ 1,042,876	\$	(1,219)	\$	(63,032) (176,916)	\$	(63,032) 864,749								

### Franklin Street Properties Corp. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	For the Year Ended December 31,						
(in thousands)	2012	2011	2010				
Cash flows from operating activities:							
Net income	\$ 7,633	\$ 43,524	\$ 22,093				
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating	\$ 7,033	\$ 45,524	\$ 22,093				
activities:							
	57 500	50.261	20.627				
Depreciation and amortization expense  Amortization of above market lease	57,500 71	50,261 (47)	39,627 1,362				
Gain (loss) on sale, less applicable income tax	14,826		1,302				
Equity in earnings of non-consolidated REITs	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	` ' /	(1,183)				
Distributions from non-consolidated REITs	(2,033) 705		1,633				
Increase (decrease) in bad debt reserve	65	(365)	980				
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	03	(303)	900				
Restricted cash	(82)	(73)	(86)				
Tenant rent receivables	(354)		(1,120)				
Straight-line rents	(4,464)		(4,249)				
Lease acquisition costs	(2,520)		(4,249)				
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(2,320) $(328)$		865				
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other items	3,717	4,213	(351)				
Accrued compensation	318	419	387				
Tenant security deposits	481	78	122				
Payment of deferred leasing commissions	(5,179)		(10,515)				
Net cash provided by operating activities	70,356		49,565				
Cash flows from investing activities:	, 0,000	00,701	.,,,,,,				
Purchase of real estate assets, office computers and							
	(102.060)	(174.020)	(20.701)				
furniture	(183,868)		(38,781)				
Acquired real estate leases	(37,302)		(15,563)				
Investment in non-consolidated REITs	(1)		(11)				
Distributions in excess of earnings from non-consolidated REITs	2,105	1,582	3,537				
Investment in related party mortgage loan receivable	(74,580)		(21,149)				
Repayment of related party mortgage receivable	121,200		- (200)				
Changes in deposits on real estate assets	-	200	(200)				
Investment in assets held for syndication	-	2,230	1,319				
Proceeds received on sales of real estate assets	157	96,790	(70.040)				
Net cash used in investing activities	(172,289)	(218,290)	(70,848)				
Cash flows from financing activities:							
Distributions to stockholders	(63,032)	(62,177)	(60,586)				
Proceeds from equity offering	-	18,001	22,701				
Offering costs	-	(706)	(833)				
Borrowings under bank note payable	294,750	449,000	100,960				
Repayments of bank note payable	(527,000)		-				
Borrowing (repayment) of term loan payable	400,000	(74,850)	(150)				
Deferred financing costs	(5,331)		-				
Swap termination payment	-	(983)	-				
Net cash provided by financing activities	99,387	112,929	62,092				
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(2,546)	(44,400)	40,809				
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	23,813	68,213	27,404				
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 21,267	\$ 23,813	\$ 68,213				

### Franklin Street Properties Corp. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

		For the Y	ear	ar Ended December			
(in thousands)		2012		2011		2010	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:							
Cash paid for:							
Interest	\$	13,969	\$	9,688	\$	6,931	
Taxes on income	\$	265	\$	225	\$	245	
Non-cash investing and financing activities:							
Accrued costs for purchase of real estate assets	\$	1,692	\$	733	\$	845	
Accrued offering costs	\$	-	\$	-	\$	90	

### 1. Organization

Franklin Street Properties Corp. ("FSP Corp." or the "Company"), holds, directly and indirectly, 100% of the interest in FSP Investments LLC, FSP Property Management LLC, FSP Holdings LLC and FSP Protective TRS Corp. FSP Investments LLC is a registered broker/dealer with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, or FINRA. FSP Property Management LLC provides asset management and property management services. The Company also has a non-controlling common stock interest in 15 corporations organized to operate as real estate investment trusts ("REIT") and a non-controlling preferred stock interest in two of those REITs. Collectively, the 15 REITs are referred to as the "Sponsored REITs".

As of December 31, 2012, the Company owned and operated a portfolio of real estate consisting of 37 properties, managed 15 Sponsored REITs and held seven promissory notes secured by mortgages on real estate owned by Sponsored REITs, including one mortgage loan, one construction loan and five revolving lines of credit. From time-to-time, the Company may acquire real estate, make additional secured loans or acquire a Sponsored REIT. The Company may also pursue, on a selective basis, the sale of its properties in order to take advantage of the value creation and demand for its properties, or for geographic or property specific reasons.

Previously the Company, through FSP Investments LLC, structured real estate investments and offered broker/dealer services that included the organization of Sponsored REITs, the acquisition and development of real estate on behalf of Sponsored REITs and the raising of capital to equitize the Sponsored REITs through sale of preferred stock in private placements. On December 15, 2011, the Company announced that it would no longer sponsor the syndication of shares of preferred stock in newly-formed Sponsored REITs.

### 2. Significant Accounting Policies

### Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include all of the accounts of the Company and its majority-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

### Estimates and Assumptions

The Company prepares its financial statements and related notes in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). These principles require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates in the consolidated financial statements include the allowance for doubtful accounts, purchase price allocations, useful lives of fixed assets and the valuation of the derivative.

### Investments in non-consolidated REITs

The Company has a non-controlling common stock interest in 15 Sponsored REITs and a non-controlling preferred stock interest in two Sponsored REITs. In December 2011, the Company announced that it will no longer sponsor the syndication of newly-formed Sponsored REITs, though it has the capability to sponsor the syndication of any additional shares of preferred stock in existing Sponsored REITs.

Common stock investments in Sponsored REITs were consolidated while the entity was controlled by the Company. Following the commencement of syndication the Company exercises influence over, but does not control these entities and investments are accounted for using the equity method. Under the equity method of accounting, the Company's cost basis is adjusted by its share of the Sponsored REITs' earnings, if any, prior to completion of the syndication. Equity in losses of Sponsored REITs is not recognized to the extent that the investment balance would become negative. Distributions received are recognized as income once the investment balance is reduced to zero, unless there is a loan receivable from the Sponsored REIT entity. Equity in losses or distributions received in excess of common stock investment were recorded as an adjustment to the carrying value of the assets held for syndication.

Subsequent to the completion of the syndication of preferred shares, the Company does not share in any of the Sponsored REITs' earnings, or any related distributions, as a result of its common stock ownership.

### 2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

On September 22, 2006, the Company purchased 48 preferred shares (approximately 4.6%) of a Sponsored REIT, FSP Phoenix Tower Corp. ("Phoenix Tower"), for \$4,116,000. The Company agreed to vote its shares in any matter presented to a vote by the stockholders of Phoenix Tower in the same proportion as shares voted by other stockholders of Phoenix Tower. The investment in Phoenix Tower was accounted for under the equity method. On December 20, 2012, the property owned by Phoenix Tower was sold at a gain. The Company's share of the gain was \$1.6 million and is included in equity in earnings from non-consolidated REITs on the consolidated statements of income.

On December 27, 2007, the Company purchased 965.75 preferred shares (approximately 43.7%) of a Sponsored REIT, FSP 303 East Wacker Drive Corp. ("East Wacker"), for \$82,813,000. The Company agreed to vote its shares in any matter presented to a vote by the stockholders of East Wacker in the same proportion as shares voted by other stockholders of East Wacker. The investment in East Wacker is accounted for under the equity method.

On May 29, 2009, the Company purchased 175.5 preferred shares (approximately 27.0%) of a Sponsored REIT, FSP Grand Boulevard Corp. ("Grand Boulevard"), for \$15,049,000. The Company agreed to vote its shares in any matter presented to a vote by the stockholders of Grand Boulevard in the same proportion as shares voted by other stockholders of Grand Boulevard. The investment in Grand Boulevard is accounted for under the equity method.

### Real Estate and Depreciation

Real estate assets are stated at the lower of cost, less accumulated depreciation.

Costs related to property acquisition and improvements are capitalized. Typical capital items include new roofs, site improvements, various exterior building improvements and major interior renovations. Costs incurred in connection with leasing (primarily tenant improvements and leasing commissions) are capitalized and amortized over the lease period. Routine replacements and ordinary maintenance and repairs that do not extend the life of the asset are expensed as incurred. Funding for repairs and maintenance items typically is provided by cash flows from operating activities. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the assets' estimated useful lives as follows:

<u>Category</u>	<u>Years</u>
Commercial buildings	39
Building improvements	15-39
Fixtures and equipment	3-7

The Company reviews its properties to determine if their carrying amounts will be recovered from future operating cash flows if certain indicators of impairment are identified at those properties. The evaluation of anticipated cash flows is highly subjective and is based in part on assumptions regarding future occupancy, rental rates and capital requirements that could differ materially from actual results in future periods. Since cash flows are considered on an undiscounted basis in the analysis that the Company conducts to determine whether an asset has been impaired, the Company's strategy of holding properties over the long term directly decreases the likelihood of recording an impairment loss. If the Company's strategy changes or market conditions otherwise dictate an earlier sale date, an impairment loss may be recognized. If the Company determines that impairment has occurred, the affected assets must be reduced to their fair value.

### Acquired Real Estate Leases and Amortization

The Company recorded the value of acquired real estate leases as a result of two acquisitions in 2012, five acquisitions in 2011 and one acquisition in 2010. Acquired real estate leases represent costs associated with acquiring an in-place lease (i.e., the market cost to execute a similar lease, including leasing commission, legal, vacancy and other related costs) and the value relating to leases with rents above the market rate. Amortization is computed using the straight-line method over the term of the leases, which range from 12 months to 281 months. Amortization expense was approximately \$19,174,000, \$13,697,000 and \$11,636,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Amortization related to costs associated with acquiring an in-place lease is included in depreciation and amortization on the consolidated statements of income. Amortization related to leases with rents above the market rate is offset against the rental revenue in the consolidated statements of income. The estimated annual amortization expense for the five years and thereafter succeeding December 31, 2012 is as follows:

### 2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Acquired Real Estate Leases and Amortization (continued)

(in thousands)	Dece	December 31.		
2013	\$	24,084		
2014		22,568		
2015		20,689		
2016		16,031		
2017		11,190		
2018 and thereafter		17,420		

Acquired Unfavorable Real Estate Leases and Amortization

The Company recorded the value of acquired unfavorable leases as a result of two acquisitions in 2012, five acquisitions in 2011 and one acquisition in 2010. Acquired unfavorable real estate leases represent the value relating to leases with rents below the market rate. Amortization is computed using the straight-line method over the term of the leases, which range from 12 months to 281 months. Amortization expense was approximately \$1,548,000, \$1,301,000 and \$1,147,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Amortization related to leases with rents below the market rate is included with rental revenue in the consolidated statements of income. The estimated annual amortization for the five years and thereafter succeeding December 31, 2012 is as follows:

(in thousands)	
2013	\$ 1,863
2014	1,722
2015	1,614
2016	1,136
2017	801
2018 and thereafter	1,174

### Discontinued Operations

The Company reports as discontinued operations, the income and expenses associated with a disposal group (i) that qualifies as a component of an entity, (ii) for which cash flows will be eliminated from the ongoing operations of the entity, and (iii) in which the Company will not have significant continuing involvement.

The Company accounts for sale of properties and assets held for sale as discontinued operations. Classification as held for sale typically occurs upon the execution of a purchase and sale agreement and belief by management that the sale or disposition is probable of occurrence within one year. Upon determining that a property is held for sale, the Company discontinues depreciating the property and reflects the property in its consolidated balance sheets at the lower of its carrying amount or fair value less the cost to sell. The Company presents property held for sale on its consolidated balance sheets as "Assets held for sale", on a comparative basis. The Company reports the results of operations of its properties sold or held for sale in its consolidated statements of income as discontinued operations if no significant continuing involvement exists after the sale or disposition.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

### Restricted Cash

Restricted cash consists of tenant security deposits, which are required by law in some states or by contractual agreement to be kept in a segregated account, and escrows arising from property sales. Tenant security deposits are refunded when tenants vacate, provided that the tenant has not damaged the property.

### 2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Cash held in escrow is paid when the related issue is resolved. Restricted cash also may include funds segregated for specific tenant improvements per lease agreements.

### Tenant Rent Receivables

Tenant rent receivables are expected to be collected within one year. The Company provides an allowance for doubtful accounts based on its estimate of a tenant's ability to make future rent payments. The computation of this allowance is based in part on the tenants' payment history and current credit status. The Company charged off \$20,000 in receivables and increased its allowance by \$85,000 during 2012; charged off \$399,000 in receivables and increased its allowance by \$34,000 during 2011; and charged off \$195,000 in receivables and increased its allowance by \$1,175,000 during 2010 based on such analysis.

### Related Party Mortgage Loan Receivable

Management monitors and evaluates the secured loans compared to the value of the underlying real estate and has not experienced a loss on these loans to date.

### Concentration of Credit Risks

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash investments, derivatives and accounts receivable. The Company maintains its cash balances principally in two banks which the Company believes to be creditworthy. The Company periodically assesses the financial condition of the banks and believes that the risk of loss is minimal. Cash balances held with various financial institutions frequently exceed the insurance limit of \$250,000 provided by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The derivative we have is from an interest rate swap agreement that is discussed in Note 6. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of our tenants and require certain tenants to provide security deposits or letters of credit. Though these security deposits and letters of credit are insufficient to meet the total value of a tenant's lease obligation, they are a measure of good faith and a source of funds to offset the economic costs associated with lost rent and the costs associated with re-tenanting the space. The Company has no single tenant which accounts for more than 10% of its annualized rent.

### Financial Instruments

The Company estimates that the carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, mortgage loan receivable and the bank note payable approximate their fair values based on their short-term maturity and prevailing interest rates.

### Straight-line Rent Receivable

Certain leases provide for fixed rent increases over the term of the lease. Rental revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis over the related lease term; however, billings by the Company are based on the lease agreements. Straight-line rent receivable, which is the cumulative revenue recognized in excess of amounts billed by the Company, is \$35,441,000 and \$28,545,000 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company provides an allowance for doubtful accounts based on its estimate of a tenant's ability to make future rent payments. The computation of this allowance is based in part on the tenants' payment history and current credit status. The Company charged off \$28,000 in receivables and increased its allowance by \$2,000 during 2012, charged off \$567,000 in receivables and increased its allowance by \$2,000 during 2011; and increased its allowance by \$600,000 during 2010 based on such analysis.

### Deferred Leasing Commissions

Deferred leasing commissions represent direct and incremental external leasing costs incurred in the leasing of commercial space. These costs are capitalized and are amortized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the related lease agreements. Amortization expense was approximately \$4,173,000, \$3,806,000 and \$2,867,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

### 2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Deferred Leasing Commissions (continued)

The estimated annual amortization for the five years and thereafter following December 31, 2012 is as follows:

(in thousands)	
2013	\$ 4,080
2014	3,967
2015	3,571
2016	3,171
2017	2,286
2018 and thereafter	6,301

### Common Share Repurchases

The Company recognizes the gross cost of the common shares it repurchases as a reduction in stockholders' equity using the treasury stock method. Maryland law does not recognize a separate treasury stock account but provides that shares repurchased are classified as authorized but unissued shares. Accordingly, the Company reduces common stock for the par value and the excess of the purchase price over the par value is a reduction to additional paid-in capital.

### Revenue Recognition

Rental revenue includes income from leases, certain reimbursable expenses, straight-line rent adjustments and other income associated with renting the property. A summary of rental revenue is shown in the following table:

(in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,						
	2012 2011 2					2010	
Income from leases	\$	117,462	\$	98,308	\$	86,320	
Reimbursable expenses		29,847		26,006		24,505	
Straight-line rent adjustment		4,418		9,877		3,940	
Amortization of favorable and							
unfavorable leases		(71)		47		(1,491)	
	\$	151,656	\$	134,238	\$	113,274	

Rental Revenue - The Company has retained substantially all of the risks and benefits of ownership of the Company's commercial properties and accounts for its leases as operating leases. Rental income from leases, which includes rent concessions (including free rent and tenant improvement allowances) and scheduled increases in rental rates during the lease term, is recognized on a straight-line basis. The Company does not have any significant percentage rent arrangements with its commercial property tenants. Reimbursable costs are included in rental income in the period earned.

Related Party and Other Revenue - Property and asset management fees and other income, are recognized when the related services are performed and the earnings process is complete.

### Segment Reporting

ASC 280 Segment Reporting ("ASC 280") establishes standards for the way public entities report information about operating segments in the financial statements. The Company is a REIT focused on real estate investments primarily in the suburban office market and currently operates in only one segment: real estate operations. In December 2011, the Company discontinued the activities of its investment banking segment, which are included in discontinued operations for all periods presented.

### 2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

### Income Taxes

Taxes on income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 represent taxes incurred by FSP Protective TRS Corp, which is a taxable REIT subsidiary and the State of Texas franchise tax applicable to FSP Corp., which is classified as an income tax for reporting purposes. Taxes on income incurred by FSP Investments, which is a taxable REIT subsidiary, are classified in discontinued operations.

### Net Income Per Share

Basic net income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue shares were exercised or converted into shares. There were no potential dilutive shares outstanding at December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010. The denominator used for calculating basic and diluted net income per share was 82,937,000, 81,857,000, and 79,826,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

### Derivative Instruments

The Company recognizes derivatives on the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. Derivatives that do not qualify, or are not designated as hedge relationships, must be adjusted to fair value through income. Derivative instruments designated in a hedge relationship to mitigate exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Cash flow hedges are accounted for by recording the fair value of the derivative instrument on the consolidated balance sheet as either an asset or liability. To the extent hedges are effective, a corresponding amount, adjusted for swap payments, is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income within stockholders' equity. Amounts are then reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to the income statement in the period or periods the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. Ineffectiveness, if any, is recorded in the income statement. The Company periodically reviews the effectiveness of each hedging transaction, which involves estimating future cash flows, at least quarterly. Derivative instruments designated in a hedge relationship to mitigate exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. The Company currently has no fair value hedges outstanding. Fair values of derivatives are subject to significant variability based on changes in interest rates. The results of such variability could be a significant increase or decrease in our derivative assets, derivative liabilities, book equity, and/or earnings.

### Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. There is also an established fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value. Financial assets and liabilities recorded on the consolidated balance sheets at fair value are categorized based on the inputs to the valuation techniques as follows:

Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs may include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability (other than quoted prices), such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, which is typically based on an entity's own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity or information. In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability including credit risk, which was not significant to the overall value. These inputs were considered and applied to the Company's derivative, and Level 2 inputs were used to value the interest rate swap.

### 2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Subsequent Events

In preparing these consolidated financial statements the Company evaluated events that occurred through the date of issuance of these financial statements for potential recognition or disclosure.

### Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the 2011 and 2010 financial statements have been reclassified to conform to 2012 presentation. The reclassifications were related primarily to a property sold, which is presented as discontinued operations for all periods presented. Reclassifications of discontinued operations changed rental revenues, operating and maintenance expenses, and depreciation and amortization and the related assets. There was no change to net income for any period presented as a result of these reclassifications.

### Recent Accounting Standards

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income. This standard eliminates the option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of stockholders' equity and instead requires the components of other comprehensive income to be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. This standard is intended to enhance comparability between entities that report under GAAP and to provide a more consistent method of presenting non-owner transactions that affect an entity's equity. This standard was effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company adopted this update.

### 3. Significant Acquisitions

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company acquired one significant property located in Texas with approximately 629,000 rentable square feet at a purchase price of approximately \$154.8 million excluding closing costs and adjustments. The Company expensed its acquisition costs of approximately \$156,000 related to this acquisition for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The purchase price of the property was allocated to real estate investments and leases, including lease origination costs. Lease origination costs represent the value associated with acquiring an in-place lease (i.e. the market cost to execute a similar lease, including leasing commission, legal, vacancy, and other related costs). The value assigned to buildings approximates their replacement cost; the value assigned to land approximates its appraised value; and the value assigned to leases approximates their fair value. Other assets and liabilities are recorded at their historical costs, which approximates fair value.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of the assets acquired at the date of acquisition:

(in thousands)

Real estate assets	\$ 130,000
Value of acquired real estate leases	24,947
Acquired unfavorable leases	 (2,022)
Total	\$ 152,925

### 3. Significant Acquisitions (continued)

Pro forma operating results for the Company and the acquisition are shown in the following table. The results assume that the property was acquired on January 1, 2011. The results are not necessarily indicative of what the Company's actual results of operations would have been for the periods indicated, nor do they purport to represent the results of operations of any future periods.

(unaudited)	For the Year Ended December 31,			
(in thousands except per share amounts)		2012		2011
Revenue	\$	176,684	\$	155,313
Income from continuing operations	\$	20,446	\$	15,776
Net income	\$	4,738	\$	41,320
Weighted average shares outstanding		82,937		81,857
Income from continuing operations per share	\$	0.25	\$	0.19
Net income per share	\$	0.06	\$	0.50

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recognized approximately \$3.0 million of revenues and \$2.0 million of net income from operations of this acquisition.

### 4. Related Party Transactions and Investments in Non-Consolidated Entities

Investment in Sponsored REITs

At December 31, 2012, the Company held an interest in 15 Sponsored REITs, all of which were fully syndicated. At December 31, 2011, the Company held an interest in 16 Sponsored REITs, all of which were fully syndicated. At December 31, 2010, the Company held an interest in 15 Sponsored REITs, of which 14 were fully syndicated and one was in process. The Company holds a non-controlling preferred stock investment in two of these Sponsored REITs, FSP 303 East Wacker Drive Corp. ("East Wacker") and FSP Grand Boulevard Corp. ("Grand Boulevard"), from which it continues to derive economic benefits and risks.

In September 2006, the Company purchased 48 preferred shares or 4.6% of the outstanding preferred shares of one of its Sponsored REITs, FSP Phoenix Tower Corp ("Phoenix Tower"). On December 20, 2012, the property owned by Phoenix Tower was sold and, thereafter, Phoenix Tower declared and issued a liquidating distribution for its preferred shareholders, from which the Company was entitled to \$4,862,000. As a result of the sale, the Company recognized its share of the gain of \$1,582,000. As of December 31, 2012, the Company held a beneficial interest in the Phoenix Tower liquidating trust in the amount of \$4,862,000, which is included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. On January 4, 2013, the Company received \$4,752,000.

The table below shows the Company's income and expenses from Sponsored REITs syndicated in 2011 and 2010. There were no syndications of Sponsored REITs in 2012. Management fees of \$6,000 and \$14,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and interest expense related to the Company's mortgages on properties owned by these entities of \$197,000 and \$38,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, are eliminated in consolidation.

### 4. Related Party Transactions and Investments in Non-Consolidated Entities (continued)

	Year Ended Decemb				
(in thousands)		2011	2	2010	
Operating Data:					
Rental revenues	\$	1,482	\$	498	
Operating and maintenance					
expenses		480		536	
Depreciation and amortization		610		146	
Interest expense		197		38	
Interest income		-		1	
	\$	195	\$	(221)	

Equity in earnings (losses) of investment in non-consolidated REITs:

The following table includes equity in earnings of investments in non-consolidated REITs:

	Year Ended December 31,				31,	
(in thousands)		2012		2011		2010
Equity in earnings of Sponsored REITs	\$	-	\$	1,696	\$	71
Equity in earnings (losses) of Phoenix Tower		1,618		(14)		(47)
Equity in earnings of East Wacker		670		2,137		1,168
Equity in earnings of Grand Boulevard		(255)		(134)		74
	\$	2,033	\$	3,685	\$	1,266

Equity in earnings of investments in Sponsored REITs is derived from the Company's share of income following the commencement of syndication of Sponsored REITs. Following the commencement of syndication the Company exercises influence over, but does not control these entities, and investments are accounted for using the equity method.

Equity in earnings (losses) of Phoenix Tower were derived from the Company's preferred stock investment in the entity. In September 2006, the Company purchased 48 preferred shares or 4.6% of the outstanding preferred shares of Phoenix Tower for \$4,116,000 (which represented \$4,800,000 at the offering price net of commissions of \$384,000 and acquisition fees of \$300,000 that were excluded). On December 20, 2012, the property owned by Phoenix Tower was sold at a gain, which is included in equity in earnings of non-consolidated REITs on the consolidated statements of income.

Equity in earnings of East Wacker is derived from the Company's preferred stock investment in the entity. In December 2007, the Company purchased 965.75 preferred shares or 43.7% of the outstanding preferred shares of East Wacker for \$82,813,000 (which represented \$96,575,000 at the offering price net of commissions of \$7,726,000, loan fees of \$5,553,000 and acquisition fees of \$483,000 that were excluded).

Equity in earnings of Grand Boulevard is derived from the Company's preferred stock investment in the entity. In May 2009, the Company purchased 175.5 preferred shares or 27.0% of the outstanding preferred shares of Grand Boulevard for \$15,049,000 (which represented \$17,550,000 at the offering price net of commissions of \$1,404,000, loan fees of \$1,009,000 and acquisition fees of \$88,000 that were excluded).

### 4. Related Party Transactions and Investments in Non-Consolidated Entities (continued)

The following table includes distributions received from non-consolidated REITs:

	Year Ended December 31,					31,
(in thousands)	<u>2012</u>		<u>2011</u>			2010
						-0.4
Distributions from Sponsored REITs	\$	-	\$	1,337	\$	391
Distributions from Phoenix Tower		173		130		179
Distributions from East Wacker		2,489		3,319		3,705
Distributions from Grand Boulevard		148		270		895
	\$	2,810	\$	5,056	\$	5,170

### Non-consolidated REITs

The Company has in the past acquired by merger entities similar to the Sponsored REITs. The Company's business model for growth includes the potential acquisition by merger in the future of Sponsored REITs. However, the Company has no legal or any other enforceable obligation to acquire or to offer to acquire any Sponsored REIT. In addition, any offer (and the related terms and conditions) that might be made in the future to acquire any Sponsored REIT would require the approval of the boards of directors of the Company and the Sponsored REIT and the approval of the shareholders of the Sponsored REIT.

The operating data below for 2012 includes the operations of the 16 Sponsored REITs the Company held an interest in during the year and the 15 Sponsored REITS the Company held an interest in as of December 31, 2012. On December 20, 2012, the property owned by Phoenix Tower was sold. The operating data below for 2011 includes operations of the 16 Sponsored REITs the Company held an interest in as of December 31, 2011. The operating data below for 2010 includes operations of the 15 Sponsored REITs the Company held an interest in as of December 31, 2010.

Summarized financial information for the Sponsored REITs is as follows:

(in thousands)	December 31, 2012		De	cember 31, 2011	
,		2012	2011		
Balance Sheet Data (unaudited):			_		
Real estate, net	\$	659,655	\$	755,825	
Other assets		156,785		135,658	
Total liabilities		(316,311)		(293,326)	
Shareholders' equity	\$	500,129	\$	598,157	
				e Year Ended	
			De	cember 31,	
(in thousands)		2012		2011	 2010
Operating Data (unaudited):					
Rental revenues	\$	109,676	\$	111,417	\$ 91,406
Other revenues		115		90	162
Operating and maintenance expenses		(56,621)		(55,672)	(50,339)
Selling, general and administrative		_		(604)	_
Depreciation and amortization		(35,143)		(33,909)	(27,138)
Interest expense		(17,357)		(17,180)	(11,160)
Gain on sale, less applicable income tax		36,610		<u> </u>	=
Net income	\$	37,280	\$	4,142	\$ 2,931

### 4. Related Party Transactions and Investments in Non-Consolidated Entities (continued)

Management fees and interest income from loans:

Asset management fees range from 1% to 5% of collected rents and the applicable contracts are cancelable with 30 days notice. Asset management fee income from non-consolidated entities amounted to approximately \$1,149,000, \$958,000, and \$830,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

From time to time the Company may make secured loans ("Sponsored REIT Loans") to Sponsored REITs in the form of mortgage loans or revolving lines of credit to fund construction costs, capital expenditures, leasing costs and for other purposes. The Company anticipates that each Sponsored REIT Loan will be repaid at maturity or earlier from long term financings of the underlying properties, cash flows from the underlying properties or some other capital event. Each Sponsored REIT Loan is secured by a mortgage on the underlying property and has a term of approximately two to three years. Except for the mortgage loan with a revolving line of credit component which bore interest at a fixed rate and was repaid in July 2012 and a mortgage loan which bears interest at a fixed rate, advances under each Sponsored REIT Loan bear interest at a rate equal to the 30-day LIBOR rate plus an agreed upon amount of basis points and most advances also require a 50 basis point draw fee. In December 2011, the Company received a loan fee of \$762,000 at the time of the closing of the mortgage loan with a revolving line of credit component. In March 2012, a \$300,000 fee was collected in connection with a \$30 million draw from the revolving line of credit component. That loan was repaid in full during July 2012 and also included a 0.49% fee collected of \$520,000. In July 2012, the Company received a loan fee of \$301,000 at the time of the closing of the mortgage loan with a fixed interest rate and a 0.98% fee will be collected on all amounts repaid under the loan.

Prior to terminating the activities of its investment banking segment in December 2011, the Company typically made an acquisition loan ("Acquisition Loans") to each newly-formed Sponsored REIT which was secured by a mortgage on the borrower's real estate. These loans enabled Sponsored REITs to acquire their respective properties prior to the consummation of the offerings of their equity interests. The Company anticipated that each Acquisition Loan would be repaid at maturity, or earlier, from the proceeds of the Sponsored REIT's equity offering. Each Acquisition Loan had an original term of two years and bore interest at approximately the same rate paid by FSP Corp. for borrowings under its 2011 Revolver or previous revolving lines of credit. The Company made one Acquisition Loan for the syndication of FSP Union Centre Corp. during 2011, which was repaid on October 20, 2011. There were no Acquisition Loans outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

### 4. Related Party Transactions and Investments in Non-Consolidated Entities (continued)

The following is a summary of the Sponsored REIT Loans outstanding as of December 31, 2012:

(dollars in thousands)		Maturity	Maximum Amount	Amount Drawn at	Interest	Draw	Interest Rate at
Sponsored REIT	Location	<u>Date</u>	of Loan				31-Dec-12
Secured revolving lines of credit							
FSP Highland Place I Corp. (3)	Centennial, CO	31-Dec-13	\$ 5,500	\$ 1,125	L+4.4%	0.5%	4.62%
FSP Satellite Place Corp. (4)	Duluth, GA	31-Mar-13	5,500	5,500	L+4.4%	0.5%	4.62%
FSP 1441 Main Street Corp.(4) (a)	Columbia, SC	31-Mar-13	10,800	8,500	L+4.4%	0.5%	4.62%
FSP 505 Waterford Corp. (4)	Plymouth, MN	30-Nov-13	7,000	2,350	L+4.4%	0.5%	4.62%
FSP Galleria North Corp. (d)	Dallas, TX	30-Jan-15	15,000	5,880	L+5.0%	0.5%	5.22%
Secured construction loan							
FSP 385 Interlocken							
Development Corp. (4) (b) (c)	Broomfield, CO	30-Apr-13	42,000	37,541	L+4.4%	n/a	4.62%
Mortgage loan secured by property	y						
FSP Energy Tower I Corp. (5) (e)	Houston, TX	5-Jul-14	33,000	33,000	6.41%	n/a	6.41%
			\$118,800	\$ 93,896	• •		

- (1) The interest rate is 30-day LIBOR rate plus the additional rate indicated, otherwise a fixed rate.
- (2) The draw fee is a percentage of each new advance, and is paid at the time of each new draw.
- (3) Effective January 1, 2011 and February 1, 2011, the interest rate was 30-day LIBOR plus 3% and effective March 1, 2011 became LIBOR plus 4.4% until maturity. Effective January 31, 2011, any future draws will require a draw fee in an amount equal to 0.5%.
- (4) Effective January 1, 2011 through March 30, 2011, the interest rate was 30-day LIBOR plus 3%.
- (a) The borrower is FSP 1441 Main Street LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary.
- (b) The borrower is FSP 385 Interlocken LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary.
- (c) The borrower paid a commitment fee of \$210,000 at loan origination in March 2009.
- (d) The borrower is FSP Galleria North Limited Partnership, a wholly-owned subsidiary.
- (e) The borrower is FSP Energy Tower I Limited Partnership, a wholly-owned subsidiary.
- (5) The loan has a secured fixed mortgage amount of \$33,000,000. A loan fee of \$300,630 was paid at the time of closing and funding of the loan on July 5, 2012. The borrower is required to pay the Company an exit fee in the amount of 0.982% of the principal repayment amount.

The Company recognized interest income and fees from the Sponsored REIT Loans of approximately \$9,798,000, \$3,087,000, and \$1,609,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

### 5. Bank note payable and term note payable

### 2012 Credit Facility

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had bank notes payable to a group of banks for an unsecured credit facility comprised of both a revolving line of credit and a term loan (the "2012 Credit Facility"). The revolving line of credit portion of the 2012 Credit Facility is for borrowings, at the Company's election, of up to \$500,000,000 (the "2012 Revolver"). The term loan portion of the 2012 Credit Facility is for \$400,000,000 (the "2012 Term Loan").

### 5. Bank note payable and term note payable (continued)

On September 27, 2012, the Company and certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "2012 Credit Agreement") with the lending institutions referenced in the 2012 Credit Agreement and those lenders from time to time party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, letter of credit issuer and swing line lender, for the 2012 Credit Facility. On September 27, 2012, the Company drew down the entire \$400,000,000 under the 2012 Term Loan and \$82,000,000 under the 2012 Revolver. The Company's \$600,000,000 revolving credit facility (the "2011 Revolver") that was scheduled to mature on February 22, 2014 was amended and restated in its entirety by the 2012 Credit Agreement and the \$482,000,000 in advances outstanding under the 2011 Revolver were repaid from the proceeds of the 2012 Credit Facility.

The 2012 Term Loan has a five year term that matures on September 27, 2017. Borrowings made pursuant to the 2012 Revolver may be revolving loans, swing line loans or letters of credit, the combined sum of which may not exceed \$500,000,000 outstanding at any time. Borrowings made pursuant to the 2012 Revolver may be borrowed, repaid and reborrowed from time to time for four years until September 27, 2016, the initial maturity date of the 2012 Revolver. The Company has the right to extend the initial maturity date of the 2012 Revolver by an additional 12 months, or until September 27, 2017, upon payment of a fee and satisfaction of certain customary conditions. The 2012 Revolver includes an accordion feature that allows for up to \$250,000,000 of additional borrowing capacity subject to receipt of lender commitments and satisfaction of certain customary conditions.

The 2012 Credit Facility bears interest at either (i) a rate equal to LIBOR plus 135 to 190 basis points depending on the Company's total leverage ratio at the time of the borrowing (LIBOR plus 145 basis points, or 1.67% at December 31, 2012) or (ii) a rate equal to the bank's base rate plus 35 to 90 basis points depending on our total leverage ratio at the time of the borrowing (the bank's base rate plus 45 basis points, or 3.70% at December 31, 2012). The 2012 Credit Facility also obligates the Company to pay an annual facility fee of 20 to 40 basis points depending on the Company's total leverage ratio (30 basis points at December 31, 2012). The facility fee is assessed against the total amount of the 2012 Credit Facility, or \$900,000,000. The actual amount of any applicable facility fee, LIBOR rate or base rate is determined based on the Company's total leverage ratio as described in the table below:

Leverage Ratio	Facility Fee	LIBOR Margin	Base Rate Margin
<u>≤</u> 25%	20.0 bps	135.0 bps	35.0 bps
$> 25\%$ and $\leq 35\%$	25.0 bps	140.0 bps	40.0 bps
$> 35\%$ and $\leq 45\%$	30.0 bps	145.0 bps	45.0 bps
$> 45\%$ and $\leq 55\%$	35.0 bps	165.0 bps	65.0 bps
> 55%	40.0 bps	190.0 bps	90.0 bps

For purposes of the 2012 Credit Facility, base rate means, for any day, a fluctuating rate per annum equal to the highest of: (i) the bank's prime rate for such day, (ii) the Federal Funds Rate for such day, plus 1/2 of 1.00%, and (iii) the one month LIBOR base rate for such day plus 1.00%.

Although the interest rate on the 2012 Credit Facility is variable, under the 2012 Credit Agreement, the Company fixed the base LIBOR interest rate on the 2012 Term Loan by entering into an interest rate swap agreement. On September 27, 2012, the Company entered into an ISDA Master Agreement with Bank of America, N.A. that fixed the base LIBOR interest rate on the 2012 Term Loan at 0.75% per annum for five years. Accordingly, based upon the Company's leverage ratio, as of December 31, 2012, the interest rate on the 2012 Term Loan was 2.20% per annum. In addition, based upon the Company's leverage ratio, as of December 31, 2012, there were borrowings of \$216,750,000 outstanding under the 2012 Revolver at a weighted average rate of 1.66% per annum. The weighted average interest rate on all amounts outstanding during the year ended December 31, 2012 was approximately 2.23% per annum.

As of December 31, 2011, there were borrowings of \$449,000,000 outstanding under the 2011 Revolver at a weighted average rate of 2.24% per annum. The weighted average interest rate on all amounts outstanding during the year ended December 31, 2011 was approximately 1.95% per annum.

### 5. Bank note payable and term note payable (continued)

The 2012 Credit Agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants for credit facilities of this type, including limitations with respect to indebtedness, liens, investments, mergers and acquisitions, disposition of assets, changes in business, certain restricted payments, the requirement to join certain subsidiaries as co-borrowers under the 2012 Credit Agreement and transactions with affiliates. The 2012 Credit Agreement also contains financial covenants that require the Company to maintain a minimum tangible net worth, a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, a maximum secured leverage ratio, a maximum leverage ratio, a maximum unencumbered leverage ratio, a minimum unencumbered debt service coverage ratio, a maximum ratio of certain investments to total assets and a maximum amount of secured recourse indebtedness. The 2012 Credit Agreement provides for customary events of default with corresponding grace periods, including failure to pay any principal or interest when due, certain cross defaults and a change in control of the Company (as defined in the 2012 Credit Agreement). In the event of a default by the Company, the administrative agent may, and at the request of the requisite number of lenders shall, declare all obligations under the 2012 Credit Agreement immediately due and payable, terminate the lenders' commitments to make loans under the 2012 Credit Agreement, and enforce any and all rights of the lenders or administrative agent under the 2012 Credit Agreement and related documents. For certain events of default related to bankruptcy, insolvency, and receivership, the commitments of lenders will be automatically terminated and all outstanding obligations of the Company will become immediately due and payable. The Company was in compliance with the 2012 Credit Facility financial covenants as of December 31, 2012.

The Company may use the proceeds of the loans under the 2012 Credit Agreement to finance the acquisition of real properties and for other permitted investments; to finance investments associated with Sponsored REITs, to refinance or retire existing indebtedness and for working capital and other general business purposes, in each case to the extent permitted under the 2012 Credit Agreement.

### 6. Financial Instruments: Derivatives and Hedging

On September 27, 2012, the Company fixed the interest rate for five-years on the 2012 Term Loan with an interest rate swap agreement. The variable rate that was fixed under the interest rate swap agreement is described in Note 5.

The interest swap agreement qualifies as a cash flow hedge and has been recognized on the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. If a derivative qualifies as a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative will either be offset against the change in fair value of the hedged asset, liability, or firm commitment through earnings, or recognized in other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value will be immediately recognized in earnings, which may increase or decrease reported net income and stockholders' equity prospectively, depending on future levels of interest rates and other variables affecting the fair values of derivative instruments and hedged items, but will have no effect on cash flows.

The following table summarizes the notional and fair value of our derivative financial instrument at December 31, 2012. The notional value is an indication of the extent of our involvement in these instruments at that time, but does not represent exposure to credit, interest rate or market risks (in thousands).

	Notional	Strike	Effective	Expiration	Fair
	<u>Value</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Date</u>	Value
Interest Rate Swap	\$ 400,000	0.75%	Sep-12	Sep-17	\$ (1,219)

On December 31, 2012, the derivative instrument was reported as an obligation at its fair value of approximately \$1.2 million. This is included in other liabilities: derivative liability on the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2012. Offsetting adjustments are reported as unrealized gains or losses on derivative financial instruments in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$1.2 million.

Over time, the unrealized gains and losses held in accumulated other comprehensive income will be reclassified into earnings as a reduction to interest expense in the same periods in which the hedged interest payments affect earnings. We estimate that approximately \$0.3 million of the current balance held in accumulated other comprehensive income will be reclassified into earnings within the next 12 months.

### 6. Financial Instruments: Derivatives and Hedging (continued)

We are hedging the exposure to variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions in addition to anticipated future interest payments on existing debt.

The fair value of the Company's derivative instrument is determined using the net discounted cash flows of the expected cash flows of the derivative based on the market based interest rate curve. This financial instrument was classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy and was classified as a liability on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Previously the Company's hedging activity was limited to an interest rate swap. The purpose of the interest rate swap, which was terminated on February 22, 2011, was to fix the interest rate for the term of the loan and to protect the Company from future interest rate increases on that term loan.

The interest rate swap represented a cash flow hedge and was recorded at fair value and classified as a liability. Changes in the recorded fair value of the interest rate swap were recorded to other comprehensive income. On February 22, 2011, the Company used approximately \$983,000 to terminate the interest rate swap agreement applicable to that term loan. The payment to terminate the interest rate swap liability was amortized into interest expense through October 15, 2011.

The interest amortization for the Company's terminated interest rate swap reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income into interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$983,000. The effective portion of the loss on outstanding derivative recognized in other comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$983,000.

### 7. Stockholders' Equity

### Equity Offerings

On May 6, 2010, the Company entered into an on demand offering sales agreement whereby the Company may offer and sell up to an aggregate gross sales price of \$75 million of its common stock from time to time (the "ATM Sales Program"). The on demand offering sales agreement for the ATM Sales Program was amended on April 27, 2012 in connection with the Company's filing of a new Registration Statement on Form S-3. Sales of shares of the Company's common stock depend upon market conditions and other factors determined by the Company and may be deemed to be "at the market offerings" as defined in Rule 415 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, including sales made directly on the NYSE MKT or sales made to or through a market maker other than on an exchange, as well as in negotiated transactions, if and to the extent agreed by the Company in writing. The Company has no obligation to sell any shares of its common stock, and may at any time suspend solicitation and offers. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company did not sell any shares under the ATM Sales Program. As of December 31, 2012, the Company was authorized to offer and sell a remainder of approximately \$34.3 million of its shares of common stock under the ATM Sales Program.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company sold 1,500,000 shares of its common stock under the ATM Sales Program at an average price of \$12.00 per share, for which approximately \$360,000 was payable to the placement/sales agent and \$256,000 was incurred for offering related expenses, raising net proceeds of approximately \$17.4 million. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company sold 1,756,700 shares of its common stock under the ATM Sales Program at an average price of \$12.92 per share, for which approximately \$454,000 was payable to the placement/sales agent and \$469,000 was incurred for offering related expenses, raising net proceeds of approximately \$21.8 million.

On September 23, 2009, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 9.2 million shares of its common stock (including 1.2 million shares issued as a result of the full exercise of an overallotment option by the underwriter) at a price to the public of \$13.00 per share. The proceeds from this public offering, net of underwriter discounts and offering costs, totaled approximately \$114.7 million.

### Equity-Based Compensation

On May 20, 2002, the stockholders of the Company approved the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan (the "Plan"). The Plan is an equity-based incentive compensation plan, and provides for the grants of up to a maximum of 2,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock ("Awards"). All of the Company's employees, officers, directors, consultants and advisors are

### 7. Stockholders' Equity (continued)

eligible to be granted awards. Awards under the Plan are made at the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors, and have no vesting requirements. Upon granting an Award, the Company will recognize compensation cost equal to the fair value of the Company's common stock, as determined by the Company's Board of Directors, on the date of the grant.

The Company has not issued any shares under the Plan since 2005, and there are currently 1,944,428 shares available for grant under the Plan.

### 8. Federal Income Tax Reporting

### General

The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). As a REIT, the Company generally is entitled to a tax deduction for distributions paid to its shareholders, thereby effectively subjecting the distributed net income of the Company to taxation at the shareholder level only. The Company must comply with a variety of restrictions to maintain its status as a REIT. These restrictions include the type of income it can earn, the type of assets it can hold, the number of shareholders it can have and the concentration of their ownership, and the amount of the Company's taxable income that must be distributed annually.

One such restriction is that the Company generally cannot own more than 10% of the voting power or value of the securities of any one issuer unless the issuer is itself a REIT or a taxable REIT subsidiary ("TRS"). In the case of TRSs, the Company's ownership of securities in all TRSs generally cannot exceed 25% of the value of all of the Company's assets and, when considered together with other non-real estate assets, cannot exceed 25% of the value of all of the Company's assets. FSP Investments and FSP Protective TRS Corp. are the Company's taxable REIT subsidiaries operating as taxable corporations under the Code.

FSP Investments operated in the Company's investment banking segment and in December 2011 announced it would no longer sponsor the syndication of newly-formed Sponsored REITs, which were a significant amount of FSP Investments activities. Revenues, expenses, and income tax benefits, net of valuation allowances, have been reclassified to discontinued operations for these activities.

Income taxes are recorded based on the future tax effects of the difference between the tax and financial reporting bases of the Company's assets and liabilities. In estimating future tax consequences, potential future events are considered except for potential changes in income tax law or in rates.

The Company adopted an accounting pronouncement related to uncertainty in income taxes effective January 1, 2007, which did not result in recording a liability, nor was any accrued interest and penalties recognized with the adoption. Accrued interest and penalties will be recorded as income tax expense, if the Company records a liability in the future. The Company's effective tax rate was not affected by the adoption. The Company and one or more of its subsidiaries files income tax returns in the U.S federal jurisdiction and various state jurisdictions. The statute of limitations for the Company's income tax returns is generally three years and as such, the Company's returns that remain subject to examination would be primarily from 2009 and thereafter.

### Net operating losses

Section 382 of the Code restricts a corporation's ability to use net operating losses ("NOLs") to offset future taxable income following certain "ownership changes." Such ownership changes occurred with past mergers and accordingly a portion of the NOLs incurred by the Sponsored REITs available for use by the Company in any particular future taxable year will be limited. To the extent that the Company does not utilize the full amount of the annual NOLs limit, the unused amount may be carried forward to offset taxable income in future years. NOLs expire 20 years after the year in which they arise, and the last of the Company's NOLs will expire in 2027. A valuation allowance is provided for the full amount of the NOLs as the realization of any tax benefits from such NOLs is not assured. The gross amount of NOLs available to the Company was \$13,041,000, as of December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

### 8. Federal Income Tax Reporting (continued)

Income Tax Expense

The income tax expense reflected in the consolidated statements of income relates primarily to a franchise tax on our Texas properties. FSP Protective TRS Corp. provides taxable services to tenants at some of the Company's properties and the tax expense associated with these activities are reported in the table as Other Taxes in the table below:

	For the years ended December 31,						
(Dollars in thousands)	2012	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>				
Revised Texas franchise tax	\$ 330	\$ 253	\$ 217				
Other Taxes	5	14					
Taxes on income	\$ 335	\$ 267	\$ 217				

Taxes on income are a current tax expense. No deferred income taxes were provided as there were no material temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of the TRSs.

In May 2006, the State of Texas enacted a new business tax (the "Revised Texas Franchise Tax") that replaced its existing franchise tax which the Company became subject to. The Revised Texas Franchise Tax is a tax at a rate of approximately 0.7% of revenues at Texas properties commencing with 2007 revenues. Some of the Company's leases allow reimbursement by tenants for these amounts because the Revised Texas Franchise Tax replaces a portion of the property tax for school districts. Because the tax base on the Revised Texas Franchise Tax is derived from an income based measure it is considered an income tax. The Company recorded a provision in income taxes on its income statement of \$330,000, \$253,000 and \$217,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

At December 31, 2012, the Company's net tax basis of its real estate assets is more than the amount set forth in the Company's consolidated balance sheets by \$61,255,000 and at December 31, 2011 the net tax basis is more than the Company's consolidated balance sheets by \$20,215,000.

Reconciliation Between GAAP Net Income and Taxable Income

The following reconciles book net income to taxable income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

	For the year ended December 31,				
(in thousands)	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	2010		
X	<b>4 7 622</b>	<b>*</b> 42.724	<b>* ** ** ** ** ** ** **</b>		
Net income per books	\$ 7,633	\$ 43,524	\$ 22,093		
Adjustments to book income:					
Book depreciation and amortization	55,518	48,439	40,724		
Tax depreciation and amortization	(34,047)	(31,409)	(26,901)		
Tax basis more than book basis on assets sold	265	(1,281)	-		
Straight line rent adjustment, net	(5,203)	(9,783)	(4,252)		
Deferred rent, net	1,224	1,290	275		
Non-taxable distributions	(1,114)	(767)	(2,026)		
Other, net	1,899	2,728	6,355		
Taxable income	26,175	52,741	36,268		
Less: Capital gains recognized	(1,514)	(21,951)	(293)		
Taxable income subject to distribution requirement	\$ 24,661	\$ 30,790	\$ 35,975		

### 8. Federal Income Tax Reporting (continued)

Tax Components

The following summarizes the tax components of the Company's common distributions paid per share for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

<u>%</u>
60.36%
0.48%
39.16%
100%

<sup>(1)</sup> For 2012, 2011 and 2010, 2.4%, 29.78% and 0.48%, respectively, of the total distributions are capital gains taxed at 15%.

### 9. Commitments

The Company's commercial real estate operations include the leasing of office buildings and industrial properties subject to leases with terms greater than one year. The leases expire at various dates through 2024. The following is a schedule of approximate future minimum rental income on non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2012:

	Y	ear ending
(in thousands)	De	cember 31,
2013	\$	127,789
2014		132,025
2015		116,155
2016		104,296
2017		81,397
Thereafter (2018-2024)		207,774
	\$	769,436

The Company leases its corporate office space under an operating lease that commenced September 1, 2010 for a seven year term and has a five-year extension option. The lease includes a base annual rent and additional rent for the Company's share of taxes and operating costs and expires in 2017. Future minimum lease payments are as follows:

	Year	ending
(in thousands)	Decen	nber 31,
2013	\$	405
2014		417
2015		424
2016		428
2017		324
Thereafter		-
	\$	1,998

Rent expense was approximately \$403,000, \$400,000 and \$366,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and is included in selling, general and administration expenses in the consolidated statements of income.

### 9. Commitments (continued)

The Company has entered into the Sponsored REIT Loans described in Note 4, which provide for up to \$118.8 million in borrowings of which \$93.9 million have been drawn and are outstanding as of December 31, 2012. The Company anticipates that any advances made will be repaid at their maturity or earlier from long term financing of the underlying properties, cash flows of the underlying properties or some other capital events.

### 10. Retirement Plan

In 2006, the Company established a 401(k) plan to cover eligible employees, which permitted deferral of up to \$17,000 per year (indexed for inflation) into the 401(k) plan in 2012, subject to certain limitations imposed by the Internal Revenue Code. An employee's elective deferrals are immediately vested upon contribution to the 401(k) plan. The Company matches employee contributions to the 401(k) plan dollar for dollar up to 3% of each employee's annual compensation up to \$200,000. In addition, we may elect to make an annual discretionary profit-sharing contribution. The Company's total contribution under the 401(k) plan amounted to \$109,000, \$131,000 and \$125,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

### 11. Discontinued Operations

The Company accounts for sale of properties and assets held for sale as discontinued operations. In December 2011, the Company also discontinued the activities of the investment banking segment.

### **Dispositions of Property**

During the three months ended September 30, 2012, the Company reached a decision to classify its office property located in Southfield, Michigan as an asset held for sale. In evaluating the Southfield, Michigan property, management considered various subjective factors, including the time, cost and likelihood of successfully leasing the property, the effect of the property's results on its unencumbered asset value, which is part of the leverage ratio used to calculate interest rates in the 2012 Credit Facility and future capital costs to upgrade and reposition the multi-tenant property and to lease up the building, recent leasing and economic activity in the local area, and offers to purchase the property. The Company concluded that selling the property was the more prudent decision and outweighed the potential future benefit of continuing to hold the property. The property was expected to sell within one year at a loss, which was recorded as a provision for loss on a property held for sale of \$14.3 million net of applicable income taxes and was classified as an asset held for sale of \$0.7 million at September 30, 2012. The Company estimated the fair value of the property, less estimated costs to sell using the offers to purchase the property made by third parties (Level 3 inputs, as there is no active market). The Company sold the property on December 21, 2012 for \$0.3 million resulting in a total loss of \$14.8 million.

The Company sold an industrial property located in Savage, Maryland on June 24, 2011 at a \$2.3 million gain and in 2010 reached an agreement to sell a commercial property, located in Falls Church, Virginia, which was sold on January 21, 2011 at a \$19.6 million gain.

All property dispositions have been classified as discontinued for all periods presented.

### 11. Discontinued Operations (continued)

The asset held for sale is summarized below:

	Dec	ember 31,
(in thousands)		<u>2011</u>
Land	\$	5,110
Building		14,182
Fixtures and equipment		25
		19,317
Less accumulated depreciation		4,321
		14,996
Straight-line rent receivable		43
Deferred leasing comissions, net of accumulated		
amortization of \$81		316
	\$	15,355

The Company reports the results of operations of its properties classified as discontinued operations in its consolidated statements of income, which includes rental income, rental operating expenses, real estate taxes and insurance, depreciation and amortization. In addition, in December 2011, the Company announced it would no longer sponsor the syndication of newly-formed Sponsored REITs and cash flows related to this activity were eliminated from ongoing operations. Accordingly, the Company reported the investment banking activities as discontinued operations in its consolidated statements of income, which includes syndication and transaction fee revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses, commission expenses, depreciation and amortization, interest income and income tax benefits. Selling, general and administrative expenses include \$378,000 of severance costs and professional fees related to discontinuing investment banking activities. There were no assets of the investment banking segment included in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

The operating results for discontinued operations are summarized below.

(in thousands)		 Year Ended ember 31,	
	2012	2011	2010
Rental revenue	\$ 1,113	\$ 1,842	\$ 10,112
Related party revenue:			
Syndication fees	-	4,670	2,544
Transaction fees	-	4,454	2,727
Other income	-	42	-
Rental operating expenses	(1,088)	(1,614)	(1,631)
Real estate taxes and insurance	(332)	(375)	(1,303)
Selling, general and administrative	-	(3,430)	(2,887)
Commissions	-	(2,535)	(1,477)
Depreciation and amortization	(575)	(865)	(3,722)
Income tax benefit	-	-	-
Interest income	-	14	1
Net income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ (882)	\$ 2,203	\$ 4,364

### 12. Subsequent Events

On January 11, 2013, the Board of Directors of the Company declared a cash distribution of \$0.19 per share of common stock payable on February 14, 2013 to stockholders of record on January 25, 2013.

On January 24, 2013, the Company made a \$1.7 million advance pursuant to a Sponsored REIT Loan to a wholly-owned subsidiary of FSP Galleria North Corp.

### 12. Subsequent Events (continued)

On February 6, 2013, the Company made a \$0.5 million advance pursuant to a Sponsored REIT Loan to a wholly-owned subsidiary of FSP 1441 Main Street Corp.

### 13. Selected Unaudited Quarterly Information

Certain amounts in the 2012 and 2011 unaudited quarterly information have been reclassified to present properties sold and the Company's investment banking segment as discontinued operations for all periods presented. Selected unaudited quarterly information is shown in the following table:

		20	12	
	First	Second	Third	Fourth
	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter
	(in	thousands, exce	ept per share da	ta)
Revenue	\$ 38,953	\$ 38,654	\$ 41,775	\$ 43,420
Income from continuing operations	\$ 6,055	\$ 5,701	\$ 5,573	\$ 6,012
Income from discontinued operations	\$ (317)	\$ (268)	\$ (14,571)	\$ (552)
Net income	\$ 5,738	\$ 5,433	\$ (8,998)	\$ 5,460
Basic and diluted net income per share	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.07	\$ (0.11)	\$ 0.07
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	82,937	82,937	82,937	82,937
		20	11	
	First	Second	Third	Fourth
	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter
	(in	thousands, exce	ept per share da	ta)
Revenue	\$ 31,581	\$ 34,486	\$ 34,443	\$ 37,823
Income from continuing operations	\$ 4,848	\$ 5,022	\$ 3,856	\$ 5,656
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 19,919	\$ 5,359	\$ (542)	\$ (594)
Net income	\$ 24,767	\$ 10,381	\$ 3,314	\$ 5,062
Basic and diluted net income per share	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.06
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	81,437	81,437	81,600	82,937

# Franklin Street Properties Corp. Valuation and qualifying accounts:

(in thousands)		Additions			
		(Decreases)			
	Balance at	charged to			Balance
	beginning	costs and			at end
Classification	of year	expenses	Deductions	Other	of year
Allowance for doubtful accounts					
2010	\$ 620	\$ 1,175	\$ (195) \$	-	\$ 1,600
2011	1,600	34	(399)	-	1,235
2012	1,235	85	(20)	-	1,300
Straight-line rent allowance					
for doubtful accounts					
2010	100	600	-	-	700
2011	700	2	(567)	-	135
2012	135	28	(28)	-	135

# SCHEDULE III

# REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION December 31, 2012 Historical Cost FRANKLIN STREET PROPERTIES CORP.

Initial Cost

Description         Encumbrances           Commercial Properties:         \$ 1,8           Park Seneca, Charlotte, NC         \$ 2,2           Hillview Center, Milpitas, CA         \$ 2,2           Forest Park, Charlotte, NC         \$ 1,5           Contennial Center, Colorado Springs,         \$ 2,6           CO         Northwest Point, Chantilly, VA         \$ 2,6           Timberlake, Chesterfield, MO         \$ 2,9           Park Ten, Houston, TX         \$ 4,3           Collins, Richardson, TX         \$ 4,3           Addison, Addison, TX         \$ 4,0           Collins, Richardson, TX         \$ 4,0           Montague, San Jose, CA         \$ 10,2           Creenwood, Englewood, CO         \$ 3,0           River Crossing, Indianapolis, IN         \$ 3,0           Willow Bend, Plano, TX         \$ 8,2           Imsbrook, Glenn Allen, VA         \$ 380 Interlocken, Bloomfield, CO           Blue Lagoon, Maimi, FL         \$ 4,3           Eldridge Green, Houston, TX         \$ 9,0           Liberty Plaza, Addison, TX         \$ 9,0           Liberty Plaza, Addison, TX         \$ 9,0           Liberty Plaza, Addison, TX         \$ 9,0           Eldridge Green, Houston, TX         \$ 9,0           Liberty Plaza			Costs Capitalized		Buildings						
Encumbrances  (1)  Eca, Charlotte, NC Center, Milpitas, CA Ark, Charlotte, NC Ark, Charlotte, NC Center, Colorado Springs, Aldicor, Colorado Springs, Activa Chesterfield, MO Ark, Chesterfield, MO Houston, TX Vay, Federal Way, WA Addison, TX Cosan Jose, CA Cool, Milani, FL Cool,		Buildings	(Disposals)		Improvements			Total Costs, Net of	Depreciable		Date of
ial Properties:  cea, Charlotte, NC Center, Milpitas, CA the, Charlotte, NC al Center, Colorado Springs, Point, Chantilly, VA ke, Chesterfield, MO st Point, Elk Grove Village, IL Houston, TX Houston, TX Addison, TX Sichardson, TX Sichardson, TX Cood, Englewood, CO Sun Jose, CA od, Englewood, CO Cood, Englewood, CO Cood, Manni, FL Green, Houston, TX Houston, TX Cood, Manni, FL Coose, Manni, FL Cood, Manni, FL Cood, Manni, FL Cood, Manni, FL Coose, Mouland, GA Interlocken, Broomfield, CO Innore, Baltimore, MD Interlocken, GA Interlocken, Broomfield, CO Innore, Baltimore, MD Interlocken, Marvland Heights Crossino Marvland Heights		Improvements and Equipment	Subsequent to Acquisition	Land	and Equipment	Total (2)	Accumulated Depreciation	Accumulated Depreciation	Life Years	Year Built	Acquisition (3)
NC  18, CA  AC  19 and o Springs,  1, MO  1,				(in	(in thousands)						
S I											
	1,815 \$	7,917	\$ 636	\$ 1,812	\$ 8,556	\$ 10,368	\$ 3,150	\$ 7,218	5-39	1969	1997
- 	2,203	2,813	7	2,203	2,820	5,023	994	4,029	5-39	1984	1999
	1,559	5,672	170	1,559	5,842	7,401	1,520	5,881	5-39	1999	1999
VA	1,549	11,877	1,461	1,549	13,338	14,887	3,717	11,170	5-39	1999	2000
M. WA	;	,		;			,	,	,	,	
CO	2,634	18,911	6,442	2,634	25,353	27,987	6,237	21,750	5-39	1999	2001
VA	2,984	38,661	2,896	2,984	41,557	44,541	11,464	33,077	5-39	1999	2001
VA IN	2,914	26,295	8,147	2,914	34,442	37,356	10,252	27,104	5-39	1999	2001
VA	2,626	17,608	2,069	2,626	19,677	22,303	2,696	16,607	5-39	2000	2002
VA	1,061	21,303	2,322	569	24,117	24,686	6,236	18,450	5-39	1999	2002
CO	2,518	13,212	2,172	2,518	15,384	17,902	4,076	13,826	5-39	1982	2001
CO	4,325	48,040	4,658	4,325	52,698	57,023	12,347	44,676	5-39	1999	2002
IN CO	4,000	42,598	5,814	4,000	48,412	52,412	9,542	42,870	5-39	1999	2003
CO	10,250	5,254	3,784	10,250	9,038	19,288	1,874	17,414	5-39	1982	2002
lis, IN	3,100	30,201	2,950	3,100	33,151	36,251	6,437	29,814	5-39	2000	2005
A	3,000	36,926	2,737	3,000	39,663	42,663	7,886	34,777	5-39	1998	2005
A	3,800	14,842	2,930	3,800	17,772	21,572	3,317	18,255	5-39	1999	2000
Id, CO	5,000	40,216	5,268	5,000	45,484	50,484	8,163	42,321	5-39	1999	2003
TX X ——————————————————————————————————	8,275	34,462	6,384	8,275	40,846	49,121	8,471	40,650	5-39	2000	2003
TX X ——————————————————————————————————	6,306	46,124	1,218	908'9	47,342	53,648	8,079	45,569	5-39	2002	2003
X — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	3,900	43,791	3,802	3,900	47,593	51,493	7,718	43,775	5-39	1999	2004
. MD	4,374	21,146	4,215	4,374	25,361	29,735	6,016	23,719	5-39	1985	2006
	3,900	77,229	3,195	3,900	80,424	84,324	14,491	69,833	5-39	2002	2006
eiohts —	7,013	37,751	3,253	7,013	41,004	48,017	7,316	40,701	5-39	2002	2006
	4,600	55,267	1,779	4,600	57,046	61,646	8,269	53,377	5-39	1989	2007
	1,300	31,712	405	1,300	32,117	33,417	3,884	29,533	5-39	2006	2006
	1,900	16,192	2	1,900	16,194	18,094	1,695	16,399	5-39	2008	2008
VA —	4,813	13,285	0	4,813	13,285	18,098	1,362	16,736	5-39	1999	2008
	2,102	18,003	0	2,102	18,003	20,105	1,616	18,489	5-39	2008	2009
	5,422	9,294	0	5,422	9,294	14,716	834	13,882	5-39	2006	2009
south Eight Street, Minneapolis, —	8,628	15,214	3,267	8,628	18,481	27,109	1,092	26,017	5-39	1974	2010
	4,912	18,229	217	4,912	18,446	23,358	593	22,765	5-39	2002	2011
	2,423	53,997	52	2,423	54,049	56,472	2,539	53,933	5-39	2009	2011
o, TX —	3,067	22,064	0	3,067	22,064	25,131	1,037	24,094	5-39	2008	2011
	2,590	36,608	883	2,590	37,491	40,081	1,763	38,318	5-39	2008	2011
	2,791	5,216	0	2,791	5,216	8,007	167	7,840	5-39	1999	2011
	2,686	35,125	468	2,686	35,593	38,279	388	37,891	5-39	1985	2012
Westchase I & II, Houston, TX — 8,	ı	121,508		8,491	121,895	130,386	518	129,868	5-39	2008	2012
		\$ 1,094,563	8 83,990	\$ 144,336	\$ 1,179,048	\$ 1,323,384	\$ 180,756	\$1,142,628			

Balance - Real Estate

There are no encumbrances on the above properties. The aggregate cost for Federal Income Tax purposes is \$1,406,880. Original date of acquisition by Sponsored Entity.

<sup>335</sup>E

The following table summarizes the changes in the Company's real estate investments and accumulated depreciation:

		De	ecember 31,	
(in thousands)	2012		2011	2010
Real estate investments, at cost:				
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 1,158,808	\$	1,053,678	\$ 1,020,787
Acquisitions	167,812		151,897	23,842
Improvements	16,784		21,296	9,049
Assets held for sale	-		(19,317)	(86,501)
Dispositions	(20,020)		(68,063)	-
Balance -Real Estate	1,323,384		1,139,491	967,177
Assets held for sale	 -		19,317	86,501
Balance, end of year	\$ 1,323,384	\$	1,158,808	\$ 1,053,678
Accumulated depreciation:				
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 152,587	\$	125,741	\$ 98,954
Depreciation	33,563		29,729	26,787
Assets held for sale	-		(4,321)	(6,604)
Dispositions	(5,394)		(2,883)	-
Balance - Accumulated Depreciation	180,756		148,266	119,137
Assets held for sale			4,321	6,604
Balance, end of year	\$ 180,756	\$	152,587	\$ 125,741

Name	Jurisdiction of Organization
FSP 801 Marquette Avenue LLC	Delaware
FSP 121 South Eighth Street LLC	Delaware
FSP 1410 East Renner Road LLC	Delaware
FSP 380 Interlocken Corp.	Delaware
FSP 390 Interlocken LLC	Delaware
FSP 4807 Stonecroft Boulevard LLC	Delaware
FSP 4820 Emperor Boulevard LLC	Delaware
FSP 909 Davis Street LLC	Delaware
FSP Addison Circle Corp.	Delaware
FSP Addison Circle Limited Partnership	Texas
FSP Addison Circle LLC	Delaware
FSP Blue Lagoon Drive Corp.	Delaware
FSP Blue Lagoon Drive LLC	Delaware
FSP Collins Crossing Corp.	Delaware
FSP Collins Crossing Limited Partnership	Texas
FSP Collins Crossing LLC	Delaware
FSP Dulles Virginia LLC	Delaware
FSP East Baltimore Street LLC	Delaware
FSP Eden Bluff Corporate Center I LLC	Delaware
FSP Eldridge Green Corp.	Delaware
FSP Eldridge Green Limited Partnership	Texas
FSP Eldridge Green LLC	Delaware
FSP Emperor Boulevard Limited Partnership	Delaware
FSP Forest Park IV LLC	Delaware
FSP Forest Park IV NC Limited Partnership	North Carolina
FSP Greenwood Plaza Corp.	Delaware
FSP Hillview Center Limited Partnership	Massachusetts
FSP Holdings LLC	Delaware
FSP Innsbrook Corp.	Delaware
FSP Investments LLC	Massachusetts
FSP Lakeside Crossing I LLC	Delaware
FSP Legacy Tennyson Center LLC	Delaware
FSP Liberty Plaza Limited Partnership	Texas
FSP Montague Business Center Corp.	Delaware
FSP Northwest Point LLC	Delaware
FSP One Legacy Circle LLC	Delaware
FSP One Overton Park LLC	Delaware
FSP One Ravinia Drive LLC	Delaware
FSP Park Seneca Limited Partnership	Massachusetts

Name	Jurisdiction of Organization
FSP Park Ten Development Corp.	Delaware
FSP Park Ten Development LLC	Delaware
FSP Park Ten Limited Partnership	Texas
FSP Park Ten LLC	Delaware
FSP Park Ten Phase II Limited Partnership	Texas
FSP Property Management LLC	Massachusetts
FSP Protective TRS Corp.	Massachusetts
FSP REIT Protective Trust	Massachusetts
FSP River Crossing LLC	Delaware
FSP Southfield Centre Limited Partnership	Massachusetts
FSP Westchase LLC	Delaware
FSP Willow Bend Office Center Corp.	Delaware
FSP Willow Bend Office Center Limited Partnership	Texas
FSP Willow Bend Office Center LLC	Delaware

### CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements (Form S-8 No. 333-91680, Form S-3 No. 333-134405, Form S-3 No. 333-158898 and Form S-3 No. 333-181009) of Franklin Street Properties Corp., of our reports dated February 19, 2013, with respect to the consolidated financial statements and schedules of Franklin Street Properties Corp. and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting of Franklin Street Properties Corp., included in this Annual Report (Form 10-K) for the year ended December 31, 2012.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Boston, Massachusetts February 19, 2013

### **CERTIFICATIONS**

- I, George J. Carter, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Franklin Street Properties Corp.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 19, 2013

/s/ George J. Carter

George J. Carter

President and Chief Executive Officer

### **CERTIFICATIONS**

I, John G. Demeritt, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Franklin Street Properties Corp.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 19, 2013

/s/ John G. Demeritt

John G. Demeritt

Chief Financial Officer

### CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the annual report on Form 10-K of Franklin Street Properties Corp. (the "Company") for the period ended December 31, 2012 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), the undersigned, George J. Carter, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, hereby certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that, to his knowledge:

- (1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operation of the Company.

Date: February 19, 2013 /s/ George J. Carter

George J. Carter

President and Chief Executive Officer

### CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the annual report on Form 10-K of Franklin Street Properties Corp. (the "Company") for the period ended December 31, 2012 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), the undersigned, John G. Demeritt, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, hereby certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that, to his knowledge:

- (1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operation of the Company.

Date: February 19, 2013 /s/ John G. Demeritt

John G. Demeritt Chief Financial Officer

### **Non-GAAP Financial Measures**

The Company evaluates performance based on Funds From Operations, which we refer to as FFO, as management believes that FFO represents the most accurate measure of activity and is the basis for distributions paid to equity holders. The Company defines FFO as net income (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property and acquisition costs of newly acquired properties that are not capitalized, plus depreciation and amortization, including amortization of acquired above and below market lease intangibles and impairment charges, and after adjustments to exclude non-cash income (or losses) from non-consolidated or Sponsored REITs, plus distributions received from non-consolidated or Sponsored REITs.

FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP), nor as an indicator of the Company's financial performance, nor as an alternative to cash flows from operating activities (determined in accordance with GAAP), nor as a measure of the Company's liquidity, nor is it necessarily indicative of sufficient cash flow to fund all of the Company's needs.

Other real estate companies and the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, may define this term in a different manner. We have included the NAREIT FFO definition in our table and note that other REITs may not define FFO in accordance with the current NAREIT definition or may interpret the current NAREIT definition differently than we do.

We believe that in order to facilitate a clear understanding of the results of the Company, FFO should be examined in connection with net income and cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities in the consolidated financial statements

The calculations of FFO are shown in the following table:

	For the Y	ear	Ended Decemb	er 31,
(in thousands):	2012		2011	2010
Net income (loss)	\$ 7,633	\$	43,524 \$	22,093
(Gain) loss on sale, less applicable income tax	14,826		(21,939)	-
Equity in earnings of non-consolidated REITs	(2,033)		(4,490)	(1,190)
Distribution from non-consolidated REITs	2,810		5,056	5,170
Depreciation and amortization	55,518		48,439	40,724
NAREIT FFO	78,754		70,590	66,797
Acquisition costs of new properties	287		620	125
Funds From Operations	\$ 79,041	\$	71,210 \$	66,922

# = CORPORATE INFORMATION

### CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS

Franklin Street Properties Corp. 401 Edgewater Place Wakefield, MA 01880 Telephone: 800.950.6288 Fax: 781.246.2807 www.franklinstreetproperties.com

### STOCK LISTING

FRANKLIN STREET PROPERTIES CORP.'S COMMON STOCK TRADES ON THE NYSE MKT UNDER THE SYMBOL "FSP"

### TRANSFER AGENT

American Stock Transfer And Trust Company Operations Center 6201 15th Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11219 Telephone: 800.937.5449 WWW.amstock.com

### **OUTSIDE COUNSEL**

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 60 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109 TELEPHONE: 617.526.6000

# INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

ERNST & YOUNG LLP 200 CLARENDON STREET BOSTON, MA 02116 TELEPHONE: 617.266.2000

### **INVESTORS RELATIONS CONTACT**

Franklin Street Properties Corp. 401 Edgewater Place Wakefield, MA 01880 Telephone: 877.686.9496 Investorrelations@ Franklinstreetproperties.com

Intergrated Corporate Relations ("ICR") 825 Third Avenue, 31st Floor New York, NY 10022 Telephone: 646.277.1200

### ANNUAL MEETING INFORMATION

Thursday, May 16, 2013 11:00 a.m. local time Sheraton Colonial Boston North Hotel and Conference Center 1 Audubon Road Wakefield, MA 01880

### **BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

GEORGE J. CARTER\*
CHAIRMAN AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Barbara J. Fournier\* Chief Operating Officer

Janet P. Notopoulos\* President, FSP Property Management LLC

JOHN N. BURKE, CPA
CHAIR OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE
MEMBER OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE
FORMER PARTNER, BDO USA, LLP

BRIAN N. HANSEN
MEMBER OF THE AUDIT AND
COMPENSATION COMMITTEES
PRESIDENT AND CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER
CONFLUENCE INVESTMENT
MANAGEMENT LLC

DENNIS J. MCGILLICUDDY MEMBER OF THE AUDIT AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEES INVESTOR

GEORGIA MURRAY
CHAIR OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE
MEMBER OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE
RETIRED EXECUTIVE
LEND LEASE REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS, INC.

BARRY SILVERSTEIN
MEMBER OF THE AUDIT AND
COMPENSATION COMMITTEES
INVESTOR

\*EACH IS ALSO AN EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF THE COMPANY

### **EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

JEFFREY B. CARTER
CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER

SCOTT H. CARTER GENERAL COUNSEL

John G. Demeritt Chief Financial Officer





401 EDGEWATER PLACE WAKEFIELD, MA 01880

P 800.950.6288 F 781.246.2807 WWW.FRANKLINSTREETPROPERTIES.COM