First Midwest Bancorp, Inc.

First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. is a bank holding company headquartered in the Chicago suburb of Itasca, Illinois with operations throughout the greater Chicago metropolitan area as well as central and western Illinois.

Our principal subsidiary is First Midwest Bank, which provides a broad range of commercial and retail banking services to consumer, commercial and industrial, and public or governmental customers. First Midwest Bank has approximately $\$ 8.5$ billion in assets and over $\$ 3.5$ billion in assets under management.

We are one of the Chicago metropolitan area's largest independent bank holding companies, and we provide business, retail banking, and trust and investment management services through some 100 offices located in 62 communities.

We are committed to meeting the financial needs of the people and businesses in the communities where we live and work by providing customized banking solutions, quality products, and innovative services that truly fulfill those financial needs.

First Midwest was recently recognized by the Alfred P. Sloan Awards for Business Excellence in Workforce Flexibility in the greater Chicago area.

## Additional Information

Visit the Investor Relations section of our website, www.firstmidwest.com, for stock and dividend information, quarterly earnings and news releases, on-line annual report, links to SEC filings and other Company information.

### 3.27.2009



Michael L. Scudder President and Chief Executive Officer First Midwest Bancorp, Inc.

## Dear Stockholders:

Over the course of 2008 and as we enter 2009, the financial services industry has and continues to be faced with one of the most challenging economic periods in history. As a community bank, First Midwest did not participate in the irresponsible lending practices, such as sub-prime lending, that began the current crisis. However, during the latter half of 2008, we, like so many others in our industry, found ourselves faced with the challenges these practices ultimately fostered in the form of a slowdown in housing markets, illiquid debt markets and heightened global economic uncertainty. As a result, our overall 2008 performance was negatively influenced by actions taken to increase our loan loss provisioning, appropriately recognize certain market-related securities losses and fortify our capital position.

It is equally important to note that our core operating performance in 2008 remained solid, continuing at a level well in excess of many of our peers. Over the past year, the strength of our customer relationships, the commitment of our employees and the core operating strength of First Midwest has stood out as a competitive advantage against a backdrop of liquidity and capital crisis, market turmoil and a level of governmental action and program assistance that can best be described as historic.

Adverse economic trends and uncertainty continue to pressure valuations across the financial services industry, with prices reflecting significantly greater investor focus on capital strength, and more specifically, the tangible common equity component of capital. Our capital-related actions taken in 2008 and early 2009 sought to balance the strength of our underlying performance and our long-term, overriding obligation to protect the interests of our stockholders in these extraordinary times. These capital actions included a carefully considered reduction in our dividend and the issuance of preferred securities through our elective participation in the U.S. Treasury's Capital Purchase Program.

I firmly believe these actions leave First Midwest well positioned to weather the challenges of the operating environment, meet the continuing needs of our clients as well as benefit from future market opportunities.

## Our Plan

From a long-term perspective, I am confident that more than ever community banks such as First Midwest will play a vital growing role in the overall economy. As the market comes to greater value the safety and stability of the "traditional bank", I believe the shift in lending and deposit flows outside of the industry that has occurred over the last several years will reverse, creating greater opportunities for First Midwest to build fuller, more strategic relationships with our clients.

Successful navigation of the challenges and opportunities of 2009 requires us to continue to protect our capital and proactively remediate evolving credit risks. At the same time, we look to aggressively focus on growing the value of our franchise through the development and expansion of our client relationships. Our 2009 plan calls for controlled loan and targeted core deposit growth, continued expansion of our trust and wealth advisory services and increased investment in our web-based sales platform. Active and prudent management of all components of our capital
plan will continue over the course of 2009, including an ongoing assessment of our dividend level, participation in government sponsored programs, the size of our balance sheet and targeted cost control initiatives.

My confidence in our ability to perform on all of these fronts stems from the proven application of our key business strengths. They are:

- Our Mission
- Our People
- Our Markets
- Our Credit Culture
- Our Performance


## Our Mission

The landscape for the financial services industry has changed significantly and swiftly over the past year. The final outcome of these changes and their impact on our industry is unknown and evolving. As 2009 unfolds, our response to these changes remains grounded in our Mission. Our Mission is relationship focused, centering our activities on the development and expansion of client relationships one need at a time. This needs-based approach promotes fuller, strategic relationships and has always taken precedence over the strict product sales favored by many of our peers. It is our experience that the value, to both existing and prospective clients, of relationships created on these principles is more apparent in times such as these and, as we execute on the principles, is actually strengthened.

## Our People

The nearly 2,000 women and men who work for First Midwest and live in the communities we serve drive our success. Their ranks include the some 180 Commercial Relationship Managers and nearly 1,000 Retail Bankers that directly serve the needs of our clients. Impressively, in our company-wide 2008 employee survey, $95 \%$ of our employees indicated their understanding of our Mission and acknowledgement of its importance in forming meaningful client relationships. It is this understanding and level of engagement that distinguishes First Midwest in our markets.

## Our Markets

The greater Chicagoland area is diverse in terms of its industry base, absolute size, capacity, and wealth. This diversity is complemented by our broad and full business lines, providing relatively greater stability for the region and continued opportunity for us - even in these difficult times.

The Chicago marketplace is served by some 300 independent banks and represents one of the more competitive and fragmented markets in the country. Over time, disruption created by the deleveraging activities of major financial institutions and consolidation within the market can be expected to create greater opportunity for both organic and acquired growth.

With more than 60 years of experience living, working, and operating in this market, we understand how to tap into its potential.

## Our Credit Culture

First Midwest has long operated with a robust credit culture that has supported a granular portfolio of commercial, commercial real estate and consumer loans. Our loan originations are almost exclusively in-market and are underwritten by our own lending officers pursuant to conservative underwriting practices.

The recessionary environment promises to make 2009 as challenging as 2008, if not more. The potential impact of continued adverse trends in unemployment and housing values on consumers and businesses can be expected to manifest itself in the form of higher levels of nonperforming assets, credit costs and losses. In response, we have significantly expanded our remediation efforts to include increased staff, early identification measures, and additional loan modification efforts to swiftly and proactively mitigate credit-related concerns on a client-by-client basis.

## Our Performance

Net income for 2008 was $\$ 49.3$ million, or $\$ 1.00$ per share, roughly $60 \%$ of the level earned in 2007. Overall earnings performance was largely influenced by the negative impact of higher provisions for loan losses and securities-related losses.

A longstanding hallmark of First Midwest is our strong level of core earnings. Excluding credit and market-related losses, 2008 pre-tax earnings totaled $\$ 152$ million, on par with 2007. Solid interest margins, well penetrated product lines, and careful expense management drive a level of performance well in excess of many of our peers - both locally and nationally. Furthermore, our performance is complemented by stable liquidity driven by our strong core deposit base,
$\$ 2.3$ billion securities portfolio, and diversified wholesale funding sources.
Importantly, sales activity has remained healthy. For full year 2008, First Midwest posted year-over-year loan growth of $8 \%$ through prudently underwritten and targeted sales efforts. Further, trust assets under management and custody stood at $\$ 3.5$ billion as of December 31, 2008, the 4th largest among banks domiciled in Illinois and relatively unchanged from 2007. In an environment that saw market values decline in excess of $30 \%$ year-over-year, this stability denotes a year of strong sales performance.

Hand in hand with our proven record of strong core earnings is our commitment to operating efficiency and cost control. For 2008, overall operating expenses decreased $2.4 \%$ in comparison to 2007 , as our compensation programs appropriately aligned incentives to performance and staffing levels were lowered due to targeted operating efficiencies.

## In Closing

As we enter 2009, the year looks to be one of both challenge and opportunity. Consistent with 2008, our priorities will remain centered on the prudent and proactive management of our capital and the navigation of what is expected to be a difficult credit market. At the same time, significant opportunities can be expected in the marketplace as competitors are distracted by market conditions and various institutions seek, or are required to shed assets and businesses.

The actions taken in 2008 and early 2009 to strengthen our capital will allow us to simultaneously execute our business plan, levering our strengths - our mission, our people, our credit culture and our core operating performance - to our long-term advantage as these opportunities present themselves.

I believe the execution of our business plan combined with our strong financial foundation will leave First Midwest and its stockholders well positioned to benefit as economic conditions stabilize. In these times more than ever, I am deeply grateful for your continued loyalty, support and confidence in First Midwest.


Michael L. Scudder
President and Chief Executive Officer First Midwest Bancorp, Inc.

## In Remembrance



John M. O'Meara

On September 13, 2008, the directors, officers, and employees of First Midwest were saddened by the unexpected death of John M. O'Meara, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of First Midwest Bancorp, Inc., due to complications related to pulmonary fibrosis. More than just a leader, he was a true friend and mentor to countless individuals during his 38-year career with First Midwest. John leaves a legacy of compassionate leadership, commitment to right-minded principles, and an unwavering focus on building lasting client relationships. We are all indebted to John for his extraordinary contributions to the success of First Midwest. He will be missed.
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First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. is a bank holding Company headquartered in the Chicago suburb of Itasca, Illinois with operations throughout the greater Chicago metropolitan area as well as central and western Illinois. Our principal subsidiary is First Midwest Bank, which provides a broad range of commercial and retail banking services to consumer, commercial and industrial, and public or governmental customers. We are committed to meeting the financial needs of the people and businesses in the communities where we live and work by providing customized banking solutions, quality products, and innovative services that truly fulfill those financial needs.

## AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We file annual, quarterly, and current reports; proxy statements; and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), and we make this information available free of charge on or through the investor relations section of our web site at www.firstmidwest.com/aboutinvestor_overview.asp. The following documents are also posted on our web site or are available in print upon the request of any stockholder to our Corporate Secretary:

- Certificate of Incorporation
- Company By-laws
- Charters for our Audit, Compensation, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees
- Related Person Transaction Policies and Procedures
- Corporate Governance Guidelines
- Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct (the "Code"), which governs our directors, officers, and employees
- Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers.

Within the time period required by the SEC and the Nasdaq Stock Market, we will post on our web site any amendment to the Code and any waiver applicable to any executive officer, director, or senior financial officer (as defined in the Code). In addition, our web site includes information concerning purchases and sales of our securities by our executive officers and directors, as well as any disclosure relating to certain non-GAAP financial measures (as defined in the SEC's Regulation G) that we may make public orally, telephonically, by webcast, by broadcast, or by similar means from time to time.

Our Corporate Secretary can be contacted by writing to First Midwest Bancorp, Inc., One Pierce Place, Itasca, Illinois 60143, Attn: Corporate Secretary. The Company's Investor Relations Department can be contacted by telephone at (630) 875-7533 or by e-mail at investor.relations@firstmidwest.com.

## CAUTIONARY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

We include or incorporate by reference in this Annual Report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$, and from time to time our management may make, statements that may constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are not historical facts, but instead represent only management's beliefs regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and outside our control. Although we believe the expectations reflected in any forward-looking statements are reasonable, it is possible that our actual results and financial condition may differ, possibly materially, from the anticipated results and financial condition indicated in such statements. In some cases, you can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as "may," "might," "will," "should," "expect," "plan," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential," or "continue," and the negative of these terms and other comparable terminology. We caution you not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report, or when made.

Forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and assumptions and may include projections relating to our future financial performance including our growth strategies and anticipated
trends in our business. For a detailed discussion of these and other risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results and events to differ materially from such forward-looking statements, you should refer to Items 1A and 7 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the sections entitled "Risk Factors" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations," as well as our subsequent periodic and current reports filed with the SEC. These risks and uncertainties are not exhaustive, however. Other sections of this report describe additional factors that could adversely impact our business and financial performance.

Since mid-2007, and particularly during the second half of 2008, the financial services industry and the securities markets generally have been materially and adversely affected by significant declines in the values of nearly all asset classes and by a serious lack of liquidity. The global markets have been characterized by substantially increased volatility and short-selling and an overall loss of investor confidence, initially in financial institutions, but more recently in companies in a number of other industries and in the broader markets. Such instability has brought a new level of risk to financial institutions in addition to the risks normally associated with competition and free market economies. The Company has attempted to list those risks elsewhere in this report and consider them as it makes disclosures regarding forward-looking statements. Nevertheless, given the uncertain economic times, new risks and uncertainties may emerge very quickly and unpredictably, and it is not possible to predict all risks and uncertainties. We cannot assess the impact of all factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. We are under no duty to update any of these forward-looking statements after the date of this report to conform our prior statements to actual results or revised expectations, and we do not intend to do so.

## PART I

## ITEM 1. BUSINESS

## First Midwest Bancorp, Inc.

First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. ("First Midwest" or the "Company") is a bank holding company incorporated in Delaware in 1982 for the purpose of becoming a holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the "Act"). The Company is one of Illinois' largest publicly traded banking companies with assets of $\$ 8.5$ billion as of December 31, 2008 and is headquartered in the Chicago suburb of Itasca, Illinois.

## History

The Company is the product of the consolidation of over 26 affiliated banks in 1983, followed by several significant acquisitions, including the purchase of SparBank, Incorporated, a $\$ 449$ million institution in 1997, Heritage Financial Services, Inc., a $\$ 1.4$ billion institution in 1998, CoVest Bancshares, a $\$ 645.6$ million institution in 2003, and Bank Calumet, Inc., a $\$ 1.4$ billion institution in 2006. The Company continues to explore opportunities to acquire banking institutions and discussions related to possible acquisitions may occur at any time. The Company cannot predict whether, or on what terms, communications will result in further acquisitions. As a matter of policy, the Company generally does not comment on any dialogue or possible acquisitions until a definitive acquisition agreement has been signed.

## Subsidiaries

First Midwest operates two wholly owned subsidiaries: First Midwest Bank (the "Bank"), employing 1,794 fulltime equivalent employees at December 31, 2008, and First Midwest Insurance Company. Substantially all of the Company's operations are conducted through the Bank. At December 31, 2008, the Bank had $\$ 8.5$ billion in total assets, $\$ 5.8$ billion in total deposits, and 98 banking offices primarily in suburban metropolitan Chicago.

The Bank operates the following wholly owned subsidiaries:

- FMB Investment Corporation is a Delaware corporation established in 1998 that manages investment securities, principally state and municipal obligations, and provides corporate management services to its wholly owned subsidiary, FMB Investment Trust, a Maryland business trust, also established in 1998. FMB Investment Trust manages many of the real estate loans originated by the Bank. FMB Investment Trust has elected to be taxed as a Real Estate Investment Trust for federal income tax purposes.
- Calumet Investment Corporation is a Delaware corporation that manages investment securities, principally state and municipal obligations, and provides corporate management services to its wholly owned subsidiary, Calumet Investments Ltd., a Bermuda corporation. Calumet Investments Ltd. manages investment securities and is largely inactive.
- First Midwest Investments, Inc. and Bank Calumet Financial Services, Inc. are largely inactive.

First Midwest Insurance Company operates as a reinsurer of credit life, accident, and health insurance sold through the Bank, primarily in conjunction with its consumer lending operations, and is largely inactive.

The Company has responsibility for the overall conduct, direction, and performance of its subsidiaries. The Company provides various services to its subsidiaries, establishes Company-wide policies and procedures, and provides other resources as needed, including capital.

## Market Area

First Midwest's largest service area is the suburban Chicago metropolitan market, which includes the counties surrounding Cook County, Illinois. This area extends from the cities of Zion and Waukegan, Illinois down into
northwest Indiana, including the cities of Crown Point and St. John, Indiana. The Company's other service areas consist of a central Illinois market, which includes the cities of Champaign, Danville, and Galesburg, and an Iowa, or "Quad-Cities" market, which includes the cities of Davenport, Bettendorf, Moline, and East Moline. These service areas include a mixture of urban, suburban, and rural markets. First Midwest's business of attracting deposits and making loans is primarily conducted within its service areas and may be affected by significant changes in their economies. These service areas contain a diversified mix of industry groups, including manufacturing, health care, pharmaceutical, higher education, wholesale and retail trade, service, and agricultural.

The Chicago metropolitan area currently ranks 3rd in the nation with respect to total businesses, 3rd in total population, 11th in average household income, and 8th in median income producing assets.

## Competition

The banking and financial services industry in the Chicago metropolitan area is highly competitive, and the Company expects it to remain so in the future. Generally, the Bank competes for banking customers and deposits with other local, regional, and internet banks and savings and loan associations; personal loan and finance companies and credit unions; and mutual funds and investment brokers. The Company faces intense competition from local and out of state institutions within its service areas, and it expects to face increasing competition from on-line banking and financial institutions seeking to attract customers by providing access to services and products that mirror the services and products offered by traditional brick-and-mortar institutions.

Competition is based on a number of factors including interest rates charged on loans and paid on deposits; the ability to attract new deposits; the scope and type of banking and financial services offered; the hours during which business can be conducted; the location of bank branches and ATMs; the availability, ease of use, and range of banking services on the internet; the availability of related services; and a variety of additional services such as investment management, fiduciary, and brokerage services.

In providing investment advisory services, the Bank also competes with retail and discount stockbrokers, investment advisors, mutual funds, insurance companies, and other financial institutions for investment management clients. Competition is generally based on the variety of products and services offered to clients and the performance of funds under management and comes from financial service providers both within and outside of the geographic areas in which the Bank maintains offices.

The Company faces intense competition in attracting and retaining qualified employees. Its ability to continue to compete effectively will depend upon its ability to attract new employees and retain and motivate existing employees.

## Our Business

First Midwest offers a variety of traditional financial products and services that are designed to meet the financial needs of the customers and communities it serves. For over 60 years, First Midwest has been in the basic business of community banking, namely attracting deposits and making loans, as well as providing wealth management, investment, and retirement plan services. The Company does not engage in any sub-prime or speculative lending, nor does it engage in non-commercial banking activities such as investment banking services or loan securitizations.

First Midwest differentiates itself from other commercial banks and financial institutions by the way it systematically assesses a customer's specific financial needs, sells products and services to meet those needs, and provides the customer with high quality service. The Company believes this approach and its knowledge of and commitment to the communities in which it is located are the most important aspects in retaining and expanding its customer base.

## Deposit and Retail Services

First Midwest offers a full range of deposit services that are typically available in most commercial banks and financial institutions, including checking accounts, NOW accounts, money market accounts, savings accounts, and time deposits of various types, ranging from shorter-term to longer-term certificates of deposit. The transaction accounts and time deposits are tailored to our primary service area at competitive rates. The Company also offers certain retirement account services, including IRAs.

## Lending Activities

First Midwest originates commercial and industrial, agricultural, commercial real estate, and consumer loans. Substantially all of the Company's borrowers are residents of First Midwest's principal markets. The Company's largest category of lending is commercial real estate (including residential land and development loans), followed by commercial and industrial. Generally, real estate loans are secured by the land and any improvements to, or developments on, the land. Generally, loan-to-value ratios for unimproved and developed land at time of issuance historically have been $65 \%$ and $75 \%$, respectively. Given current market conditions, these ratios have been lowered to $50 \%$ and $65 \%$, respectively. The Company's consumer loans consist primarily of home equity loans and lines of credit. For detailed information regarding the Company's loan portfolio, see the "Loan Portfolio and Credit Quality" section of "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

## First Midwest Sources of Funds

First Midwest's ability to maintain affordable funding sources allows the Company to meet the credit needs of its customers and the communities it serves. Deposits are a relatively stable form of funding, and they are the primary source of the Company's funds for lending and other investment purposes. Core deposits funded approximately $40 \%$ of the Company's assets at the end of 2008, with a net loan-to-deposit ratio of $96 \%$. Consumer and commercial deposits come from the Company's primary service areas through a broad selection of deposit products. By maintaining core deposits, the Company both controls its funding costs and builds client relationships.

In addition to deposits, the Company obtains funds from the amortization, repayment, and prepayment of loans, the sale or maturity of investment securities; advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank, brokered repurchase agreements and certificates of deposits, federal funds purchased, and federal term auction facilities; cash flows generated by operations; and proceeds from sale of the Company's common and preferred stock. For detailed information regarding the Company's funding sources, see the "Funding and Liquidity Management" section of "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

## First Midwest Investment Activities

First Midwest maintains a sizeable securities portfolio in order to provide the Company with financial stability, asset diversification, income, and collateral for borrowing. The Company administers this securities portfolio in accordance with an investment policy that has been approved and adopted by the board of directors of the Bank. The Company's Asset Liability Committee ("ALCO") implements the investment policy based on the established guidelines within the written policy.

The basic objectives of First Midwest's investment activities are, among other things, to enhance the profitability of the Company by keeping its investable funds fully employed, provide adequate regulatory and operational liquidity, minimize and/or adjust the interest rate risk position of the Company, minimize the Company's exposure to credit risk, and provide collateral for pledging requirements. For detailed information regarding the Company's securities portfolio, see the "Investment Portfolio Management" section of "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

## Participation in Government Economic Recovery Programs

In response to the recent financial market crisis, the United States government, specifically the U.S. Department of the Treasury ("Treasury"), the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("Federal Reserve"), and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), working in cooperation with foreign governments and other central banks, has taken a variety of extraordinary measures designed to restore confidence in the financial markets and to strengthen financial institutions, including measures available under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 ("EESA"), which was enacted by the U.S. Congress in October of 2008. These measures include capital injections, guarantees of bank liabilities, and the potential acquisition of illiquid assets from banks. Below are summaries of certain federal economic recovery programs, including programs in which First Midwest participates:

- Increase in FDIC Deposit Insurance. The EESA increases the maximum deposit insurance amount up to $\$ 250,000$ until December 31, 2009 and removes the statutory limits on the FDIC's ability to borrow from the Treasury during this period. The Company held no such investment in either the Federal National Mortgage Association or Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation.
- Capital Purchase Program. Under the EESA, the Treasury may take a range of actions to provide liquidity to the U.S. financial markets, including the direct purchase of equity of financial institutions through the Treasury's Capital Purchase Plan ("CPP"). The Company elected to participate in the CPP, and on December 5, 2008, First Midwest issued to the Treasury, in exchange for aggregate consideration of $\$ 193.0$ million, (1) 193,000 shares of the Company's Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B, liquidation preference of $\$ 1,000$ per share ("Preferred Shares"); and (2) a ten-year warrant ("Warrant") to purchase up to $1,305,230$ shares of the Company's common stock, par value $\$ 0.01$ per share ("Common Stock") at an exercise price, subject to anti-dilution adjustments, of $\$ 22.18$ per share. Cumulative dividends on the Preferred Shares will accrue on the liquidation preference at a rate of $5 \%$ per annum for the first five years and at a rate of $9 \%$ per annum thereafter. The securities were sold in a private placement exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Preferred Shares generally are nonvoting and qualify as Tier 1 capital.

The letter agreement between the Treasury and the Company, dated December 5, 2008, including the securities purchase agreement concerning the issuance and sale of the Preferred Shares (the "Purchase Agreement"), grants the holders of the Preferred Shares, the Warrant, and First Midwest common stock to be issued under the Warrant certain registration rights and imposes restrictions on dividends and stock repurchases. In addition, in the event that the Company fails to declare and pay full dividends (or declare and set aside a sum sufficient for payment thereof) on the Preferred Shares, the Purchase Agreement will impose restrictions on the Company's ability to declare or pay dividends or distributions on, or repurchase, redeem, or otherwise acquire for consideration, shares of its junior stock. For a detailed description of these restrictions, see Item 1A, "Risk Factors," elsewhere in this report. In addition, the Purchase Agreement subjects the Company to the executive compensation limitations as set forth in Section 111(b) of the EESA.

- Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program ("TLGP"). Under the TLGP, the FDIC will temporarily provide a $100 \%$ guarantee of the deposits in non-interest-bearing transaction deposit accounts in participating financial institutions. The Company participates in this program. Consequently, all funds held in non-interest-bearing transaction accounts (demand deposit accounts), Interest on Lawyers Trust Accounts (IOLTAs), and low-interest NOW accounts (defined as NOW accounts with interest rates no higher than $0.50 \%$ ) with the Bank are covered under this program.
The TLGP also provides a three-year $100 \%$ guarantee of any newly issued senior unsecured debt that could be issued by the Company on or before June 30, 2009 (including promissory notes, commercial paper, inter-bank funding, and any unsecured portion of secured debt). The amount of potential Company debt that could be covered by this guarantee may not exceed $125 \%$ of the Company's debt that was both outstanding as of September 30, 2008 and scheduled to mature before June 30, 2009. All fees associated with this guarantee are waived for the first 30 days of coverage. After the first 30 days, an annualized fee equal to 75 basis points multiplied by the amount of debt issued under this program is imposed.
- Temporary Guarantee Program for Money Market Funds. Under the Temporary Guarantee Program for Money Market Funds, the Treasury will guarantee the share price of any publicly offered eligible money market mutual fund, both retail and institutional, that applies for and pays a fee to participate in the program. The temporary guarantee applies to all money market mutual funds that are regulated under Rule2a-7 of the Investment Company Act of 1940, are publicly offered, are registered with the SEC, and maintain a stable share price of $\$ 1$. This includes both taxable and non-taxable funds. While the program protects the shares of all money market fund investors as of September 19, 2008, each money market fund makes the decision to sign up for the program. Company vendors have advised the Company that the funds in which balances from sweep accounts have been invested are enrolled in this program.
- Purchase of Troubled Assets. The EESA authorizes the Treasury to purchase up to $\$ 700$ billion in troubled assets from financial institutions under the Treasury's Troubled Assets Relief Program ("TARP"). "Troubled assets" include residential or commercial mortgages and related instruments originated prior to March 14, 2008 and any other financial instrument that the Secretary of the Treasury determines, after consultation with the Chairman of the Federal Reserve, the purchase of which is necessary to promote financial stability. If the Secretary exercises authority under TARP, the EESA directs the Secretary to establish a program to guarantee troubled assets originated or issued prior to March 14, 2008. The Treasury currently has not initiated any purchases under this program. If, and when, they do, the Company may or may not be eligible to participate in this program.

In addition to the government programs and legislation listed above, additional programs and legislation intended to address the current economic environment and financial crisis may be proposed or passed in the future. In this event, we could become subject to new rules and regulations or participate in additional government programs.

For example, on February 10, 2009, the Treasury Secretary introduced the framework for its Financial Stability Plan ("FSP"). As proposed, this plan may impose restrictions on financial institutions or mandate their participation in certain programs designed to strengthen the financial system or provide aid to homeowners and small businesses. In addition, on February 17, 2009, President Obama signed into law the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, a $\$ 787$ billion stimulus bill for the purpose of stabilizing and stimulating the economy, which imposes restrictions on CPP participants including the Company. Certain of these restrictions are discussed in greater detail under the heading, "Supervision and Regulation - Executive Compensation Limitations."

First Midwest cannot predict whether or when the FSP or any other new government programs or legislation will be adopted. First Midwest also cannot predict whether the FSP will be adopted in its current proposed form or in a different form.

## Supervision and Regulation

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to regulation and supervision by various governmental regulatory authorities including the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve"), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC"), the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation (the "IDFPR"), and the Arizona Department of Insurance. Financial institutions and their holding companies are extensively regulated under federal and state law.

Federal and state laws and regulations generally applicable to financial institutions, such as the Company and its subsidiaries, regulate, among other things, the scope of business, investments, reserves against deposits, capital levels, the nature and amount of collateral for loans, the establishment of branches, mergers, consolidations, and dividends. This supervision and regulation is intended primarily for the protection of the FDIC's deposit insurance fund ("DIF") and the depositors, rather than the stockholders, of a financial institution.

The following references to material statutes and regulations affecting the Company and its subsidiaries are brief summaries thereof and are qualified in their entirety by reference to such statutes and regulations. Any change in
applicable law or regulations may have a material effect on the business or operations of the Company and its subsidiaries. The operations of the Company may also be affected by changes in the policies of various regulatory authorities. The Company cannot accurately predict the nature or the extent of the effects that any such changes would have on its business and earnings.

## Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, As Amended (the "Act")

Generally, the Act governs the acquisition and control of banks and nonbanking companies by bank holding companies. A bank holding company is subject to regulation under the Act and is required to register with the Federal Reserve under the Act. The Act requires a bank holding company to file an annual report of its operations and such additional information as the Federal Reserve may require and is subject, along with its subsidiaries, to examination by the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve has jurisdiction to regulate the terms of certain debt issues of bank holding companies, including the authority to impose reserve requirements.

The acquisition of $5 \%$ or more of the voting shares of any bank or bank holding company generally requires the prior approval of the Federal Reserve and is subject to applicable federal and state law, including the Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 ("Riegle-Neal") for interstate transactions. The Federal Reserve evaluates acquisition applications based on, among other things, competitive factors, supervisory factors, adequacy of financial and managerial resources, and banking and community needs considerations.

The Act also prohibits, with certain exceptions, a bank holding company from acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of more than $5 \%$ of the voting shares of any "nonbanking" company unless the nonbanking activities are found by the Federal Reserve to be "so closely related to banking . . . as to be a proper incident thereto." Under current regulations of the Federal Reserve, a bank holding company and its nonbank subsidiaries are permitted, among other activities, to engage in such banking-related business ventures as consumer finance, equipment leasing, data processing, mortgage banking, financial and investment advice, and securities brokerage services. The Act does not place territorial restrictions on the activities of a bank holding company or its nonbank subsidiaries.

Federal law prohibits acquisition of "control" of a bank or bank holding company without prior notice to certain federal bank regulators. "Control" is defined in certain cases as the acquisition of as little as $10 \%$ of the outstanding shares of any class of voting stock. Furthermore, under certain circumstances, a bank holding company may not be able to purchase its own stock, where the gross consideration will equal $10 \%$ or more of the company's net worth, without obtaining approval of the Federal Reserve. Under the Federal Reserve Act, banks and their affiliates are subject to certain requirements and restrictions when dealing with each other (affiliate transactions including transactions with their bank holding company). The Company is also subject to the provisions of the Illinois Bank Holding Company Act.

## Interstate Banking

Bank holding companies are permitted to acquire banks and bank holding companies in any state and to be acquired, subject to the requirements of Riegle-Neal and, in some cases, applicable state law.

Under Riegle-Neal, adequately capitalized and managed bank holding companies may be permitted by the Federal Reserve to acquire control of a bank in any state. States, however, may prohibit acquisitions of banks that have not been in existence for at least five years. The Federal Reserve is prohibited from approving an application for acquisition if the applicant controls more than $10 \%$ of the total amount of deposits of insured depository institutions nationwide. In addition, interstate acquisitions may also be subject to statewide concentration limits.

The Federal Reserve would be prohibited from approving an application if, prior to consummation, the proposed acquirer controls any insured depository institution or branch in the home state of the target bank, and the
applicant, following consummation of an acquisition, would control $30 \%$ or more of the total amount of deposits of insured depository institutions in that state. This legislation also provides that the provisions on concentration limits do not affect the authority of any state to limit or waive the percentage of the total amount of deposits in the state which would be held or controlled by any bank or bank holding company to the extent the application of this limitation does not discriminate against out-of-state institutions.

Interstate branching under Riegle-Neal permits banks to merge across state lines, thereby creating a bank headquartered in one state with branches in other states. Approval of interstate bank mergers is subject to certain conditions including adequate capitalization, adequate management, Community Reinvestment Act compliance, deposit concentration limits (as set forth above), compliance with federal and state antitrust laws, and compliance with applicable state consumer protection laws. An interstate merger transaction may involve the acquisition of a branch without the acquisition of the bank only if the law of the state in which the branch is located permits out-of-state banks to acquire a branch of a bank in that state without acquiring the bank. Following the consummation of an interstate transaction, the resulting bank may establish additional branches at any location where any bank involved in the transaction could have established a branch under applicable federal or state law, if such bank had not been a party to the merger transaction.

Riegle-Neal allowed each state the opportunity to "opt out," thereby prohibiting interstate branching within that state. Of the three states in which the Bank is located (Illinois, Indiana, and Iowa), none of them has adopted legislation to "opt out" of the interstate merger provisions. Furthermore, pursuant to Riegle-Neal, a bank is able to add new branches in a state in which it does not already have banking operations if such state enacts a law permitting such de novo branching, or, if the state allows acquisition of branches, subject to applicable state requirements. Illinois law allows de novo banking with other states that allow Illinois banks to branch de novo in those states.

## Illinois Banking Law

The Illinois Banking Act ("IBA") governs the activities of the Bank, an Illinois banking corporation. The IBA defines the powers and permissible activities of an Illinois state-chartered bank, prescribes corporate governance standards, imposes approval requirements on mergers of state banks, prescribes lending limits, and provides for the examination of state banks by the IDFPR. The Banking on Illinois Act ("BIA") became effective in mid-1999 and amended the IBA to provide a wide range of new activities allowed for Illinois state-chartered banks, including the Bank. The provisions of the BIA are to be construed liberally in order to create a favorable business climate for banks in Illinois. The main features of the BIA are to expand bank powers through a "wild card" provision that authorizes Illinois state-chartered banks to offer virtually any product or service that any bank or thrift may offer anywhere in the country, subject to restrictions imposed on those other banks and thrifts, certain safety and soundness considerations, and prior notification to the IDFPR and the FDIC.

## Federal Reserve Act

The Bank is subject to Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, which restrict or impose requirements on financial transactions between federally insured depository institutions and affiliated companies. The statute limits credit transactions between a bank and its affiliates, prescribes terms and conditions for bank affiliate transactions deemed to be consistent with safe and sound banking practices, requires arms-length transactions between affiliates, and restricts the types of collateral security permitted in connection with a bank's extension of credit to affiliates. Section 22(h) of the Federal Reserve Act limits how much and on what terms a bank may lend to its insiders and insiders of its affiliates, including executive officers and directors.

## Other Regulation

The Bank is subject to a variety of federal and state laws and regulations governing its operations. For example, deposit activities are subject to such acts as the Federal Truth in Savings Act and the Illinois Consumer Deposit

Account Act. Electronic banking activities are subject to federal law, including the Electronic Funds Transfer Act, and state laws. Trust activities of the Bank are subject to the Illinois Corporate Fiduciaries Act. Loans made by the Bank are subject to applicable provisions of the Illinois Interest Act, the Federal Truth in Lending Act, and the Illinois Financial Services Development Act.

The Bank is also subject to a variety of other laws and regulations concerning equal credit opportunity, fair lending, customer privacy, identity theft, fair credit reporting, and community reinvestment. The Bank currently holds an "outstanding" rating for community reinvestment activity, the highest available.

As an Illinois banking corporation controlled by a bank holding company, the Bank is subject to the rules regarding change of control in the Act and the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and is also subject to the rules regarding change in control of Illinois banks contained in the IBA and the Illinois Bank Holding Company Act.

## Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 ("GLB Act")

The GLB Act allows for banks, other depository institutions, insurance companies, and securities firms to enter into combinations that permit a single financial services organization to offer customers a more comprehensive array of financial products and services. The GLB Act defines a financial holding company ("FHC"), which is regulated by the Federal Reserve. Functional regulation of the FHC's subsidiaries is conducted by their primary functional regulators. Pursuant to the GLB Act, bank holding companies, foreign banks, and their subsidiary depository institutions electing to qualify as an FHC must be "well managed," "well capitalized," and rated at least satisfactory under the Community Reinvestment Act in order to engage in new financial activities.

An FHC may engage in securities and insurance activities and other activities that are deemed financial in nature or incidental to a financial activity under the GLB Act, such as merchant banking activities. While aware of the flexibility of the FHC statute, the Company has, for the time being, decided not to become an FHC. The activities of bank holding companies that are not FHCs will continue to be regulated by, and limited to, activities permissible under the Act.

The GLB Act also prohibits a financial institution from disclosing non-public personal information about a consumer to unaffiliated third parties unless the institution satisfies various disclosure requirements and the consumer has not elected to opt out of the information sharing. Under the GLB Act, a financial institution must provide its customers with a notice of its privacy policies and practices. The Federal Reserve, the FDIC, and other financial regulatory agencies have issued regulations implementing notice requirements and restrictions on a financial institution's ability to disclose non-public personal information about consumers to unaffiliated third parties.

The Bank is also subject to certain federal and state laws that limit the use and distribution of non-public personal information to subsidiaries, affiliates, and unaffiliated entities.

## Bank Secrecy Act and USA Patriot Act

In 1970, Congress enacted the Currency and Foreign Transactions Reporting Act, commonly known as the Bank Secrecy Act (the "BSA"). The BSA requires financial institutions to maintain records of certain customers and currency transactions and to report certain domestic and foreign currency transactions, which may have a high degree of usefulness in criminal, tax, or regulatory investigations or proceedings. Under this law, financial institutions are required to develop a BSA compliance program.

In 2001, the President signed into law comprehensive anti-terrorism legislation known as the USA Patriot Act. Title III of the USA Patriot Act requires financial institutions, including the Company and the Bank, to help prevent and detect international money laundering and the financing of terrorism and prosecute those involved in such activities. The Department of the Treasury has adopted additional requirements to further implement Title III.

Under these regulations, a mechanism has been established for law enforcement officials to communicate names of suspected terrorists and money launderers to financial institutions to enable financial institutions to promptly locate accounts and transactions involving those suspects. Financial institutions receiving names of suspects must search their account and transaction records for potential matches and report positive results to the U.S. Department of the Treasury Financial Crimes Enforcement Network ("FinCEN"). Each financial institution must designate a point of contact to receive information requests. These regulations outline how financial institutions can share information concerning suspected terrorist and money laundering activity with other financial institutions under the protection of a statutory safe harbor if each financial institution notifies FinCEN of its intent to share information.

The Department of the Treasury has also adopted regulations intended to prevent money laundering and terrorist financing through correspondent accounts maintained by U.S. financial institutions on behalf of foreign banks. Financial institutions are required to take reasonable steps to ensure that they are not providing banking services directly or indirectly to foreign shell banks.

In addition, banks must have procedures in place to verify the identity of the persons with whom they deal.

## Capital Guidelines

The Federal Reserve and the other federal bank regulators have established risk-based capital guidelines to provide a framework for assessing the adequacy of the capital of national and state banks, thrifts, and their holding companies (collectively, "banking institutions"). These guidelines apply to all banking institutions, regardless of size, and are used in the examination and supervisory process as well as in the analysis of applications to be acted upon by the regulatory authorities. These guidelines require banking institutions to maintain capital based on the credit risk of their operations, both on and off-balance sheet.

The minimum capital ratios established by the guidelines are based on both tier 1 and total capital to total riskbased assets (as defined in the regulations). In addition to the risk-based capital requirements, the Federal Reserve and the FDIC require banking institutions to maintain a minimum leveraged-capital ratio to supplement the risk-based capital guidelines. The Company and the Bank are "well capitalized" by these standards, the highest applicable ratings.

## Dividends

The Company's primary source of liquidity is dividend payments from the Bank. In addition to capital guidelines, the Bank is limited in the amount of dividends it can pay to the Company under the IBA. Under this law, the Bank is permitted to declare and pay dividends in amounts up to the amount of its accumulated net profits, provided that it retains in its surplus at least one-tenth of its net profits since the date of the declaration of its most recent dividend until those additions to surplus, in the aggregate, equal the paid-in capital of the Bank. The Bank may not, while it continues its banking business, pay dividends in excess of its net profits then on hand (after deductions for losses and bad debts). In addition, the Bank is limited in the amount of dividends it can pay under the Federal Reserve Act and Regulation H. For example, dividends cannot be paid that would constitute a withdrawal of capital; dividends cannot be declared or paid if they exceed a bank's undivided profits; and a bank may not declare or pay a dividend greater than current year net income plus retained net income of the prior two years without Federal Reserve approval.

Since the Company is a legal entity, separate and distinct from the Bank, its dividends to stockholders are not subject to the bank dividend guidelines discussed above. The IDFPR is authorized to determine, under certain circumstances relating to the financial condition of a bank or bank holding company, that the payment of dividends by the Company would be an unsafe or unsound practice and to prohibit payment thereof. The Federal Reserve has taken the position that dividends that would create pressure or undermine the safety and soundness of the subsidiary bank are inappropriate.

## FDIC Insurance Premiums

The Bank's deposits are insured through the DIF, which is administered by the FDIC. As insurer, the FDIC imposes deposit insurance premiums and is authorized to conduct examinations of, and to require reporting by, FDIC-insured institutions. It also may prohibit any FDIC-insured institution from engaging in any activity the FDIC determines by regulation or order to pose a serious risk to the DIF.

The FDIC's deposit insurance premiums are assessed through a risk-based system under which all insured depository institutions are placed into one of four categories and assessed insurance premiums on deposits based on their level of capital and supervisory evaluation. For 2009, the Bank will pay premium assessments on its DIF-insured deposits in order to service the interest on the Financing Corporation ("FICO") bond obligations, which were used to finance the cost of thrift bailouts in the 1980's. The FICO assessment rates for the first quarter of 2009 were set at $\$ 0.0114$ per $\$ 100$ of insured deposits for DIF-assessable deposits. These rates may be adjusted quarterly to reflect changes in assessment basis for the DIF.

## Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("Sarbanes-Oxley") implemented a broad range of corporate governance and accounting measures to increase corporate responsibility, to provide for enhanced penalties for accounting and auditing improprieties at publicly traded companies, and to protect investors by improving the accuracy and reliability of disclosures under federal securities laws. The Company is subject to Sarbanes-Oxley because it is required to file periodic reports with the SEC under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. Among other things, Sarbanes-Oxley and/or its implementing regulations have established new membership requirements and additional responsibilities for the Company's audit committee, imposed restrictions on the relationship between the Company and its outside auditors (including restrictions on the types of non-audit services our auditors may provide to us), imposed additional responsibilities for external financial statements on our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, expanded the disclosure requirements for corporate insiders, required management to evaluate the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and our internal control over financial reporting, and required auditors to issue a report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting. The Nasdaq Stock Market has imposed a number of new corporate governance requirements as well.

## Executive Compensation Limitations

Incident to its participation in the CPP, the Company is subject to the executive compensation limitations contained in Section 111(b) of the EESA. These limitations apply to the Company's senior executive officers ("SEOs"), which include the Company's principal executive officer, the principal financial officer, and the three most highly compensated executive officers. These limitations include: (1) the recovery of any bonus or incentive compensation paid to any SEO if the financial criteria it was based on was later proven to be materially inaccurate; (2) a prohibition on the Company making "golden parachute payments" (as defined by IRS Section $280(\mathrm{G})$ ) to any SEO; (3) a prohibition on compensation that encourages any SEO to take unnecessary and excessive risks that threaten the value of the Company; and (4) a limit on deductible compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code for each SEO at $\$ 500,000$ annually, rather than $\$ 1$ million.

In addition, the Company's Compensation Committee must certify that it has: (1) within 90 days after the CPP purchase reviewed the SEO incentive and bonus compensation programs for unnecessary and excessive risks; and (2) met at least annually with the senior risk officers to review the relationship between the institution's risk management policies and the SEO incentive compensation arrangements.

In addition to the EESA's executive compensation limitations, on February 17, 2009, President Obama signed into law the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the "ARRA"), a $\$ 787$ billion stimulus bill for the purpose of stabilizing and stimulating the economy. The ARRA imposes certain new executive compensation and corporate expenditure limits on all current and future TARP CPP participants including the Company. These limits include:

- Prohibition on certain bonus, retention, incentive compensation, and golden parachute payments for certain senior employees.
- Required adoption of a policy on excessive or luxury expenditures.
- Mandated "say on pay" shareholder vote on the compensation of executives.
- Requirement of an annual certification by the CEO and CFO of the Company's compliance with the ARRA restrictions.

The AARA also empowers the Treasury Secretary with the authority to review bonus, retention, and other compensation paid to senior executive officers that have received the TARP assistance to determine if the compensation was inconsistent with the purposes of the ARRA or TARP, or otherwise contrary to the public interest and, if so, seek to negotiate reimbursements. The provision of the ARRA will apply to the Company until it has redeemed the securities sold to the Treasury under the CPP. (See the section captioned "Participation in Government Economic Recovery Programs - Capital Purchase Program" in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-K.) Under the ARRA such redemption is now permitted without penalty and without the need to raise new capital (as was required under the terms of the original TARP CPP), subject to the Treasury's consultation with the recipient's appropriate regulatory agency.

## Future Legislation

Various legislation is currently being considered by Congress. This legislation may change banking statutes and the Company's operating environment in substantial and unpredictable ways and may increase reporting requirements and governance. If enacted, such legislation could increase or decrease the cost of doing business, limit or expand permissible activities, or affect the competitive balance among banks, savings associations, credit unions, and other financial institutions. The Company cannot predict whether any potential legislation will be enacted and, if enacted, the effect that it, or any implementing regulations, would have on its business, results of operations, or financial condition.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The material risks and uncertainties that management believes affect the Company are described below. Before making an investment decision with respect to any of the Company's securities, you should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties as described below together with all of the information included herein. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only risks and uncertainties the Company faces. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known or that are currently deemed immaterial also may have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and financial condition. If any of the following risks actually occur, the Company's results of operations and financial condition could suffer, possibly materially. In that event, the trading price of the Company's common stock or other securities could decline. The risks discussed below also include forward-looking statements, and actual results may differ substantially from those discussed or implied in these forward-looking statements.

## Risks Related to the Company's Business

## The Company's Business May Be Adversely Affected by Conditions in the Financial Markets and Economic Conditions Generally

Since December 2007, the United States has been in a downward cycle. Prolonged and significant weakness has adversely impacted all aspects of the economy. Business activity across a wide range of industries and regions is greatly reduced, and local governments and many businesses are experiencing serious difficulty due to the lack of consumer spending and the lack of liquidity in the credit markets. In addition, unemployment has increased significantly and continues to grow.

Since mid-2007, and particularly during the second half of 2008, the financial services industry and the securities markets generally were materially and adversely affected by significant declines in the values of nearly all asset classes and by a serious lack of liquidity. This was initially triggered by declines in home prices and the values of
sub-prime mortgages but spread to all mortgage and real estate asset classes, leveraged bank loans, and nearly all other asset classes, including equities. The global markets have been characterized by substantially increased volatility and short-selling and an overall loss of investor confidence, initially in financial institutions, but more recently in companies in a number of other industries and in the broader markets.

Market conditions have also led to the failure or merger of a number of prominent financial institutions. Financial institution failures or near-failures have resulted in further losses as a consequence of defaults on securities issued by them and defaults under contracts entered into with such entities as counterparties. Furthermore, declining asset values, defaults on mortgages and consumer loans, the lack of market and investor confidence, and other factors have all combined to cause rating agencies to lower credit ratings and to otherwise increase the cost and decrease the availability of liquidity, despite very significant declines in Federal Reserve borrowing rates and other government actions. Some banks and other lenders have suffered significant losses and have become reluctant to lend, even on a secured basis, due to the increased risk of default and the impact of declining asset values on the value of collateral. The foregoing has significantly weakened the strength and the liquidity of some financial institutions worldwide. In 2008, the U.S. government, the Federal Reserve, and other regulators have taken numerous steps to increase liquidity and to restore investor confidence, including investing approximately $\$ 200$ billion in the equity of other banking organizations. In spite of this, asset values have continued to decline, and access to liquidity continues to be very limited.

The Company's financial performance generally is highly dependent upon the business environment in the suburban metropolitan Chicago market and the United States as a whole. In particular, this environment impacts the ability of borrowers to pay interest on and repay principal of outstanding loans and the value of collateral securing those loans. A favorable business environment is generally characterized by economic growth, efficient capital markets, low inflation, high business and investor confidence, strong business earnings, and other factors. Unfavorable or uncertain economic and market conditions can be caused by declines in economic growth, business activity, or investor or business confidence; limitations on the availability or increases in the cost of credit and capital; increases in inflation or interest rates; natural disasters; or a combination of these or other factors.

Overall, during 2008, the business environment has been adverse for many households and businesses in the United States and worldwide. The business environment in the markets in which the Company operates has been adversely affected and continues to deteriorate. It is expected that the business environment in the suburban Chicago metropolitan market, the United States, and worldwide will continue to deteriorate for the foreseeable future. There can be no assurance that these conditions will improve in the near term. Such conditions could have a material adverse effect on the credit quality of the Company's loans, and therefore, its financial condition and results of operations.

## The Company Is Subject To Interest Rate Risk

The Company's earnings and cash flows are largely dependent upon its net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income earned on interest-earning assets such as loans and securities and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities such as deposits and borrowed funds. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond the Company's control, including general economic conditions and policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies, in particular, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, could influence the amount of interest the Company receives on loans and securities and the amount of interest it pays on deposits and borrowings. Such changes could also affect (i) the Company's ability to originate loans and obtain deposits, (ii) the fair value of the Company's financial assets and liabilities, and (iii) the average duration of the Company's securities portfolio. If the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings increase at a faster rate than the interest rates received on loans and other investments, the Company's net interest income, and therefore earnings, could be adversely affected. Earnings could also be adversely affected if the interest rates received on loans and other investments fall more quickly than the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings.

Although management believes it has implemented effective asset and liability management strategies to reduce the potential effects of changes in interest rates on the Company's results of operations, any substantial, unexpected, prolonged change in market interest rates could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations. See the section captioned "Net Interest Income" in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," located elsewhere in this report for further discussion related to the Company's management of interest rate risk.

## The Company Is Subject To Lending Risk

There are inherent risks associated with the Company's lending activities. These risks include the impact of changes in interest rates and changes in the economic conditions in the markets where the Company operates as well as those across the United States. Increases in interest rates and/or continuing weakening economic conditions could adversely impact the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans or the value of the collateral securing those loans. Continuing economic weakness on real estate and related markets could further increase the Company's lending risk as it relates to its commercial real estate loan portfolio and the value of the underlying collateral. The Company is also subject to various laws and regulations that affect its lending activities. Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations could subject the Company to regulatory enforcement action that could result in the assessment of significant civil monetary penalties against the Company.

As of December 31, 2008, $86.1 \%$ of the Company's loan portfolio consisted of commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loans. These types of loans are generally viewed as having more risk of default than consumer loans. These types of loans are also typically larger. Because the Company's loan portfolio contains a significant number of commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loans with relatively large balances, the deterioration of one or a few of these loans could cause a significant increase in non-performing loans. An increase in non-performing loans could result in a net loss of earnings from these loans, an increase in the provision for loan losses, and an increase in loan charge-offs, all of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations. See the section captioned "Loans" in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," located elsewhere in this report for further discussion related to commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loans.

## The Company's Reserve For Loan Losses May Be Insufficient

The Company maintains a reserve for loan losses, which is a reserve established through a provision for probable loan losses charged to expense, that represents management's best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The reserve, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The level of the reserve reflects management's continuing evaluation of industry concentrations; specific credit risks; loan loss experience; current loan portfolio quality; present economic, political, and regulatory conditions; and unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio. The determination of the appropriate level of the reserve for loan losses inherently involves a high degree of subjectivity and requires the Company to make estimates of significant credit risks and future trends, all of which may undergo material changes. Continuing deterioration in economic conditions affecting borrowers, new information regarding existing loans, identification of additional problem loans, and other factors, both within and outside of the Company's control, may require an increase in the reserve for loan losses. In addition, bank regulatory agencies periodically review the Company's reserve for loan losses and may require an increase in the provision for loan losses or the recognition of further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different from those of management. In addition, if charge-offs in future periods exceed the reserve for loan losses, the Company will need additional provisions to increase the reserve for loan losses. Any increases in the reserve for loan losses will result in a decrease in net income and capital and may have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations. See the section captioned "Reserve for Loan Losses" in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," located elsewhere in this report for further discussion related to the Company's process for determining the appropriate level of the reserve for loan losses.

## The Company's Estimate of Fair Values for Its Investments May Not Be Realizable If It Were to Sell These Securities Today

As of December 31, 2008, $99.9 \%$ of the Company's investment securities were carried at fair value. Accounting standards required the Company to categorize these according to fair value valuation hierarchy. Ninety-seven percent of these were categorized in level 2 of the valuation hierarchy (meaning that their fair value was determined by quoted prices for similar assets or other observable inputs). The remaining were categorized as level 3 (meaning that their fair value was determined by inputs that are unobservable in the market and therefore require a greater degree of management judgment). The determination of fair value for securities categorized in level 3 involves significant judgment due to the complexity of factors contributing to the valuation, many of which are not readily observable in the market. The current market disruptions make valuation even more difficult and subjective.

The Company has historically placed a high level of reliance on information obtained from third-party sources to measure fair values. For certain of its securities, the Company uses a structured credit valuation firm to perform cash flow projections using various historical and market inputs. For other securities, third-party sources also use assumptions, judgments, and estimates in determining securities values, and different third parties use different methodologies or provide different prices for similar securities. In addition, the nature of the business of the third party source that is valuing the securities at any given time could impact the valuation of the securities. Consequently, the ultimate sales price for any of these securities could vary significantly from the recorded fair value at December 31, 2008, especially if the security is sold during a period of illiquidity or market disruption or as part of a large block of securities under a forced transaction.

## Turmoil in the Financial Markets Could Result in Lower Fair Values for the Company's Investment Securities

Major disruptions in the capital markets experienced in the past year have adversely affected investor demand for all classes of securities and resulted in volatility in the fair values of the Company's investment securities. Significant prolonged reduced investor demand could manifest itself in lower fair values for these securities and may result in recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment. Such impairment could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

## The Company Is Subject To Environmental Liability Risk Associated With Lending Activities

A significant portion of the Company's loan portfolio is secured by real property. During the ordinary course of business, the Company may foreclose on and take title to properties securing certain loans. In doing so, there is a risk that hazardous or toxic substances could be found on these properties. If hazardous or toxic substances are found, the Company may be liable for remediation costs, as well as for personal injury and property damage. Environmental laws may require the Company to incur substantial expenses and could materially reduce the affected property's value or limit the Company's ability to use or sell the affected property. In addition, future laws or more stringent interpretations or enforcement policies with respect to existing laws may increase the Company's exposure to environmental liability. Although the Company has policies and procedures to perform an environmental review before initiating any foreclosure action on real property, these reviews may not be sufficient to detect all potential environmental hazards. The remediation costs and any other financial liabilities associated with an environmental hazard could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

## The Company's Profitability Depends Significantly On Economic Conditions in the Suburban Metropolitan Chicago Market

Unlike larger national or other regional banks that are more geographically diversified, the Company's success depends to a large degree on the general economic conditions of the suburban Chicago metropolitan area and, to
a lesser extent, other central and western markets in Illinois and contiguous states. The economic conditions in these areas have a significant impact on the demand for the Company's products and services, the ability of the Company's customers to repay loans, the value of the collateral securing loans, and the stability of the Company's deposit funding sources. Although the suburban metropolitan Chicago economy is diversified, it has experienced a decline and is expected to continue to decline for some period. A significant decline in general economic conditions, whether caused by recession, inflation, unemployment, changes in securities markets, acts of terrorism, outbreak of hostilities or other international or domestic occurrences, or other factors could impact these local economic conditions and, in turn, have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

## The Company's Investment in Bank Owned Life Insurance May Decline in Value

The Company currently has bank owned life insurance contracts with a cash surrender value ("CSV") of $\$ 198.5$ million. A majority of these contracts are separate account contracts. Such contracts are supported by underlying investments whose fair values are subject to volatility in the market. The Company has limited its risk of loss in value of the securities by putting in place stable value contracts that provide protection from a decline in fair value down to $80 \%$ of the CSV of the insurance policies. To the extent fair values on individual contracts fall below $80 \%$, the CSV of specific contracts may be reduced or the underlying assets transferred to short-duration investments, resulting in lower earnings. Given the decline in the market during 2008, the Company transferred certain assets underlying specific separate contracts to money market accounts. Currently, the fair value for all contracts exceeds $80 \%$, but continued turmoil in the market could result in declines that could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

## The Company May Be Adversely Affected By the Soundness Of Other Financial Institutions

Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty, or other relationships. The Company has exposure to many different industries and counterparties and routinely executes transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including commercial banks, brokers and dealers, investment banks, and other institutional clients. Many of these transactions expose the Company to credit risk in the event of a default by a counterparty or client. In addition, the Company's credit risk may be exacerbated when the collateral held by the Company cannot be realized upon liquidation or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the credit or derivative exposure due the Company. Any such losses could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

## The Company Operates In A Highly Competitive Industry and Market Area

The Company faces substantial competition in all areas of its operations from a variety of different competitors, many of which are larger and may have more financial resources. Such competitors primarily include national, regional, and community banks within the markets where the Company operates. The Company also faces competition from many other types of financial institutions, including, without limitation, savings and loans, credit unions, finance companies, brokerage firms, insurance companies, factoring companies, and other financial intermediaries. The financial services industry could become even more competitive as a result of legislative, regulatory and technological changes, further illiquidity in the credit markets, and continued consolidation. Banks, securities firms, and insurance companies can merge under the umbrella of a financial holding company, which can offer virtually any type of financial service, including banking, securities underwriting, insurance, and merchant banking. Also, technology has lowered barriers to entry and made it possible for non-banks to offer products and services traditionally provided by banks, such as automatic funds transfer and automatic payment systems. Many of the Company's competitors have fewer regulatory constraints and may have lower cost structures. Additionally, due to their size, many competitors may be able to achieve economies of scale and, as a result, may offer a broader range of products and services as well as better pricing for those products and services than the Company can.

The Company's ability to compete successfully depends on a number of factors, including:

- Developing, maintaining, and building long-term customer relationships;
- Expanding the Company's market position;
- Offering products and services at prices and with the features that meet customers' needs and demands;
- Introducing new products and services;
- Maintaining a satisfactory level of customer service;
- Anticipating and adjusting to changes in industry and general economic trends.

Failure to perform in any of these areas could significantly weaken the Company's competitive position, which could adversely affect the Company's growth and profitability. This, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

## New Lines of Business or New Products and Services May Subject the Company to Additional Risks

From time to time, the Company may implement new lines of business or offer new products or services within existing lines of business. There can be substantial risks and uncertainties associated with these efforts, particularly in instances where the markets are not fully developed. In developing and marketing new lines of business and/or new products or services, the Company may invest significant time and resources. Initial timetables for the introduction and development of new lines of business and/or new products or services may not be achieved, and price and profitability targets may not prove feasible. External factors, such as compliance with regulations, competitive alternatives, and shifting market preferences, may also impact the successful implementation of a new line of business or a new product or service. Furthermore, any new line of business and/ or new product or service could have a significant impact on the effectiveness of the Company's system of internal controls. Failure to successfully manage these risks in the development and implementation of new lines of business or new products or services could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, and results of operations.

## The Company Is Subject To Extensive Government Regulation and Supervision

The Company and the Bank are subject to extensive federal and state regulations and supervision. Banking regulations are primarily intended to protect depositors' funds, FDIC funds, and the banking system as a whole, not security holders. These regulations affect the Company's lending practices, capital structure, investment practices, dividend policy, and growth, among other things. Congress and federal regulatory agencies continually review banking laws, regulations, and policies for possible changes. It is likely that there will be significant changes to the banking and financial institutions regulatory regimes in the near future in light of the recent performance of and government intervention in the financial services sector. Changes to statutes, regulations, or regulatory policies, including changes in the interpretation or implementation of those policies, could affect the Company in substantial and unpredictable ways. Such changes could subject the Company to additional costs, limit the types of financial services and products the Company may offer, and/or increase the ability of non-banks to offer competing financial services and products, among other things. Failure to comply with laws, regulations, or policies could result in sanctions by regulatory agencies, civil monetary penalties, and/or damage to the Company's reputation, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, and results of operations. While the Company has policies and procedures designed to prevent any such violations, there can be no assurance that such violations will not occur. See the section captioned "Supervision and Regulation" in Item 1, "Business," and Note 19 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" included in Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data," which are located elsewhere in this report.

## Recent Legislative and Regulatory Actions Taken to Stabilize the U.S. Banking System and Additional Actions Being Considered May Not Succeed Or May Disadvantage the Company

In response to the recent financial market crisis, the United States government, specifically the Treasury, Federal Reserve, and FDIC, working in cooperation with foreign governments and other central banks, has taken a
variety of extraordinary measures designed to restore confidence in the financial markets and to strengthen financial institutions, including measures available under the EESA. The EESA followed, and has been followed by, numerous actions by the Federal Reserve, U.S. Congress, Treasury, FDIC, SEC, and others to address the current liquidity and credit crisis that has followed the sub-prime meltdown that commenced in 2007. These measures include homeowner relief that encourages loan restructuring and modification; the establishment of significant liquidity and credit facilities for financial institutions and investment banks; the lowering of the federal funds rate; emergency action against short selling practices; a temporary guaranty program for money market funds; the establishment of a commercial paper funding facility to provide back-stop liquidity to commercial paper issuers; and coordinated international efforts to address illiquidity and other weaknesses in the banking sector. The purpose of these legislative and regulatory actions is to stabilize the U.S. banking system. However, there can be no assurance as to the actual impact the EESA will have on the financial markets, including the extreme levels of volatility and limited credit availability currently being experienced by some institutions, and they may not have their desired effects. If the volatility in the markets continues and economic conditions fail to improve or worsen, the Company's business, financial condition, and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

The Treasury is currently developing additional programs to further alleviate the ongoing financial crisis. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to participate in future programs. If the Company is unable to participate, it may have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

## The Company's Information Systems May Experience an Interruption or Breach in Security

The Company relies heavily on communications and information systems to conduct its business. Any failure, interruption, or breach in security of these systems could result in failures or disruptions in the Company's customer relationship management, general ledger, deposit, loan, or other systems. The Company has policies and procedures expressly designed to prevent or limit the effect of a failure, interruption, or security breach of its systems. However, there can be no assurance that any such failures, interruptions, or security breaches will not occur or, if they do occur, that the impact will not be substantial. The occurrence of any failures, interruptions, or security breaches of the Company's systems could damage the Company's reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject the Company to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose the Company to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

## The Company Is Dependent Upon Outside Third Parties for Processing and Handling of Company Records and Data

The Company relies on software developed by third party vendors to process various Company transactions. In some cases, the Company has contracted with third parties to run its proprietary software on behalf of the Company. These systems include, but are not limited to, general ledger, payroll, employee benefits, trust record keeping, loan processing, merchant processing, and securities portfolio management. While the Company performs a review of controls instituted by the vendor over these programs in accordance with industry standards and performs its own testing of user controls, the Company must rely on the continued maintenance of these controls by the outside party, including safeguards over the security of customer data. In addition, the Company maintains backups of key processing output daily in the event of a failure on the part of any of these systems. Nonetheless, the Company may incur a temporary disruption in its ability to conduct its business or process its transactions, or incur damage to its reputation if the third party vendor fails to adequately maintain internal controls or institute necessary changes to systems. Such disruption or breach of security may have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

## The Company Continually Encounters Technological Change

The banking and financial services industry continually undergoes technological changes, with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. In addition to serving customers better, the
effective use of technology increases efficiency and enables financial institutions to reduce costs. The Company's future success will depend, in part, on its ability to address the needs of its customers by using technology to provide products and services that enhance customer convenience and that create additional efficiencies in the Company's operations. Many of the Company's competitors have greater resources to invest in technological improvements, and the Company may not effectively implement new technology-driven products and services or do so as quickly, which could reduce its ability to effectively compete. Failure to successfully keep pace with technological change affecting the financial services industry could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business and, in turn, its financial condition and results of operations.

## The Company Is Subject to Claims and Litigation Pertaining to Fiduciary Responsibility

From time to time, customers make claims and take legal action pertaining to the Company's performance of its fiduciary responsibilities. Whether customer claims and legal action related to the Company's performance of its fiduciary responsibilities are founded or unfounded, if such claims and legal action are not resolved in a manner favorable to the Company, they may result in significant financial liability and/or adversely affect the market perception of the Company and its products and services as well as impact customer demand for those products and services. Any financial liability or reputational damage could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition and results of operations.

## Consumers and Businesses May Decide Not to Use Banks to Complete Their Financial Transactions

Technology and other changes are allowing parties to complete financial transactions that historically have involved banks at one or both ends of the transaction. For example, consumers can now pay bills and transfer funds directly without banks. This could result in the loss of fee income as well as the loss of customer deposits and income generated from those deposits and could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

## The Company's Controls and Procedures May Fail or Be Circumvented

Management regularly reviews and updates the Company's internal controls, disclosure controls and procedures, and corporate governance policies and procedures. Any system of controls, however well designed and operated, is based in part on certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurances that the objectives of the system are met. Any failure or circumvention of the Company's controls and procedures or failure to comply with regulations related to controls and procedures could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, and results of operations.

## Financial Services Companies Depend Upon the Accuracy and Completeness of Information About Customers and Counterparties

In deciding whether to extend credit or enter into other transactions, the Company may rely on information furnished by or on behalf of customers and counterparties, including financial statements, credit reports and other financial information. The Company may also rely on representations of those customers, counterparties or other third parties, such as independent auditors, as to the accuracy and completeness of that information. Reliance on inaccurate or misleading financial statements, credit reports or other financial information could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business and, in turn, the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

## The Company and Its Subsidiaries Are Subject to Examinations and Challenges by Taxing Authorities

In the normal course of business, the Company and its subsidiaries are routinely subjected to examinations and challenges from federal and state taxing authorities regarding the amount of taxes due in connection with
investments made and the businesses in which it has engaged. Federal and state taxing authorities have recently become increasingly aggressive in challenging tax positions taken by financial institutions, including positions that have been taken by the Company. These tax positions may relate to tax compliance, sales and use, franchise, gross receipts, payroll, property, or income tax issues, including tax base, apportionment, and tax planning. The challenges made by taxing authorities may result in adjustments to the timing or amount of taxable income or deductions or the allocation of income among tax jurisdictions. If any such challenges are not resolved in the Company's favor, they could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

## The Company and Its Subsidiaries Are Subject to Changes in Federal and State Tax Laws and Changes in Interpretation of Existing Laws

The Company's financial performance is impacted by federal and state tax laws. Given the current economic environment, new presidential administration, and ongoing state budgetary pressures, the enactment of new federal or state tax legislation may occur. The enactment of such legislation, or changes in the interpretation of existing law, including provisions impacting tax rates, apportionment, consolidation or combination, income, expenses, and credits, may have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

## The Company and Its Subsidiaries Are Subject to Changes in Accounting Principles, Policies, or Guidelines

The Company's financial performance is impacted by accounting principles, policies, and guidelines. Changes in these are continuously occurring, and given the current economic environment, more drastic changes may occur. The implementation of such changes could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

## The Company May Not Be Able to Attract and Retain Skilled People

The Company's success depends, in large part, on its ability to attract and retain key people. Competition for the best people in most activities the Company engages in can be intense, and the Company may not be able to hire people or retain them.

Participation in the CPP could also affect the Company's ability to attract and retain key people. Due to the Company's participation in the CPP, the Company is subject to executive compensation limitations, which may not apply to other financial institutions.

The unexpected loss of services of one or more of the Company's key personnel could have a material adverse impact on the Company's business because of their skills, knowledge of the Company's market, years of industry experience, and the difficulty of promptly finding qualified replacement personnel.

## The Company Is a Bank Holding Company and Its Sources of Funds Are Limited

The Company is a bank holding company, and its operations are primarily conducted by the Bank, which is subject to significant federal and state regulation. Cash available to pay dividends to stockholders of the Company is derived primarily from dividends received from the Bank. The Company's ability to receive dividends or loans from its subsidiaries is restricted. Dividend payments by the Bank to the Company in the future will require generation of future earnings by the Bank and could require regulatory approval if the proposed dividend is in excess of prescribed guidelines. Further, the Company's right to participate in the assets of the Bank upon its liquidation, reorganization, or otherwise will be subject to the claims of the Bank's creditors, including depositors, which will take priority except to the extent the Company may be a creditor with a recognized claim. As of December 31, 2008, the Company's subsidiaries had deposits and other liabilities of $\$ 7.6$ billion.

## The Company Could Experience an Unexpected Inability to Obtain Needed Liquidity

Liquidity measures the ability to meet current and future cash flow needs as they become due. The liquidity of a financial institution reflects its ability to meet loan requests, to accommodate possible outflows in deposits, and to take advantage of interest rate market opportunities. The ability of a financial institution to meet its current financial obligations is a function of its balance sheet structure, its ability to liquidate assets, and its access to alternative sources of funds. The Company seeks to ensure its funding needs are met by maintaining a level of liquidity through asset/liability management. If the Company becomes unable to obtain funds when needed, it could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business and, in turn, the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

## Severe Weather, Natural Disasters, Acts of War or Terrorism and Other External Events Could Significantly Impact the Company's Business

Severe weather, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism and other adverse external events could have a significant impact on the Company's ability to conduct business. Such events could affect the stability of the Company's deposit base, impair the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans, reduce the value of collateral securing loans, cause significant property damage, result in loss of revenue and/or cause the Company to incur additional expenses. Although management has established disaster recovery policies and procedures, the occurrence of any such event could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition and results of operations.

## Future Acquisitions May Disrupt the Company's Business and Dilute Stockholder Value

In addition to generating internal growth, in the past the Company has strategically acquired banks or branches of other banks. The Company may consider future acquisitions to supplement internal growth opportunities. Acquiring other banks or branches involves potential risks, including:

- Exposure to unknown or contingent liabilities of acquired banks;
- Exposure to asset quality issues of acquired banks;
- Disruption of the Company's business;
- Loss of key employees and customers of acquired banks;
- Short-term decrease in profitability;
- Diversion of management's time and attention;
- Issues arising during transition and integration; and
- Dilution in the ownership percentage of holdings of the Company's common stock.

The Company from time to time may evaluate merger and acquisition opportunities and conduct due diligence activities related to possible transactions with other financial institutions and financial services companies. As a result, merger or acquisition discussions and, in some cases, negotiations may take place and future mergers or acquisitions involving cash, debt or equity securities may occur at any time. Acquisitions typically involve the payment of a premium over book and market values, and therefore, some dilution of the Company's tangible book value and net income per common share may occur in connection with any future transaction. Furthermore, failure to realize the expected revenue increases, cost savings, increases in geographic or product presence, and/ or other projected benefits from an acquisition could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

## Competition for Acquisition Candidates Is Intense

Competition for acquisitions is intense. Numerous potential acquirors compete with the Company for acquisition candidates. The Company may not be able to successfully identify and acquire suitable targets, which could slow the Company's growth rate.

## Decline In the Company's Stock Price Below Tangible Book Value Could Require a Write-down of Some Portion or All of the Company's Goodwill

If the Company's stock price declines and remains below its tangible book value for an extended period of time, the Company could be required to write off all or a portion of its goodwill, which represents the value in excess of the Company's tangible book value. Such write off would reduce earnings in the period in which it is recorded. The Company's stock price is subject to market conditions that can be impacted by forces outside of the control of management, such as a perceived weakness in financial institutions in general, and may not be a direct result of the Company's performance. A write-down of goodwill could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations.

## Future Growth or Operating Results May Require the Company to Raise Additional Capital But that Capital May Not Be Available or It May Be Dilutive

The Company is required by federal and state regulatory authorities to maintain adequate levels of capital to support its operations. To the extent the Company's future operating results erode capital or the Company elects to expand through loan growth or acquisition it may be required to raise capital.

The Company's ability to raise capital will depend on conditions in the capital markets, which are outside of its control, and on the Company's financial performance. Accordingly, the Company cannot be assured of its ability to raise capital when needed or on favorable terms. If the Company cannot raise additional capital when needed, it will be subject to increased regulatory supervision and the imposition of restrictions on its growth and business. These could negatively impact the Company's ability to operate or further expand its operations through acquisitions or the establishment of additional branches and may result in increases in operating expenses and reductions in revenues that could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition and results of operations.

## Any Reduction in the Company's Credit Ratings Could Increase Its Financing Costs

Various rating agencies publish credit ratings for the Company's debt obligations, based on their evaluations of a number of factors, some of which relate to Company performance and some which relate to general industry conditions. Management routinely communicates with each rating agency and anticipates the rating agencies will closely monitor the Company's performance and update their ratings from time to time during the year.

The Company cannot give any assurance that its current credit ratings will remain in effect for any given period of time or that a rating will not be lowered or withdrawn entirely by a rating agency if, in its judgment, circumstances in the future so warrant. Downgrades in the Company's credit ratings may adversely affect its borrowing costs and its ability to borrow or raise capital, and may adversely affect the Company's reputation. The Company currently is rated "BBB+" by Standard \& Poor's Ratings Group, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., "A3" by Moody's Investors Service, Inc., and "BBB+" by Fitch, Inc.

## Risks Associated With the Company's Common Stock

## The Company's Stock Price Can Be Volatile

Stock price volatility may make it more difficult for you to resell your common stock when you want and at prices you find attractive. The Company's stock price can fluctuate significantly in response to a variety of factors including:

- Actual or anticipated variations in quarterly results of operations;
- Recommendations by securities analysts;
- Operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors deem comparable to the Company;
- News reports relating to trends, concerns, and other issues in the financial services industry;
- Perceptions in the marketplace regarding the Company and/or its competitors;
- New technology used or services offered by competitors;
- Significant acquisitions or business combinations, strategic partnerships, joint venture, or capital commitments by or involving the Company or its competitors;
- Failure to integrate acquisitions or realize anticipated benefits from acquisitions;
- Changes in government regulations;
- Geopolitical conditions such as acts or threats of terrorism or military conflicts.

General market fluctuations, industry factors, and general economic and political conditions and events, such as economic slowdowns or recessions, interest rate changes, or credit loss trends, could also cause the Company's stock price to decrease regardless of operating results.

## The Trading Volume In the Company's Common Stock Is Less Than That Of Other Larger Financial Services Institutions

Although the Company's common stock is listed for trading on the Nasdaq Stock Market Exchange, the trading volume in its common stock is less than that of other, larger financial services companies. A public trading market having the desired characteristics of depth, liquidity, and orderliness depends on the presence in the marketplace of willing buyers and sellers of the Company's common stock at any given time. This presence depends on the individual decisions of investors and general economic and market conditions over which the Company has no control. Given the lower trading volume of the Company's common stock, significant sales of the Company's common stock, or the expectation of these sales could cause the Company's common stock price to fall.

## An Investment In the Company's Common Stock Is Not An Insured Deposit

The Company's common stock is not a bank deposit and, therefore, is not insured against loss by the FDIC, any other deposit insurance fund, or by any other public or private entity. Investment in the Company's common stock is inherently risky for the reasons described in this "Risk Factors" section and elsewhere in this report and is subject to the same market forces that affect the price of common stock in any company. As a result, if you acquire the Company's common stock, you could lose some or all of your investment.

## The Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation, Amended and Restated By-Laws, and Amended and Restated Rights Agreement As Well As Certain Banking Laws May Have An AntiTakeover Effect

Provisions of the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation and Amended and Restated By-laws, federal banking laws, including regulatory approval requirements, and the Company's Amended and Restated Rights Plan could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire the Company, even if doing so would be perceived to be beneficial by the Company's stockholders. The combination of these provisions effectively inhibits a non-negotiated merger or other business combination, which, in turn, could adversely affect the market price of the Company's common stock.

## The Company May Issue Additional Securities, Which Could Dilute the Ownership Percentage of Holders of the Company's Common Stock

The Company may issue additional securities to raise additional capital or finance acquisitions or upon the exercise or conversion of outstanding options, and, if it does, the ownership percentage of holders of the Company's common stock could be diluted.

## The Company's Participation in the CPP May Adversely Affect the Value of its Common Stock and the Rights of its Common Stockholders

The rights of the holders of the Company's common stock may be adversely affected by the Company's participation in the CPP. For example:

- Prior to the earlier of December 5, 2011 and the date on which all of the Preferred Shares have been redeemed by the Company or transferred by Treasury to third parties, the Company may not, without the consent of Treasury, subject to limited exceptions, redeem, repurchase, or otherwise acquire shares of the Company's common stock or preferred stock.
- The Company may not pay dividends on its common stock unless it has fully paid all required dividends on the Preferred Shares. Although the Company fully expects to be able to pay all required dividends on the Preferred Shares, there is no guarantee that it will be able to do so.
- As long as the Treasury owns the securities purchased from the Company under the CPP, the Company may not, without the prior consent of the Treasury, increase the quarterly dividends it pays on its common stock above $\$ 0.31$ per share.
- The Preferred Shares will receive preferential treatment in the event of liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of the Company.
- The ownership interest of the existing holders of the Company's common stock will be diluted to the extent the warrant the Company issued to Treasury in conjunction with the sale to Treasury of the Preferred Shares is exercised.

In addition, terms of the Preferred Shares require that quarterly dividends be paid on the Preferred Shares at the rate of $5 \%$ per annum for the first five years and $9 \%$ per annum thereafter until the stock is redeemed by First Midwest. The payments of these dividends will decrease the excess cash the Company otherwise has available to pay dividends on its common stock and to use for general corporate purposes, including working capital.

## The Company has not established a minimum dividend payment level, and it cannot assure you of its ability to pay dividends in future.

The Company has not established a minimum dividend payment level, and the amount of its dividend may fluctuate. All dividends will be made at the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors and will depend upon the Company's earnings, financial condition, and such other factors as the Board of Directors may deem relevant from time to time. The Company's Board of Directors may change its dividend policy in the future.

## ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

## ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The executive offices of the Company, the Bank, and certain subsidiary operational facilities are located in a 16 -story office building in Itasca, Illinois. The Company and the Bank currently occupy 60,933 square feet of that building, which is leased from an unaffiliated third party.

As of December 31, 2008, the Bank operated through 97 bank branches, one operational facility, and one dedicated lending office. Of these, 25 are leased and the remaining 74 are owned and not subject to any material liens. The banking offices are largely located in various communities throughout northern Illinois and northwestern Indiana, primarily the Chicago metropolitan suburban area. At certain Bank locations, excess space is leased to third parties. The Bank also owns 129 automated teller machines ("ATMs"), some of which are housed at banking locations and some of which are independently located. In addition, the Company owns other real property that, when considered individually or in the aggregate, is not material to the Company's financial position.

The Company believes its facilities in the aggregate are suitable and adequate to operate its banking business. Additional information with respect to premises and equipment is presented in Note 6 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

## ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There are certain legal proceedings pending against the Company and its subsidiaries in the ordinary course of business at December 31, 2008. Based on presently available information, the Company believes that any liabilities arising from these proceedings would not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition of the Company.

## ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

There were no items submitted to a vote of stockholders during the fourth quarter of 2008.

## PART II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS, AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The Company's common stock is traded under the symbol "FMBI" in the Nasdaq Global Select market tier of The Nasdaq Stock Market. As of December 31, 2008, there were approximately 11,500 stockholders. The following table sets forth the closing common stock price, dividends declared per share, and book value per share during each quarter of 2008 and 2007.

|  | 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fourth |  | Third |  | Second |  | First |  | ourth |  | Third |  | econd |  | First |
| Market price of common stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High | \$ | 28.97 | \$ | 40.09 | \$ | 29.36 | \$ | 31.98 | \$ | 36.50 | \$ | 36.62 | \$ | 38.17 | \$ | 39.31 |
| Low | \$ | 13.65 | \$ | 13.56 | \$ | 18.65 | \$ | 24.38 | \$ | 29.67 | \$ | 31.87 | \$ | 34.82 | \$ | 36.00 |
| Quarter-end | \$ | 19.97 | \$ | 24.24 | \$ | 18.65 | \$ | 27.77 | \$ | 30.60 | \$ | 34.16 | \$ | 35.51 | \$ | 36.75 |
| Cash dividends declared per share | \$ | 0.225 | \$ | 0.310 | \$ | 0.310 | \$ | 0.310 | \$ | 0.310 | \$ | 0.295 | \$ | 0.295 | \$ | 0.295 |
| Dividend yield at quarter-end ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 4.51\% |  | 5.12\% |  | 6.65\% |  | 4.47\% |  | 4.05\% |  | 3.45\% |  | 3.32\% |  | 3.21\% |
| Book value per common share at quarter-end . | \$ | 14.72 | \$ | 14.80 | \$ | 14.90 | \$ | 15.20 | \$ | 14.94 | \$ | 14.94 | \$ | 14.97 | \$ | 15.16 |

A discussion regarding the regulatory restrictions applicable to the Bank's ability to pay dividends to the Company is included in the "Supervision and Regulation - Dividends" section under Item 1 of this Form 10-K. A discussion of the Company's philosophy regarding the payment of dividends is included in the "Management of Capital" section of "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

## Equity Compensation Plans

The following table sets forth information, as of December 31, 2008, relating to equity compensation plans of the Company pursuant to which options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, or other rights to acquire shares may be granted from time to time.

| Equity Compensation Plan Category | Equity Compensation Plan Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants, and rights (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants, and rights <br> (b) | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) <br> (c) |
| Approved by security holders ${ }^{(1)}$ | 2,816,437 | \$31.53 | 790,212 |
| Not approved by security holders ${ }^{(2)}$ | 5,055 | 17.86 | - |
| Total | 2,821,492 | \$31.51 | 790,212 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Includes all outstanding options and awards under the Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan and the Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan (the "Plans"). Additional information and details about the Plans are also disclosed in Notes 1 and 18 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.
${ }^{(2)}$ Represents shares underlying deferred stock units credited under the Company's Nonqualified Retirement Plan, payable on a one-for-one basis in shares of the Company's common stock.

The Nonqualified Retirement Plan (the "Plan") is a defined contribution deferred compensation plan under which participants are credited with deferred compensation equal to contributions and benefits that would have accrued to the participant under the Company's tax-qualified plans, but for limitations under the Internal Revenue Code, and to amounts of salary and annual bonus that the participant has elected to defer. Participant accounts are deemed to be invested in separate investment accounts under the plan, with similar investment alternatives as those available under the Company's tax-qualified savings and profit sharing plan, including an investment account deemed invested in shares of Company common stock. The accounts are adjusted to reflect the investment return related to such deemed investments. Except for the 5,055 shares set forth in the table above, all amounts credited under the Plan are paid in cash.

## Stock Performance Graph

The graph below illustrates, over a five-year period, the cumulative total return (defined as stock price appreciation or depreciation and dividends) to stockholders from the common stock against a broad-market total return equity index and a published industry total return equity index. The broad-market total return equity index used in this comparison is the Standard \& Poor's 500 Stock Index (the "S\&P 500"), and the published industry total return equity index used in this comparison is the Standard \& Poor's SmallCap 600 Banks Index ("S\&P SmallCap 600 Banks").


## Comparison of Five-Year Cumulative Total Return Among First Midwest, the S\&P 500, and the S\&P SmallCap 600 Banks ${ }^{(1)}$

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Midwest | 100.00 | 114.81 | 114.15 | 129.78 | 106.32 | 72.90 |
| S\&P 500 | 100.00 | 110.88 | 116.33 | 134.70 | 142.10 | 89.53 |
| S\&P SmallCap 600 Banks | 100.00 | 123.41 | 113.90 | 125.43 | 86.05 | 65.04 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Assumes $\$ 100$ invested on December 31, 2003 in First Midwest's Common Stock, the S\&P 500, and the S\&P SmallCap 600 Banks with the reinvestment of all related dividends.

To the extent this Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ is incorporated by reference into any other filing by the Company under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the foregoing "Stock Performance Graph" will not be deemed incorporated, unless specifically provided otherwise in such filing and shall not otherwise be deemed filed under such Acts.

## Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table summarizes purchases made by the Company or on its behalf, or by any "affiliated purchaser" (as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), of its common stock during the quarter ended December 31, 2008 pursuant to a repurchase program approved by the Company's Board of Directors on November 27, 2007. Up to 2.5 million shares of the Company's common stock may be repurchased, and the total remaining authorization under the program was 2,494,747 shares as of December 31, 2008. The repurchase program has no set expiration or termination date. Any repurchases are subject to limitations imposed as part of the CPP program under the EESA described elsewhere in this report.

## Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Number of } \\ \text { Shares } \\ \text { Purchased (1) } \end{gathered}$ | Average Price Paid per Share |  | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan or Programs | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan or Programs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 1 - October 31, 2008 | - | \$ | - | - | 2,494,747 |
| November 1 - November 30, 2008 | 129 |  | 25.13 | - | 2,494,747 |
| December 1 - December 31, 2008. | - |  | - | - | $\underline{2,494,747}$ |
| Total | 129 |  | 25.13 | - |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Consists of shares acquired pursuant to the Company's share-based compensation plans. Under the terms of these plans, the Company accepts shares of common stock from option holders if they elect to surrender previously-owned shares upon exercise to cover the exercise price of the stock options or, in the case of restricted shares of common stock, the withholding of shares to satisfy tax withholding obligations associated with the vesting of restricted shares.

For further details regarding the Company's stock repurchase programs, refer to the section titled "Management of Capital" in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," of this Form 10-K.

## ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Consolidated financial information reflecting a summary of the operating results and financial condition of the Company for each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 2008 is presented in the following table. This summary should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. A more detailed discussion and analysis of the factors affecting the Company's financial condition and operating results is presented in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," of this Form 10-K.

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| Operating Results (Amounts in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ | 409,207 | \$ | 476,961 | \$ | 476,409 | \$ | 366,700 | \$ | 315,342 |
| Interest expense |  | 162,610 |  | 236,832 |  | 224,550 |  | 130,850 |  | 86,478 |
| Net interest income |  | 246,597 |  | 240,129 |  | 251,859 |  | 235,850 |  | 228,864 |
| Noninterest income |  | 89,618 |  | 111,054 |  | 99,014 |  | 77,927 |  | 73,812 |
| Write-down of bank-owned life insurance included in noninterest income ${ }^{(1)}$. . . . |  | 10,360 |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Noninterest expense |  | $(194,305)$ |  | $(199,137)$ |  | (192,615) |  | $(165,703)$ |  | $(163,338)$ |
| Pre-tax earnings, excluding provision for loan losses and market-related losses ${ }^{(2)}$. . . . . . . |  | 152,270 |  | 152,046 |  | 158,258 |  | 148,074 |  | 139,338 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | $(70,254)$ |  | $(7,233)$ |  | $(10,229)$ |  | $(8,930)$ |  | $(12,923)$ |
| Gains (losses) on securities sales, net |  | 8,903 |  | (746) |  | 4,269 |  | $(3,315)$ |  | 13,622 |
| Securities impairment losses |  | $(44,514)$ |  | $(50,055)$ |  | - |  | - |  | $(5,400)$ |
| Write-down of bank-owned life insurance |  | $(10,360)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Losses on early extinguishment of debt |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(2,653)$ |
| Income tax benefit (expense) |  | 13,291 |  | $(13,853)$ |  | $(35,052)$ |  | $(34,452)$ |  | $(32,848)$ |
| Net income |  | 49,336 |  | 80,159 |  | 117,246 |  | 101,377 |  | 99,136 |
| Preferred dividends |  | (712) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net income applicable to common shares | \$ | 48,624 | \$ | 80,159 | \$ | 117,246 | \$ | 101,377 | \$ | 99,136 |
| Weighted-average common shares |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted-average diluted common shares outstanding |  | 48,565 |  | 49,622 |  | 49,469 |  | 45,893 |  | 46,860 |
| Per Share Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 1.63 | \$ | 2.39 | \$ | 2.22 | \$ | 2.13 |
| Diluted earnings per common share |  | 1.00 |  | 1.62 |  | 2.37 |  | 2.21 |  | 2.12 |
| Common dividends declared |  | 1.155 |  | 1.195 |  | 1.120 |  | 1.015 |  | 0.900 |
| Book value at year end |  | 14.72 |  | 14.94 |  | 15.01 |  | 11.99 |  | 11.55 |
| Market price at year end |  | 19.97 |  | 30.60 |  | 38.68 |  | 35.06 |  | 36.29 |
| Performance Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Return on average common equity |  | 6.48\% |  | 10.69\% |  | 16.87\% |  | 18.83\% |  | 18.68\% |
| Return on average assets |  | 0.59\% |  | 0.99\% |  | 1.42\% |  | 1.44\% |  | 1.45\% |
| Net interest margin - tax-equivalent |  | 3.61\% |  | 3.58\% |  | 3.67\% |  | 3.87\% |  | 3.91\% |
| Dividend payout ratio . . . . . . . |  | 115.50\% |  | 73.77\% |  | 47.26\% |  | 45.93\% |  | 42.45\% |

## Balance Sheet Highlights

(Dollar amounts in thousands)
As of December 31,

|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total assets | \$ | 8,528,341 | \$ | 8,091,518 | \$ | 8,441,526 | \$ | 7,210,151 | \$ | 6,863,381 |
| Loans |  | 5,360,063 |  | 4,963,672 |  | 5,008,944 |  | 4,306,191 |  | 4,135,278 |
| Deposits |  | 5,585,754 |  | 5,778,861 |  | 6,167,216 |  | 5,147,832 |  | 4,905,378 |
| Subordinated debt |  | 232,409 |  | 230,082 |  | 228,674 |  | 130,092 |  | 129,294 |
| Long-term portion of Federal Home L Bank advances |  | 736 |  | 136,064 |  | 14,660 |  | 13,519 |  | 36,743 |
| Stockholders' equity |  | 908,279 |  | 723,975 |  | 751,014 |  | 544,068 |  | 532,038 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserve for loan losses as a percent of loans |  | 1.75\% |  | 1.25\% |  | 1.25\% |  | 1.31\% |  | 1.37\% |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets . |  | 11.60\% |  | 9.03\% |  | 9.56\% |  | 10.72\% |  | 10.45\% |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets |  | 14.36\% |  | 11.58\% |  | 12.16\% |  | 11.76\% |  | 11.52\% |
| Tier 1 leverage to average assets |  | 9.41\% |  | 7.46\% |  | 7.29\% |  | 8.16\% |  | 8.16\% |
| Tangible common equity to tangible assets |  | 5.23\% |  | 5.58\% |  | 5.62\% |  | 6.30\% |  | 6.43\% |

${ }^{(1)}$ For a further discussion of this write-down and the Company's investment in bank owned life insurance, see the section titled "Investment in Bank Owned Life Insurance" in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," and Note 1 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.
${ }^{(2)}$ The company's accounting and reporting policies conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and general practice within the banking industry. As a supplement to GAAP, the Company has provided this non-GAAP performance result. The Company believes that this non-GAAP financial measure is useful because it allows investors to assess the Company's operating performance. Although this non-GAAP financial measure is intended to enhance investors' understanding of the Company's business and performance, this non-GAAP financial measure should not be considered an alternative to GAAP.

## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## INTRODUCTION

The following discussion and analysis is intended to address the significant factors affecting our Consolidated Statements of Income for the years 2006 through 2008 and Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of December 31, 2007 and 2008. When we use the terms "First Midwest," the "Company," "we," "us," and "our," we mean First Midwest Bancorp, Inc., a Delaware Corporation, and its consolidated subsidiaries. When we use the term the "Bank," we are referring to our wholly owned banking subsidiary, First Midwest Bank. The discussion is designed to provide stockholders with a comprehensive review of the operating results and financial condition and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements, accompanying notes thereto, and other financial information presented in this Form 10-K.

A condensed review of operations for the fourth quarter of 2008 is included herein in the section titled "Fourth Quarter 2008 vs. 2007." The review provides an analysis of the quarterly earnings performance for the fourth quarter of 2008 compared to the same period in 2007.

Unless otherwise stated, all earnings per share data included in this section and through the remainder of this discussion are presented on a diluted basis.

## PERFORMANCE OVERVIEW

## General Overview

Our banking network provides a full range of business and retail banking and trust and advisory services through 97 banking branches, one operational facility, and one dedicated lending office primarily in suburban metropolitan Chicago. The primary sources of our revenue are net interest income and fees from financial services provided to customers. Business volumes tend to be influenced by overall economic factors including market interest rates, business spending, consumer confidence, and competitive conditions within the marketplace.

## 2008 Compared with 2007

Our net income was $\$ 49.3$ million for 2008 compared to $\$ 80.2$ million for 2007 and earnings of $\$ 1.00$ per diluted common share for 2008, as compared to $\$ 1.62$ per diluted common share for 2007. Return on average assets was $0.59 \%$ for 2008 compared to $0.99 \%$ for 2007 . Return on average common equity was $6.48 \%$ for 2008 compared to $10.69 \%$ for 2007.

Performance for 2008 was adversely impacted by higher loan loss provisions and securities-related losses, stemming from continued economic weakness, which were partially offset by the recognition of certain nonrecurring tax benefits. Income before taxes totaled $\$ 36.0$ million for 2008 , as compared to $\$ 94.0$ million for 2007, with the difference largely due to higher provision for loan losses. Provision for loan losses for 2008 was $\$ 70.3$ million as contrasted to $\$ 7.2$ million in 2007 , with the increase primarily due to deterioration in the Company's residential land and development portfolio. For a discussion of the Company's loan portfolio and credit quality, see the section titled "Loan Portfolio and Credit Quality" elsewhere in this report. In addition, we recognized net securities impairment losses of $\$ 44.5$ million in 2008 compared to $\$ 50.1$ million in 2007. For a discussion of net securities losses, see the section titled "Investment Portfolio Management." Excluding the provision for loan losses and market-related losses, income before taxes for both 2008 and 2007 was $\$ 152$ million.

Average core transactional deposits for 2008 were $\$ 3.6$ billion, relatively unchanged from 2007. The Company's ability to fund its lending activity predominantly with core customer deposits provides a long-term competitive advantage given the volatility in the cost and availability of wholesale funds.

Outstanding loans totaled $\$ 5.4$ billion as of December 31, 2008, an increase of $8.0 \%$ from December 31, 2007, with the increase due primarily to growth in the commercial real estate and commercial and industrial loan categories.

Non-accrual loans at December 31, 2008 were $\$ 127.8$ million, or $2.38 \%$ of total loans, compared to $\$ 18.5$ million, or $0.37 \%$ of total loans, at December 31, 2007, with residential land and development loans accounting for $\$ 97.1$ million of the total. Loans 90 days past due and still accruing totaled $\$ 37.0$ million, an increase of $\$ 15.9$ million from the prior year, and foreclosed real estate totaled $\$ 24.4$ million, an increase of $\$ 18.3$ million from the prior year.

In response to the impact of continuing economic weakness on real estate and related markets, we increased our reserve for loan losses to $\$ 93.9$ million as of December 31, 2008, up $\$ 32.1$ million from December 31, 2007. The reserve for loan losses represented $1.75 \%$ of total loans outstanding at December 31, 2008 compared to $1.25 \%$ at December 31, 2007. Provision for losses for 2008 totaled $\$ 70.3$ million and exceeded net charge-offs by $\$ 32.1$ million.

Net interest margin for 2008 was $3.61 \%$ for 2008 compared to $3.58 \%$ for 2007 as the Company was able to more than offset declines in asset yields with reductions in its cost of funds.

Fee-based revenues, which comprise the majority of noninterest income, were $\$ 95.1$ million for full year 2008, down $3.8 \%$ from 2007. Excluding certain services the Company discontinued in late 2007 from both years, fee-based revenues declined $1 \%$ from 2007, due primarily to lower trust revenue and lower retail sales of investment products.

Noninterest expense for 2008 declined $2.4 \%$ from 2007 due primarily to a reduction in salary and benefit costs. Over the past two years the Company has reduced its staffing by $4.4 \%$, or 83 full-time equivalents.

The Company recognized significant federal and state tax benefits due to favorable court rulings and results of examinations related to prior years. These benefits, coupled with the increase in tax-exempt income as a percent of total income in 2008, resulted in a net tax benefit for 2008 of $\$ 13.3$ million.

## 2007 Compared with 2006

Net income for 2007 was $\$ 80.2$ million, which included a $\$ 32.5$ million after-tax charge to earnings associated with an impairment of the Company's asset-backed collateralized debt securities portfolio. This compared to net income of $\$ 117.2$ million for 2006. Our earnings per diluted common share was $\$ 1.62$ for 2007 compared to $\$ 2.37$ per diluted common share for 2006. The impairment charge reduced 2007 diluted earnings per share by $\$ 0.65$. Return on average equity was $10.7 \%$ for 2007 and $16.9 \%$ for 2006 . Return on average assets was $0.99 \%$ for 2007 and $1.42 \%$ for 2006 . For additional discussion regarding the impairment charge, refer to the section titled "Investment Portfolio Management."

Our securities portfolio declined $\$ 301.5$ million in 2007. During the first quarter of 2007, we took advantage of the inverted yield curve to sell certain long-term securities at a gain and used the proceeds to reduce our shortterm borrowings. When the capital markets began to charge premiums for access to funding in the third quarter, we again responded by selling securities and used the proceeds to reduce our short-term borrowings.

Our total loans outstanding declined $\$ 45.3$ million from December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2007. The decline reflects the combined impact of the payoff of loan participations purchased as part of a 2006 bank acquisition, rapid prepayment of multi-family loan portfolios during the first half of 2007, and the continued paydown of our indirect auto loan portfolio.

Total average deposits were $\$ 5.9$ billion for both 2007 and 2006. Declines in average time deposits were substantially offset by increases in average transaction deposits. Average transaction deposits increased $\$ 72.0$ million from 2006, primarily due to growth in savings deposits.

Charge-offs as a percentage of average loans were $0.16 \%$ in 2007 compared to $0.21 \%$ in 2006. As of December 31, 2007, the reserve for loan losses stood at $1.25 \%$ of total loans, unchanged from December 31, 2006 and was $330 \%$ of non-performing loans.

Net interest income for 2007 declined $\$ 11.7$ million from 2006, and net interest margin declined $0.09 \%$ from 2006 to $3.58 \%$. This reflected narrowing credit spreads resulting from increased competition, a flat to inverted yield curve for much of 2007, and a short-term mismatch between the repricing of interest-earning assets and our funding sources, as the Federal Reserve lowered its targeted discount rate by $1.0 \%$ during the last four months of 2007.

Noninterest income, excluding securities gains and losses, increased $12.2 \%$ in 2007 compared to 2006. Fee-based revenues, which comprise the majority of noninterest income, increased $12.0 \%$. While increases occurred in most fee categories, the growth for 2007 was primarily due to increases in service charges on deposit accounts, cardbased fees, and trust and investment advisory fees.

Noninterest expense was well controlled as reflected by year-over-year growth of $3.4 \%$. Noninterest expense for 2007 included a severance charge of $\$ 621,000$ related to certain staff reductions initiated in the fourth quarter and a $\$ 299,000$ charge related to our share of claims from litigation brought by others against VISA, Inc.

In the third quarter of 2007, the State of Illinois passed tax legislation that, in the short term, provided certain tax benefits that we recognized in the second half of 2007. The benefits increased net income by $\$ 2.9$ million in 2007.

## Management's Outlook

Performance for 2008 reflected strong operating performance offset by increased credit- related costs stemming from prolonged and significant weakness in the economy, particularly in the residential housing markets. Over the course of 2008, we worked to prepare ourselves for the demands of this environment by increasing our loan loss reserves, adding to our capital through the issuance of preferred securities and reducing our dividend, as well as expanding our credit remediation resources and reducing our operating costs.

As we enter 2009, continuing weakness in the economy and the residential housing market is expected to further strain all loan portfolio categories, but particularly those supported by real estate. Response by the federal government in the form of both legislative action and stimulus designed to stabilize the economy have been substantial and unprecedented and continue to evolve.

In such an environment, it our belief that our strong core operating performance, coupled with a solid capital base, leaves us positioned to better navigate the uncertainty of the times, meet the needs of our clients and communities, and benefit from future recovery in the market place. In addition, similar to 2008, our focus will remain on tight control of our operating costs and proactive management of our balance sheet as well as all aspects of our capital plans.

## EARNINGS PERFORMANCE

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income equals the difference between interest income plus fees earned on interest-earning assets and interest expense incurred on interest-bearing liabilities. The level of interest rates and the volume and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities impact net interest income. Net interest margin represents net interest income as a percentage of total average interest-earning assets. The accounting policies underlying the recognition of interest income on loans, securities, and other interest-earning assets are presented in Note 1 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Our accounting and reporting policies conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and general practice within the banking industry. For purposes of this discussion, both net interest income and net interest margin have been adjusted to a fully tax-equivalent basis to more appropriately compare the returns on certain tax-exempt loans and securities to those on taxable interest-earning assets. Although we believe that these non-GAAP financial measures enhance investors' understanding of our business and performance, these non-GAAP financial measures should not be considered an alternative to GAAP. The effect of such adjustment is presented in the following table.

Table 1

## Effect of Tax-Equivalent Adjustment

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  | 2008-2007 | 2007-2006 |
| Net interest income (GAAP) | \$ | 246,597 | \$ | 240,129 | \$ | 251,859 | 2.7 | (4.7) |
| Tax-equivalent adjustment |  | 22,225 |  | 20,906 |  | 23,551 | 6.3 | (11.2) |
| Tax-equivalent net interest income | \$ | 268,822 | \$ | 261,035 | \$ | 275,410 | 3.0 | (5.2) |

Table 2 summarizes changes in our average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities over the last three years as well as interest income and interest expense related to each category of assets and funding sources and the average interest rates earned and paid on each. The table also shows the trend in net interest margin on a quarterly basis for 2008 and 2007, including the tax-equivalent yields on interest-earning assets and rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Table 3 details increases in income and expense for each of the major categories of interest-earning assets and analyzes the extent to which such variances are attributable to volume and rate changes. Interest income and yields are presented on a tax-equivalent basis assuming a federal income tax rate of $35 \%$, which includes the tax-equivalent adjustment as presented in Table 1 above.

Net interest margin for 2008 was $3.61 \%$ compared to $3.58 \%$ for 2007 . The net interest margins for both years, as well as the increase from 2007, reflect our strong core deposit base and our ability to effectively manage our cost of funds.

From 2007 to 2008, the yield received on our average interest-earning assets declined 102 basis points while our cost of funds declined 126 basis points. With approximately one-half of our loan portfolio tied to floating indices, the 400 basis-point decline in the Federal Reserve's federal funds rate during 2008 caused a decline of 151 basis points in average loan yields from 2007. The increase in non-accrual loans from 2007 to 2008 also negatively impacted the yield by six basis points. This negative impact was offset by a shift from time deposits to less expensive wholesale borrowings, including federal term auction facilities, a widening of loan spreads, and expanded earnings spreads on our predominately fixed-rate investment portfolio as interest rates fell.

We continue to use multiple interest rate scenarios to rigorously assess the direction and magnitude of changes in interest rates and their impact on net interest income. A description and analysis of our market risk and interest rate sensitivity profile and management policies is included in Item 7A, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk," of this Form 10-K.

Table 2
Net Interest Income and Margin Analysis
(Dollar amounts in thousands)


## Quarterly Net Interest Margin Trend

|  | 2008 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fourth | Third | Second | First | Fourth | Third | Second | First |
| Yield on interest-earning assets | 5.43\% | 5.69\% | 5.81\% | 6.29\% | 6.67\% | 6.91\% | 6.86\% | 6.83\% |
| Rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities | 2.03\% | 2.40\% | 2.61\% | 3.23\% | 3.71\% | 3.86\% | 3.84\% | 3.88\% |
| Net interest margin ${ }^{(1)}$. . . . . . . . | 3.71\% | 3.63\% | 3.58\% | 3.53\% | 3.53\% | 3.63\% | $3.61 \%$ | 3.53\% |

${ }^{(1)}$ Interest income and yields are presented on a tax-equivalent basis, assuming a federal income tax rate of $35 \%$.
(2) Loans on a non-accrual basis for the recognition of interest income totaled $\$ 127.8$ million as of December 31, 2008, $\$ 18.4$ million as of December 31, 2007, and $\$ 16.2$ million as of December 31, 2006 and are included in loans for purposes of this analysis.

Table 3

## Changes in Net Interest Income Applicable to Volumes and Interest Rates ${ }^{(1)}$

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

(1) For purposes of this table, changes which are not due solely to volume changes or rate changes are allocated to such categories on the basis of the percentage relationship of each to the sum of the two.
${ }^{(2)}$ Interest income is presented on a tax-equivalent basis, assuming a federal income tax rate of $35 \%$.
As shown in Table 3, 2008 tax-equivalent net interest income increased $\$ 7.8$ million compared to 2007 following a decline of $\$ 14.4$ million from 2006 to 2007 . This was the result of a decrease in interest expense more than offsetting a decrease in interest income.

As shown in Table 3, tax equivalent interest income declined $\$ 66.4$ million for 2008 compared to 2007. The increase in interest-earning assets increased interest income by $\$ 13.2$ million, while a decline in the average rate
earned on interest-earning assets reduced interest income by $\$ 79.6$ million. Interest expense for 2008 declined $\$ 74.2$ million compared to 2007 . The increase in interest-bearing liabilities increased interest expense by $\$ 17.2$ million, but the shift from time deposits to less expensive wholesale borrowing, coupled with an overall decrease in the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities reduced interest expense by $\$ 91.4$ million.

In late 2006 and early 2007, we took advantage of the inverted yield curve to sell long-term securities at a gain and used the proceeds to pay down short-term borrowings. During that same period, we experienced payoffs of loan participations purchased as part of a 2006 bank acquisition and rapid prepayment of multi-family loan portfolios. These events accounted for the decline in average interest-earning assets and the resulting $\$ 9.8$ million decline in interest income. This decline was offset by an increase in the average yield earned on interest-earning assets that generated $\$ 7.7$ million of additional interest income. Conversely, the decline in interest-bearing liabilities reduced interest expense by $\$ 13.0$ million but was more than offset by a $\$ 25.3$ million increase attributable to higher interest rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities.

If interest rates remain at current levels, the Company's net interest margin performance in 2009 will depend, to a large extent, on its ability to maintain transactional deposits, reduce the cost of time deposits, and access other funding sources and my be adversely impacted by the level of loans placed on non-accrual.

## Noninterest Income

Table 4
Noninterest Income Analysis
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  | 2008-2007 | 2007-2006 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ | 44,987 | \$ | 45,015 | \$ | 40,036 | (0.1) | 12.4 |
| Trust and investment advisory fees |  | 15,130 |  | 15,701 |  | 14,269 | (3.6) | 10.0 |
| Other service charges, commissions, and fees |  | 18,846 |  | 22,183 |  | 20,135 | (15.0) | 10.2 |
| Card-based fees ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 16,143 |  | 15,925 |  | 13,777 | 1.4 | 15.6 |
| Subtotal fee-based revenues |  | 95,106 |  | 98,824 |  | 88,217 | (3.8) | 12.0 |
| Bank owned life insurance ("BOLI") ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | $(2,369)$ |  | 8,033 |  | 7,616 | (129.5) | 5.5 |
| Other ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | 2,819 |  | 3,078 |  | 2,619 | (8.4) | 17.5 |
| Subtotal operating revenues |  | 95,556 |  | 109,935 |  | 98,452 | (13.1) | 11.7 |
| Trading (losses) gains, net ${ }^{(4)}$ |  | $(5,938)$ |  | 1,119 |  | 562 | (630.7) | 99.1 |
| Gains (losses) on securities sales, net |  | 8,903 |  | (746) |  | 4,269 | $(1,293.4)$ | (117.5) |
| Securities impairment losses |  | $(44,514)$ |  | $(50,055)$ |  | - | (11.1) | - |
| Total noninterest income | \$ | 54,007 | \$ | 60,253 | \$ | 103,283 | (10.4) | (41.7) |

(1) Card-based fees consist of debit and credit card interchange fees charged for processing signature-based transactions as well as various fees charged on both customer and non-customer automated teller machine ("ATM") and point-of-sale transactions processed through the ATM and point-of-sale networks.
${ }^{(2)}$ BOLI income represents benefit payments received and the change in cash surrender value ("CSV") of the policies, net of any premiums paid. The change in CSV is attributable to earnings or losses credited to the policies, based on investments made by the insurer. For a further discussion of our investment in BOLI, see the section "Investment in Bank Owned Life Insurance" and Note 1 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.
${ }^{(3)}$ Other income consists of various items including safe deposit box rentals and gains on the sales of various assets.
(4) Trading (losses) gains result from the change in fair value of trading securities. Such change is substantially offset by an adjustment to salaries and benefits expense. Our trading securities represent diversified investment securities held in a grantor trust under deferred compensation arrangements in which plan participants may direct amounts earned to be invested in securities other than Company stock.

Noninterest income totaled $\$ 54.0$ million in 2008 compared to $\$ 60.3$ million in 2007 and $\$ 103.3$ million in 2006. We recognized net securities impairment losses of $\$ 44.5$ million in 2008 and $\$ 50.1$ million in 2007. For a discussion of net securities losses, see the section titled "Investment Portfolio Management."

Fee-based revenues, which comprise the majority of operating revenues, were $\$ 95.1$ million for full year 2008, down $3.8 \%$ from 2007. In fourth quarter 2007, we ceased originating traditional residential mortgages, and in first quarter 2008, we began to maintain cashier check balances in-house rather than outsource the service in exchange for a fee. If both were excluded from the 2007 amount, fee-based revenues for 2008 would have declined $1.0 \%$ from 2007, primarily due to lower trust revenue and retail sales of investment products.

Other service charges, commissions, and fees declined $15.0 \%$ for 2008 compared to 2007 due to ceasing origination of traditional residential mortgages and formerly outsourced cashier check balances. Further contributing to the decrease were declines in commissions received from the sale of third-party annuity and investment products of $\$ 517,000$ from 2007 to 2008.

Despite strong trust sales, trust and investment advisory fees for 2008 declined $3.6 \%$ from 2007, reflecting the decline in equity values of the assets under management.

Card-based fees increased $1.4 \%$ for 2008 from 2007, with most of the increase related to higher usage.
2008 was the first year in which we reported a loss on BOLI. In 2008, we received $\$ 2.7$ million of death benefit payments compared to $\$ 877,000$ in 2007 . However, this was more than offset by a decrease in the CSV of the underlying policies. See the section titled "Investment in Bank Owned Life Insurance" for a discussion of our investment in BOLI.

In 2007, as compared to 2006, we generated double-digit growth in each major category of fee-based revenues. Service charges on deposit accounts increased $\$ 5.0$ million in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily as a result of a $\$ 4.5$ million increase in fees received on items drawn on customer accounts with insufficient funds ("NSF fees"). Trust and investment advisory fees increased $10.0 \%$ due primarily to a $\$ 325.2$ million, or $9.6 \%$, increase in average assets under management. Other service charges, commissions, and fees increased $10.2 \%$ in 2007 compared to 2006 due to a $\$ 1.3$ million increase in merchant fees and a $\$ 1.2$ million increase in commissions received from the sale of third party annuity and investment products. Card-based fees for 2007 increased $15.6 \%$ from 2006, with most of the increase in debit card income related to both higher usage and higher rates. The increase in other income for 2007 compared to 2006 resulted primarily from $\$ 620,000$ in favorable legal settlements and a $\$ 558,000$ increase in income realized as a result of a rise in fair value of trading securities related to deferred compensation plans.

## Noninterest Expense

## Table 5

## Noninterest Expense Analysis

## (Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2008-2007 | 2007-2006 |
| Compensation expense: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and wages | \$ 77,074 | \$ 85,707 | \$ 80,087 | (10.1) | 7.0 |
| Retirement and other employee benefits | 22,836 | 25,891 | 26,114 | (11.8) | (0.9) |
| Total compensation expense | 99,910 | 111,598 | 106,201 | (10.5) | 5.1 |
| Net occupancy expense | 23,378 | 22,054 | 20,153 | 6.0 | 9.4 |
| Equipment expense | 9,956 | 10,540 | 10,227 | (5.5) | 3.1 |
| Technology and related costs | 7,429 | 7,084 | 6,584 | 4.9 | 7.6 |
| Professional services | 10,898 | 9,034 | 9,009 | 20.6 | 0.3 |
| Advertising and promotions | 6,491 | 6,293 | 7,845 | 3.1 | (19.8) |
| Merchant card expense | 6,985 | 6,830 | 5,800 | 2.3 | 17.8 |
| Foreclosed real estate expense, net | 3,409 | 972 | 843 | 250.7 | 15.3 |
| Other expenses | 25,849 | 24,732 | 25,953 | 4.5 | (4.7) |
| Total noninterest expense | \$ 194,305 | \$199,137 | \$192,615 | (2.4) | 3.4 |
| Average full-time equivalent ("FTE") employees | 1,824 | 1,881 | 1,856 |  |  |
| Efficiency ratio | 53.5\% | 52.5\% | 50.5\% |  |  |

Operating expenses continue to be well controlled as reflected by our efficiency ratio of $53.5 \%$ for 2008 . The efficiency ratio expresses noninterest expense as a percentage of tax-equivalent net interest income plus total fees and other income. Noninterest expense was $\$ 194.3$ million for 2008, down $2.4 \%$ from 2007 . The decline was primarily due to reductions in salaries and benefits costs.

Salaries and wages decreased in 2008 compared to 2007. In late 2007 and continuing into 2008, we initiated targeted staff reductions, primarily in the support and administrative areas. Full time employees have declined over this period by $4.4 \%$, or 83 full-time equivalents. These reductions, coupled with a $\$ 7.3$ million decline in the obligation due to participants under deferred compensation plans, more than offset annual general merit increases and an increase in share-based compensation expense.

The increase in occupancy expense from 2007 to 2008 resulted from typical increases in real estate taxes, repairs and maintenance, utilities, and depreciation. The $\$ 345,000$ increase in technology expense from 2007 to 2008 was due to standard contractual increases.

The increase in professional services was due primarily to a short-term contract with an outside consultant for revenue and process enhancement services.

Foreclosed real estate expense increased by $250.7 \%$ as foreclosed real estate held by the Company increased from $\$ 6.1$ million at December 31, 2007 to $\$ 24.4$ million at December 31, 2008. Such expenses consist of insurance, real estate taxes, and other costs to maintain the properties.

Noninterest expense increased $\$ 6.5$ million, or $3.4 \%$, for 2007 compared to 2006 due, in part, to the full year operation of 30 branches and related staffing costs in the Northwest Indiana market acquired in the first quarter of 2006 as part of the acquisition of Bank Calumet, Inc. Likewise, in second quarter 2006, we began recognizing
the results of operations from the Bank Calumet acquisition, including $\$ 3.0$ million of integration and other costs incurred as part of the acquisition and integration of that bank. These costs are included in the advertising and promotions and other expense categories.

Salaries and wages increased $\$ 5.6$ million, or $7.0 \%$, in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily as a result of annual general merit increases, the addition of staffing costs referred to above in the Northwest Indiana market, and a $\$ 686,000$ increase in share-based compensation expense. In addition, we recorded a $\$ 621,000$ charge for severance-related costs stemming from a workforce reduction implemented in December 2007. Retirement and other employee benefits declined $0.9 \%$ in 2007 compared to 2006 due to $\$ 1.8$ million lower pension expense resulting from plan amendments that reduced the growth of future benefits and ceased new enrollments. This was partially offset by a $\$ 1.2$ million increase in employee insurance costs. Merchant card expense increased $17.8 \%$ in 2007 as a direct result of the increase in merchant card revenue included in card-based fees. Advertising and promotions decreased $19.8 \%$ and other expenses decreased $4.1 \%$ in 2007 compared to 2006 largely due to higher costs recorded in 2006 in connection with the 2006 bank acquisition.

## Income Taxes

Our provision for income taxes includes both federal and state income tax expense. An analysis of the provision for income taxes and the effective income tax rates for the periods 2006 through 2008 are detailed in Table 6.

Table 6
Income Tax Expense Analysis
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| Income before income tax (benefit) expense | \$ 36,045 | \$ | 94,012 | \$ | 152,298 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | \$ $(13,291)$ | \$ | 13,853 | \$ | 35,052 |
| Effective income tax rate | (36.9\%) |  | 14.7\% |  | 23.0\% |
| Federal effective income tax rate | (6.5\%) |  | 16.2\% |  | 22.9\% |
| State effective income tax rate, net of federal tax effect | (30.4\%) |  | (1.5\%) |  | 0.1\% |

The federal effective income tax rate and changes in that rate are greatly influenced by the amount of tax-exempt income derived from investment securities. The state effective income tax rate and changes in that rate are dependent upon Illinois, Indiana, and Iowa income tax rules relating to consolidated/combined reporting, sourcing of income and expense, and the amount of tax-exempt income derived from loans and investment securities.

The decreases in income tax expense, and related effective income tax rate from 2006 to 2007 and from 2007 to 2008 were primarily attributable to increases in tax-exempt income as a percent of total pre-tax income and adjustments to state income taxes further explained below. For further details regarding our effective income tax rate, refer to Note 15 in "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

In 2008, as a result of a favorable court decision and certain developments in pending tax audits, we increased the amount of benefit recognized with respect to certain previously identified uncertain tax positions under Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes ("FIN 48"). The increase in recognized tax benefit resulted in a $\$ 4.1$ million reduction in income tax expense in 2008.

In 2007, as a result of certain tax legislation, we reversed the valuation allowance previously recorded with respect to Illinois net operating loss carryforwards and increased the value of certain deferred state tax assets. These adjustments resulted in a $\$ 2.9$ million reduction in income tax expense in 2007.

In addition, in 2008, we continued to record deferred state tax assets on Illinois net operating losses generated in the current year.

The State of Illinois has enacted legislation that significantly changes the income sourcing rules relating to financial organizations. To date, the State has not issued regulations or other clarifying guidance. We have considered, to the extent possible, the impact of the legislation and potential opportunities under the new rules. Based on our assessment to date, our state effective income tax rate, net of federal tax effect, is expected to be in the range of $1 \%$ to $3 \%$ in 2009.

## FINANCIAL CONDITION

## INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT

We manage our investment portfolio to maximize the return on invested funds within acceptable risk guidelines, to meet pledging and liquidity requirements, and to adjust balance sheet interest rate sensitivity to insulate net interest income against the impact of changes in interest rates.

We adjust the size and composition of our securities portfolio according to a number of factors, including expected loan growth, anticipated changes in collateralized public funds on account, the interest rate environment, and the related value of various segments of the securities markets. The following provides a valuation summary of our investment portfolio.

Table 7
Investment Portfolio Valuation Summary
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | As of December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |  | As of December 31, 2007 |  |  |  |  | As of December 31, 2006 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair Value |  | AmortizedCost |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Fair Value |  | AmortizedCost |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Fair Value |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amortized } \\ \text { Cost } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| Available-for-Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities. | \$ | 1,041 | \$ | 1,039 | 0.1 | \$ | 1,028 | \$ | 1,027 |  | \$ | 3,015 | \$ | 3,017 | 0.1 |
| U.S. Agency securities . |  | - |  | - |  |  | 42,492 |  | 41,895 | 1.9 |  | 66,959 |  | 66,796 | 2.7 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations ..... |  | 698,839 |  | 694,285 | 30.1 |  | 534,800 |  | 534,688 | 24.5 |  | 745,327 |  | 756,890 | 30.4 |
| Other mortgagebacked securities ... |  | 518,265 |  | 504,918 | 21.9 |  | 420,320 |  | 417,532 | 19.1 |  | 403,772 |  | 407,198 | 16.3 |
| State and municipal securities |  | 906,747 |  | 907,036 | 39.4 |  | 966,835 |  | 961,638 | 44.0 |  | 1,012,116 |  | 1,007,761 | 40.5 |
| Collateralized debt obligations ... |  | 42,086 |  | 60,406 | 2.6 |  | 81,630 |  | 95,584 | 4.4 |  | 133,446 |  | 132,789 | 5.3 |
| Other securities |  | 49,208 |  | 51,820 | 2.2 |  | 32,941 |  | 35,295 | 1.6 |  | 23,153 |  | 24,381 | 1.0 |
| Total available-forsale |  | 2,216,186 |  | 2,219,504 | 96.3 |  | 2,080,046 |  | 2,087,659 | 95.5 |  | 2,387,788 |  | 2,398,832 | 96.3 |
| Held-to-Maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State and municipal securities |  | 84,592 |  | 84,306 | 3.7 |  | 97,931 |  | 97,671 | 4.5 |  | 91,602 |  | 91,380 | 3.7 |
| Total securities |  | 2,300,778 | \$ | 2,303,810 | 100.0 | \$ | 2,177,977 | \$ | 2,185,330 | 100.0 | \$ | 2,479,390 | \$ | 2,490,212 | 100.0 |


|  | As of December 31, 2008 |  |  | As of December 31, 2007 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Effective } \\ \text { Duration }{ }^{(1)} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Average Life ${ }^{(2)}$ | Yield to Maturity | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Effective } \\ \text { Duration (1) } \end{gathered}$ | Average Life ${ }^{(2)}$ | Yield to Maturity |
| Available-for-Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 1.35\% | 1.50 | 0.89\% | 1.35\% | 1.50 | 4.10\% |
| U.S. Agency securities | - | - | - | 0.73\% | 0.80 | 5.43\% |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | 1.25\% | 1.80 | 5.25\% | 2.44\% | 2.51 | 5.05\% |
| Other mortgage-backed securities | 1.75\% | 1.95 | 5.52\% | 3.73\% | 4.81 | 5.64\% |
| State and municipal securities | 5.26\% | 7.61 | 6.15\% | 5.04\% | 7.84 | 6.20\% |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 0.25\% | 5.84 | 3.26\% | 0.25\% | 6.79 | 10.27\% |
| Other securities | 6.03\% | $\underline{12.61}$ | 5.06\% | 0.09\% | $\underline{10.00}$ | 1.79\% |
| Total available-for-sale | 3.07\% | 4.51 | 5.62\% | 3.76\% | 5.66 | 5.78\% |
| Held-to-Maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State and municipal securities | N/M | 9.26 | 7.10\% | N/M | 8.06 | 7.18\% |
| Total securities | 3.07\% | 4.69 | 5.67\% | 3.76\% | 5.76 | 5.84\% |

${ }^{(1)}$ The effective duration of the securities portfolio represents the estimated percentage change in the fair value of the securities portfolio given a 100 basis point change up or down in the level of interest rates. This measure is used as a gauge of the portfolio's price volatility at a single point in time and is not intended to be a precise predictor of future fair values, as such values will be influenced by a number of factors.
${ }^{(2)}$ Average life is presented in years and represents the weighted-average time to receive all future cash flows, using the dollar amount of principal paydowns, including estimated principal paydowns, as the weighting factor.

N/M Since duration is a measure of price volatility and we have no intention of selling these securities, this measure is not meaningful.
Securities that we have the ability and intent to hold until maturity are classified as securities held-to-maturity and are accounted for using historical cost, adjusted for amortization of premium and accretion of discount. Trading securities are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses recorded in other noninterest income. All other securities are classified as securities available-for-sale and are carried at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on the securities available-for-sale represent the difference between the aggregate cost and fair value of the portfolio and are reported, on an after-tax basis, as a separate component of stockholders' equity in accumulated other comprehensive income. This balance sheet component will fluctuate as current market interest rates and conditions change, thereby affecting the aggregate fair value of the portfolio.

Investments in state and local municipalities comprised $40.9 \%$ of the total available-for-sale securities portfolio. This type of security has historically experienced very low default rates and provided a predictable cash flow since it generally is not subject to significant prepayment. Ninety-eight percent of our portfolio in this category carries third-party bond insurance or other credit enhancement. The majority are general obligations of state and local political subdivisions.

We recognized non-cash impairment charges totaling $\$ 44.5$ million in 2008. Of these impairment charges, $\$ 10.1$ million related to six asset-backed collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs"). The Company had previously recognized a $\$ 50.1$ million impairment during 2007 regarding these assets. The fair value of these specific assetbacked CDOs was zero at December 31, 2008. Of the remaining 2008 non-cash impairment charge of $\$ 34.5$ million, $\$ 24.8$ million related to three trust-preferred CDOs with an aggregate cost of $\$ 38.9$ million. These securities continue to meet all scheduled debt service requirements. The Company also recorded a $\$ 9.7$ million non-cash impairment charge related to two whole loan mortgage-backed securities with a combined par value of $\$ 16.6$ million and a single Sallie Mae debt issuance with a par value of $\$ 10.0$ million. The two whole loan mortgage-backed securities are included with "Collateralized mortgage obligations" in Table 7 above and the Sallie Mae debt issuance is included in "Other securities."

After recognizing these impairments, our remaining CDOs consist of seven trust-preferred pooled debt securities with an amortized cost of $\$ 60.4$ million and a fair value of $\$ 42.1$ million as of December 31, 2008. Our
investments in trust-preferred CDOs are debt securities supported by the credit of the underlying banks and insurance companies. The unrealized loss on these securities as of December 31, 2008 of $\$ 18.3$ million reflects the difference between amortized cost and fair value for the four CDOs that the Company determined were not other-than-temporarily impaired. Based on our cash flow modeling of these investments, we currently project no further change in our net cash flows from these seven CDOs and we have both the intent and ability to hold them until maturity or recovery. Our estimation of cash flows for these investments and resulting fair values were based upon our own cash flow modeling in accordance with SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, as described in Note 22 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements."

Other available-for-sale securities include a single Sallie Mae debt security, corporate bonds, and other miscellaneous equity securities.

As of December 31, 2008, the carrying value of the available-for-sale securities portfolio totaled $\$ 2.22$ billion compared with $\$ 2.08$ billion as of December 31, 2007 and $\$ 2.39$ billion at December 31, 2006. Although there was a $\$ 136.1$ million, or $6.5 \%$, increase from December 31, 2007 to December 31, 2008, the average balance of the securities portfolio declined by $\$ 67.1$ million year-over-year. The increase, which occurred in December 2008, reflected the temporary investment of the proceeds from the sale of $\$ 193.0$ million of preferred stock to the U.S. Treasury as part of the previously discussed CPP program.

During first quarter 2008 we took advantage of market conditions and the slope of the interest rate yield curve to sell $\$ 221.1$ million of securities, primarily collateralized mortgage obligations and other mortgage-backed securities, at a gain of $\$ 5.9$ million. We reinvested the majority of the proceeds back into similar investments and the remainder into higher-yielding debt securities, effectively replacing the yield with this new combination of securities.

As of December 31, 2008, gross unrealized gains in the securities available-for-sale portfolio totaled $\$ 33.9$ million, and gross unrealized losses totaled $\$ 37.2$ million, resulting in a net unrealized loss of $\$ 3.3$ million. The unrealized loss on securities in an unrealized loss position for greater than 12 months totaled $\$ 28.8$ million, all of which represented securities issued or guaranteed by U.S. Government-sponsored agencies or securities with investment grade credit ratings. We do not believe any individual unrealized loss as of December 31, 2008 represented an other-than-temporary impairment. We continue to have both the intent and ability to hold the securities with unrealized losses for a period of time necessary to recover the amortized cost, or to maturity.

The effective duration of the available-for-sale portfolio decreased to $3.07 \%$ as of December 31, 2008 from $3.76 \%$ as of December 31, 2007. The decline in effective duration from December 31, 2007 to December 31, 2008 was the result of the decline in interest rates during the year.

The $\$ 307.9$ million decrease from December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2007 primarily resulted from using a portion of the proceeds from sales and maturities of securities to reduce higher-costing funding sources rather than reinvesting them in similar securities. During the last quarter of 2006 and the first half of 2007, we believed the market overvalued tax-exempt securities in relationship to their treasury benchmark. In fourth quarter 2006, we sold $\$ 49.8$ million of tax-exempt securities with a tax-equivalent yield of $7.4 \%$ for a net realized gain of $\$ 3.3$ million. In the first half of 2007, we again sold tax-exempt securities at a gain of $\$ 4.4$ million. The $\$ 147.7$ million of securities sold carried a tax-equivalent yield of $6.2 \%$. During third quarter 2007, the turmoil in the capital markets resulted in premiums being charged in some sectors for access to funding. Responding to this condition, we sold $\$ 187.9$ million of agency-guaranteed securities with an average yield of $3.9 \%$ for a loss of $\$ 5.2$ million. We used the proceeds to reduce our overnight and other short-dated borrowings, which were at rates of $5.25 \%$ and higher.

Table 8
Repricing Distribution and Portfolio Yields
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | As of December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One Year or Less |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { One Year to Five } \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Five Years to Ten } \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ |  | After 10 years |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amortized } \\ \text { Cost } \end{gathered}$ | Yield to Maturity | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amortized } \\ \text { Cost } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield to } \\ & \text { Maturity } \end{aligned}$ | Amortized Cost | Yield to Maturity | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amortized } \\ \text { Cost } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield to } \\ \text { Maturity } \end{gathered}$ |
| Available-for-Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ 1,039 | 0.89\% | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations ${ }^{(2)}$. . . . . . | 155,918 | 5.10\% | 350,493 | 5.14\% | 159,393 | 5.58\% | 28,481 | 5.65\% |
| Other mortgage-backed securities ${ }^{(2)}$. . . . . . . | 65,065 | 5.55\% | 215,042 | 5.55\% | 134,355 | 5.50\% | 90,456 | 5.46\% |
| State and municipal securities ${ }^{(3)}$. . . . | 18,121 | 6.61\% | 154,593 | 6.29\% | 319,311 | 6.07\% | 415,011 | 6.16\% |
| Collateralized debt obligations . . . | 60,406 | 3.26\% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other securities ${ }^{(4)}$ | 6,311 | 6.91\% | 1,829 | 5.35\% | 22,674 | 6.21\% | 21,006 | 3.22\% |
| Total available-for-sale | 306,860 | 4.95\% | 721,957 | 5.51\% | 635,733 | 5.83\% | 554,954 | 5.91\% |
| Held-to-Maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State and municipal securities ${ }^{(3)}$. . . . | 10,926 | 8.08\% | 23,072 | 7.11\% | 16,227 | 6.65\% | 34,081 | 6.97\% |
| Total securities | \$317,786 | 5.05\% | \$745,029 | 5.56\% | \$651,960 | 5.85\% | \$589,035 | 5.97\% |

${ }^{(1)}$ Yields on U.S. Treasury securities are reflected on a tax-equivalent basis, assuming a state income tax rate of $7.3 \%$.
${ }^{(2)}$ The repricing distributions and yields to maturity of mortgage-backed securities are based on estimated future cash flows and prepayments. Actual repricings and yields of the securities may differ from those reflected in the table depending upon actual interest rates and prepayment speeds.
${ }^{(3)}$ Yields on state and municipal securities are reflected on a tax-equivalent basis, assuming a federal income tax rate of $35 \%$. The maturity date of state and municipal bonds is based on contractual maturity, unless the bond, based on current market prices, is deemed to have a high probability that the call will be exercised, in which case the call date is used as the maturity date.
(4) Yields on other securities are reflected on a tax-equivalent basis, assuming a federal income tax rate of $35 \%$. The maturity of FHLB and FRB stocks is based on management's judgment of repricing characteristics or final maturity. The maturity date of other securities is based on contractual maturity or repricing characteristics.

## LOAN PORTFOLIO AND CREDIT QUALITY

Our principal source of revenue arises from lending activities, primarily composed of interest income and, to a lesser extent, from loan origination and commitment fees (net of related costs). The accounting policies underlying the recording of loans in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition and the recognition and/ or deferral of interest income and fees (net of costs) arising from lending activities are included in Note 1 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

## Portfolio Composition

Our loan portfolio is comprised of both corporate and consumer loans, with corporate loans representing $86.1 \%$ of total loans outstanding. The corporate loan component represents commercial and industrial, agricultural, commercial real estate, and real estate construction lending categories. Consistent with our emphasis on relationship banking, the majority of our loans are made to our core, multi-relationship customers. The customers usually maintain deposit relationships and utilize other Company banking services, such as cash management or trust services.

We seek to balance our corporate loan portfolio among loan categories as well as by industry segment, subject to internal policy limits and as influenced by market and economic conditions and maintain a diversified portfolio of both corporate and consumer loans to minimize our exposure to any particular industry or any segment of the economy. We do not offer any sub-prime products, and we seek to limit our exposure to any single borrower. Although our legal lending limit is $\$ 186.5$ million, the largest loan balance to a single borrower at December 31, 2008 was $\$ 33.4$ million, and only 45 borrowers had aggregate outstanding loan balances in excess of $\$ 10$ million.

In terms of overall commitments to extend credit, our largest exposure to a single borrower as of December 31, 2008 was $\$ 47.3$ million. We also have exposure of $\$ 49.6$ million to a group of related companies comprising a single relationship. We had only 31 credits in the portfolio where total commitments to a single borrower relationship exceeded $\$ 20.0$ million as of December 31, 2008.

In 2004, we elected to exit the indirect installment auto loan business. This portfolio continues to pay down. In 2005, we sold our consumer credit card portfolio.

Table 9
Loan Portfolio
(Dollar amounts in thousands)


Outstanding loans totaled $\$ 5.4$ billion as of December 31, 2008, an increase of $8.0 \%$ from December 31, 2007. The increase since December 31, 2007 was led by growth in commercial real estate, specifically office, retail, and industrial, and commercial and industrial lending. The decline in consumer loans was primarily due to continued run-off of indirect loans and the paydown in traditional home mortgages.

The decline in our total loans outstanding from December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2007 reflected the combined impact of the payoff of loan participations, rapid prepayment of multi-family loan portfolios, which occurred primarily in the first half of 2007, and the continued paydown of our indirect auto loan portfolio. As of the same dates, corporate loans remained relatively unchanged at $\$ 4.2$ billion.

The $\$ 702.8$ million increase in total loans outstanding from December 31, 2005 to December 31, 2006 was largely due to the acquisition of Bank Calumet during 2006.

## Loan Origination/Risk Management

We have certain lending policies and procedures in place that are designed to maximize loan income within an acceptable level of risk. Management reviews and modifies these policies and procedures on a regular basis. A reporting system supplements the review process by providing management with frequent reports related to loan production, loan quality, concentrations of credit, loan delinquencies, and non-performing and potential problem loans. Diversification in the loan portfolio is a means of managing risk associated with fluctuations in economic conditions.

Commercial and industrial loans are underwritten after evaluating and understanding the borrower's ability to operate profitably and prudently expand its business. Underwriting standards are designed to promote relationship banking rather than transactional banking. As part of the underwriting process, we examine current and projected cash flows to determine the ability of the borrower to repay his obligation as agreed. Commercial and industrial loans are primarily made based on the identified cash flows of the borrower and secondarily on the underlying collateral provided by the borrower. The cash flows of the borrower, however, may not be as expected, and the collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value. Most commercial and industrial loans are secured by the assets being financed or other business assets such as accounts receivable or inventory and usually incorporate a personal guarantee. However, some short-term loans may be made on an unsecured basis. In the case of loans secured by accounts receivable, the availability of funds for the repayment of these loans may be substantially dependent upon the ability of the borrower to collect amounts due from its customers.

Commercial real estate loans are subject to underwriting standards and processes similar to commercial and industrial loans, in addition to those standards and processes specific to real estate loans. These loans are viewed primarily as cash flow loans and secondarily as loans secured by real estate. Commercial real estate lending typically involves higher loan principal amounts, and the repayment of these loans is generally largely dependent upon the successful operation of the property securing the loan or the business conducted on the property securing the loan. Commercial real estate loans may be more adversely affected by conditions in the real estate markets or in the general economy. As detailed in the discussion of real estate loans below, the properties securing our commercial real estate portfolio are diverse in terms of type and geographic location within the greater suburban Chicago metropolitan market and contiguous markets. Management monitors and evaluates commercial real estate loans based on collateral, geography, and risk grade criteria. We also utilize third-party experts to provide insight and guidance about economic conditions and trends affecting the residential real estate market within the greater suburban Chicago metropolitan area and contiguous markets. In addition, management tracks the level of owner-occupied commercial real estate loans versus non-owner occupied loans. Owneroccupied loans are generally considered to have less risk. At December 31, 2008, approximately one-fourth of the outstanding principal balance of our commercial real estate loans were secured by owner-occupied properties.

With respect to loans to developers and builders that are secured by non-owner occupied properties, we generally require the borrower to have had a proven record of success. Construction loans are underwritten utilizing feasibility studies, independent appraisal reviews, sensitivity analysis of absorption and lease rates, and financial analysis of the developers and property owners. Construction loans are generally based upon estimates of costs and value associated with the completed project. Construction loans often involve the disbursement of substantial funds with repayment substantially dependent upon the success of the ultimate project. Sources of repayment for these types of loans may be pre-committed permanent loans from approved long-term lenders, sales of developed
property, or an interim loan commitment until permanent financing is obtained. These loans are closely monitored by on-site inspections and are considered to have higher risks than other real estate loans due to their ultimate repayment being sensitive to interest rate changes, governmental regulation of real property, demand and supply of alternative housing, the availability of long-term financing, and changes in general economic conditions.

We originate consumer loans utilizing a computer-based credit scoring analysis to supplement the underwriting process. To monitor and manage consumer loan risk, policies and procedures are developed and modified, as needed. This activity, coupled with relatively small loan amounts that are spread across many individual borrowers, minimizes risk. Underwriting standards for home equity loans are heavily influenced by statutory requirements, which include, but are not limited to strict loan-to-value management, key affordability ratios, riskbased pricing strategies, risk-based collection remedies, and documentation requirements.

## Commercial and Industrial Loans

Commercial and industrial loans represent $27.8 \%$ of all loans and increased $\$ 142.6$ million, or $10.6 \%$, from $\$ 1.35$ billion at December 31, 2007 to $\$ 1.49$ billion at December 31, 2008. Our commercial and industrial loans are a diverse group of loans to small, medium, and large businesses. The purpose of these loans varies from supporting seasonal working capital needs to term financing of equipment. While some short-term loans may be made on an unsecured basis, most are secured by the assets being financed with collateral margins that are consistent with our loan policy guidelines and have guarantees.

## Commercial Real Estate Loans

Commercial real estate loans represent $55.6 \%$ of all loans and totaled $\$ 2.98$ billion at December 31, 2008, an increase of $\$ 331.0$ million, or $12.5 \%$, from December 31, 2007. The following table summarizes our commercial real estate portfolio by product type and presents the diversity within the portfolio.

Table 10
Commercial Real Estate Loan Detail by Product Type
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | f December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  |  | 2007 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |
|  |  | Amount | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { of } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ |  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |  | Amount | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { of } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ |
| Office, retail, and industrial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office | \$ | 373,242 | 33.1 | \$ | 301,641 | 32.0 |  | 272,960 | 30.5 |
| Retail |  | 313,286 | 27.8 |  | 247,726 | 26.3 |  | 243,754 | 27.2 |
| Industrial |  | 441,161 | 39.1 |  | 392,698 | 41.7 |  | 378,952 | 42.3 |
| Total office, retail, and industrial | \$ | 1,127,689 | 100.0 | \$ | 942,065 | 100.0 |  | 895,666 | 100.0 |
| Residential land and development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structures | \$ | 185,929 | 36.5 | \$ | 213,392 | 42.2 |  | 237,903 | 52.7 |
| Land |  | 323,130 | 63.5 |  | 291,802 | 57.8 |  | 213,283 | 47.3 |
| Total residential and land development | \$ | 509,059 | $\underline{100.0}$ | \$ | 505,194 | 100.0 |  | 451,186 | $\underline{100.0}$ |
| Multi-family | \$ | 237,646 | $\underline{\underline{100.0}}$ | \$ | 178,602 | $\underline{100.0}$ |  | 278,009 | $\underline{\underline{100.0}}$ |
| Other commercial real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial land | \$ | 280,120 | 25.3 | \$ | 236,373 | 23.1 |  | 227,252 | 24.0 |
| 1-4 family investors |  | 193,227 | 17.5 |  | 170,879 | 16.7 |  | 144,507 | 15.3 |
| Service stations and truck stops |  | 146,891 | 13.3 |  | 81,835 | 8.0 |  | 67,436 | 7.1 |
| Warehouses and storage |  | 85,276 | 7.7 |  | 63,355 | 6.2 |  | 43,138 | 4.6 |
| Hotels |  | 79,186 | 7.2 |  | 63,566 | 6.2 |  | 64,207 | 6.8 |
| Restaurants |  | 48,106 | 4.3 |  | 43,250 | 4.2 |  | 50,177 | 5.3 |
| Medical |  | 42,269 | 3.8 |  | 14,142 | 1.4 |  | 13,142 | 1.4 |
| Automobile dealers |  | 38,505 | 3.5 |  | 29,599 | 2.9 |  | 19,834 | 2.1 |
| Mobile home parks |  | 36,790 | 3.3 |  | 22,106 | 2.1 |  | 29,828 | 3.2 |
| Recreational |  | 14,515 | 1.3 |  | 15,542 | 1.5 |  | 21,324 | 2.3 |
| Religious |  | 11,224 | 1.0 |  | 11,124 | 1.1 |  | 11,783 | 1.2 |
| Other ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 130,843 | 11.8 |  | 272,719 | 26.6 |  | 252,647 | 26.7 |
| Total other commercial real estate | \$ | 1,106,952 | 100.0 |  | ,024,490 | 100.0 |  | 945,275 | 100.0 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Certain loans presented here as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 were subsequently redistributed to more appropriate categories as of December 31, 2008.

Commercial real estate loans consist of (i) loans for industrial buildings, office buildings, and retail shopping centers; (ii) residential land and development loans primarily for single-family and multi-family residential projects that are substantially all located in the suburban Chicago metropolitan market and contiguous area; and (iii) loans for various types of other commercial properties, such as land for future commercial development, multi-unit residential mortgages, and hotels.

## Consumer Loans

As of December 31, 2008, consumer loans represented $13.9 \%$ of total outstanding loans compared to $15.8 \%$ as of December 31, 2007 and $17.3 \%$ as of December 31, 2006. The home equity category, consisting mainly of revolving lines of credit secured by junior liens on owner-occupied real estate, totaled $\$ 477.1$ million and represented $64.0 \%$ of the consumer portfolio. Consumer mortgages totaled $\$ 198.2$ million and represented $26.6 \%$ of the consumer portfolio. Loan-to-value ratios for these credits generally range from $50 \%$ to $80 \%$.

There are different methods of calculating credit scores. FICO, the most widely known type of credit score, is a credit score developed by Fair Isaac Corporation. It is used by many mortgage lenders that use a risk-based
system to determine the probability that a borrower may default on financial obligations to the mortgage lender. FICO scores range from 300 to 850 . Home equity loans have been recently rescored and carry an average FICO credit score of 733 and a median score of 767 , with approximately $90 \%$ carrying a score of 650 or above. Real estate 1-4 family loans have also recently been rescored and carry an average FICO credit score of 718 and a median score of 747 , with approximately $80 \%$ carrying a score of 650 or above.

The decline in consumer loans as a percentage of total loans over the past five years is largely due to the run-off of indirect consumer loans, which we elected to cease originating in 2004.

Consumer loan balances as of December 31, 2007, excluding indirect installment lending, decreased \$37.2 million, or $4.7 \%$ compared to December 31, 2006, due to a decline in home equity originations.

Excluding indirect installment lending, consumer loan balances increased $\$ 75.3$ million, or $10.6 \%$, from December 31, 2005 to December 31, 2006, primarily due to $\$ 277.9$ million acquired through a 2006 bank acquisition, partially offset by the securitization in third quarter 2006 of $\$ 106.0$ million of $1-4$ family residential mortgages and a reduction in direct installment and home equity loans.

## Maturity and Interest Rate Sensitivity of Corporate Loans

Table 11 summarizes the maturity distribution of our corporate loan portfolio as of December 31, 2008 as well as the interest rate sensitivity of loans in these categories that have maturities in excess of one year.

Table 11
Maturities and Sensitivities of Corporate Loans to Changes in Interest Rates
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | As of December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Due in 1 year or less | Due after 1 year through 5 years | $\begin{gathered} \text { Due after } 5 \\ \text { years } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| Commercial, industrial, and agricultural | \$ 980,620 | \$ 541,431 | \$ 110,685 | \$ 1,632,736 |
| Commercial real estate | 1,136,905 | 1,647,860 | 196,581 | 2,981,346 |
| Total | \$ 2,117,525 | \$ 2,189,291 | \$ 307,266 | \$ 4,614,082 |
| Loans maturing after one year: |  |  |  |  |
| Predetermined (fixed) interest rates |  | \$ 1,767,706 | \$ 226,012 |  |
| Floating interest rates |  | 421,585 | 81,254 |  |
| Total |  | \$ 2,189,291 | \$ 307,266 |  |

## Non-Performing Assets and Potential Problem Loans

Generally loans are placed on non-accrual status if principal or interest payments become 90 days past due and/or management deems the collectibility of the principal and/or interest to be in question. Loans to customers whose financial condition has deteriorated are considered for non-accrual status whether or not the loan is 90 days or more past due. For consumer loans, losses are automatically recognized and recorded when the loan reaches 120 days past due.

Once interest accruals are discontinued, accrued but uncollected interest is charged to current year operations. Subsequent receipts on non-accrual loans are recorded as a reduction of principal, and interest income is recorded only after principal recovery is reasonably assured. Classification of a loan as non-accrual does not preclude the ultimate collection of loan principal or interest.

Restructured loans are loans on which, due to deterioration in the borrower's financial condition, the original terms have been modified in favor of the borrower or either principal or interest has been forgiven. Given the deterioration in the economy, especially as it relates to real estate values, we are proactively working with our borrowers to restructure loans where possible and expect the number and amount of restructured loans to increase significantly in 2009

Foreclosed assets represent property acquired as the result of borrower defaults on loans. Foreclosed assets are recorded at estimated fair value, less estimated selling costs at time of foreclosure. Write-downs occurring at foreclosure are charged against the allowance for loan losses. On an ongoing basis, the book values of these properties are adjusted based upon new appraisals and/or market indications. Write-downs are recorded for subsequent declines in value and are included in other noninterest expense along with other expenses related to maintaining the properties. We are actively marketing properties acquired through foreclosure. Given current economic conditions and the uncertainty regarding the real estate market, the time involved to sell these properties may extend resulting in higher levels of other real estate owned and associated maintenance costs in 2009.

90 days past due loans are loans for which principal or interest payments become 90 days past due but that still accrue interest since they are loans that are well secured and in the process of collection.

The following table breaks down our loan portfolio between performing and non-performing status.
Table 12
Loan Portfolio by Performing/Non-Performing Status
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Total Loans |  | Current |  | Past Due |  |  |  | Non-accrual |  | Restructured |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 30-89 Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ | 90 Days Past Due |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial |  | 1,490,101 |  |  | \$ | 1,428,778 | \$ | 36,820 | \$ | 6,818 | \$ | 15,586 | \$ | 2,099 |
| Agricultural |  | 142,635 |  | 138,336 |  | 2,548 |  | 1,751 |  | - |  | - |
| Commercial real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office, retail, and industrial |  | 1,127,689 |  | 1,098,151 |  | 23,419 |  | 3,214 |  | 2,533 |  | 372 |
| Residential land and development |  | 509,059 |  | 384,006 |  | 19,504 |  | 8,489 |  | 97,060 |  | - |
| Multi-family |  | 237,646 |  | 228,500 |  | 4,406 |  | 1,881 |  | 1,387 |  | 1,472 |
| Other commercial real estate |  | 1,106,952 |  | 1,074,945 |  | 15,352 |  | 6,586 |  | 6,926 |  | 3,143 |
| Total commercial real estate |  | 2,981,346 |  | 2,785,602 |  | 62,681 |  | 20,170 |  | 107,906 |  | 4,987 |
| Total corporate loans |  | 4,614,082 |  | 4,352,716 |  | 102,049 |  | 28,739 |  | 123,492 |  | 7,086 |
| Direct installment |  | 58,135 |  | 54,412 |  | 2,700 |  | 956 |  | 67 |  | - |
| Home equity |  | 477,105 |  | 463,317 |  | 6,471 |  | 3,944 |  | 3,254 |  | 119 |
| Indirect installment |  | 12,544 |  | 11,792 |  | 577 |  | 77 |  | 98 |  | - |
| Real estate - 1-4 family |  | 198,197 |  | 189,509 |  | 4,409 |  | 3,283 |  | 857 |  | 139 |
| Total consumer loans |  | 745,981 |  | 719,030 |  | 14,157 |  | 8,260 |  | 4,276 |  | 258 |
| Total loans | \$ | 5,360,063 | \$ | 5,071,746 | \$ | 116,206 |  | 36,999 | \$ | 127,768 | \$ | 7,344 |

The table on the following page provides a comparison of our non-performing assets and past due loans for the past five years.

## Table 13

## Non-performing Assets and Past Due Loans

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| Non-accrual loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | 15,586 | \$ | 9,128 | \$ | 8,803 | \$ | 9,092 |  | 11,267 |
| Agricultural |  | - |  | 349 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Office, retail, and industrial |  | 2,533 |  | 552 |  | - |  | 155 |  | 869 |
| Residential land and development |  | 97,060 |  | 107 |  | 720 |  | 559 |  | 4,159 |
| Multi-family |  | 1,387 |  | 3,480 |  | 1,918 |  |  |  | - |
| Other commercial real estate |  | 6,926 |  | 1,452 |  | 1,263 |  | 216 |  | 905 |
| Consumer |  | 3,419 |  | 2,796 |  | 2,092 |  | 1,420 |  | 1,416 |
| Real estate - 1-4 family |  | 857 |  | 583 |  | 1,413 |  | 548 |  | 581 |
| Total non-accrual loans | \$ | 127,768 | \$ | 18,447 | \$ | 16,209 | \$ | 11,990 |  | 19,197 |
| Restructured loans | \$ | 7,344 | \$ | 7,391 | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |
| Foreclosed real estate ("OREO") | \$ | 24,368 | \$ | 6,053 | \$ | 2,727 | \$ | 2,878 |  | 3,736 |
| 90 days past due loans (still accruing interest): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | 6,818 | \$ | 2,693 | \$ | 2,652 | \$ | 2,692 | \$ | 187 |
| Agricultural |  | 1,751 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Office, retail, and industrial |  | 3,214 |  | 2,062 |  | - |  | 813 |  |  |
| Residential land and development |  | 8,489 |  | 9,270 |  | 4,708 |  | 3,603 |  |  |
| Multi-family |  | 1,881 |  | 916 |  | 1,382 |  | - |  | - |
| Other commercial real estate |  | 6,586 |  | 1,452 |  | 882 |  | 252 |  | 634 |
| Consumer |  | 4,977 |  | 2,903 |  | 1,775 |  | 1,200 |  | 1,304 |
| Real estate - 1-4 family |  | 3,283 |  | 1,853 |  | 1,411 |  | 398 |  | 533 |
| Total 90 days past due loans | \$ | 36,999 | \$ | 21,149 | \$ | 12,810 | \$ | 8,958 |  | 2,658 |
| 30-89 days past due loans (still accruing interest): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | 36,820 | \$ | 19,184 | \$ | 32,324 | \$ | 21,766 |  | 21,944 |
| Agricultural |  | 2,548 |  | - |  | 524 |  | - |  | 211 |
| Office, retail, and industrial |  | 23,419 |  | 20,299 |  | 12,423 |  | - |  | - |
| Residential land and development |  | 19,504 |  | 18,235 |  | 6,091 |  | 1,221 |  | 46 |
| Multi-family |  | 4,406 |  | 8,054 |  | 9,181 |  | - |  | 3,750 |
| Other commercial real estate |  | 15,352 |  | 21,340 |  | 13,706 |  | 15,874 |  | 4,662 |
| Consumer |  | 9,748 |  | 9,509 |  | 9,084 |  | 8,658 |  | 9,794 |
| Real estate - 1-4 family |  | 4,409 |  | 4,199 |  | 5,235 |  | 2,895 |  | 1,962 |
| Total 30-89 days past due loans | \$ | 116,206 | \$ | 100,820 | \$ | 88,568 | \$ | 50,414 |  | 42,369 |
| Non-accrual loans to total loans $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ $2.38 \%$ $0.37 \%$ $0.32 \%$ $0.28 \%$ $0.46 \%$ <br> Non-accrual loans plus 90 days past due loans to      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1.50\% |  | 0.23\% |  | 0.19\% |  | 0.17\% |  | 0.28\% |
| Reserve for loan losses as a percent of non-accrual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Amount |
| The effect of non-accrual loans on interest income for 2008 is presented below: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest which would have been included at the normal contract rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 8,374 |
| Less: Interest included in income during the year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,854 |
| Interest income not recognized in the financial statements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 3,520 |

Non-accrual loans at December 31, 2008 increased $\$ 109.3$ million from December 31, 2007, due primarily to an increase of $\$ 97.0$ million in non-accrual residential land and development loans. As shown in Table 14, the non-accrual residential land and development total of $\$ 97.1$ million is comprised of 20 relationships, with 9 accounting for $68.6 \%$ of the total and reflects the deterioration of economic conditions and, specifically, the impact of slowing market conditions on residential developers. The balances remaining for each loan are supported by recent appraisals on the underlying collateral.

The increase in non-accrual commercial and industrial loans is primarily related to three commercial credits totaling $\$ 7.4$ million. The increase in non-accrual other commercial real estate loans is primarily related to two credits totaling $\$ 3.9$ million.

Loans past due 90 days and still accruing totaled $\$ 37.0$ million as of December 31, 2008, up $\$ 15.9$ million from December 31, 2007. The increase in the 90 days past due loans from December 31, 2007 was due primarily to commercial and industrial and other commercial real estate loans.

Foreclosed real estate was $\$ 24.4$ million as of December 31, 2008 as compared to $\$ 6.1$ million as of December 31, 2007, with $61.7 \%$ of the December 31, 2008 balance representing collateral underlying foreclosed residential developments. All properties are recorded at estimated net realizable values and are being aggressively marketed.

Table 14

## Non-accrual Loans by Dollar Amount and Category

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Stratification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 5.0 \text { Million } \\ \text { or More } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 3.0 \text { Million } \\ \text { to } \$ 4.9 \text { Million } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1.5 \text { Million } \\ & \text { to } \$ 2.9 \text { Million } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \text { \$1.5 Million } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Total |  |
| As of December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 7,573 | \$ | 8,013 | \$ | 15,586 |
| Agricultural |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Office, retail, and industrial |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,533 |  | 2,533 |
| Residential land and development |  | 66,558 |  | 15,937 |  | 12,481 |  | 2,084 |  | 97,060 |
| Multi-family |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,387 |  | 1,387 |
| Other commercial real estate |  | - |  | - |  | 3,877 |  | 3,049 |  | 6,926 |
| Consumer |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3,419 |  | 3,419 |
| Real estate - 1-4 family |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 857 |  | 857 |
| Total non-accrual loans | \$ | 66,558 | \$ | 15,937 | \$ | 23,931 | \$ | 21,342 | \$ | 127,768 |
| Number of Borrowers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial |  | - |  | - |  | 3 |  | 45 |  | 48 |
| Agricultural |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Office, retail, and industrial |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 5 |  | 5 |
| Residential land and development |  | 9 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 2 |  | 20 |
| Multi-family |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Other commercial real estate |  | - |  | - |  | 2 |  | 7 |  | 9 |
| Consumer |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 77 |  | 77 |
| Real estate - 1-4 family |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 9 |  | 9 |
| Total non-accrual loans |  | 9 |  | 4 |  | 10 |  | 148 |  | 171 |
| As of December 31, 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,981 | \$ | 7,147 | \$ | 9,128 |
| Agricultural . |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 349 |  | 349 |
| Office, retail, and industrial |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 552 |  | 552 |
| Residential land and development |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 107 |  | 107 |
| Multi-family |  | - |  | - |  | 1,737 |  | 1,743 |  | 3,480 |
| Other commercial real estate |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,452 |  | 1,452 |
| Consumer |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,796 |  | 2,796 |
| Real estate - 1-4 family |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 583 |  | 583 |
| Total non-accrual loans | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 3,718 | \$ | 14,729 | \$ | 18,447 |
| Number of Borrowers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial |  | - |  | - |  | 1 |  | 53 |  | 54 |
| Agricultural |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Office, retail, and industrial |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Residential land and development |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Multi-family |  | - |  | - |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |
| Other commercial real estate |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 9 |  | 9 |
| Consumer |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 92 |  | 92 |
| Real estate - 1-4 family |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 9 |  | 9 |
| Total non-accrual loans |  | - |  | - |  | 2 |  | 168 |  | 170 |

Table 15

## 90-Day Past Due Loans by Dollar Amount and Category

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Stratification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$5.0 Million } \\ & \text { or More } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 3.0 \text { Million } \\ \text { to } \$ 4.9 \text { Million } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \$1.5 Million } \\ \text { to } \$ 2.9 \text { Million } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \$ 1.5 \text { Million } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Total |  |
| As of December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 6,818 | \$ | 6,818 |
| Agricultural | - |  | - |  | 1,751 |  | - |  | 1,751 |
| Office, retail, and industrial | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3,214 |  | 3,214 |
| Residential land and development | - |  | - |  | 5,356 |  | 3,133 |  | 8,489 |
| Multi-family | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,881 |  | 1,881 |
| Other commercial real estate | - |  | 3,013 |  | 1,625 |  | 1,948 |  | 6,586 |
| Consumer | - |  | - |  | - |  | 4,977 |  | 4,977 |
| Real estate - 1-4 family | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3,283 |  | 3,283 |
| Total 90 days past due loans | \$ | \$ | 3,013 | \$ | 8,732 | \$ | 25,254 | \$ | 36,999 |
| Number of Borrowers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | - |  | - |  | - |  | 46 |  | 46 |
| Agricultural | - |  | - |  | 1 |  | - |  | 1 |
| Office, retail, and industrial | - |  | - |  | - |  | 7 |  | 7 |
| Residential land and development | - |  | - |  | 3 |  | 7 |  | 10 |
| Multi-family | - |  | - |  | - |  | 5 |  | 5 |
| Other commercial real estate | - |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 11 |  | 13 |
| Consumer | - |  | - |  | - |  | 138 |  | 138 |
| Real estate - 1-4 family | - |  | - |  | - |  | 37 |  | 37 |
| Total 90 days past due loans | - |  | 1 |  | 5 |  | 251 |  | 257 |
| As of December 31, 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 2,693 | \$ | 2,693 |
| Agricultural | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Office, retail, and industrial | - |  | - |  | 1,706 |  | 356 |  | 2,062 |
| Residential land and development | - |  | 3,603 |  | 4,725 |  | 942 |  | 9,270 |
| Multi-family . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | - |  | - |  | - |  | 916 |  | 916 |
| Other commercial real estate | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,452 |  | 1,452 |
| Consumer | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,903 |  | 2,903 |
| Real estate - 1-4 family | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,853 |  | 1,853 |
| Total 90 days past due loans | \$ | \$ | 3,603 | \$ | 6,431 | \$ | 11,115 | \$ | 21,149 |
| Number of Borrowers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | - |  | - |  | - |  | 29 |  | 29 |
| Agricultural | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Office, retail, and industrial | - |  | - |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |
| Residential land and development | - |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 6 |
| Multi-family . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Other commercial real estate | - |  | - |  | - |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Consumer | - |  | - |  | - |  | 126 |  | 126 |
| Real estate - 1-4 family | - |  | - |  | - |  | 27 |  | 27 |
| Total 90 days past due loans | - |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 194 |  | 198 |

Potential problem loans consist of loans that are performing in accordance with contractual terms but for which management has concerns about the ability of an obligor to continue to comply with repayment terms because of the obligor's potential operating or financial difficulties. Management monitors these loans closely and reviews their performance on a regular basis.

As of December 31, 2008, $\$ 105.6$ million, or $20.7 \%$, of the residential land and development loan portfolio was either classified as non-accrual or 90 days past due. Of the remaining $\$ 403.5$ million, there are eight loans totaling $\$ 19.5$ million that are $30-89$ days past due. Substantially all of these loans are believed to be adequately secured by underlying collateral based upon recent appraisals. Depending upon future sales and market conditions, the remaining portfolio could come under stress resulting in higher levels of delinquent and/or non-accrual loans.

In future quarters, the migration of problem loans from performing to non-performing may accelerate in all loan categories, depending upon future economic conditions.

In anticipation of future economic weakness, we have substantially realigned our credit remediation staffing to proactively deal with potential credit issues.

Our disclosure with respect to impaired loans is contained in Note 5 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

## Reserve for Loan Losses

The reserve for loan losses is a reserve established through a provision for probable loan losses charged to expense, which represents management's best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The reserve, in the judgment of management, is necessary to provide for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The reserve for loan losses consists of three components: (i) specific reserves established for expected losses on individual loans for which the recorded investment in the loan exceeds the value of the loan; (ii) reserves based on historical loan loss experience for each loan category; and (iii) reserves based on general, current economic conditions as well as specific economic factors believed to be relevant to the markets in which we operate. Management evaluates the sufficiency of the reserve for loan losses based on the combined total of the specific, historical loss, and general components. Management believes that the reserve for loan losses of $\$ 93.9$ million is adequate to absorb credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of December 31, 2008.

Portions of the reserve may be allocated for specific credits. However, the entire reserve is available for any credit that, in management's judgment, should be charged off. While management utilizes its best judgment and information available, the ultimate adequacy of the reserve is dependent upon a variety of factors beyond the Company's control, including the performance of the Company's loan portfolio, the economy, changes in interest rates and the view of the regulatory authorities toward loan classifications.

The accounting policies underlying the establishment and maintenance of the reserve for loan losses through provisions charged to operating expense are discussed in Note 1 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Table 16
Reserve for Loan Losses and Summary of Loan Loss Experience
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Change in reserve for loan losses: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 61,800 | \$ 62,370 | \$ 56,393 | \$ 56,718 | \$ 56,404 |
| Loans charged-off: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | $(14,557)$ | $(6,424)$ | $(6,939)$ | $(4,762)$ | $(7,741)$ |
| Agricultural | (42) | (15) | - | - | (18) |
| Office, retail, and industrial | (852) | - | - | (543) | (5) |
| Residential land and development | $(15,780)$ | (231) | - | - | (355) |
| Multi-family | $(1,801)$ | (491) | $(1,069)$ | - | (14) |
| Other commercial real estate | $(1,253)$ | (161) | (348) | (629) | $(1,524)$ |
| Consumer | $(5,476)$ | $(2,599)$ | $(3,791)$ | $(4,931)$ | $(5,311)$ |
| Real estate - 1-4 family | (576) | (145) | (156) | (96) | (113) |
| Total loans charged-off | $(40,337)$ | $(10,066)$ | $(12,303)$ | $(10,961)$ | $(15,081)$ |
| Recoveries on loans previously charged-off: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | 1,531 | 1,499 | 1,147 | 569 | 699 |
| Agricultural | 4 | 5 | 9 | - | 2 |
| Office, retail, and industrial | 120 | - | - | - | 5 |
| Residential land and development | - | - | - | - | - |
| Multi-family | 5 | 1 | 19 | - | - |
| Other commercial real estate | 5 | 195 | 4 | 5 | 168 |
| Consumer | 487 | 563 | 919 | 1,132 | 1,593 |
| Real estate - 1-4 family | - | - | 18 | - | 5 |
| Total recoveries on loans previously charged-off | 2,152 | 2,263 | 2,116 | 1,706 | 2,472 |
| Net loans charged-off | $(38,185)$ | $(7,803)$ | $(10,187)$ | $(9,255)$ | $(12,609)$ |
| Provisions charged to operating expense | 70,254 | 7,233 | 10,229 | 8,930 | 12,923 |
| Reserve of acquired bank | - | - | 5,935 | - | - |
| Balance at end of year | \$ 93,869 | \$ 61,800 | \$ 62,370 | \$ 56,393 | \$ 56,718 |
| Allocation of reserve for loan losses by loan category at December 31: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 20,968 | \$ 25,916 | \$ 27,908 | \$ 21,532 | \$ 22,065 |
| Agricultural | 1,221 | 1,464 | 1,024 | 1,162 | 1,353 |
| Commercial real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office, retail, and industrial | 22,048 | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| Residential land and development | 32,910 | (1) | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (1) | (1) |
| Multi-family | 2,680 | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| Other commercial real estate | 7,927 | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| Total commercial real estate | 65,565 | 29,404 | 26,489 | 24,340 | 23,267 |
| Consumer | 5,456 | 4,626 | 6,411 | 8,799 | 9,653 |
| Real estate -1-4 family | 659 | 390 | 538 | 560 | 380 |
| Total | \$ 93,869 | \$ 61,800 | \$ 62,370 | \$ 56,393 | \$ 56,718 |
| Reserve as a percent of loans at year-end | 1.75\% | 1.25\% | 1.25\% | 1.31\% | 1.37\% |
| Ratio of net loans charged-off to average loans outstanding for the period | 0.74\% | 0.16\% | 0.21\% | 0.22\% | 0.30\% |

(1) Prior to 2008, we allocated our reserve for commercial real estate losses to the general category of commercial real estate.

In response to the impact of continuing economic weakness on real estate and related markets, we increased our reserve for loan losses to $\$ 93.9$ million as of December 31, 2008, up $\$ 32.1$ million from December 31, 2007. The reserve for loan losses represented $1.75 \%$ of total loans outstanding at December 31, 2008 compared to $1.25 \%$ at December 31, 2007. Provision for losses for 2008 totaled $\$ 70.3$ million and exceeded net charge-offs by $\$ 32.1$ million, while 2008 net charge-offs totaled $\$ 38.2$ million compared to $\$ 7.8$ million in 2007 . The majority of the year-over-year increase is due to charge-offs of residential land and development loans. In 2008, we charged-off $\$ 15.8$ million related to residential land and development, with five loans accounting for substantially the entire amount, and $\$ 14.6$ million related to numerous commercial and industrial loans.

Gross charge-offs increased in 2008 to $\$ 40.3$ million, following a decrease in 2007 as compared to 2006. The 2008 increase was across all categories, with the largest increase in the residential land and development category. The 2007 decrease included decreases in consumer, commercial and industrial, and commercial real estate charge-offs partially offset by an increase in real estate construction charge-offs. In 2006, increases in commercial and industrial and commercial real estate charge-offs were partially offset by a decline in consumer charge-offs

In 2008, we more than doubled the reserve for loan losses allocated to commercial real estate loans, increasing it from $\$ 29.4$ million as of December 31, 2007 to $\$ 65.6$ million as of December 31, 2008. The 2008 increase was the direct result of the impact of continuing economic weakness on real estate and related markets.

In 2007, we reduced the reserve for loan losses allocated to commercial and industrial loans compared to December 31, 2006 due to the decline in commercial and industrial loans outstanding. In addition, we decreased the reserve for loan losses allocated to consumer loans as a result of lower consumer charge-offs and a decline in consumer loans outstanding and increased the reserve for loan losses allocated to commercial real estate loans due to an increase in the portfolio.

In 2006, we increased the reserve for loan losses compared to December 31, 2005 primarily due to higher loans outstanding, particularly in the commercial and industrial and commercial real estate categories. The decrease in the reserve for loan losses allocated to real estate construction loans resulted from a decrease in large construction loans outstanding as of December 31, 2006 compared to December 31, 2005. The decrease in the reserve for loan losses allocated to consumer loans resulted from a lower level of loans outstanding as well as a reduction in allocation based on historical loss experience.

## INVESTMENT IN BANK OWNED LIFE INSURANCE

We purchase life insurance policies on the lives of certain directors and officers and are the sole owner and beneficiary of the policies. We invest in these policies, known as BOLI, to provide an efficient form of funding for long-term retirement and other employee benefit costs. Therefore, our BOLI policies are intended to be longterm investments to provide funding for long-term liabilities. We record these BOLI policies as a separate line item in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at each policy's respective cash surrender value ("CSV"), with changes recorded in noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

As of December 31, 2008, the cash surrender value of BOLI assets totaled $\$ 198.5$ million, down $\$ 5.0$ million from December 31, 2007. The decline stemmed from fourth quarter losses in certain underlying investment funds and actions taken by management to reposition the funds in shorter duration assets to mitigate future reinvestment risk.

Of our total BOLI portfolio as of December 31, 2008, $23.5 \%$ is in general account life insurance distributed between 10 insurance carriers, all of whom carry investment grade ratings. This general account life insurance typically includes a feature guaranteeing minimum returns. The remaining $76.5 \%$ is in separate account life insurance, which is managed by third party investment advisors under pre-determined investment guidelines. Stable value protection is a feature available with respect to separate account life insurance policies that is
designed to protect, within limits, a policy's cash surrender value from market fluctuations on underlying investments. Our entire separate account portfolio has stable value protection, purchased from a highly rated financial institution. To the extent fair values on individual contracts fall below $80 \%$, the CSV of the specific contracts may be reduced or the underlying assets transferred to short-duration investments, resulting in lower earnings.

During fourth quarter 2008, the fair value of investments underlying a separate account with a CSV of $\$ 114.8$ million declined below the $80 \%$ stable value limit. The Company transferred the underlying investments to a short-duration, lower yielding money market account and recognized a charge against income for $\$ 2.9$ million. In order to obtain the flexibility to invest these assets in the future into higher yielding investments, the Company reduced its cash surrender value by an additional $\$ 7.5$ million at December 31, 2008, so that the fair value of the investments was $86.6 \%$. Prior to the transfer, these assets were invested in longer duration, investment-grade securities, the performance of which had been negatively impacted by continued market volatility and risk aversion. Future declines in fair value below current levels could require additional contributions and negatively impact BOLI income. Remaining separate account holdings reflect fair market values ranging from $83 \%$ to $99 \%$.

Given the continued negative market performance and rapid decline in interest rates, BOLI income in subsequent quarters is expected to be minimal. The Company is currently reviewing options to alter the mix of underlying separate account holdings, which could further influence income performance.

## FUNDING AND LIQUIDITY MANAGEMENT

Our approach to liquidity management is to obtain funding sources at a minimum cost to meet fluctuating deposit, withdrawal, and loan demand needs. Our liquidity policy establishes parameters as to how liquidity should be managed to maintain flexibility in responding to changes in liquidity needs over a 12 -month forward period, including the requirement to formulate a quarterly liquidity compliance plan for review by the Bank's Board of Directors. The compliance plan includes an analysis that measures projected needs to purchase and sell funds. The analysis incorporates a set of projected balance sheet assumptions that are updated at least quarterly. Based on these assumptions, we determine our total cash liquidity on hand and excess collateral capacity from pledging, unused federal funds purchased lines, and other unused borrowing capacity such as FHLB advances, resulting in a calculation of our total liquidity capacity. Our total policy-directed liquidity requirement is to have funding sources available to cover $37.5 \%$ of non-collateralized, non-FDIC insured, non-maturity deposits. Based on our projections as of December 31, 2008, we expect to have liquidity capacity in excess of policy guidelines for the forward twelve-month period.

The liquidity needs of First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. on an unconsolidated basis ("Parent Company") consist primarily of operating expenses and dividend payments to our stockholders. The primary source of liquidity for the Parent Company is dividends from subsidiaries. The Parent Company had $\$ 132.5$ million in junior subordinated debentures related to trust preferred securities and $\$ 99.9$ million in other subordinated debt outstanding. At December 31, 2008, the Parent Company did not have any unused short-term credit facilities available to fund cash flows. As of December 31, 2008, the Parent Company also had the ability to enhance its liquidity position by raising capital or incurring debt. The Parent Company had cash and equivalent short-term investments of $\$ 209.2$ million as of such date.

Total deposits and borrowed funds as of December 31, 2008 are summarized in Notes 9 and 10 of the "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 8 of this Form $10-\mathrm{K}$. The following table provides a comparison of average funding sources over the last three years. We believe that average balances, rather than period-end balances, are more meaningful in analyzing funding sources because of the inherent fluctuations that may occur on a monthly basis within most funding categories.

Table 17
Funding Sources - Average Balances
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { of Total } \end{gathered}$ | 2007 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { of Total } \end{gathered}$ |  | 2006 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { of Total } \end{gathered}$ | 2008-2007 | 2007-2006 |
| Demand deposits | \$ 1,043,972 | 14.1 | \$ 1,055,251 | 14.6 | \$ | 1,052,413 | 14.1 | (1.1) | 0.3 |
| Savings deposits | 792,524 | 10.7 | 754,009 | 10.4 |  | 653,321 | 8.7 | 5.1 | 15.4 |
| NOW accounts | 935,429 | 12.7 | 900,956 | 12.4 |  | 924,539 | 12.4 | 3.8 | (2.6) |
| Money market accounts | 787,218 | 10.6 | 859,864 | 11.9 |  | 867,775 | 11.6 | (8.4) | (0.9) |
| Transactional deposits . | 3,559,143 | 48.1 | 3,570,080 | 49.3 |  | 3,498,048 | 46.8 | (0.3) | 2.1 |
| Time deposits | 2,095,088 | 28.3 | 2,161,664 | 29.8 |  | 2,049,202 | 27.4 | (3.1) | 5.5 |
| Brokered deposits | 77,291 | 1.0 | 158,238 | 2.2 |  | 380,700 | 5.1 | (51.2) | (58.4) |
| Total time deposits | 2,172,379 | 29.3 | 2,319,902 | 32.0 |  | 2,429,902 | 32.5 | (6.4) | (4.5) |
| Total deposits | 5,731,522 | 77.4 | 5,889,982 | 81.3 |  | 5,927,950 | 79.3 | (2.7) | (0.6) |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase $\qquad$ | 430,074 | 5.8 | 420,903 | 5.8 |  | 461,638 | 6.2 | 2.2 | (8.8) |
| Federal funds purchased and other borrowed funds $\qquad$ | 1,008,834 | 13.7 | 710,797 | 9.8 |  | 878,188 | 11.7 | 41.9 | (19.1) |
| Total borrowed funds | 1,438,908 | 19.5 | 1,131,700 | 15.6 |  | 1,339,826 | 17.9 | 27.1 | (15.5) |
| Subordinated debt | 231,961 | 3.1 | 227,756 | 3.1 |  | 206,449 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 10.3 |
| Total funding sources . | \$ 7,402,391 | $\underline{100.0}$ | \$ 7,249,438 | $\underline{100.0}$ | \$ | 7,474,225 | $\underline{100.0}$ | 2.1 | (3.0) |

Total average funding sources for 2008 increased $2.1 \%$, or $\$ 153.0$ million, with the increase in short term borrowed funds of $\$ 307.2$ million partially offset by decreases in more expensive, longer term time deposits. Total average deposits for 2008 were $\$ 5.7$ billion, compared to $\$ 5.9$ billion for 2007 , a decline of $2.7 \%$. Most of the decline in deposits was in time deposits, including brokered time deposits. Transactional deposits were down $0.3 \%$ from 2007 due to slightly lower retail deposits stemming from competitive pricing in our market and general economic conditions.

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds purchased generally mature within 1 to 90 days from the transaction date. Other borrowed funds consist of term auction facilities issued by the Federal Reserve Bank that mature within 90 days.

Total average funding sources for 2007 decreased $\$ 224.8$ million from 2006. The decline was primarily due to a planned reduction in higher-costing brokered deposits and borrowed funds. Total average deposits for 2007 were $\$ 5.9$ billion, a decrease of $0.6 \%$ compared to 2006 . Declines in average time deposits were mostly offset by increases in average transaction deposits. Average transaction deposits increased $\$ 72.0$ million from 2006, primarily due to growth in savings deposits.

Total average borrowed funds for 2007 decreased $\$ 208.1$ million from 2006, with decreases in all major categories. As discussed in the section titled "Investment Portfolio Management," proceeds from sales and maturities of securities were used to reduce higher-costing funding sources rather than reinvesting them in similar securities.

Public balances, denoting the funds held on account for municipalities and other public entities, are included as a part of our total funding sources. Public balances represent an important customer segment for us and reflects our community-based roots. We enter into specific agreements with public customers to pledge collateral, primarily securities, in support of the balances on account. Because the average customer tenure with us is approximately 14 years, they provide us with a more reliable, lower cost, short-term funding source than what is available through other wholesale alternatives. These relationships also provide numerous cross-sell and business referral opportunities.

In 2008, we had average public funds on account totaling $\$ 776.9$ million, of which $76.0 \%$ were collateralized primarily with securities and, to a lesser extent, mortgage loans. Of this total, $\$ 725.4$ million was held in deposit accounts, predominately NOW accounts, with the remainder representing securities sold under agreement to repurchase. This contrasts to average balances maintained of $\$ 784.8$ million in 2007 and $\$ 734.0$ million in 2006. Year-to-year changes in balances are influenced by the tax collection activities of the various municipalities, which are heavily dependent upon real estate values, as well as the general level of interest rates. The size of our securities portfolio is influenced, in part, by the size of our public customer base. For additional discussion of the securities portfolio, refer to the section titled "Investment Portfolio Management."

Table 18
Borrowed Funds
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | 2008 |  |  | 2007 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | Rate (\%) |  | Amount | Rate (\%) |  | Amount | $\underline{\text { Rate (\%) }}$ |
| At year-end: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | \$ | 457,598 | 1.86 | \$ | 364,164 | 4.03 | \$ | 477,908 | 4.78 |
| Federal funds purchased |  | 280,000 | 0.12 |  | 301,000 | 3.62 |  | 134,700 | 5.20 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances |  | 310,736 | 2.68 |  | 599,064 | 4.69 |  | 569,660 | 5.22 |
| Federal term auction facilities |  | 650,000 | 0.44 |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Total borrowed funds | \$ | 1,698,334 | 1.18 |  | 1,264,228 | 4.25 |  | 1,182,268 | 5.04 |
| Average for the year: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | \$ | 430,074 | 2.34 | \$ | 420,903 | 4.55 | \$ | 461,638 | 4.37 |
| Federal funds purchased |  | 289,439 | 1.97 |  | 141,663 | 5.16 |  | 280,305 | 5.02 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances |  | 563,984 | 3.31 |  | 569,134 | 5.11 |  | 594,496 | 4.80 |
| Federal term auction facilities |  | 155,411 | 1.78 |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Other borrowed funds |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | 3,387 | 5.45 |
| Total borrowed funds | \$ | 1,438,908 | $\underline{\underline{2.58}}$ |  | 1,131,700 | 4.91 |  | 1,339,826 | 4.70 |
| Maximum month-end balance: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | \$ | 643,015 |  |  | 567,174 |  | \$ | 618,006 |  |
| Federal funds purchased |  | 535,000 |  |  | 301,000 |  |  | 411,000 |  |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances |  | 647,737 |  |  | 639,647 |  |  | 954,970 |  |
| Federal term auction facilities |  | 650,000 |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| Other borrowed funds |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | 31,409 |  |
| Weighted-average maturity of FHLB advances |  | 5.4 months |  |  | 7.4 months |  |  | 0.6 months |  |

Average borrowed funds totaled $\$ 1.4$ billion, increasing \$307.2 million, or $27.1 \%$, from 2007 to 2008 following a $15.5 \%$ decrease from 2007 to 2006 . We make extensive, interchangeable use of repurchase agreements, FHLB
advances, federal funds purchased, and federal term auction facilities to supplement deposits and leverage the interest yields produced through our securities portfolio. For example, during 2008, more costly FHLB advances and brokered time deposits were replaced by increases in cheaper federal funds purchased and federal term auction facilities.

## CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS, COMMITMENTS, OFF-BALANCE SHEET RISK, AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Through our normal course of operations, we have entered into certain contractual obligations and other commitments. Such obligations generally relate to the funding of operations through deposits or debt issuances, as well as leases for premises and equipment. As a financial services provider, we routinely enter into commitments to extend credit. While contractual obligations represent our future cash requirements, a significant portion of commitments to extend credit may expire without being drawn upon. Such commitments are subject to the same credit policies and approval process as all comparable loans we make.

The following table presents our significant fixed and determinable contractual obligations and significant commitments as of December 31, 2008. Further discussion of the nature of each obligation is included in the referenced note of "Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Table 19
Contractual Obligations, Commitments, Contingencies, and Off-Balance Sheet Items
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Note Reference | Payments Due In |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less Than One Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { One to } \\ \text { Three Years } \end{gathered}$ | Three to Five Years | Over Five Years |  |
| Deposits without a stated maturity | 8 | \$ 3,457,954 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 3,457,954 |
| Time deposits | 8 | 1,885,132 | 207,141 | 35,007 | 520 | 2,127,800 |
| Borrowed funds | 9 | 1,550,085 | 61,693 | 86,556 | - | 1,698,334 |
| Subordinated debt | 10 | - | - | - | 232,409 | 232,409 |
| Operating leases | 6 | 2,832 | 5,435 | 5,088 | 5,031 | 18,386 |
| Pension liability | 16 | 444 | 3,609 | 5,051 | 20,727 | 29,831 |
| Change-in-control obligation for a 2006 bank acquisition . . . . . . . . | - | 174 | - | - | - | 174 |
| Uncertain tax positions liability | 15 |  |  |  |  | 4,449 |
| Commitments to extend credit: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity lines . . . . . . | 20 |  |  |  |  | 293,221 |
| All other commitments | 20 |  |  |  |  | 1,230,061 |
| Letters of credit: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standby . . | 20 |  |  |  |  | 145,522 |
| Commercial | 20 |  |  |  |  | 490 |

## MANAGEMENT OF CAPITAL

## Capital Measurements

A strong capital structure is crucial in maintaining investor confidence, accessing capital markets, and enabling the Company to take advantage of future profitable growth opportunities. Our Capital Policy requires that the Company and the Bank maintain capital ratios in excess of the minimum regulatory guidelines. It serves as an internal discipline in analyzing business risks and internal growth opportunities and sets targeted levels of return
on equity. Under regulatory capital adequacy guidelines, the Company and the Bank are subject to various capital requirements set and administered by the federal banking agencies. These requirements specify minimum capital ratios, defined as Tier 1 and Total capital as a percentage of assets and off-balance sheet items that have been weighted according to broad risk categories and a leverage ratio calculated as Tier 1 capital as a percentage of adjusted average assets. We have managed our capital ratios for both the Company and the Bank to consistently maintain such measurements in excess of the Federal Reserve Board ("FRB") minimum levels considered to be "well capitalized," which is the highest capital category established.

The following table presents our consolidated measures of capital as of the dates presented and the capital guidelines established by the FRB to be categorized as "well capitalized." All regulatory mandated ratios for characterization as "well capitalized" were significantly exceeded as of December 31, 2008 and improved versus December 31, 2007.

## Table 20 Capital Measurements

|  | December 31, |  | RegulatoryMinimum For"Well Capitalized" | Excess Over Required Minimums at 12/31/08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 |  |  |
| Regulatory capital ratios: |  |  |  |  |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets | 14.36\% | 11.58\% | 10.00\% | \$ 288,497 |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets | 11.60\% | 9.03\% | 6.00\% | 370,196 |
| Tier 1 leverage to average assets | 9.41\% | 7.46\% | 5.00\% | 359,425 |
| Tangible common equity ratios: |  |  |  |  |
| Tangible common equity to tangible assets | 5.23\% | 5.58\% | (1) |  |
| Tangible common equity, excluding other comprehensive loss, to tangible assets . | 5.45\% | 5.73\% | (1) |  |
| Tangible common equity to risk-weighted assets | 6.53\% | 6.87\% | (1) |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Ratio is not subject to formal FRB regulatory guidance. Tangible common equity equals total common equity less goodwill, and other intangible assets, and tangible assets equals total assets less goodwill and other intangible assets.

## Issuance of Preferred Shares

On December 5, 2008, we received $\$ 193.0$ million from the sale of preferred shares to the U.S. Treasury as part of its Capital Purchase Plan ("CPP"). In connection with the CPP, we issued to the U.S. Treasury a total of 193,000 shares of Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B, at an initial fixed dividend rate of $5 \%$ with a $\$ 1,000$ per share liquidation preference, and a warrant to purchase up to $1,305,230$ shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of $\$ 22.18$ per share. Both the preferred shares and the warrant are accounted for as components of our regulatory Tier 1 capital as of December 31, 2008. Preferred share proceeds received were temporarily invested in government sponsored mortgage-backed securities pending future deployment.

For further details of the regulatory capital requirements and ratios as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, for the Company and the Bank, see Note 19 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

## Stock Repurchase Programs

In order to participate in the CPP program, the Company agreed to restrictions imposed by the U.S. Treasury limiting the repurchase of the Company's common stock. For a detailed description of these restrictions, see Item 1A. "Risk Factors" elsewhere in this report.

Prior to participation in the CPP program, the Company purchased 4,756 shares of its common stock at a weighted average cost of $\$ 28.87$ per share during 2008 and $1,767,135$ shares of its common stock at a weighted average cost of $\$ 34.93$ per share during 2007.

Shares repurchased are held as treasury stock and are available for issuance in conjunction with our Dividend Reinvestment Plan, qualified and nonqualified retirement plans, share-based compensation plans, and other general corporate purposes. We reissued 183,168 treasury shares in 2008 and 199,871 treasury shares in 2007 to fund such plans.

## Dividends

Our Board of Directors periodically reviews our dividend payout ratio to ensure that it is consistent with internal capital guidelines, industry standards, and peer group practices.

Responsive to the environment at the time, on December 10, 2008, our Board of Directors announced a reduction in our quarterly common stock dividend from $\$ 0.310$ per share to $\$ 0.225$ per share. This reduction equates to approximately $\$ 16$ million in retained capital over the course of a year.

The dividend payout ratio, which represents the percentage of dividends declared to stockholders to earnings per share, was $115.5 \%$ for 2008 and $73.8 \%$ for 2007 . The dividend payout ratio has averaged approximately $65.0 \%$ for the past five years.

Since we elected to participate in the Capital Purchase Program, our ability to increase quarterly common stock dividends above $\$ 0.310$ per share will be subject to the applicable restrictions of this program for three years following the sale of the preferred stock.

Given current conditions, the Board of Directors continues to evaluate all aspects of our capital plan each quarter.

## QUARTERLY REVIEW

Table 21
Quarterly Earnings Performance ${ }^{(1)}$
(Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

|  | 2008 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fourth | Third | Second | First | Fourth | Third | Second | First |
| Interest income | \$ 97,933 | \$101,486 | \$101,313 | \$108,475 | \$114,611 | \$120,090 | \$120,671 | 121,589 |
| Interest expense | 32,920 | 38,728 | 40,987 | 49,975 | 56,513 | 59,393 | 59,707 | 61,219 |
| Net interest income | 65,013 | 62,758 | 60,326 | 58,500 | 58,098 | 60,697 | 60,964 | 60,370 |
| Provision for loan losses | 42,385 | 13,029 | 5,780 | 9,060 | 2,042 | 470 | 1,761 | 2,960 |
| Noninterest income | 12,086 | 25,440 | 27,041 | 25,051 | 27,576 | 28,560 | 29,662 | 25,256 |
| Gains (losses) on securities sales, net | 262 | 48 | 1,344 | 7,249 | 14 | $(5,165)$ | 961 | 3,444 |
| Securities impairment losses | $(34,477)$ | $(1,794)$ | $(5,962)$ | $(2,281)$ | $(50,055)$ | - | - | - |
| Noninterest expense | 46,581 | 48,436 | 49,945 | 49,343 | 50,264 | 49,981 | 50,737 | 48,155 |
| Income before income tax (benefit) expense | $(46,082)$ | 24,987 | 27,024 | 30,116 | $(16,673)$ | 33,641 | 39,089 | 37,955 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | $(19,192)$ | 796 | 27 | 5,078 | $(11,255)$ | 6,404 | 9,778 | 8,926 |
| Net (loss) income | $(26,890)$ | 24,191 | 26,997 | 25,038 | $(5,418)$ | 27,237 | 29,311 | 29,029 |
| Preferred dividends | (712) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Net (loss) income applicable to common shares | \$ $(27,602)$ | \$ 24,191 | \$ 26,997 | \$ 25,038 | \$ (5,418) | \$ 27,237 | \$ 29,311 | \$ 29,029 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ (0.57) | \$ 0.50 | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.52 | \$ (0.11) | \$ 0.55 | \$ 0.59 | 0.58 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ (0.57) | \$ 0.50 | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.52 | \$ (0.11) | \$ 0.55 | \$ 0.59 | 0.58 |
| Return on average common equity | (13.89\%) | 13.09\% | 14.57\% | 13.75\% | (2.91\%) | 14.57\% | 15.47\% | 15.48\% |
| Return on average assets | (1.31\%) | 1.16\% | 1.33\% | 1.25\% | (0.27\%) | 1.35\% | 1.44\% | 1.42\% |
| Net interest margin - tax-equivalent | 3.71\% | 3.63\% | 3.58\% | 3.53\% | 3.53\% | 3.63\% | 3.61\% | 3.53\% |

${ }^{(1)}$ All ratios are presented on an annualized basis.

## FOURTH QUARTER 2008 vs. 2007

Our net loss was $\$ 26.9$ million for fourth quarter 2008. This compares to a net loss of $\$ 5.4$ million for fourth quarter 2007. We reported a loss of $\$ 0.57$ per diluted common share for fourth quarter 2008 compared to a loss of $\$ 0.11$ per diluted common share for fourth quarter 2007. Return on average assets was a negative $1.31 \%$ for fourth quarter 2008 compared to a negative $0.27 \%$ for fourth quarter 2007. Return on average common equity was a negative $13.89 \%$ for fourth quarter 2008 compared to a negative $2.91 \%$ for fourth quarter 2007. Performance for the quarter was adversely impacted by higher loan loss provisions and securities-related losses, stemming from continued economic weakness.

Net loss before taxes totaled $\$ 46.1$ million for fourth quarter 2008 compared to $\$ 16.7$ million for fourth quarter 2007, with the difference largely due to higher provision for loan losses. Provision for loan losses for fourth quarter 2008 was $\$ 42.4$ million as contrasted to $\$ 2.0$ million in fourth quarter 2007. Excluding the provision for loan losses and market-related losses, income before taxes would have been $\$ 40.9$ million for fourth quarter 2008 and $\$ 35.4$ million for fourth quarter 2007.

Total loans as of December 31, 2008 were $\$ 5.4$ billion, up $2.6 \%$ compared to September 30, 2008. Total average deposits for fourth quarter 2008 were $\$ 5.6$ billion, compared to $\$ 5.8$ billion for third quarter 2008 with the decline reflecting slightly lower retail deposits stemming from competitive pricing in our market and general economic conditions.

We improved our tax equivalent net interest margin from the preceding quarter and from fourth quarter 2007. Tax equivalent net interest margin was $3.71 \%$ for fourth quarter 2008 compared to $3.63 \%$ for third quarter 2008 and $3.53 \%$ for fourth quarter 2007. For fourth quarter 2008 compared to fourth quarter 2007, the yield received on our average earning interest-assets declined 124 basis points while our cost of funds declined 168 basis points.

Fee-based revenues were $\$ 23.0$ million for fourth quarter 2008, down $9.1 \%$ from fourth quarter 2007, primarily due to lower trust revenue and retail sales of investment products.

Operating expenses continue to be well controlled. Noninterest expense was $\$ 46.6$ million for fourth quarter 2008, down $7.3 \%$ from fourth quarter 2007. The declines were primarily due to reductions in salaries and benefits costs. In 2007 and continuing into 2008, we initiated targeted staff reductions, primarily in support and administrative areas. Full time employees have declined over this period by $4.4 \%$, or 83 full-time equivalents.

Non-accrual loans at December 31, 2008 were $\$ 127.8$ million, or $2.38 \%$ of total loans, with residential land and development non-accruals accounting for $\$ 97.1$ million of the total. The increase in non-accrual loans from September 30, 2008 of $\$ 74.5$ million stems primarily from the impact of slowing market conditions on five residential developers.

As of December 31, 2008, loans 90 days past due and still accruing totaled $\$ 37.0$ million, unchanged from September 30, 2008 and up $\$ 15.9$ million from December 31, 2007. All such loans are believed to be adequately collateralized and in the process of collection.

Foreclosed real estate was $\$ 24.4$ million as of December 31, 2008 as compared to $\$ 23.7$ million as of September 30, 2008 and $\$ 6.1$ million as of December 31, 2007, with $61.7 \%$ of the December 31, 2008 balance representing collateral underlying foreclosed residential developments.

In response to the impact of continuing economic weakness on real estate and related markets, we increased our reserve for loan losses to $\$ 93.9$ million as of December 31, 2008, up $\$ 24.1$ million from September 30, 2008 and $\$ 32.1$ million from December 31, 2007. The reserve for loan losses represented $1.75 \%$ of total loans outstanding at December 31, 2008, compared to $1.25 \%$ at December 31, 2007 and $1.34 \%$ at September 30, 2008. Provision for loan losses for fourth quarter 2008 was $\$ 42.4$ million.

During fourth quarter 2008, net charge-offs totaled $\$ 18.3$ million as compared to $\$ 9.3$ million in third quarter 2008. The majority of the increase is due to charge-offs of residential land and development loans. In fourth quarter 2008, we charged-off $\$ 9.2$ million related to residential construction, with four loans accounting for substantially the entire amount, and $\$ 5.6$ million related to commercial and industrial loans, with the majority due to two individual loans.

Non-cash impairment charges totaling $\$ 34.5$ million were recorded in fourth quarter 2008. Of such charges, $\$ 24.8$ million related to three trust-preferred collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs") with an aggregate cost of $\$ 38.9$ million. The remaining $\$ 9.7$ million of non-cash impairment charges related to two whole loan mortgagebacked securities with a combined par value of $\$ 16.6$ million and a single Sallie Mae debt issuance with a par value of $\$ 10.0$ million. These non-cash charges largely reflect the illiquidity and market risks existent generally.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP and are consistent with predominant practices in the financial services industry. Critical accounting policies are those policies that management believes are the most important to our financial position and results of operations. Application of critical accounting policies requires management to make estimates, assumptions, and judgments based on information available as of the date of the financial statements that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Future changes in information may affect these estimates, assumptions, and judgments, which, in turn, may affect amounts reported in the financial statements.

We have numerous accounting policies, of which the most significant are presented in Note 1 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 8 of this Form $10-\mathrm{K}$. These policies, along with the disclosures presented in the other financial statement notes and in this discussion, provide information on how significant assets and liabilities are valued in the financial statements and how those values are determined. Based on the valuation techniques used and the sensitivity of financial statement amounts to the methods, assumptions, and estimates underlying those amounts, management has determined that our accounting policies with respect to the reserve for loan losses, evaluation of impairment of securities, and income taxes are the accounting areas requiring subjective or complex judgments that are most important to our financial position and results of operations, and, as such, are considered to be critical accounting policies, as discussed below.

## Reserve for Loan Losses

Determination of the reserve for loan losses is inherently subjective, as it requires significant estimates, including the amounts and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on historical loss experience, and consideration of current economic trends, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. Credit exposures deemed to be uncollectible are charged-off against the reserve, while recoveries of amounts previously charged-off are credited to the reserve. Additions to the reserve for loan losses are charged to operating expense through the provision for loan losses. The amount charged to operating expense in any given year is dependent upon a number of factors including historic loan growth and changes in the composition of the loan portfolio, net charge-off levels, and our assessment of the reserve for loan losses. For a full discussion of our methodology of assessing the adequacy of the reserve for loan losses, see Note 1 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

## Evaluation of Securities for Impairment

Securities that we have the ability and intent to hold until maturity are classified as securities held-to-maturity and are accounted for using historical cost, adjusted for amortization of premium and accretion of discount. Trading securities are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses recorded in other noninterest income. All other securities are classified as securities available-for-sale and are carried at fair value.

The fair values of securities are based on quoted prices obtained from third party pricing services or dealer market participants where a ready market for such securities exists. Where such a market does not exist, as for our collateralized trust-preferred debt obligations ("TRUPs"), we have estimated fair value using our own cash flow model. This model was created by a structured credit valuation firm with our assistance. It uses independently verifiable historical data, as well as current information from the market, such as equity volatility, to calculate the probability of default by any of the entities comprising the underlying collateral pool and the ensuing cash flows to our particular tranche for each TRUP. The model uses assumptions that are subject to management's judgment and which can significantly impact the resulting fair values. We believe the model uses reasonable assumptions to estimate fair values where no market exists for these investments. Unrealized gains and losses on securities available-for-sale are reported, on an after-tax basis, as a separate component of stockholders' equity in accumulated other comprehensive income. Interest income is reported net of amortization of premium and accretion of discount.

Realized securities gains or losses are reported in securities gains (losses), net in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method. On a quarterly basis, we make an assessment to determine whether there have been any events or circumstances to indicate that a security for which there is an unrealized loss is impaired on an other-than-temporary basis. The Company considers many factors including the severity and duration of the impairment; whether anticipated cash flows have been adversely affected, the intent and ability of the Company to hold the security for a period of time sufficient for a recovery in value; recent events specific to the issuer or industry; and for debt securities, external credit ratings and recent downgrades. The term other-than-temporary is not intended to indicate that the decline is permanent. It indicates that the prospects for near-term recovery are not necessarily favorable or that there is a lack of evidence to support fair values greater than or equal to the carrying value of the investment. Securities for which there is an unrealized loss that is deemed to be other than temporary are written down to fair value with the writedown recorded as a realized loss and included in securities gains (losses), net. For additional discussion on securities, see Notes 1 and 3 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

## Income Taxes

We determine our income tax expense based on management's judgments and estimates regarding permanent differences in the treatment of specific items of income and expense for financial statement and income tax purposes. These permanent differences result in an effective tax rate, which differs from the federal statutory rate. In addition, we recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities, recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, based on management's judgments and estimates regarding timing differences in the recognition of income and expenses for financial statement and income tax purposes.

We must also assess the likelihood that any deferred tax assets will be realized through the reduction or refund of taxes in future periods and establish a valuation allowance for those assets for which recovery is unlikely. In making this assessment, management must make judgments and estimates regarding the ability to realize the asset through carryback or carryforward to taxable income in prior or future years, the future reversal of existing taxable temporary differences, future taxable income, and the possible application of future tax planning strategies. We have established a valuation allowance of $\$ 1.7$ million for certain state net operating loss carryforwards that are not expected to be fully realized. Management believes that it is more likely than not that the other deferred tax assets included in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition will be fully realized. We have determined that no valuation allowance is required for any other deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2008, although there is no guarantee that those assets will be recognizable in future periods. For additional discussion of income taxes, see Notes 1 and 15 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The following is a statement under the Safe Harbor Provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "PSLRA"): We and our representatives may, from time to time, make written or oral statements that are intended to qualify as "forward-looking" statements under the PSLRA and provide information other than historical information, including statements contained in this Form 10-K, our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or in communications to our stockholders. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause actual results to be materially different from any results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by any forward-looking statement. These factors include, among other things, the factors listed below.

In some cases, we have identified forward-looking statements by such words or phrases as "will likely result," "is confident that," "remains optimistic about," "expects," "should," "could," "seeks," "may," "will continue to," "believes," "anticipates," "predicts," "forecasts," "estimates," "projects," "potential," "intends," or similar expressions identifying forward-looking statements within the meaning of the PSLRA, including the negative of those words and phrases. These forward-looking statements are based on management's current views and assumptions regarding future events, future business conditions, and our outlook for the Company based on currently available information. We wish to caution readers not to place undue reliance on any such forwardlooking statements, which speak only at the date made.

In connection with the safe harbor provisions of the PSLRA, we are hereby identifying important factors that could affect our financial performance and could cause our actual results for future periods to differ materially from any opinions or statements expressed with respect to future periods in any forward-looking statements.

Among the factors that could have an impact on our ability to achieve operating results, growth plan goals, and the beliefs expressed or implied in forward-looking statements are:

- Management's ability to reduce and effectively manage interest rate risk and the impact of interest rates in general on the volatility of our net interest income;
- Asset/liability matching risks and liquidity risks;
- Fluctuations in the value of our investment securities;
- The ability to attract and retain senior management experienced in banking and financial services;
- The sufficiency of the reserve for loan losses to absorb the amount of actual losses inherent in the existing portfolio of loans;
- The failure of assumptions underlying the establishment of the reserve for loan losses and estimation of values of collateral and various financial assets and liabilities;
- Credit risks and risks from concentrations (by geographic area and by industry) within our loan portfolio;
- The effects of competition from other commercial banks, thrifts, mortgage banking firms, consumer finance companies, credit unions, securities brokerage firms, insurance companies, money market and other mutual funds, and other financial institutions operating in our markets or elsewhere providing similar services;
- Changes in the economic environment, competition, or other factors that may influence the anticipated growth rate of loans and deposits, the quality of the loan portfolio, and loan and deposit pricing;
- Changes in general economic or industry conditions, nationally or in the communities in which we conduct business;
- Volatility of rate sensitive deposits;
- Our ability to adapt successfully to technological changes to compete effectively in the marketplace;
- Operational risks, including data processing system failures or fraud;
- Our ability to successfully pursue acquisition and expansion strategies and integrate any acquired companies;
- The impact of liabilities arising from legal or administrative proceedings, enforcement of bank regulations, and enactment or application of securities regulations;
- Governmental monetary and fiscal policies and legislative and regulatory changes that may result in the imposition of costs and constraints through higher FDIC insurance premiums, significant fluctuations in market interest rates, increases in capital requirements, or operational limitations;
- Changes in federal and state tax laws or interpretations, including changes affecting tax rates, income not subject to tax under existing law and interpretations, income sourcing, or consolidation/ combination rules;
- Changes in accounting principles, policies, or guidelines affecting the businesses we conduct;
- Acts of war or terrorism; and
- Other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory, and technological factors affecting our operations, products, services, and prices.

The foregoing list of important factors may not be all-inclusive, and we specifically decline to undertake any obligation to publicly revise any forward-looking statements that have been made to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

With respect to forward-looking statements set forth in the notes to consolidated financial statements, including those relating to contingent liabilities and legal proceedings, some of the factors that could affect the ultimate disposition of those contingencies are changes in applicable laws, the development of facts in individual cases, settlement opportunities, and the actions of plaintiffs, judges, and juries.

## ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The disclosures set forth in this item are qualified by Item 1A. Risk Factors and the section captioned "ForwardLooking Statements" included in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of this report, and other cautionary statements set forth elsewhere in this report.

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments due to changes in interest rates, exchange rates, and equity prices. Interest rate risk is our primary market risk and is the result of repricing, basis, and option risk. Repricing risk represents timing mismatches in our ability to alter contractual rates earned on interest-earning assets or paid on interest-bearing liabilities in response to changes in market interest rates. Basis risk refers to the potential for changes in the underlying relationship between market rates or indices, which subsequently result in a narrowing of the spread between the rate earned on a loan or investment and the rate paid to fund that investment. Option risk arises from the "embedded options" present in many financial instruments such as loan prepayment options or deposit early withdrawal options. These provide customers opportunities to take advantage of directional changes in interest rates and could have an adverse impact on our margin performance.

We seek to achieve consistent growth in net interest income and net income while managing volatility that arises from shifts in interest rates. The Bank's Asset and Liability Management Committee ("ALCO") oversees financial risk management by developing programs to measure and manage interest rate risks within authorized limits set by the Bank's Board of Directors. ALCO also approves the Bank's asset/liability management policies, oversees the formulation and implementation of strategies to improve balance sheet positioning and earnings, and reviews the Bank's interest rate sensitivity position. Management uses net interest income and economic value of equity simulation modeling tools to analyze and capture short-term and long-term interest rate exposures.

## Net Interest Income Sensitivity

The analysis of net interest income sensitivities assesses the magnitude of changes in net interest income resulting from changes in interest rates over a 12 -month horizon using multiple rate scenarios. These scenarios include, but are not limited to, a "most likely" forecast, a flat to inverted or unchanged rate environment, a gradual increase and decrease of 200 basis points that occur in equal steps over a six-month time horizon, and immediate increases and decreases of 200 and 300 basis points.

This simulation analysis is based on actual cash flows and repricing characteristics for balance sheet and off-balance sheet instruments and incorporates market-based assumptions regarding the effect of changing interest rates on the prepayment rates of certain assets and liabilities. This simulation analysis includes management's projections for activity levels in each of the product lines we offer. The analysis also incorporates assumptions based on the historical behavior of deposit rates and balances in relation to interest rates. Because these assumptions are inherently uncertain, the simulation analysis cannot definitively measure net interest income or predict the impact of the fluctuation in interest rates on net interest income. Actual results may differ from simulated results due to timing, magnitude, and frequency of interest rate changes as well as changes in market conditions and management strategies.

We monitor and manage interest rate risk within approved policy limits. Our current interest rate risk policy limits are determined by measuring the change in net interest income over a 12-month horizon assuming a 200 basis point gradual increase and decrease in all interest rates compared to net interest income in an unchanging interest rate environment. Current policy limits this exposure to plus or minus $8 \%$ of the anticipated level of net interest income over the corresponding 12-month horizon assuming no change in current interest rates. As of December 31, 2008, the percent change expected assuming a gradual decrease in interest rates was outside of policy. However, given the current market conditions as of December 31, 2008, the Bank's Board of Directors temporarily authorized operations outside of policy limits.

## Analysis of Net Interest Income Sensitivity

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Gradual Change in Rates ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  | Immediate Change in Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -200 |  | +200 |  | -200 |  | +200 |  | $-300{ }^{(2)}$ |  | +300 |  |
| December 31, 2008: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dollar change | \$ | $(28,797)$ | \$ | $(21,942)$ | \$ | $(43,001)$ | \$ | $(24,416)$ | \$ | N/M | \$ | $(30,604)$ |
| Percent change |  | -10.4\% |  | -7.9\% |  | -15.5\% |  | -8.8\% |  | N/M |  | -11.0\% |
| December 31, 2007: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dollar change | \$ | 5,587 | \$ | $(14,594)$ | \$ | 5,928 | \$ | $(18,088)$ |  | 1,559 | \$ | $(24,369)$ |
| Percent change |  | +2.2\% |  | -5.8\% |  | +2.3\% |  | -7.1\% |  | +0.6\% |  | -9.6\% |

${ }^{(1)}$ Reflects an assumed uniform change in interest rates across all terms that occurs in equal steps over a six-month horizon.
(2) $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{M}$ - Due to the low level of interest rates as of December 31, 2008, in management's judgment, an assumed 300 basis point drop in interest rates was deemed not meaningful in the existent interest rate environment.

At December 31, 2008, our interest rate sensitivity profile, assuming a gradual upward change in interest rates, reflected a slightly greater negative exposure to rising interest rates in comparison to December 31, 2007. Conversely, in a falling interest rate environment, our exposure reflected a negative position as of December 31, 2008 in comparison to a positive position as of December 31, 2007. The change in earnings risk to rising interest rates is the result of a reduction in the duration of borrowed funds and an increase in the amount of short-term funding. These short-term liabilities increase the sensitivity of interest expense to rising interest rates, which create a greater benefit in a lower interest rate environment. This benefit is mitigated, however, by a lack of repricing potential on all liabilities due to the extremely low level of market interest rates. Market rates, specifically the federal funds target rate and the prime rate, have declined 400 basis points from December 31, 2007 to December 31, 2008.

## Economic Value of Equity

In addition to the simulation analysis, management uses an economic value of equity sensitivity technique to understand the risk in both shorter- and longer-term positions and to study the impact of longer-term cash flows on earnings and capital. In determining the economic value of equity, we discount present values of expected cash flows on all assets, liabilities, and off-balance sheet contracts under different interest rate scenarios. The
discounted present value of all cash flows represents our economic value of equity. Economic value of equity does not represent the true fair value of asset, liability, or derivative positions because certain factors are not considered, such as credit risk, liquidity risk, and the impact of future changes to the balance sheet. Our policy guidelines call for preventative measures to be taken in the event that an immediate increase or decrease in interest rates of 200 basis points is estimated to reduce the economic value of equity by more than $20 \%$.

## Analysis of Economic Value of Equity

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Immediate Change in Rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -200 |  | +200 |  |
| December 31, 2008: |  |  |  |  |
| Dollar change | \$ | $(89,123)$ | \$ | $(54,136)$ |
| Percent change |  | -6.8\% |  | -4.1\% |
| December 31, 2007: |  |  |  |  |
| Dollar change | \$ | $(40,870)$ | \$ | $(89,164)$ |
| Percent change |  | -3.2\% |  | -7.1\% |

As of December 31, 2008, the estimated sensitivity of the economic value of equity to changes in interest rates reflected more negative exposure to lower interest rates and less negative exposure to higher interest rates compared to that existing at December 31, 2007. As of December 31, 2007, the negative exposure to falling interest rates is shown net of the positive impact of reducing the interest rates paid on transaction account balances. As of December 31, 2008, transaction account balances already reflect significant interest rate reductions, so there is limited potential for further reductions in those rates. Therefore, since asset yields can continue to decline while any decline in liabilities is limited, there is an increased exposure to falling interest rates as of December 31, 2008 compared to December 31, 2007. Reduced exposure to rising interest rates is primarily due to the benefit that is recaptured on long-term debt as interest rates rise from the low levels that exist currently.

## Interest Rate Derivatives

As part of our approach to controlling the interest rate risk within our balance sheet, we have used derivative instruments (specifically interest rate swaps with third parties) in order to limit volatility in net interest income. The advantages of using such interest rate derivatives include minimization of balance sheet leverage resulting in lower capital requirements compared to cash instruments, the ability to maintain or increase liquidity, and the opportunity to customize the interest rate swap to meet desired risk parameters. The accounting policies underlying the treatment of derivative financial instruments in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition and Income of the Company are described in Notes 1 and 11 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

We had total interest rate swaps in place with an aggregate notional amount of $\$ 20.0$ million at December 31, 2008 and $\$ 48.0$ million at December 31, 2007, hedging various balance sheet categories. The specific terms of the interest rate swaps outstanding as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 are discussed in Note 11 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

## ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

## Management's Responsibility for Financial Statements

## To Our Stockholders:

The accompanying consolidated financial statements were prepared by management, which is responsible for the integrity and objectivity of the data presented. In the opinion of management, the financial statements, which necessarily include amounts based on management's estimates and judgments, have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Ernst \& Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited these consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and has expressed its unqualified opinion on these financial statements.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, which oversees the Company's financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors, is composed entirely of independent directors (as defined by the listing standards of Nasdaq). The Audit Committee meets periodically with management, the independent accountants, and the internal auditors to review matters relating to the Company's financial statements, compliance with legal and regulatory requirements relating to financial reporting and disclosure, annual financial statement audit, engagement of independent accountants, internal audit function, and system of internal controls. The internal auditors and the independent accountants periodically meet alone with the Audit Committee and have access to the Audit Committee at any time.


Michael L. Scudder
President and
Chief Executive Officer


Paul F. Clemens
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

## Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
First Midwest Bancorp, Inc.:
We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of First Midwest Bancorp, Inc., and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), First Midwest Bancorp, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 27, 2009, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

## Eunst + Young LLP

ERNST \& YOUNG LLP
Chicago, Illinois
February 27, 2009

# FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION 

(Amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |
| Assets |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 106,082 | \$ | 193,792 |
| Federal funds sold and other short-term investments | 8,226 |  | 1,045 |
| Mortgages held for sale |  |  | 394 |
| Trading account securities | 12,358 |  | 18,352 |
| Securities available-for-sale, at fair value | 2,216,186 |  | 2,080,046 |
| Securities held-to-maturity, at amortized cost (fair value 2008-\$84,592; 2007-\$97,931) | 84,306 |  | 97,671 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock, at cost . . . . . . . . . . | 54,767 |  | 54,767 |
| Loans | 5,360,063 |  | 4,963,672 |
| Reserve for loan losses | $(93,869)$ |  | $(61,800)$ |
| Net loans | 5,266,194 |  | 4,901,872 |
| Foreclosed real estate | 24,368 |  | 6,053 |
| Premises, furniture, and equipment | 120,035 |  | 125,828 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 43,247 |  | 48,971 |
| Investment in bank owned life insurance | 198,533 |  | 203,535 |
| Goodwill | 262,886 |  | 262,195 |
| Other intangible assets | 21,662 |  | 26,040 |
| Other assets | 109,491 |  | 70,957 |
| Total assets | \$ 8,528,341 | \$ | 8,091,518 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits | \$ 1,040,763 | \$ | 1,064,684 |
| Savings deposits | 747,079 |  | 798,361 |
| NOW accounts | 915,691 |  | 889,760 |
| Money market deposits . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 754,421 |  | 829,226 |
| Time deposits . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 2,127,800 |  | 2,196,830 |
| Total deposits . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 5,585,754 |  | 5,778,861 |
| Borrowed funds . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,698,334 |  | 1,264,228 |
| Subordinated debt . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 232,409 |  | 230,082 |
| Accrued interest payable | 10,550 |  | 16,843 |
| Payable for securities purchased . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 17,537 |  | 6,843 |
| Other liabilities . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 75,478 |  | 77,529 |
| Total liabilities | 7,620,062 |  | 7,367,543 |
| Stockholders' Equity |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock, no par value; authorized 1,000 shares; issued and outstanding: 2008-193 shares, 2007 - no shares | 189,617 |  | - |
| Common stock, $\$ 0.01$ par value; authorized 100,000 shares; issued 61,326 shares outstanding: 2008-48,630 shares, $2007-48,453$ shares | 613 |  | 613 |
| Additional paid-in capital . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 210,698 |  | 207,851 |
| Retained earnings . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 837,390 |  | 844,972 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $(18,042)$ |  | $(11,727)$ |
| Treasury stock, at cost: 2008-12,696 shares; 2007-12,873 shares . . . . . . . . . . | $(311,997)$ |  | $(317,734)$ |
| Total stockholders' equity . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 908,279 |  | 723,975 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 8,528,341 | \$ | 8,091,518 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| Interest Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans | \$ | 302,931 |  | 365,370 |  | 352,939 |
| Securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Available-for-sale - taxable |  | 61,844 |  | 66,788 |  | 73,600 |
| Available-for-sale - nontaxable |  | 38,250 |  | 36,972 |  | 42,219 |
| Held-to-maturity - taxable |  | 341 |  | 422 |  | 429 |
| Held-to-maturity - nontaxable |  | 4,013 |  | 4,288 |  | 4,298 |
| Total interest on securities |  | 104,448 |  | 108,470 |  | 120,546 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock |  | 1,318 |  | 2,034 |  | 2,363 |
| Federal funds sold and other short-term investments |  | 510 |  | 1,087 |  | 561 |
| Total interest income |  | 409,207 |  | 476,961 |  | 476,409 |
| Interest Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings deposits |  | 7,148 |  | 11,844 |  | 5,116 |
| NOW accounts |  | 9,637 |  | 14,536 |  | 13,102 |
| Money market deposits |  | 13,220 |  | 28,469 |  | 27,418 |
| Time deposits |  | 80,617 |  | 111,418 |  | 102,482 |
| Borrowed funds |  | 37,192 |  | 55,540 |  | 62,974 |
| Subordinated debt |  | 14,796 |  | 15,025 |  | 13,458 |
| Total interest expense |  | 162,610 |  | 236,832 |  | 224,550 |
| Net interest income |  | 246,597 |  | 240,129 |  | 251,859 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 70,254 |  | 7,233 |  | 10,229 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses |  | 176,343 |  | 232,896 |  | 241,630 |
| Noninterest Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts |  | 44,987 |  | 45,015 |  | 40,036 |
| Trust and investment advisory fees |  | 15,130 |  | 15,701 |  | 14,269 |
| Other service charges, commissions, and fees |  | 21,665 |  | 25,261 |  | 22,754 |
| Card-based fees |  | 16,143 |  | 15,925 |  | 13,777 |
| Bank owned life insurance (loss) income |  | $(2,369)$ |  | 8,033 |  | 7,616 |
| Trading (losses) gains, net |  | $(5,938)$ |  | 1,119 |  | 562 |
| Securities (losses) gains, net |  | $(35,611)$ |  | $(50,801)$ |  | 4,269 |
| Total noninterest income |  | 54,007 |  | 60,253 |  | 103,283 |
| Noninterest Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and wages |  | 77,074 |  | 85,707 |  | 80,087 |
| Retirement and other employee benefits |  | 22,836 |  | 25,891 |  | 26,114 |
| Net occupancy expense |  | 23,378 |  | 22,054 |  | 20,153 |
| Equipment expense |  | 9,956 |  | 10,540 |  | 10,227 |
| Technology and related costs |  | 7,429 |  | 7,084 |  | 6,584 |
| Professional services |  | 10,898 |  | 9,034 |  | 9,009 |
| Advertising and promotions |  | 6,491 |  | 6,293 |  | 7,845 |
| Merchant card expense |  | 6,985 |  | 6,830 |  | 5,800 |
| Other expenses |  | 29,258 |  | 25,704 |  | 26,796 |
| Total noninterest expense |  | 194,305 |  | 199,137 |  | 192,615 |
| Income before income tax expense |  | 36,045 |  | 94,012 |  | 152,298 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense |  | $(13,291)$ |  | 13,853 |  | 35,052 |
| Net income |  | 49,336 |  | 80,159 |  | 117,246 |
| Preferred dividends |  | (712) |  | - |  | - |
| Net income applicable to common shares | \$ | 48,624 | \$ | 80,159 | \$ | 117,246 |
| Per Common Share Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 1.63 | \$ | 2.39 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 1.62 | \$ | 2.37 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding |  | 48,462 |  | 49,295 |  | 49,102 |
| Weighted-average diluted common shares outstanding |  | 48,565 |  | 49,622 |  | 49,469 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY <br> (Amounts in thousands, except per share data)



See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| Operating Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 49,336 | \$ | 80,159 | \$ | 117,246 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 70,254 |  | 7,233 |  | 10,229 |
| Depreciation of premises, furniture, and equipment |  | 11,445 |  | 11,741 |  | 10,995 |
| Net accretion of discount on securities |  | $(1,436)$ |  | $(1,520)$ |  | $(4,186)$ |
| Net losses (gains) on securities |  | 35,611 |  | 50,801 |  | $(4,269)$ |
| Net losses (gains) on sales of other real estate owned |  | 305 |  | (514) |  | (276) |
| Net gains on sales of premises, furniture, and equipment |  | (125) |  | (340) |  | (309) |
| Bank owned life insurance loss (income) |  | 2,369 |  | $(8,033)$ |  | $(7,616)$ |
| Net pension cost |  | 2,402 |  | 3,814 |  | 5,477 |
| Share-based compensation expense |  | 4,545 |  | 4,271 |  | 3,585 |
| Tax benefit related to share-based compensation |  | 1,370 |  | 1,201 |  | 1,112 |
| Net (increase) decrease in deferred income taxes |  | $(13,248)$ |  | $(28,221)$ |  | 5,459 |
| Net amortization of other intangibles |  | 4,377 |  | 4,423 |  | 4,038 |
| Originations and purchases of mortgage loans held for sale |  | (850) |  | $(99,219)$ |  | $(127,029)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of mortgage loans held for sale |  | 1,244 |  | 103,585 |  | 126,570 |
| Net decrease (increase) in trading account securities |  | 5,994 |  | $(2,474)$ |  | $(2,811)$ |
| Net decrease (increase) in accrued interest receivable |  | 5,724 |  | 5,044 |  | $(5,800)$ |
| Net (decrease) increase in accrued interest payable |  | $(6,293)$ |  | $(3,586)$ |  | 5,788 |
| Net (increase) decrease in other assets |  | $(35,310)$ |  | 10,772 |  | 3,765 |
| Net increase (decrease) in other liabilities |  | 18,007 |  | $(17,674)$ |  | $(27,826)$ |
| Net cash provided by operating activities |  | 155,721 |  | 121,463 |  | 114,142 |
| Investing Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from maturities, repayments, and calls |  | 291,048 |  | 287,426 |  | 463,735 |
| Proceeds from sales |  | 226,575 |  | 334,892 |  | 327,952 |
| Purchases |  | $(683,729)$ |  | $(360,434)$ |  | $(519,883)$ |
| Securities held-to-maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from maturities, repayments, and calls |  | 43,497 |  | 49,177 |  | 68,432 |
| Purchases |  | $(30,046)$ |  | $(55,341)$ |  | $(67,984)$ |
| Net (increase) decrease in loans |  | $(461,918)$ |  | 28,538 |  | $(144,043)$ |
| Proceeds from claims on bank owned life insurance |  | 2,633 |  | 887 |  | 718 |
| Purchases of bank owned life insurance |  | - |  | - |  | $(15,000)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned |  | 7,446 |  | 5,420 |  | 4,889 |
| Proceeds from sales of premises, furniture, and equipment |  | 718 |  | 1,036 |  | 1,059 |
| Purchases of premises, furniture, and equipment |  | $(6,245)$ |  | $(11,588)$ |  | $(18,807)$ |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired |  | - |  | - |  | $(220,967)$ |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities |  | $(610,021)$ |  | 280,013 |  | $(119,899)$ |
| Financing Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net (decrease) increase in deposit accounts |  | $(193,107)$ |  | $(388,355)$ |  | 79,403 |
| Net increase (decrease) in borrowed funds |  | 434,106 |  | 81,960 |  | $(211,860)$ |
| Proceeds from the issuance of subordinated debt |  | - |  | - |  | 99,887 |
| Proceeds from the issuance of preferred stock |  | 193,000 |  | - |  | - |
| Proceeds from the issuance of common stock |  | - |  | - |  | 143,623 |
| Purchases of treasury stock |  | (138) |  | $(61,733)$ |  | (844) |
| Cash dividends paid |  | $(60,298)$ |  | $(58,499)$ |  | $(53,757)$ |
| Exercise of stock options and restricted stock activity |  | 245 |  | 4,721 |  | 4,610 |
| Excess tax (expense) benefit related to share-based compensation |  | (37) |  | 361 |  | 924 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities |  | 373,771 |  | $(421,545)$ |  | 61,986 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents |  | $(80,529)$ |  | $(20,069)$ |  | 56,229 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year |  | 194,837 |  | 214,906 |  | 158,677 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ | 114,308 | \$ | 194,837 | \$ | 214,906 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations - First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. (the "Company") is a Delaware corporation and bank holding company that was incorporated in 1982, began operations on March 31, 1983, and was formed through an exchange of common stock. The Company is headquartered in Itasca, Illinois and has operations primarily located in Northern Illinois, principally in the suburban metropolitan Chicago area. The Company operates two wholly owned subsidiaries (the "Subsidiaries"): First Midwest Bank (the "Bank") and First Midwest Insurance Company, which is largely inactive. The Company is engaged in commercial and retail banking and offers a comprehensive selection of financial products and services including lending, depository, trust, investment management, insurance, and other related financial services tailored to the needs of its individual, business, institutional, and governmental customers.

Principles of Consolidation - The consolidated financial statements include the accounts and results of operations of the Company and its subsidiaries after elimination of all significant intercompany accounts and transactions. Assets held in a fiduciary or agency capacity are not assets of the subsidiaries and, accordingly, are not included in the consolidated financial statements.

Basis of Presentation - Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts to conform to the current year presentation. For purposes of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, management has defined cash and cash equivalents to include cash and due from banks, federal funds sold, and other short-term investments. The Company uses the accrual basis of accounting for financial reporting purposes.

Use of Estimates - The accounting and reporting policies of the Company and its subsidiaries conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP") and general practice within the banking industry. The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies adhered to in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements.

Business Combinations - Business combinations are accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Under the purchase method, net assets of the business acquired are recorded at their estimated fair value as of the date of acquisition, with any excess of the cost of the acquisition over the fair value of the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired recorded as goodwill. Results of operations of the acquired business are included in the Consolidated Statements of Income from the effective date of acquisition.

Securities - Securities are classified as held-to-maturity, available-for-sale, or trading at the time of purchase. Securities classified as held-to-maturity, which management has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity, are stated at cost and adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts.

Trading securities held by the Company represent diversified investment securities held in a grantor trust ("rabbi trust") under deferred compensation arrangements in which plan participants may direct amounts earned to be invested in securities other than Company stock. Pursuant to Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 97-14, Accounting for Deferred Compensation Arrangements Where Amounts Earned Are Held in a Rabbi Trust and Invested, the accounts of the rabbi trust are consolidated with the accounts of the Company in its financial statements. Trading securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses included in noninterest income. The corresponding deferred compensation obligation is also reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses recognized as a component of compensation expense. Other than the securities held in the rabbi trust, the Company does not carry securities for trading purposes.

All other securities are classified as available-for-sale. Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, net of related deferred income taxes, recorded in stockholders' equity as a separate component of other comprehensive income.

The historical cost of debt securities is adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts over the estimated life of the security, using the effective interest method. In determining the estimated life of a mortgagebacked security, certain judgments are required as to the timing and amount of future principal prepayments. These judgments are made based on the actual performance of the underlying security and the general market consensus regarding changes in mortgage interest rates and underlying prepayment estimates. Amortization of premium and accretion of discount are included in interest income from the related security.

Purchases and sales of securities are recognized on a trade date basis. Realized securities gains or losses are reported in securities gains (losses), net in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method. On a quarterly basis, the Company makes an assessment to determine whether there have been any events or circumstances to indicate that a security for which there is an unrealized loss is impaired on an other-than-temporary basis. The Company considers many factors including the severity and duration of the impairment; the intent and ability of the Company to hold the security for a period of time sufficient for a recovery in value; recent events specific to the issuer or industry; and for debt securities, external credit ratings and recent downgrades. Securities for which there is an unrealized loss that is deemed to be other-than-temporary are written down to fair value with the write-down recorded as a realized loss and included in securities gains (losses), net.

Loans - Loans are carried at the principal amount outstanding, including certain net deferred loan origination fees. Residential real estate mortgage loans held for sale are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or fair value. Interest income on loans is accrued based on principal amounts outstanding. Loan and lease origination fees, fees for commitments that are expected to be exercised, and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred and the net amount amortized over the estimated life of the related loans or commitments as a yield adjustment. Fees related to standby letters of credit, whose ultimate exercise is remote, are amortized into fee income over the estimated life of the commitment. Other credit-related fees are recognized as fee income when earned.

Non-accrual loans - Generally, commercial loans and loans secured by real estate (including impaired loans) are designated as non-accrual: (a) when either principal or interest payments are 90 days or more past due based on contractual terms unless the loan is sufficiently collateralized such that full repayment of both principal and interest is expected and is in the process of collection; or (b) when an individual analysis of a borrower's creditworthiness indicates a credit should be placed on non-accrual status. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, unpaid interest credited to income in the current year is reversed and unpaid interest accrued in prior years is charged against the reserve for loan losses. Future interest income may only be recorded on a cash basis after recovery of principal is reasonably assured. Non-accrual loans are returned to accrual status when the financial position of the borrower and other relevant factors indicate there is no longer doubt as to such collectibility.

Commercial loans and loans secured by real estate are generally charged-off when deemed uncollectible. A loss is recorded at that time if the net realizable value can be quantified and it is less than the associated principal and interest. Consumer loans are subject to mandatory charge-off at a specified delinquency date and are usually not classified as non-accrual prior to being charged-off. Closed-end consumer loans, which include installment, automobile, and single payment loans are generally charged-off in full no later than the end of the month in which the loan becomes 120 days past due.

Impaired Loans - A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all contractual principal and interest due according to the terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or the value of the underlying collateral. The Company evaluates the collectibility of both principal and interest when assessing the need for loss accrual. All loans subject to evaluation and considered to be impaired are included in non-performing assets.

Restructured Loans - In cases where a borrower experiences financial difficulties and the Company makes certain concessionary modifications to contractual terms, the loan is classified as a restructured loan. Loans
restructured at a rate equal to or greater than that of a new loan with comparable risk at the time the contract is modified may be excluded from restructured loans in the calendar years subsequent to the restructuring if they are in compliance with modified terms. Generally, a non-accrual loan that is restructured remains on non-accrual until such time that repayment of the remaining principal and interest is not in doubt, and the borrower has a period of satisfactory repayment performance.

90-Day Past Due Loans - 90 days past due loans are loans for which principal or interest payments become 90 days past due but that still accrue interest since they are loans that are well secured and in the process of collection.

Reserve for Loan Losses - The reserve for loan losses is maintained at a level believed adequate by management to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The reserve takes into consideration such factors as changes in the nature, volume, size and current risk characteristics of the loan portfolio, an assessment of individual problem loans, actual and anticipated loss experience, current economic conditions that affect the borrower's ability to pay and other pertinent factors. Determination of the reserve is inherently subjective, as it requires significant estimates, including the amounts and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on historical loss experience, and consideration of current economic trends, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. Credit exposures deemed to be uncollectible are charged-off against the reserve, while recoveries of amounts previously charged-off are credited to the reserve. Additions to the reserve for loan losses are charged to operating expense through the provision for loan losses. The amount charged to operating expense in any given year is dependent upon a number of factors including historic loan growth and changes in the composition of the loan portfolio, net charge-off levels, and the Company's assessment of the reserve for loan losses based on the methodology discussed below.

The reserve for loan losses consists of three components calculated based on estimations performed pursuant to the requirements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, and FASB Statements Nos. 114 and 118, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan ("SFAS Nos. 114 and 118"). The reserve for loan losses consists of: (i) specific reserves established for expected losses on individual loans for which the recorded investment in the loan exceeds the value of the loan; (ii) reserves based on historical loan loss experience for each loan category; and (iii) reserves based on general, current economic conditions as well as specific economic factors believed to be relevant to the markets in which the Company operates.

The specific reserves component of the reserve for loan losses is based on a regular analysis of impaired loans exceeding a fixed dollar amount where the internal credit rating is at or below a predetermined classification. A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all contractual principal and interest due according to the terms of the loan agreement. Loans subject to impairment valuation are defined as non-accrual and restructured loans exclusive of smaller homogeneous loans such as home equity, installment, and 1-4 family residential loans. Impairment is measured by estimating the fair value of the loan based on the present value of expected future cash flows, discounted at the loan's initial effective interest rate or the fair value of the underlying collateral less costs to sell, if repayment of the loan is collateral-dependent. If the estimated fair value of the loan is less than the recorded book value, a valuation reserve is established as a component of the reserve for loan losses.

The component of the reserve for loan losses based on historical loan loss experience is determined statistically using a loss migration analysis that examines loss experience and the related internal grading of loans chargedoff. The loss migration analysis is performed quarterly and loss factors are updated regularly based on actual experience.

The final component of the reserve for loan losses reflects management's general estimate of probable inherent, but undetected, losses within the portfolio. The general component of the reserve for loan losses is determined based on the Company's assessment of economic conditions such as levels of unemployment and bankruptcy
trends. The Company also assesses other risk factors such as changes in the characteristics of the loan portfolio, underwriting policies, and delinquency and charge-off trends. The general reserve is determined by applying estimated loss factors to the credit exposures from outstanding loans due to uncertainties in economic conditions, delays in obtaining information, including unfavorable information about a borrower's financial condition, the difficulty in identifying triggering events that correlate perfectly to subsequent loss rates, and risk factors that have not yet manifested themselves in loss allocation factors. In addition, this component includes a portion that explicitly accounts for the inherent imprecision in loan loss migration models. Because the general component of the reserve considers risk factors that may not have manifested themselves in the Company's historical loss experience, it involves a high degree of judgment in its determination.

Foreclosed Real Estate - Foreclosed real estate includes properties acquired in partial or total satisfaction of certain loans. Properties are recorded at the lower of the recorded investment in the loans for which the properties previously served as collateral or the fair value, which represents the estimated sales price of the properties on the date acquired less estimated selling costs. Any write-downs in the carrying value of a property at the time of acquisition are charged against the reserve for loan losses. Management periodically reviews the carrying value of foreclosed real estate properties. Any write-downs of the properties subsequent to acquisition, as well as gains or losses on disposition and income or expense from the operations of foreclosed real estate, are recognized in operating results in the period they are realized.

Depreciable Assets - Premises, furniture and equipment, and leasehold improvements are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation expense is determined by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the life of the asset or the lease term. Rates of depreciation are generally based on the following useful lives: buildings, 25 to 40 years; building improvements, typically 3 to 15 years but longer under limited circumstances; and furniture and equipment, 3 to 10 years. Gains on dispositions are included in other income, and losses on dispositions are included in other expense on the Consolidated Statements of Income. Maintenance and repairs are charged to operating expenses as incurred, while improvements that extend the useful life of assets are capitalized and depreciated over the estimated remaining life.

Long-lived depreciable assets are evaluated periodically for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Impairment exists when the expected undiscounted future cash flows of a long-lived asset are less than its carrying value. In that event, the Company recognizes a loss for the difference between the carrying amount and the estimated fair value of the asset based on a quoted market price, if applicable, or a discounted cash flow analysis. Impairment losses are recorded in other noninterest expense on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Bank Owned Life Insurance ("BOLI") - BOLI represents life insurance policies on the lives of certain Company officers and directors for which the Company is the sole beneficiary. These policies are recorded as an asset on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at their cash surrender value, or the amount that could be realized currently. The change in cash surrender value and insurance proceeds received are recorded as BOLI (loss) income on the Consolidated Statements of Income in noninterest income.

Goodwill and Other Intangibles - Goodwill represents the excess of purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired using the purchase method of accounting. Other intangible assets represent purchased assets that also lack physical substance but can be distinguished from goodwill because of contractual or other legal rights or because the asset is capable of being sold or exchanged either on its own or in combination with a related contract, asset, or liability. Goodwill is tested at least annually for impairment using the market capitalization valuation method, or more often if events or circumstances indicate that there may be impairment. Identified intangible assets that have a finite useful life are amortized over that life in a manner that reflects the estimated decline in the economic value of the identified intangible asset. Identified intangible assets that have a finite useful life are periodically reviewed to determine whether there have been any events or circumstances to indicate that the recorded amount is not recoverable from projected undiscounted net operating cash flows. If the projected undiscounted net operating cash
flows are less than the carrying amount, a loss is recognized to reduce the carrying amount to fair value, and, when appropriate, the amortization period is also reduced. Unamortized intangible assets associated with disposed assets are included in the determination of gain or loss on the sale of the disposed assets. All of the Company's other intangible assets have finite lives and are amortized over varying periods not exceeding 11.8 years.

Trust Assets and Assets Under Management - Assets held in fiduciary or agency capacity for customers are not included in the consolidated financial statements as they are not assets of the Company or its subsidiaries. Fee income is recognized on an accrual basis for financial reporting purposes and is included as a component of noninterest income.

Advertising Costs - All advertising costs incurred by the Company are expensed in the period in which they are incurred.

Derivative Financial Instruments - In the ordinary course of business, the Company enters into derivative transactions as part of its overall interest rate risk management strategy to minimize significant unplanned fluctuations in earnings and cash flows caused by interest rate volatility. All derivative instruments are recorded at fair value as either other assets or other liabilities. Subsequent changes in a derivative's fair value are recognized in earnings unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met.

On the date the Company enters into a derivative contract, it designates the derivative instrument as either a fair value hedge, cash flow hedge, or as a freestanding derivative instrument. Derivative instruments designated in a hedge relationship to mitigate exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset or liability attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered to be fair value hedges. Derivative instruments designated in a hedge relationship to mitigate exposure to variability in expected future cash flows to be received or paid related to an asset or liability or other types of forecasted transactions are considered to be cash flow hedges. The Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items as well as its risk management objective and strategy for undertaking each hedge transaction.

For effective derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a fair value hedge, the gain or loss on the derivative instrument, as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk, are recognized in current earnings during the period of the change in fair values. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative instrument is reported as a component of other comprehensive income. The unrealized gain or loss is reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings (for example, when a hedged item is terminated or redesignated). For all hedge relationships, derivative gains and losses not effective in hedging the change in fair value or expected cash flows of the hedged item are recognized immediately in current earnings during the period of change.

At the hedge's inception and at least quarterly thereafter, a formal assessment is performed to determine whether changes in the fair values or cash flows of the derivative instruments have been highly effective in offsetting changes in the fair values or cash flows of the hedged item and whether they are expected to be highly effective in the future. If a derivative instrument designated as a hedge is terminated or ceases to be highly effective, hedge accounting is discontinued prospectively and the gain or loss is amortized to earnings. For fair value hedges, the gain or loss is amortized over the remaining life of the hedged asset or liability. For cash flow hedges, the gain or loss is amortized over the same period(s) that the forecasted hedged transactions impact earnings. If the hedged item is disposed of, or the forecasted transaction is no longer probable, any fair value adjustments are included in the gain or loss from the disposition of the hedged item. In the case of a forecasted transaction that is no longer probable, the gain or loss is included in earnings immediately.

Income Taxes - The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and in Illinois, Indiana, and Iowa. First Midwest Insurance Company files a separate federal corporate income tax return. The provision for income taxes is based on income in the financial statements, rather than amounts reported on the Company's income tax return.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. A valuation allowance is established for any deferred tax asset for which recovery or settlement is unlikely. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized as income or expense in the period that includes the enactment date.

Earnings Per Share ("EPS") - Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income applicable to common shares by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. The basic EPS computation excludes the dilutive effect of all common stock equivalents. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income applicable to common shares by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding plus all potential common shares. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. The Company's potential common shares represent shares issuable under its long-term incentive compensation plans. Such common stock equivalents are computed based on the treasury stock method using the average market price for the period.

Treasury Stock - Treasury stock acquired is recorded at cost and is carried as a reduction of stockholders' equity in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. Treasury stock issued is valued based on the "last in, first out" inventory method. The difference between the consideration received upon issuance and the carrying value is charged or credited to additional paid-in capital.

Share-Based Compensation - The Company accounts for share-based compensation in accordance with the provisions of FASB Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, ("SFAS No. 123R") using the modified prospective transition method. Under this transition method, compensation cost is recognized in the financial statements beginning January 1, 2006, based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123R for all share-based payments granted after that date and based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123 for all unvested awards granted prior to 2006. Share-based compensation expense is included in "salaries and wages" in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

For additional details on the Company's share-based compensation plans, refer to Note 17, "Share-Based Compensation."

Comprehensive Income - Comprehensive income is the total of reported net income and all other revenues, expenses, gains, and losses that bypass reported net income under U.S. GAAP. The Company includes the following items, net of tax, in other comprehensive income in the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity: changes in unrealized gains or losses on securities available-for-sale, changes in the fair value of derivatives designated under cash flow hedges, and changes in the funded status of the Company's pension plan.

Segment Disclosures - Operating segments are components of a business that (i) engages in business activities from which it may earn revenues and incur expenses; (ii) has operating results that are reviewed regularly by the entity's chief operating decision maker to make decisions about resources to be allocated to the segment and assess its performance; and (iii) for which discrete financial information is available. The Company's chief operating decision maker evaluates the operations of the Company as one operating segment, commercial banking. Due to the materiality of the commercial banking operation to the Company's financial condition and results of operations, taken as a whole, separate segment disclosures are not required. The Company offers the following products and services to external customers: deposits, loans, and trust services. Revenues for each of these products and services are disclosed separately in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

## 2. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Fair Value Measurements: Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements ("SFAS No. 157"). Upon adoption, SFAS No. 157 replaced various definitions of fair value in existing accounting literature with a single definition, established a framework for measuring fair value, and required additional disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies whenever an entity is measuring fair value under other accounting standards that require or permit fair value measurement. To clarify the application of SFAS No. 157 in inactive markets, on October 10, 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") No. FAS 157-3, Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset is Not Active. Specifically, the FSP addresses the use of broker quotes and pricing services and how an entity's own input assumptions (such as discount rates used in cash flow projections) should be considered when measuring fair value when relevant observable market data does not exist. While SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial assets and liabilities on January 1, 2008 and for non-financial assets and liabilities on January 1, 2009, the FSP to address fair value measurements for inactive markets was effective on issuance including prior periods for which financial statements had not been issued. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 on January 1, 2008 and the FSP during the third quarter of 2008 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or liquidity. Refer to Note 22, "Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments," for the Company's fair value measurement disclosures.

Fair Value Option: Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted FASB Statement No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Liabilities ("SFAS No. 159"). SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. The fair value option is applied on an instrument-by-instrument basis, is irrevocable, and can only be applied to an entire instrument and not to specified risks, specified cash flows, or portions of that instrument. Changes in fair value on items for which the fair value option has been elected will be reported in earnings at each subsequent reporting date and upfront fees and costs related to those items will be recognized in earnings as incurred and not deferred. SFAS No. 159 also requires entities to provide additional information that would help users of the financial statements understand how changes in fair values affect current-period earnings. While the Company did not elect the fair value option on the adoption date, it may elect this guidance for financial assets and liabilities in the future as permitted under the statement. Accordingly, the adoption of SFAS No. 159 on January 1, 2008 did not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements: Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue 06-4, Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements ("EITF 06-4"). The EITF is limited to the recognition of a liability and related compensation costs for endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangements that provide a benefit to an employee that extends to postretirement periods. The effect of initially applying the guidance would be accounted for as a cumulative-effect adjustment to beginning retained earnings with the option of retrospective application. As the Company had already followed the provisions of this statement, the adoption of EITF 06-4 on January 1, 2008 did not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

Business Combinations: In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), Business Combinations ("SFAS No. 141(R)"). SFAS No. 141(R) will significantly change how entities apply the acquisition method to business combinations. The most significant changes affecting how the Company will account for business combinations under this statement include:

- The acquisition date will be the date the acquirer obtains control.
- All (and only) identifiable assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and noncontrolling interests in the acquiree will be stated at fair value on the acquisition date.
- Assets or liabilities arising from noncontractual contingencies will be measured at their acquisition date fair value only if it is more likely than not that they meet the definition of an asset or liability on the acquisition date.
- Adjustments subsequently made to the provisional amounts recorded on the acquisition date will be made retroactively during a measurement period not to exceed one year.
- Acquisition-related restructuring costs that do not meet the criteria in SFAS No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities, will be expensed as incurred.
- Transaction costs will be expensed as incurred except for debt or equity issuance costs, which will be accounted for in accordance with other generally accepted accounting principles.
- Reversals of deferred income tax valuation allowances and income tax contingencies will be recognized in earnings subsequent to the measurement period.
- The reserve for loan losses of an acquiree will not be permitted to be recognized by the acquirer.

In addition, SFAS No. 141(R) will require new and modified disclosures surrounding subsequent changes to acquisition-related contingencies, contingent consideration, noncontrolling interests, acquisition-related transaction costs, fair values, cash flows not expected to be collected for acquired loans, and an enhanced goodwill rollforward. The Company will be required to prospectively apply SFAS No. 141(R) to all business combinations completed on or after January 1, 2009. The effect of these new requirements on the Company's financial position and results of operations will depend on the volume and terms of acquisitions in 2009 and beyond, but will likely increase the amount and change the timing of recognizing expenses related to acquisition activities.

Derivative Disclosures: In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures About Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities - an amendment of SFAS No. 133 ("SFAS No. 161"), which requires an entity to provide greater transparency about how its derivative and hedging activities affect its financial statements. SFAS No. 161 requires enhanced disclosures about: (i) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments; (ii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133; and (iii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with early application encouraged. Since SFAS No. 161 affects only disclosures, it will not impact the Company's financial position or results of operations upon adoption.

Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment: Effective December 31, 2008, the Company adopted EITF 99-20-1, Amendments to the Impairment and Interest Income Guidance of EITF Issue No. 99-20 ("EITF 99-20-1"). The EITF strives to resolve the lack of consistency in the impairment guidance of EITF 99-20, Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased Beneficial Interests and Beneficial Interests That Continue to Be Held by a Transferor in Securitized Financial Assets, ("EITF 99-20") and SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, for determining whether an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred. Prior to amendment, EITF 99-20 required the use of market participant assumptions regarding future cash flows without consideration of the probability that all cash flows will be collected. However, SFAS No. 115 does not require exclusive reliance on market participant assumptions regarding future cash flows, permitting management to use reasonable judgment in determining the probability that a holder will be unable to collect all amounts due. The EITF removes the "market participant" concept from EITF 99-20 and requires adverse changes in expected cash flows to be "probable" before recognizing impairment under EITF 99-20. The impairment guidance provided by EITF 99-20-1 did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations upon adoption.

Transfers of Financial Assets: Effective December 31, 2008, the Company adopted FSP No. FAS 140-4 and FIN 46R-8, Disclosures by Public Entities (Enterprises) about Transfers of Financial Assets and Interests in Variable Interest Entities, ("FSP FAS 140-4 and FIN 46R-8"). The FSP was issued in advance of the finalization of other proposed amendments to FASB Statements No. 140 and Interpretation No. 46R and requires additional disclosures about transfers of financial assets and about an entity's involvement with variable interest entities. This FSP is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after December 15, 2008. Adoption of this FSP affects disclosures only and therefore has no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Disclosures about Pension Plan Assets: In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 132R-1, Disclosures about Plan Assets. This FSP requires additional disclosures about plan assets of a defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan. The FSP has two main objectives. First, it requires additional disclosures about major categories of plan assets and concentrations of risk within plan assets. Second, it applies the requirements of SFAS No. 157 to defined benefit plans by requiring disclosure of the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of plan assets and the effect of fair value measurements using unobservable inputs on changes in plan assets for the period. The requirements of the FSP are not effective until fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009, and thus will be included in the Company's financial statements beginning with the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009. Adoption of this FSP affects disclosures only and therefore has no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

## 3. SECURITIES

Securities Portfolio<br>(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AmortizedCost | Gross Unrealized |  | Fair Value |  | Amortized Cost |  | Gross Unrealized |  |  | Fair Value |  |
|  |  | Gains | Losses |  |  |  | Gains | Losses |  |  |
| Securities Available- for-Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 1,039 | \$ 2 | \$ | \$ | 1,041 |  |  | \$ | 1,027 | \$ | 2 | \$ (1) | \$ | 1,028 |
| U.S. Agency | - | - | - |  | - |  | 41,895 |  | 597 | - |  | 42,492 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | 694,285 | 7,668 | $(3,114)$ |  | 698,839 |  | 534,688 |  | 2,333 | $(2,221)$ |  | 534,800 |
| Other mortgage- backed | 504,918 | 13,421 | (74) |  | 518,265 |  | 417,532 |  | 5,116 | $(2,328)$ |  | 420,320 |
| State and municipal | 907,036 | 12,606 | $(12,895)$ |  | 906,747 |  | 961,638 |  | 7,728 | $(2,531)$ |  | 966,835 |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 60,406 | - | $(18,320)$ |  | 42,086 |  | 95,584 |  | - | $(13,954)$ |  | 81,630 |
| Other | 51,820 | 213 | $(2,825)$ |  | 49,208 |  | 35,295 |  | 34 | $(2,388)$ |  | 32,941 |
| Total | \$ 2,219,504 | \$ 33,910 | \$ $(37,228)$ | \$ | 2,216,186 | \$ | 2,087,659 | \$ | 15,810 | \$ $(23,423)$ | \$ | 2,080,046 |
| Securities Held- to-Maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State and municipal . | \$ 84,306 | \$ 286 | \$ | \$ | 84,592 | \$ | 97,671 | \$ | 260 | \$ | \$ | 97,931 |
| Trading Securities . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  | \$ | 12,358 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 18,352 |

Other available-for-sale securities include corporate bonds and other miscellaneous marketable equity securities.

Trading securities held by the Company represent diversified investment securities held in a grantor trust under deferred compensation arrangements in which plan participants may direct amounts earned to be invested in securities other than Company stock. Net trading (losses) gains, representing changes in the fair value of the portfolio, are included in other noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income and totaled \$(5.9) million in 2008, $\$ 1.1$ million in 2007, and $\$ 561,000$ in 2006.

The carrying value of securities available-for-sale, securities held-to-maturity, and securities purchased under agreements to resell that were pledged to secure deposits and for other purposes as permitted or required by law totaled $\$ 1.7$ billion at December 31, 2008 and $\$ 1.8$ billion at December 31, 2007.

Excluding securities issued or backed by the U.S. Government and its agencies and U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises, there were no investments in securities from one issuer that exceeded $10 \%$ of consolidated stockholders' equity on December 31, 2008 or 2007.

The following table presents the aggregate amount of unrealized losses and the aggregate related fair values of securities with unrealized losses as of December 31, 2008 and 2007.

## Securities In an Unrealized Loss Position

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Less Than 12 Months |  |  |  | 12 Months or Longer |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fair Value | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Unrealized } \\ & \text { Losses } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Fair Value | UnrealizedLosses |  | Fair Value |  | UnrealizedLosses |  |
| As of December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations . . . . . . . . | \$ | 27,142 | \$ | 49 | \$ | 39,923 | \$ | 3,065 | \$ | 67,065 |  | 3,114 |
| Other mortgage-backed securities |  | 113 |  | 1 |  | 6,246 |  | 73 |  | 6,359 |  | 74 |
| State and municipal |  | 144,997 |  | 5,783 |  | 174,141 |  | 7,112 |  | 319,138 |  | 12,895 |
| Collateralized debt obligations |  | - |  | - |  | 28,004 |  | 18,320 |  | 28,004 |  | 18,320 |
| Other |  | 23,092 |  | 2,586 |  | 1,065 |  | 239 |  | 24,157 |  | 2,825 |
| Total | \$ | 195,344 | \$ | 8,419 | \$ | 249,379 |  | 28,809 | , | 444,723 |  | 37,228 |
| As of December 31, 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ | 551 | \$ | 1 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 551 | \$ | 1 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations ........ . |  | 38,739 |  | 169 |  | 242,894 |  | 2,052 |  | 281,633 |  | 2,221 |
| Other mortgage-backed securities |  | 3,598 |  | 9 |  | 166,164 |  | 2,319 |  | 169,762 |  | 2,328 |
| State and municipal |  | 80,944 |  | 779 |  | 230,120 |  | 1,752 |  | 311,064 |  | 2,531 |
| Collateralized debt obligations |  | 65,879 |  | 12,874 |  | 5,670 |  | 1,080 |  | 71,549 |  | 13,954 |
| Other |  | 973 |  | 27 |  | 7,945 |  | 2,361 |  | 8,918 |  | 2,388 |
| Total | \$ | 190,684 |  | 13,859 | \$ | 652,793 | \$ | 9,564 | \$ | 843,477 |  | 23,423 |

The unrealized losses on the Company's investment in U.S. Treasury securities, collateralized mortgage obligations, and other mortgage-backed securities were caused by increases in interest rates. These types of investments are either backed by U.S. Government-owned agencies or issued by U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises. Accordingly, the Company believes the credit risk embedded in these securities to be inherently nonexistent. The unrealized losses in the Company's investment in state and municipal securities all relate to securities with investment grade ratings and were caused not by credit risk, but by interest rate increases.

The unrealized loss on collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs") as of December 31, 2008 of $\$ 18.3$ million reflects the market's temporary negative bias toward structured investment vehicles given the current interest rate and liquidity environment. The Company does not believe this loss is an other-than-temporary impairment. The Company expects no change in its net cash flows from these investments from what was originally anticipated, and the Company has both the intent and ability to hold them until maturity or recovery. The Company's estimation of cash flows for these investments and resulting fair values were based upon its own cash flow modeling in accordance with SFAS No. 157, as described in Note 22, "Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments."

The unrealized losses in the Company's investment in other securities consist of unrealized losses on corporate bonds and equity securities and relate to temporary movements in the financial markets.

Management does not believe any individual unrealized loss as of December 31, 2008 represents an other-thantemporary impairment. The declines in fair value on the Company's securities are not attributable to credit quality, but rather to changes in interest rates and temporary market movements. In addition, the Company has both the intent and ability to hold the securities with unrealized losses for a period of time necessary to recover the amortized cost, or to maturity.

## Remaining Contractual Maturity of Securities

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Available-for-Sale |  |  |  | Held-to-Maturity |  |  |  |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | Fair Value |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Amortized } \\ \text { Cost }}}{\text { Het }}$ |  | Fair Value |  |
| One year or less | \$ | 85,511 | \$ | 83,721 |  | 10,926 | \$ | 10,963 |
| One year to five years |  | 156,422 |  | 153,149 |  | 23,072 |  | 23,150 |
| Five years to ten years |  | 338,119 |  | 331,044 |  | 16,227 |  | 16,282 |
| After ten years |  | 424,160 |  | 415,285 |  | 34,081 |  | 34,197 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 694,285 |  | 698,839 |  | - |  | - |
| Other mortgage-backed securities |  | 504,918 |  | 518,265 |  | - |  | - |
| Equity securities |  | 16,089 |  | 15,883 |  | - |  | - |
| Total | \$ | 2,219,504 | \$ | 2,216,186 |  | 84,306 | \$ | 84,592 |

## Securities Gains (Losses)

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |  |
| Proceeds from sales | \$ 226,575 | \$ 334,892 | \$ | 327,952 |
| Gains (losses) on sales of securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Gross realized gains | \$ 8,906 | \$ 4,619 | \$ | 4,774 |
| Gross realized losses | (3) | $(5,365)$ |  | (505) |
| Net realized (losses) gains on securities sales | 8,903 | (746) |  | 4,269 |
| Non-cash impairment charges | $(44,514)$ | $(50,055)$ |  | - |
| Net realized (losses) gains | \$ (35,611) | \$ $(50,801)$ | \$ | 4,269 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense on net realized (losses) gains | \$ $(13,888)$ | \$ $(19,812)$ | \$ | 1,666 |

In fourth quarter 2007, the Company recorded an other-than-temporary ("OTTI") impairment of $\$ 50.1$ million related to six asset-backed CDOs with a book value of $\$ 60.2$ million and a fair value of $\$ 10.1$ million. During 2008, the Company recorded an additional OTTI charge of the remaining $\$ 10.1$ million carrying value.

Of the remaining 2008 non-cash impairment charge of $\$ 34.5$ million, $\$ 24.8$ million related to three trustpreferred CDOs with an aggregate cost of $\$ 38.9$ million. These securities continue to meet all scheduled debt service requirements. The Company also recorded a $\$ 9.7$ million non-cash impairment charge related to two whole loan mortgage-backed securities with a combined par value of $\$ 16.6$ million and a single Sallie Mae debt issuance with a par value of $\$ 10.0$ million. The two whole loan mortgage-backed securities are included with collateralized mortgage obligations and the Sallie Mae debt issuance is included in other securities.

For additional details of the securities available-for-sale portfolio and the related impact of unrealized gains (losses) thereon, see Note 14, "Comprehensive Income."

## 4. LOANS

## Loan Portfolio

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  |  | 2007 |
| Commercial and industrial |  | 1,490,101 |  | 1,347,481 |
| Agricultural |  | 142,635 |  | 181,358 |
| Real estate - office, retail, and industrial |  | 1,127,689 |  | 942,065 |
| Real estate - residential land and development |  | 509,059 |  | 505,194 |
| Real estate - multi-family |  | 237,646 |  | 178,602 |
| Real estate - other commercial real estate |  | 1,106,952 |  | 1,024,490 |
| Consumer |  | 547,784 |  | 563,741 |
| Real estate - 1-4 family |  | 198,197 |  | 220,741 |
| Total loans |  | \$ 5,360,063 |  | 4,963,672 |

Total loans reported are net of deferred loan fees of $\$ 8.5$ million at December 31, 2008 and $\$ 8.8$ million at December 31, 2007 and include overdrawn demand deposits totaling $\$ 7.7$ million at December 31, 2008 and $\$ 11.0$ million at December 31, 2007.

The Company primarily lends to small to mid-sized businesses, commercial real estate customers, and consumers in the market areas in which the Company operates. Within these areas, the Company diversifies its loan portfolio by loan type, industry, and borrower. The Company believes that such diversification reduces its exposure to economic downturns that may occur in different segments of the economy or in different industries.

It is the Company's policy to review each prospective credit in order to determine the appropriateness and, when required, the adequacy of security or collateral to obtain prior to making a loan. In the event of borrower default, the Company seeks recovery in compliance with state lending laws and the Company's lending standards and credit monitoring procedures.

## Book Value of Loans Pledged

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  |  | 2007 |
| Loans pledged to secure: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  | 5,910 | \$ | 55,016 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances |  | 619,183 |  | 701,177 |
| Federal term auction facilities |  | 1,155,626 |  | - |
| Total |  | 1,780,719 |  | 756,193 |

## 5. RESERVE FOR LOAN LOSSES AND IMPAIRED LOANS

Reserve For Loan Losses

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ | 61,800 | \$ | 62,370 | \$ | 56,393 |
| Loans charged-off |  | $(40,337)$ |  | $(10,066)$ |  | $(12,303)$ |
| Recoveries of loans previously charged-off |  | 2,152 |  | 2,263 |  | 2,116 |
| Net loans charged-off |  | $(38,185)$ |  | $(7,803)$ |  | $(10,187)$ |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 70,254 |  | 7,233 |  | 10,229 |
| Reserve of acquired bank |  | - |  | - |  | 5,935 |
| Balance at end of year | \$ | 93,869 | \$ | 61,800 | \$ | 62,370 |

A portion of the Company's reserve for loan losses is allocated to loans deemed impaired.

## Impaired, Non-accrual, and Past Due Loans

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  |
| Impaired loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Impaired loans with valuation reserve required ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 58,439 | \$ | 3,470 |
| Impaired loans with no valuation reserve required |  | 72,397 |  | 18,989 |
| Total impaired loans | \$ | 130,836 | \$ | 22,459 |
| Non-accrual loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Impaired loans on non-accrual | \$ | 123,492 | \$ | 15,068 |
| Other non-accrual loans ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 4,276 |  | 3,379 |
| Total non-accrual loans | \$ | 127,768 | \$ | 18,447 |
| Restructured loans | \$ | 7,344 | \$ | 7,391 |
| Loans past due 90 days and still accruing interest | \$ | 36,999 | \$ | 21,149 |

${ }^{(1)}$ These impaired loans require a valuation reserve because the estimated value of the loans is less than the recorded investment in the loans.
${ }^{(2)}$ These loans are not considered for impairment since they are part of a small balance, homogeneous portfolio.

## Impaired Loans

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| Valuation reserve related to impaired loans | \$ | 10,177 | \$ | 1,757 | \$ | 3,594 |
| Average impaired loans | \$ | 46,749 | \$ | 14,184 | \$ | 12,842 |
| Interest income recognized on impaired loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 97 | \$ | 313 | \$ | 120 |

As of December 31, 2008, the Company had $\$ 20.7$ million of additional funds committed to be advanced in connection with impaired loans. In January 2009, a number of these commitments were closed, reducing this amount to $\$ 10.8$ million.

## 6. PREMISES, FURNITURE, AND EQUIPMENT

## Premises, Furniture, and Equipment

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  |
| Land | \$ | 42,818 | \$ | 42,749 |
| Premises |  | 131,630 |  | 129,397 |
| Furniture and equipment |  | 80,770 |  | 81,863 |
| Total cost |  | 255,218 |  | 254,009 |
| Accumulated depreciation |  | $(135,183)$ |  | $(128,181)$ |
| Net book value | \$ | 120,035 | \$ | 125,828 |

Depreciation expense on premises, furniture, and equipment totaled $\$ 11.4$ million in 2008, $\$ 11.7$ million in 2007, and $\$ 11.0$ million in 2006.

At December 31, 2008, the Company was obligated under certain noncancelable operating leases for premises and equipment, which expire at various dates through the year 2019. Many of these leases contain renewal options, and certain leases provide options to purchase the leased property during or at the expiration of the lease period at specific prices. Some leases contain escalation clauses calling for rentals to be adjusted for increased real estate taxes and other operating expenses, or proportionately adjusted for increases in the consumer or other price indices. The following summary reflects the future minimum rental payments, by year, required under operating leases that, as of December 31, 2008, have initial or remaining noncancelable lease terms in excess of one year.

## Operating Leases <br> (Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year ending December 31, |  |  |
| 2009 | \$ | 2,832 |
| 2010 |  | 2,840 |
| 2011 |  | 2,595 |
| 2012 |  | 2,536 |
| 2013 |  | 2,552 |
| 2014 and thereafter |  | 5,031 |
| Total minimum lease payments |  | 18,386 |

Rental expense charged to operations amounted to $\$ 3.3$ million in 2008, $\$ 3.4$ million in 2007, and $\$ 3.2$ million in 2006, including amounts paid under short-term cancelable leases. Occupancy expense has been reduced by rental income from premises leased to others in the amount of $\$ 479,000$ in 2008 , $\$ 511,000$ in 2007 , and $\$ 473,000$ in 2006.

## 7. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

## Changes in the Carrying Amount of Goodwill

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  |
| Balance at beginning of year |  | 262,195 | \$ | 262,195 |
| Purchase accounting adjustments |  | 691 |  | - |
| Balance at end of year | \$ | 262,886 | \$ | 262,195 |

Goodwill is not amortized but is subject to impairment tests on at least an annual basis. The Company's annual goodwill impairment test was performed as of October 1, 2008, and it was determined no impairment existed as of that date.

Purchase accounting adjustments are the adjustments to the initial goodwill recorded at the time an acquisition is completed. Such adjustments generally consist of adjustments to the assigned fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed resulting from the completion of appraisals or other valuations and adjustments to initial estimates recorded for transaction costs or exit liabilities.

The Company has other intangible assets capitalized on its Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition in the form of core deposit premiums. These intangible assets are being amortized over their estimated useful lives, which range from 5.0 years to 11.8 years. The Company reviews intangible assets for possible impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that carrying amounts may not be recoverable.

## Other Intangible Assets

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  |
| Core deposit intangibles, gross | \$ | 35,731 | \$ | 38,300 |
| Accumulated amortization |  | $(14,069)$ |  | $(12,260)$ |
| Core deposit intangibles, net | \$ | 21,662 | \$ | 26,040 |
| Weighted-average remaining life (in years) |  | 6.7 |  | 7.4 |

Amortization expense totaled \$4.4 million in both 2008 and 2007 and $\$ 4.0$ million in 2006.

## Scheduled Amortization of Other Intangible Assets

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| Year ending December 31, |  |
| 2009 | \$ 3,913 |
| 2010 | 3,913 |
| 2011 | 3,144 |
| 2012 | 2,533 |
| 2013 | 2,386 |
| 2014 and thereafter | 5,773 |
| Total | \$ 21,662 |

## 8. DEPOSITS

## Summary of Deposits

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  |
| Demand deposits |  | \$ 1,040,763 |  | 1,064,684 |
| Savings deposits |  | 747,079 |  | 798,361 |
| NOW accounts |  | 915,691 |  | 889,760 |
| Money market deposits |  | 754,421 |  | 829,226 |
| Time deposits less than \$100,000 |  | 1,436,692 |  | 1,424,690 |
| Time deposits of \$100,000 or more |  | 691,108 |  | 772,140 |
| Total deposits |  | \$ 5,585,754 |  | 5,778,861 |

## Scheduled Maturities of Time Deposits

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year ending December 31, |  |  |
| 2009 |  | 1,885,132 |
| 2010 |  | 171,307 |
| 2011 |  | 35,834 |
| 2012 |  | 18,917 |
| 2013 |  | 16,090 |
| 2014 and thereafter |  | 520 |
| Total |  | 2,127,800 |

## Maturities of Time Deposits of $\$ 100,000$ or More

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| Maturing within 3 months | \$ 255,472 |
| After 3 but within 6 months | 144,800 |
| After 6 but within 12 months | 214,673 |
| After 12 months | 76,163 |
| Total | \$ 691,108 |

## 9. BORROWED FUNDS

## Summary of Borrowed Funds

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | \$ | 457,598 | \$ | 364,164 |
| Federal funds purchased |  | 280,000 |  | 301,000 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances |  | 310,736 |  | 599,064 |
| Federal term auction facilities |  | 650,000 |  | - |
| Total borrowed funds | \$ | 1,698,334 | \$ | 1,264,228 |

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, federal funds purchased, and federal term auction facilities generally mature within 1 to 90 days from the transaction date. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are treated as financings, and the obligations to repurchase securities sold are included as a liability in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. Repurchase agreements are secured by U.S. Treasury and U.S. Agency securities and, if required, are held in third party pledge accounts. The securities underlying the agreements remain in the respective asset accounts. As of December 31, 2008, the Company did not have amounts at risk under repurchase agreements with any individual counterparty or group of counterparties that exceeded $10 \%$ of stockholders' equity.

The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") and has access to term financing from the FHLB. These advances are secured by qualifying residential and multi-family mortgages, home equity loans, and state and municipal and mortgage-related securities. At December 31, 2008, all advances from the FHLB are fixed rate with interest payable monthly.

## Maturity and Rate Schedule for FHLB Advances

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| Maturity | December 31, 2008 |  | December 31, 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Advance Amount | Rate (\%) | Advance Amount | Rate (\%) |
| January 2, 2008 | \$ - | - | \$ 125,000 | 4.34 |
| January 14, 2008 | - | - | 50,000 | 5.18 |
| February 19, 2008 | - | - | 30,000 | 5.20 |
| March 10, 2008 | - | - | 70,000 | 4.98 |
| May 28, 2008 | - | - | 3,000 | 2.77 |
| August 15, 2008 | - | - | 100,000 | 5.05 |
| August 18, 2008 | - | - | 50,000 | 4.96 |
| August 18, 2008 | - | - | 35,000 | 4.81 |
| January 5, 2009 | 100,000 | 0.35 | - | - |
| February 17, 2009 | 35,000 | 4.73 | 35,000 | 4.73 |
| July 23, 2009 | 50,000 | 3.26 | - | - |
| September 8, 2009 | 25,000 | 2.42 | - | - |
| November 5, 2009 | 50,000 | 4.30 | 50,000 | 4.30 |
| December 4, 2009 | 50,000 | 3.79 | 50,000 | 3.79 |
| June 15, 2010 | 736 | 6.05 | 1,064 | 6.05 |
|  | \$ 310,736 | 2.68 | \$ 599,064 | 4.69 |

None of the Company's borrowings have any related compensating balance requirements that restrict the use of Company assets.

The Company had unused short-term credit lines available for use of $\$ 1.4$ billion as of December 31, 2008 and $\$ 1.2$ billion as of December 31, 2007. Unused lines as of December 31, 2008 consist of available federal funds lines. The availability of the federal funds lines is subject to the liquidity position of other banks. As of December 31, 2008, the Company also had $\$ 216.8$ million in funding available through the Federal Reserve Bank Discount Window's primary credit program, which includes federal term auction facilities.

## 10. SUBORDINATED DEBT

## Subordinated Debt

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 |
| 6.95\% junior subordinated debentures due in $2033{ }^{(1)}$ | \$ 132,490 | \$ 130,174 |
| $5.85 \%$ subordinated debt due in $2016{ }^{(2)}$ | 99,919 | 99,908 |
| Total subordinated debt | \$ 232,409 | \$ 230,082 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Included in the carrying value are unaccreted discounts of $\$ 125,000$ as of December 31, 2008 and $\$ 130,000$ as of December 31, 2007 and a basis adjustment related to fair value hedges of $\$ 3.7$ million as of December 31, 2008 and $\$ 1.4$ million as of December 31, 2007. For additional discussion regarding the fair value hedges, refer to Note 11, "Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities."
(2) Included in the carrying value are unaccreted discounts of $\$ 81,000$ as of December 31, 2008 and $\$ 92,000$ as of December 31, 2007.

In 2006, the Company issued $\$ 99.9$ million of 10 -year subordinated notes. The notes were issued at a discount and have a fixed coupon interest rate of $5.85 \%$, per annum, payable semi-annually. The notes are not redeemable prior to maturity and are junior and subordinate to the Company's senior indebtedness. For regulatory capital purposes, the notes qualify as Tier 2 Capital.

In 2003, the Company formed First Midwest Capital Trust I ("FMCT I"), a statutory business trust, organized for the sole purpose of issuing trust securities and investing the proceeds thereof in junior subordinated debentures of the Company, the sole assets of the trust. The trust preferred securities of the trust represent preferred beneficial interests in the assets of the trust and are subject to mandatory redemption, in whole or in part, upon payment of the junior subordinated debentures held by the trust. The common securities of the trust are wholly owned by the Company. The trust's ability to pay amounts due on the trust preferred securities is solely dependent upon the Company making payment on the related junior subordinated debentures. The Company's obligations under the junior subordinated debentures and other relevant trust agreements, in aggregate, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by the Company of the trust's obligations under the trust securities issued by the trust. The guarantee covers the distributions and payments on liquidation or redemption of the trust preferred securities, but only to the extent of funds held by the trust.

In accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities ("FIN 46R"), FMCT I qualifies as a variable interest entity for which the Company is not the primary beneficiary and therefore ineligible for consolidation. Accordingly, the trust is not consolidated in the Company's financial statements. The subordinated debentures issued by the Company to the trust are included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as "subordinated debt" with the corresponding interest distributions recorded as interest expense. The common shares issued by the trust are included in other assets in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

## Common Stock, Preferred Securities, and Related Debentures

(Dollar amounts and number of shares in thousands)

| Issuance tr | First Midwest Capital Trust I |
| :---: | :---: |
| Issuance date | November 18, 2003 |
| Common shares issued | 3,866 |
| Trust preferred securities issued ${ }^{(1)}$ | 125,000 |
| Coupon rate ${ }^{(2)}$ | 6.95\% |
| Maturity | December 1, 2033 |
| Principal amount of debentures ${ }^{(3)}$ : |  |
| As of December 31, 2008 | \$ 132,490 |
| As of December 31, 2007 | \$ 130,174 |

${ }^{(1)}$ The trust preferred securities accrue distributions at a rate equal to the interest rate and maturity identical to that of the related debentures. The trust preferred securities will be redeemed upon maturity of the related debentures.
${ }^{(2)}$ The coupon rate is fixed with distributions payable semi-annually. The Company has the right to defer payment of interest on the debentures at any time or from time to time for a period not exceeding five years provided no extension period may extend beyond the stated maturity of the debentures. During such extension period, distributions on the trust preferred securities will also be deferred, and the Company's ability to pay dividends on its common stock will be restricted.
(3) The Company has the right to redeem its debentures: (i) in whole or in part at any time and (ii) in whole at any time within 90 days after the occurrence of a "Tax Event," an "Investment Company Act Event," or a "Regulatory Capital Event," (as defined in the indenture pursuant to which the debentures were issued), subject to regulatory approval. If the debentures are redeemed before they mature, the redemption price will be the greater of: (a) the principal amount plus any accrued but unpaid interest or (b) the sum of the present values of principal and interest payments from the redemption date to the maturity date discounted at the Adjusted Treasury Rate (as defined in the indenture), plus any accrued but unpaid interest.

## 11. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company maintains an overall interest rate risk management strategy that incorporates the use of derivative instruments to minimize significant unplanned fluctuations in earnings and cash flows caused by interest rate volatility. For a detailed discussion of the Company's accounting policies related to derivative instruments, refer to Note 1, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies." The Company usually designates derivative instruments used to manage interest rate risk into hedge relationships with the specific assets, liabilities, or cash flows being hedged. Some derivative instruments used for interest rate risk management may not be designated as part of a hedge relationship if the derivative instrument has been moved out of a hedge relationship because the hedge was deemed not effective or if operational or cost constraints make it prohibitive to apply hedge accounting.

Management uses derivative instruments to protect against the risk of interest rate movements on the value of certain assets and liabilities and on future cash flows. The derivative instruments the Company primarily uses are interest rate swaps with indices that relate to the pricing of specific assets and liabilities. The nature and volume of the derivative instruments used to manage interest rate risk depend on the level and type of assets and liabilities on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition and the risk management strategies for the current and anticipated interest rate environment.

As with any financial instrument, derivative instruments have inherent risks, primarily market and credit risk. Market risk is the adverse effect a change in interest rates, currency, equity prices, or implied volatility has on the value of a financial instrument. Market risk associated with changes in interest rates is managed by establishing and monitoring limits as to the degree of risk that may be undertaken as part of the Company's overall market risk monitoring process, which includes the use of net interest income and economic value of equity simulation methodologies. This process is carried out by the Company's Asset Liability Management Committee. See further discussion of this process in Item 7A, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk," of this Form 10-K.

Credit risk occurs when the counterparty to a derivative contract with an unrealized gain fails to perform according to the terms of the agreement. Credit risk is managed by limiting the aggregate amount of net unrealized gains in agreements outstanding, monitoring the size and the maturity structure of the derivatives, applying uniform credit standards maintained for all activities with credit risk, and collateralizing gains. The Company maintains a policy limiting credit exposure to any one counterparty to not more than $2.5 \%$ of stockholders' equity. In addition, the Company has established bilateral collateral agreements with its major derivative dealer counterparties that provide for exchanges of marketable securities or cash to collateralize either party's net gains above an agreed-upon minimum threshold. On December 31, 2008, these collateral agreements covered $100 \%$ of the fair value of the Company's interest rate swaps outstanding. Net losses with counterparties must be collateralized, at the Company's discretion, with either cash or U.S. Government and U.S. Governmentsponsored agency securities. As of December 31, 2008, the Company pledged cash of $\$ 2.7$ million to collateralize net losses with counterparties. No other collateral was required to be pledged as of December 31, 2008. No cash or other collateral was required to be pledged as of December 31, 2007.

Derivative contracts are valued using observable market prices, if available, or cash flow projection models acquired from third parties. Pricing models used for valuing derivative instruments are regularly validated by
testing through comparison with other third parties. The valuations and expected lives presented in the following table are based on yield curves, forward yield curves, and implied volatilities that were observable in the cash and derivatives markets on December 31, 2008 and 2007.

Fair Value Hedges - During 2008 and 2007, the Company hedged the fair value of fixed rate commercial real estate loans through the use of pay fixed, receive variable interest rate swaps. The Company also hedged the fair value of fixed rate, junior subordinated debentures through the use of pay variable, receive fixed interest rate swaps. The Company recognized ineffectiveness on its fair value hedges of $\$ 3,000$ in 2008 and $\$ 12,000$ in 2007, which is included in other noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income. No gains or losses were recognized related to components of derivative instruments that were excluded from the assessment of hedge ineffectiveness during 2008 or 2007.

Other Derivative Activities - The Company had no other derivative instruments as of December 31, 2008. As of December 31, 2007, the Company's derivative portfolio also included derivative instruments not designated in a hedge relationship. Those instruments included commitments to originate and commitments to sell real estate 1-4 family mortgage loans held for sale. The effect of the mortgage loan commitments was not material for any period presented. The Company does not enter into derivative transactions for purely speculative purposes.

## Interest Rate Derivatives Portfolio

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 |  |
| Fair Value Hedges |  |  |  |
| Related to junior subordinated fixed rate debt |  |  |  |
| Notional amount outstanding | \$ | \$ | 37,500 |
| Weighted-average interest rate received | - |  | 6.95\% |
| Weighted-average interest rate paid | - |  | 6.72\% |
| Weighted-average maturity (in years) | - |  | 25.94 |
| Derivative asset fair value | \$ | \$ | 1,661 |
| Method used to assess hedge effectiveness | - |  | llar offset |
| Method used to calculate ineffectiveness | - |  | fair value ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| Related to fixed rate commercial loans |  |  |  |
| Notional amount outstanding | \$ 19,982 | \$ | 10,468 |
| Weighted-average interest rate received | 3.16\% |  | 6.72\% |
| Weighted-average interest rate paid | 6.39\% |  | 6.83\% |
| Weighted-average maturity (in years) | 8.76 |  | 8.69 |
| Derivative liability fair value | \$ (2,628) | \$ | (401) |
| Method used to assess hedge effectiveness | Dollar offset or Shortcut ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Shortcut |
| Method used to calculate ineffectiveness | Change in fair value ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | N/A |
| ${ }^{(1)}$ The Company uses the shortcut method for one of its derivatives and uses the dollar-offset method for all other derivatives. |  |  |  |
| (2) The Company calculated ineffectiveness based on the value of the hedging instrument. | in fair value of the hedged item co |  | ange in fair |

## 12. MATERIAL TRANSACTION AFFECTING STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

In response to the financial crises affecting the financial markets and the banking system, on October 3, 2008, the President signed into law the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 ("EESA"). Among other things, the EESA establishes a $\$ 700$ billion Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP"). Under the TARP, the United States Department of the Treasury ("Treasury") has authority, among other things, to purchase mortgages, mortgagebacked securities, capital stock, and other financial instruments from financial institutions for the purpose of stabilizing and providing liquidity to the U.S. financial markets.

On October 14, 2008, the Treasury announced several initiatives under the TARP intended to help stabilize the banking industry, including a voluntary Capital Purchase Program ("CPP") designed to encourage qualifying financial institutions to build capital. Under the CPP, the Treasury may purchase up to $\$ 250$ billion of senior preferred shares from eligible financial institutions on standardized terms with attached warrants to purchase common stock.

On November 6, 2008, the Treasury notified the Company that it had received preliminary approval as of November 2, 2008 to participate in the CPP. Pursuant to the receipt of preliminary approval and execution of the related agreements, on December 5, 2008, the Company received $\$ 193.0$ million from the sale of preferred shares to the Treasury as part of the CPP.

In exchange for the $\$ 193.0$ million, the Company issued to the Treasury a total of 193,000 shares of Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B, at an initial fixed dividend rate of $5 \%$ with a $\$ 1,000$ per share liquidation preference and a warrant to purchase up to $1,305,230$ shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of $\$ 22.18$ per share. Both the preferred shares and the warrants are accounted for as components of the Company's regulatory Tier 1 capital.

The net proceeds were temporarily invested in government sponsored mortgage-backed securities pending future deployment.

Responsive to the environment at the time, on December 10, 2008, the Company's Board of Directors announced a reduction in its quarterly common stock dividend from $\$ 0.310$ per share to $\$ 0.225$ per share. Since the Company elected to participate in the CPP, its ability to increase quarterly common stock dividends above $\$ 0.310$ per share will be subject to the applicable restrictions of this program for three years following the sale of the preferred stock.

## 13. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

## Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Common Share

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |  |
| Net income | \$ 49,336 | \$ 80,159 | \$ | 117,246 |
| Preferred dividends | (670) | - |  | - |
| Accretion on preferred stock | (42) | - |  | - |
| Net income applicable to common shares | \$ 48,624 | \$ 80,159 | \$ | 117,246 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding: |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding (basic) | 48,462 | 49,295 |  | 49,102 |
| Dilutive effect of stock options | 53 | 291 |  | 361 |
| Dilutive effect of non-vested restricted stock awards | 50 | 36 |  | 6 |
| Weighted-average diluted common shares outstanding | 48,565 | 49,622 |  | 49,469 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.63 | \$ | 2.39 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.62 | \$ | 2.37 |

Stock options and common stock warrants for which the exercise price is greater than the average market price of the Company's common stock and restricted stock awards for which the number of potential buyback shares is greater than the number of weighted-average shares outstanding are antidilutive and, therefore, not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share. Antidilutive shares excluded from diluted earnings per share totaled 2,631,284 shares for 2008, 823,772 shares for 2007, and 469,721 shares for 2006.

## 14. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income is the total of reported net income and all other revenues, expenses, gains, and losses that bypass reported net income under U.S. GAAP. The Company includes the following items, net of tax, in other comprehensive income in the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity: changes in unrealized gains or losses on securities available-for-sale, changes in the fair value of derivatives designated under cash flow hedges, and changes in the funded status of the Company's pension plan.

## Components of Other Comprehensive Income

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Before Tax | Tax Effect | Net of Tax | Before Tax |  | Tax Effect |  | Net of Tax |  | Before Tax |  | Tax Effect |  | Net of Tax |  |
| Securities available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized holding (losses) gains | \$(31,316) | \$(12,210) | \$(19,106) | \$ | $(47,370)$ | \$ | $(18,469)$ | \$ | $(28,901)$ | \$ | 6,390 |  | 2,489 | \$ | 3,901 |
| Less: Reclassification of net (losses) gains included in net income | $(35,611)$ | $(13,888)$ | $(21,723)$ |  | $(50,801)$ |  | $(19,812)$ |  | $(30,989)$ |  | 4,269 |  | 1,666 |  | 2,603 |
| Net unrealized holding gains (losses) | 4,295 | 1,678 | 2,617 |  | 3,431 |  | 1,343 |  | 2,088 |  | 2,121 |  | 823 |  | 1,298 |
| Derivatives used in cash flow hedging relationships: <br> Unrealized holding (losses) | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | (67) |  | (27) |  | 40) |
| Less: Reclassification of net (losses) included in net income | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { (481) } \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | (188) |  | $\begin{array}{r}(40) \\ (293) \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Net unrealized holding gains | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 414 |  | 161 |  | 253 |
| Funded status of pension plan: <br> Unrealized holding (losses) gains | $(14,658)$ | $(5,726)$ | $(8,932)$ |  | 2,408 |  | 935 |  | 1,473 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total other comprehensive (loss) income | \$ $(10,363)$ | \$ (4,048) | \$ $(6,315)$ | \$ | 5,839 | \$ | 2,278 | \$ | 3,561 | \$ | 2,535 | \$ | 984 | \$ | 1,551 |

## Activity in Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income <br> (Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Accumulated Unrealized (Losses) Gains on Securities Available-forSale | Accumulated Unrealized (Losses) Gains on Hedging Activities | Accumulated <br> Unrealized <br> (Losses) Gains <br> on <br> Under-funded <br> Pension <br> Obligation | Total Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income |
| Balance at January 1, 2006 | \$ $(8,031)$ | \$ (253) | \$ | \$ $(8,284)$ |
| 2006 other comprehensive income | 1,298 | 253 | - | 1,551 |
| Adjustment to initially apply SFAS No. 158 | - | - | $(8,555)$ | $(8,555)$ |
| Balance at December 31, 2006 | $(6,733)$ | - | $(8,555)$ | $(15,288)$ |
| 2007 other comprehensive income | 2,088 | - | 1,473 | 3,561 |
| Balance at December 31, 2007 | $(4,645)$ | - | $(7,082)$ | $(11,727)$ |
| 2008 other comprehensive income (loss) | 2,617 | - | $(8,932)$ | $(6,315)$ |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | \$ (2,028) | \$ | \$ (16,014) | \$ (18,042) |

## 15. INCOME TAXES

## Components of Income Taxes

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| Current tax expense (benefit): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal | \$ | 3,429 | \$ | 33,771 |  | 29,774 |
| State |  | $(3,472)$ |  | 8,303 |  | (172) |
| Total |  | (43) |  | 42,074 |  | 29,602 |
| Deferred tax (benefit) expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal |  | $(5,778)$ |  | $(17,792)$ |  | 4,946 |
| State |  | $(7,470)$ |  | $(10,429)$ |  | 504 |
| Total |  | $(13,248)$ |  | $(28,221)$ |  | 5,450 |
| Total income tax (benefit) expense | \$ | $(13,291)$ | \$ | 13,853 |  | \$ 35,052 |

Differences between the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and the tax bases of assets and liabilities result in temporary differences for which deferred tax assets and liabilities have been recorded.

## Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |
| Deferred tax assets: |  |  |  |
| Reserve for loan losses | \$ 32,854 | \$ | 21,630 |
| Unrealized losses | 21,639 |  | 19,851 |
| Equity compensation expense | 3,296 |  | 2,479 |
| Accrued retirement benefits | - |  | 2,844 |
| State tax benefits | 14,033 |  | 7,434 |
| Other | 4,973 |  | 4,308 |
| Total deferred tax assets | 76,795 |  | 58,546 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: |  |  |  |
| Purchase accounting adjustments and intangibles | $(8,757)$ |  | $(8,161)$ |
| Dividends receivable | $(3,294)$ |  | $(4,480)$ |
| Deferred loan fees | $(3,696)$ |  | $(3,992)$ |
| Bond discount accretion | $(3,745)$ |  | $(3,249)$ |
| Accrued retirement benefits | $(3,953)$ |  | - |
| Depreciation | $(2,263)$ |  | $(2,744)$ |
| Other | $(3,268)$ |  | $(3,093)$ |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | $(28,976)$ |  | $(25,719)$ |
| Deferred tax valuation allowance | $(1,744)$ |  | - |
| Net deferred tax assets | 46,075 |  | 32,827 |
| Tax effect of adjustments related to other comprehensive income | 11,528 |  | 7,479 |
| Net deferred tax assets including adjustments | \$ 57,603 | \$ | 40,306 |

Net deferred tax assets are included in other assets in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

At December 31, 2008, the Company had Illinois gross net operating loss carryforwards of $\$ 185.1$ million, which are available to offset future taxable income. These net operating losses will begin to expire in 2015. The Company also had Indiana gross net operating loss carryforwards of $\$ 24.8$ million, which will begin to expire in 2021.

On December 31, 2008, the Company recorded a valuation allowance of $\$ 1.7$ million with respect to Indiana net operating loss carryforwards and net deferred tax assets that are not expected to be fully realized. Management believes that it is more likely than not that the other deferred tax assets included in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition will be fully realized.

## Components of Effective Tax Rate

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Statutory federal income tax rate | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% |
| Tax-exempt income, net of interest expense disallowance | (39.9\%) | (14.2\%) | (9.7\%) |
| State income tax, net of federal income tax effect | (30.4\%) | (1.5\%) | 0.1\% |
| Other, net | (1.6\%) | (4.6\%) | (2.4\%) |
| Effective tax rate | (36.9\%) | 14.7\% | 23.0\% |

The decrease in effective income tax rate from 2006 to 2007 and from 2007 to 2008 was primarily attributable to an increase in federal and state tax-exempt income as a percent of total pre-tax income. In addition, the decrease in effective income tax rate from 2007 to 2008 was attributable to the recognition of tax benefits as a result of a favorable court decision and certain tax audit developments.

As of December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006, the Company's retained earnings included an appropriation for an acquired thrift's tax bad debt reserves of approximately $\$ 2.5$ million for which no provision for federal or state income taxes has been made. If, in the future, this portion of retained earnings were distributed as a result of the liquidation of the Company or its subsidiaries, federal and state income taxes would be imposed at the then applicable rates.

## Uncertainty in Income Taxes

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and in Illinois, Indiana, and Iowa. The Company is no longer subject to examinations by U.S. federal, Indiana, or Iowa tax authorities for years prior to 2004 or by Illinois tax authorities for years prior to 2002.

Certain tax audits issued by the State of Illinois (the "State") in 2007 remain pending. The Company believes that it is reasonably possible that the audits could be favorably resolved in the next 12 months, which would result in a decrease in unrecognized tax benefits in the range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 4.0$ million.

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes ("FIN 48") on January 1, 2007. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, the Company was not required to recognize any additional liability for unrecognized tax benefits. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

## Rollforward of Unrecognized Tax Benefits

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ | 9,888 | \$ | 7,734 | \$ | 5,318 |
| Additions for tax positions relating to the current year |  | 1,187 |  | 2,253 |  | 2,456 |
| Reductions for tax positions relating to prior years |  | $(5,324)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Lapse in statute of limitations |  | - |  | (99) |  | (40) |
| Balance at end of year | \$ | 5,751 | \$ | 9,888 | \$ | 7,734 |

Included in the balance at December 31, 2008 are $\$ 3.9$ million of tax positions that would favorably affect the Company's effective tax rate if recognized in future periods. The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The Company recognized interest, net of tax effect, and penalties of (\$303,000) in 2008, \$497,000 in 2007, and $\$ 229,000$ in 2006. The Company had accrued interest and penalties, net of tax effect, of $\$ 585,000$ as of December 31, 2008 and $\$ 888,000$ as of December 31, 2007. These amounts are not included in the unrecognized tax benefits rollforward presented above.

## 16. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Savings and Profit Sharing Plan - The Company has a defined contribution retirement savings plan (the "Plan"), which allows qualified employees, at their option, to make contributions up to $45 \%$ of pre-tax base salary ( $15 \%$ for certain highly compensated employees) through salary deductions under Section 401 (k) of the Internal Revenue Code. At the employees' direction, employee contributions are invested among a variety of investment alternatives. For employees who make voluntary contributions to the Plan, the Company contributes
an amount equal to $2 \%$ of the employee's compensation. The Plan also permits the Company to distribute a discretionary profit-sharing component up to $15 \%$ of the employee's compensation. The Company's matching contribution vests immediately, while the discretionary component gradually vests over a period of six years based on the employee's years of service. The cost of providing this plan was $\$ 2.8$ million in 2008, $\$ 4.8$ million in 2007, and $\$ 4.6$ million in 2006. The number of shares of the Company common stock held by the Plan was $1,721,707$ at December 31, 2008 and 1,654,199 at December 31, 2007. The fair value of Company shares held by the Plan was $\$ 34.4$ million at December 31, 2008 and $\$ 50.6$ million at December 31, 2007. The Plan received dividends from the Company of $\$ 2.1$ million during 2008 and $\$ 2.0$ million during 2007.

Pension Plan - The Company sponsors a noncontributory defined benefit retirement plan (the "Pension Plan") covering a majority of full-time employees that provides for retirement benefits based on years of service and compensation levels of the participants. Effective April 1, 2007, the Pension Plan was amended to eliminate new enrollment of employees. Actuarially determined pension costs are charged to current operations. The Company's funding policy is to contribute amounts to its plan sufficient to meet the minimum funding requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, plus such additional amounts as the Company determines to be appropriate.

## Pension Plan's Cost and Obligations

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | \$ | 35,121 | \$ | 32,439 |
| Change in benefit obligation: |  |  |  |  |
| Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ | 48,530 | \$ | 48,162 |
| Service cost |  | 3,123 |  | 3,707 |
| Interest cost |  | 3,103 |  | 2,927 |
| Actuarial losses (gains) |  | 1,986 |  | $(1,686)$ |
| Benefits paid |  | $(4,483)$ |  | $(4,580)$ |
| Projected benefit obligation at end of year | \$ | 52,259 | \$ | 48,530 |
| Change in plan assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | \$ | 44,541 | \$ | 40,557 |
| Actual return on plan assets |  | $(8,848)$ |  | 3,564 |
| Benefits paid |  | $(4,483)$ |  | $(4,580)$ |
| Employer contributions |  | 7,500 |  | 5,000 |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | \$ | 38,710 | \$ | 44,541 |
| Funded Status | \$ | $(13,549)$ | \$ | $(3,989)$ |
| Amounts recognized in the consolidated statements of financial condition consist of: Noncurrent pension liability | \$ | $(13,549)$ | \$ | $(3,989)$ |
| Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |
| Prior service cost | \$ | 15 | \$ | 18 |
| Net loss |  | 26,237 |  | 11,576 |
| Net amount recognized | \$ | 26,252 | \$ | 11,594 |
| Amounts expected to be amortized from other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost in the next fiscal year: |  |  |  |  |
| Prior service cost | \$ | 3 | \$ | 3 |
| Net loss |  | 2,023 |  | 639 |
| Net amount expected to be recognized | \$ | 2,026 | \$ | 642 |
| Weighted-average assumptions at the end of the year used to determine the actuarial present value of the projected benefit obligation: |  |  |  |  |
| Discount rate . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 6.25\% |  | 6.50\% |
| Rate of compensation increase |  | 4.50\% |  | 4.50\% |

## Net Periodic Benefit Pension Expense

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| Components of net periodic benefit cost: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service cost | \$ 3,123 | \$ | 3,707 | \$ | 5,002 |
| Interest cost | 3,103 |  | 2,927 |  | 2,781 |
| Expected return on plan assets | $(4,329)$ |  | $(3,660)$ |  | $(3,468)$ |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 501 |  | 837 |  | 1,158 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 4 |  | 3 |  | 4 |
| Net periodic cost | 2,402 |  | 3,814 |  | 5,477 |
| Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized as a charge to other comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net loss (gain) for the period . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 15,163 |  | $(1,567)$ |  | - |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (4) |  | (4) |  | - |
| Amortization of net loss | (501) |  | (837) |  | - |
| Total | 14,658 |  | $(2,408)$ |  | - |
| Total recognized in net periodic pension cost and other comprehensive income | \$ 17,060 | \$ | 1,406 | \$ | 5,477 |
| Weighted-average assumptions used to determine the net periodic cost: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discount rate . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6.50\% |  | 6.00\% |  | 5.75\% |
| Expected return on plan assets | 8.50\% |  | 8.50\% |  | 8.50\% |
| Rate of compensation increase . | 4.50\% |  | 4.50\% |  | 4.50\% |

## Pension Plan Asset Allocation

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Target } \\ & \text { Allocation } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | Percentage of Plan Assets |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2008 | 2007 |
| Asset Category: |  |  |  |
| Equity securities | 50-60\% | 58\% | 56\% |
| Fixed income | 30-48\% | 35\% | 41\% |
| Cash equivalents | 2-10\% | 7\% | 3\% |
| Total |  | 100\% | 100\% |

Expected amortization of net actuarial losses - To the extent the cumulative actuarial losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income exceed $10 \%$ of the greater of the accumulated benefit obligation or the market-related value of the Pension Plan assets, the Company's policy for amortizing the Pension Plan's net actuarial losses into income is to amortize the actuarial losses over the future working life of the Pension Plan participants. Actuarial losses included in other comprehensive income as of December 31, 2008 totaled $\$ 26.3$ million and represented $74.7 \%$ of the accumulated benefit obligation and $67.8 \%$ of the fair value of plan assets. The amortization of the net actuarial loss is a component of the net periodic benefit cost. Amortization of the net actuarial losses and prior service cost included in other comprehensive income is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's future results of operations, financial position, or liquidity.

Determination of expected long-term rate of return - The expected long-term rate of return for the Pension Plan's total assets is based on the expected return of each of the above categories, weighted based on the median of the target allocation for each class. Equity securities are expected to return $10 \%$ to $11 \%$ over the long-term, while cash and fixed income is expected to return between $4 \%$ and $6 \%$. Based on historical experience, the Company's Retirement Plans Committee (the "Committee") expects that the Plan's asset managers will provide a modest ( $0.5 \%-1 \%$ per annum) premium to their respective market benchmark indices.

Investment policy and strategy - The investment objective of the Plan is to maximize the return on Plan assets over a long time horizon, while meeting the Plan obligations. In establishing its investment policies and asset allocation strategies, the Company considers expected returns and the volatility associated with different strategies. The policy, as established by the Committee, is to provide for growth of capital with a moderate level of volatility by investing assets per the target allocations stated above. The Committee decided to invest in traditional publicly traded securities and not alternative asset classes such as private equity, hedge funds, and real estate. The assets are reallocated as needed by the fund manager to meet the above target allocations, and the investment policy is reviewed on a quarterly basis, under the advisement of a certified investment advisor, to determine if the policy should be changed.

Based on the actuarial assumptions, the Company tentatively expects to make a $\$ 3.0$ million employer contribution to the Pension Plan in 2009. Estimated future pension benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, for fiscal years 2009 through 2018, are as follows.

## Estimated Future Pension Benefit Payments

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year ending December 31, |  |  |
| 2009 | \$ | 444 |
| 2010 |  | 854 |
| 2011 |  | 2,755 |
| 2012 |  | 2,880 |
| 2013 |  | 2,171 |
| 2014-2018 |  | 20,727 |

## 17. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

## Share-Based Plans

Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan (the "Omnibus Plan") - In 1989, the Board of Directors of the Company adopted the Omnibus Plan, which allows for the granting of both incentive and non-statutory ("nonqualified") stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, performance units, and performance shares to certain key employees. The total number of shares of the Company's common stock authorized for awards under the Omnibus Plan, as amended, is $6,431,641$ of which 325,000 shares may be granted in restricted stock. As of December 31, 2008, 584,943 stock options and 154,810 restricted stock/unit awards remain available for grant.

Since the inception of the Omnibus Plan, in February of each year, certain key employees have been granted nonqualified stock options. The option exercise price is set at the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date the options are granted. The fair value is defined as the average of the high and low stock price on the date of grant. All options have a term of ten years from the date of grant, include reload features, and are non-transferable except to family members, family trusts, or partnerships. Options vest over three years (subject to accelerated vesting in the event of death, disability, or a change-in-control, as defined in the Omnibus Plan), with $50 \%$ exercisable after two years from the date of grant and the remaining $50 \%$ exercisable three years after the date of grant.

In August 2006, as an enhancement to the current compensation program, the Company's Board of Directors approved the granting of restricted stock awards and restricted stock units to certain key officers. These awards are restricted to transfer, but are not restricted to dividend payment and voting rights. The awards vest in $50 \%$ increments on each of the first two anniversaries of the date of grant provided the officer remains employed by
the Company during such period (subject to accelerated vesting in the event of change-in-control or upon termination of employment, as set forth in the applicable stock/unit award agreement).

In December 2008, the Company's Board of Directors approved the granting of a performance-based restricted stock award to one of its key officers. Unlike all other restricted stock awards, this award vests assuming certain performance criteria are met and provided the officer remains employed by the Company during such period.

Nonemployee Directors Stock Option Plan (the "Directors Plan") - In 1997, the Board of Directors of the Company adopted the Directors Plan, which provides for the granting of nonqualified stock options to nonmanagement Board members of the Company. A maximum of 481,250 shares of common stock are authorized for grant under the Directors Plan with 205,269 shares remaining available for grant as of December 31, 2008. The exercise price of the options is equal to the fair value of the common stock on the date of grant. All options have a term of ten years from the date of grant and become exercisable one year from the date of grant subject to accelerated vesting in the event of retirement, death, disability, or change-in-control, as defined in the Directors Plan.

In 2008, the Company amended the Directors Plan to allow for the granting of restricted stock awards, which were first issued under the Directors Plan in May 2008. The awards are restricted to transfer but are not restricted to dividend payment and voting rights. These awards vest one year from the date of grant subject to accelerated vesting in the event of retirement, death, disability, or change-of-control, as defined in the Directors Plan.

Options or restricted stock awards are granted annually at the first regularly scheduled Board meeting in each calendar year (generally in February). Directors elected during the service year are granted equity awards on a pro-rata basis to those granted to the directors at the start of the service year.

Both the Omnibus Plan and the Directors Plan have been submitted to and approved by the stockholders of the Company.

## Accounting Treatment

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123R using the modified prospective transition method. Under this transition method, compensation cost is recognized in the financial statements beginning January 1, 2006, based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123R for all share-based payments granted after that date and based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123 for all unvested awards granted prior to 2006. Share-based compensation expense is included in "salaries and wages" in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

## Effect of Recording Share-Based Compensation Expense

(Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Stock option expense | \$ 2,210 | \$ 2,804 | \$ 2,783 |
| Restricted stock/unit award expense | 2,335 | 1,467 | 802 |
| Total share-based compensation expense | 4,545 | 4,271 | 3,585 |
| Income tax benefit | 1,591 | 1,495 | 1,398 |
| Share-based compensation expense, net of tax | \$ 2,954 | \$ 2,776 | $\underline{\text { \$ } 2,187}$ |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.04 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.04 |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 37 | \$ (361) | \$ (924) |
| Cash flows (used in) provided by financing activities | \$ (37) | \$ 361 | \$ 924 |

The preceding table includes the cash flow effects of excess tax benefits on operating and financing cash flows. SFAS No. 123R requires that cash flows resulting from the tax benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation expense be reported as financing cash flows, rather than as operating cash flows as required under prior accounting rules.

## Stock Options

## Nonqualified Stock Option Transactions

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

|  | Year Ended December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Options | Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term ${ }^{(1)}$ | $\underset{\text { Value }{ }^{(2)}}{\substack{\text { Aggregate } \\ \text { Intrinsic }}}$ |
| Outstanding at beginning of year | 2,663 | \$ 31.79 |  |  |
| Granted | 323 | 28.03 |  |  |
| Exercised | (65) | 21.19 |  |  |
| Forfeited | (36) | 36.66 |  |  |
| Expired | (69) | 32.51 |  |  |
| Outstanding at end of period | 2,816 | \$ 31.53 | 5.27 | \$ 151 |
| Ending vested and expected to vest | 2,816 | \$ 31.53 | 5.27 | \$ 151 |
| Exercisable at end of period | 1,973 | \$ 30.56 | 3.97 | \$ 151 |

(1) Represents the average contractual life remaining in years.
${ }^{(2)}$ Aggregate intrinsic value represents the total pretax intrinsic value (i.e., the difference between the Company's average of the high and low stock price on the last trading day of the year and the option exercise price, multiplied by the number of shares) that would have been received by the option holders if they had exercised their options on December 31, 2008. This amount will fluctuate with changes in the fair value of the Company's common stock.

Stock Option Valuation Assumptions - In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123R, the Company estimates the fair value of stock options at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model that utilizes the assumptions outlined in the following table.

## Stock Option Valuation Assumptions

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| Expected life of the option (in years) |  | 5.9 |  | 5.8 |  | 5.7 |
| Expected stock volatility |  | 18\% |  | 16\% |  | 18\% |
| Risk-free interest rate |  | 3.30\% |  | 4.63\% |  | 4.56\% |
| Expected dividend yield |  | 3.72\% |  | 3.08\% |  | 2.92\% |
| Weighted-average fair value of options at their grant date | \$ | 3.57 | \$ | 6.03 | \$ | 5.95 |

Expected life is based on historical exercise and termination behavior. Expected stock price volatility is based on historical volatility of the Company's common stock and correlates with the expected life of the options. The risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yield currently available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with a remaining term equal to the expected life of the option. The expected dividend yield represents the three-year historical average of the annual dividend yield as of the date of grant. Management reviews and adjusts the assumptions used to calculate the fair value of an option on a periodic basis to better reflect expected trends.

## Other Stock Option Information

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| Share-based compensation expense | \$ | 2,210 | \$ | 2,804 | \$ | 2,783 |
| Unrecognized compensation expense | \$ | 1,281 | \$ | 2,714 | \$ | 2,847 |
| Weighted-average amortization period remaining (in years) |  | 0.8 |  | 0.9 |  | 1.0 |
| Total intrinsic value of stock options exercised | \$ | 442 | \$ | 2,219 | \$ | 3,991 |
| Cash received from stock options exercised | \$ | 1,088 | \$ | 4,139 | \$ | 4,619 |
| Income tax benefit realized from stock options exercised | \$ | 558 | \$ | 1,330 | \$ | 2,036 |

In 2008, the Company recognized $\$ 105,000$ in additional compensation costs as a result of accelerated vesting on 101,149 options held by one grantee. No stock option award modifications were made during 2006, 2007, or 2008.

The Company issues treasury shares to satisfy stock option exercises and restricted stock award releases.

## Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Unit Awards

## Restricted Stock/Unit Award Transactions

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)


The fair value of restricted stock/unit awards is determined based on the average of the high and low stock price on the date of grant and is recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period.

# Other Restricted Stock Unit/Award Information 

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| Share-based compensation expense | \$ | 2,335 | \$ | 1,467 | \$ | 802 |
| Unrecognized compensation expense | \$ | 2,397 | \$ | 684 | \$ | 627 |
| Weighted-average amortization period remaining (in years) |  | 1.7 |  | 0.8 |  | 1.1 |
| Total fair value of vested restricted stock unit/awards, at end of period | \$ | 4,277 | \$ | 664 | \$ | - |
| Cash paid to settle restricted stock unit/awards | \$ | 1,268 | \$ | 238 | \$ | - |
| Income tax benefit realized from vesting/release of restricted stock/unit awards | \$ | 739 | \$ | 232 | \$ | - |

The restricted stock unit agreements issued under the Omnibus Plan contain a provision under which the restrictions lapse upon the retirement of the grantee, defined as termination of service upon the attainment of age 60 or later. As a result of this provision, if a grantee is 60 years of age or older on the date of grant, all compensation costs are expensed on the date of grant.

In addition, in accordance with the accelerated vesting provision of the Omnibus Plan, upon the termination of service due to death, any unvested award would immediately vest. Accordingly, as of December 31, 2008, there were no remaining restricted stock units and no unrecognized compensation expense, as the remaining 43,705 units were immediately vested and subsequently settled upon termination of service due to the death of the grantee. In 2008, the Company recognized $\$ 683,000$ in additional compensation costs as a result of accelerated vesting on those restricted stock units. No restricted stock units award modifications were made during 2006, 2007 , or 2008.

## 18. STOCKHOLDER RIGHTS PLAN

On February 15, 1989, the Board of Directors of the Company adopted a Stockholder Rights Plan. Pursuant to that Plan, the Company declared a dividend, paid March 1, 1989, of one right ("Right") for each outstanding share of the Company common stock held on record on March 1, 1989 pursuant to a Rights Agreement dated February 15, 1989. The Rights Agreement was amended and restated on November 15, 1995 and again on June 18, 1997 to exclude an acquisition. The Rights Agreement was further amended on December 9, 2008 to clarify certain items. As amended, each right entitles the registered holder to purchase from the Company $1 / 100$ of a share of Series A Preferred Stock for a price of $\$ 150$, subject to adjustment. The Rights will be exercisable only if a person or group has acquired, or announces the intention to acquire, $10 \%$ or more of the Company's outstanding shares of common stock. The Company is entitled to redeem each Right for $\$ 0.01$, subject to adjustment, at any time prior to the earlier of the tenth business day following the acquisition by any person or group of $10 \%$ or more of the outstanding shares of the Company common stock or the expiration date of the Rights. The rights agreement was amended on November 14, 2005 to extend the expiration date to November 15, 2015.

As a result of the Rights distribution, 600,000 of the 1,000,000 shares of authorized preferred stock were reserved for issuance as Series A Preferred Stock.

## 19. REGULATORY AND CAPITAL MATTERS

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to various regulatory requirements that impose restrictions on cash, loans or advances, and dividends. The Bank is required to maintain reserves against deposits. Reserves are held either in the form of vault cash or non-interest-bearing balances maintained with the Federal Reserve Bank and are based on the average daily balances and statutory reserve ratios prescribed by the type of deposit account. Reserve balances totaling $\$ 46.1$ million at December 31, 2008 and $\$ 58.2$ million at December 31, 2007 were maintained in fulfillment of these requirements.

Under current Federal Reserve regulations, the Bank is limited in the amount it may loan or advance to the Parent Company and its nonbank subsidiaries. Loans or advances to a single subsidiary may not exceed $10 \%$ and loans to all subsidiaries may not exceed $20 \%$ of the bank's capital stock and surplus, as defined. Loans from subsidiary banks to nonbank subsidiaries, including the Parent Company, are also required to be collateralized.

The principal source of cash flow for the Company is dividends from the Bank. Various federal and state banking regulations and capital guidelines limit the amount of dividends that may be paid to the Company by the Bank. Future payment of dividends by the subsidiaries is dependent upon individual regulatory capital requirements and levels of profitability. Without prior regulatory approval, the Bank can initiate aggregate dividend payments in 2009 of $\$ 36.2$ million plus an additional amount equal to its net profits for 2009, as defined by statute, up to the date of any such dividend declaration. Future payment of dividends by the Bank is dependent upon individual regulatory capital requirements and levels of profitability. Since the Company is a legal entity, separate and distinct from its subsidiaries, the dividends of the Company are not subject to such bank regulatory guidelines.

The Company and the Bank are also subject to various capital requirements set up and administered by the federal banking agencies. Under capital adequacy guidelines, the Company and the Bank must meet specific guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators regarding components of capital and assets, risk weightings, and other factors. Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of Total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined) and of Tier 1 capital to adjusted average assets (as defined). Failure to meet minimum capital requirements could initiate certain mandatory, and possible additional discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a material effect on the Company's financial statements. As of December 31, 2008, the Company and the Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

The Federal Reserve Board ("FRB"), the primary regulator of the Company and the Bank, establishes minimum capital requirements that must be met by member institutions. As of December 31, 2008, the most recent regulatory notification classified the Bank as "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes would change the Bank's classification.

The following table presents the Company's and the Bank's measures of capital as of the dates presented and the capital guidelines established by the FRB to be categorized as adequately capitalized and as "well capitalized."

## Summary of Capital Ratios

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

As of December 31, 2008:
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets):

| First Midwest Bancorp, Inc | \$ 949,433 | 14.36\% | \$ 528,749 | 8.00\% | \$ 660,936 | 10.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Midwest Bank | 746,265 | 11.34 | 526,308 | 8.00 | 657,885 | 10.00 |
| ier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First Midwest Bancorp, Inc | 766,758 | 11.60 | 264,374 | 4.00 | 396,562 | 6.00 |
| First Midwest Bank | 663,886 | 10.09 | 263,154 | 4.00 | 394,731 | 6.00 |
| ier 1 leverage (to average assets): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First Midwest Bancorp, Inc | 766,758 | 9.41 | 244,400 | 3.00 | 407,333 | 5.00 |
| First Midwest Bank | 663,886 | 8.19 | 243,302 | 3.00 | 405,503 | 5.00 |

As of December 31, 2007:
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets):

| First Midwest Bancorp, Inc | \$ 734,261 | 11.58\% | \$ 507,249 | 8.00\% |  | 634,061 | 10.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firs | 656,376 | 10.43 | 503,692 | 8.00 |  | 629,61 | 10.00 |

Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets):
First Midwest Bancorp, Inc . . . . . . . . . . . . $\begin{array}{llllllll} & 572,553 & 9.03 & 253,625 & 4.00 & 380,437 & 6.00\end{array}$
First Midwest Bank . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 594,576 $9.44 \quad 251,846 \quad 4.00 \quad 377,769 \quad 6.00$
Tier 1 leverage (to average assets):
First Midwest Bancorp, Inc . . . . . . . . . . $\begin{array}{llllllll} & 572,553 & 7.46 & 230,133 & 3.00 & 383,555 & 5.00\end{array}$
First Midwest Bank . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 594,576 7.79 228,857 3.00 381,428 5.00

## 20. COMMITMENTS, GUARANTEES, AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

## Credit Extension Commitments and Guarantees

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into a variety of financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk to meet the financing needs of its customers, to reduce its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates, and to conduct lending activities. These instruments principally include commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit, and commercial letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

## Contractual or Notional Amounts of Financial Instruments

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 |
| Commitments to extend credit: |  |  |
| Home equity lines | \$ 293,221 | \$ 266,582 |
| Credit card lines | 12,417 | 11,486 |
| 1-4 family real estate construction | 87,050 | 211,967 |
| Commerical real estate | 286,368 | 213,844 |
| All other commitments | 844,226 | 698,315 |
| Letters of credit: |  |  |
| Standby: |  |  |
| Home equity lines | 150 | 95 |
| 1-4 family real estate construction | 21,301 | 24,436 |
| Commerical real estate | 35,536 | 35,944 |
| All other | 85,535 | 67,806 |
| Commercial | 490 | 427 |
| Recourse on assets securitized | 9,344 | 13,252 |

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend funds to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and variable interest rates tied to prime rate and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash-flow requirements.

Standby and commercial letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Standby letters of credit generally are contingent upon the failure of the customer to perform according to the terms of the underlying contract with the third party and are most often issued in favor of a municipality where construction is taking place to ensure the borrower adequately completes the construction. Commercial letters of credit are issued specifically to facilitate commerce and typically result in the commitment being drawn on when the underlying transaction is consummated between the customer and the third party. This type of letter of credit is issued through a correspondent bank on behalf of a customer who is involved in an international business activity such as the importing of goods.

In the event of a customer's nonperformance, the Company's credit loss exposure is equal to the contractual amount of those commitments. The credit risk is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers and is subject to normal credit policies. The Company uses the same credit policies in making credit commitments as it does for on-balance sheet instruments, with such exposure to credit loss minimized due to various collateral requirements in place.

The maximum potential future payments guaranteed by the Company under standby letters of credit arrangements are equal to the contractual amount of the commitment. The unamortized fees associated with the

Company's standby letters of credit, which is included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, totaled $\$ 700,000$ as of December 31, 2008 and $\$ 669,000$ as of December 31, 2007. The Company will amortize these amounts into income over the commitment period. As of December 31, 2008, standby letters of credit had a remaining weighted-average term of approximately 12.5 months, with remaining actual lives ranging from less than 1 year to 6.5 years. If a commitment is funded, the Company may seek recourse through the liquidation of the underlying collateral provided including real estate, physical plant and property, marketable securities, or cash.

Pursuant to the securitization of certain 1-4 family mortgage loans in fourth quarter 2004, the Company is obligated by agreement to repurchase at recorded value any non-performing loans, defined as loans past due greater than 90 days. During 2008, the Company repurchased $\$ 992,000$ of non-performing loans and charged-off $\$ 41,000$ related to these loans. The aggregate outstanding balance of securitized loans subject to this recourse obligation was $\$ 9.3$ million as of December 31, 2008 and $\$ 13.3$ million as of December 31, 2007. Per its agreement, the Company's recourse obligations will end on November 30, 2011. The carrying value of the Company's recourse liability, which is included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, totaled approximately \$150,000 as of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007.

## Legal Proceedings

As of December 31, 2008, there were certain legal proceedings pending against the Company and its subsidiaries in the ordinary course of business. The Company does not believe that liabilities, individually or in the aggregate, arising from these proceedings, if any, would have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition of the Company as of December 31, 2008.

## 21. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

A variable interest entity ("VIE") is a partnership, limited liability company, trust, or other legal entity that does not have sufficient equity to permit it to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties, or whose investors lack one of three characteristics associated with owning a controlling financial interest. Those characteristics are: (i) the direct or indirect ability to make decisions about an entity's activities through voting rights or similar rights; (ii) the obligation to absorb the expected losses of an entity if they occur; and (iii) the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity, if they occur.

FIN 46R addresses the consolidation of VIEs. Under FIN 46R, VIEs are consolidated by the party who is exposed to a majority of the VIE's expected losses and/or residual returns (i.e., the primary beneficiary). The following summarizes the VIEs in which the Company has a significant interest and discusses the accounting treatment applied pursuant to FIN 46R.

The Company owns $100 \%$ of the common stock of a business trust that was formed in November 2003 to issue trust preferred securities to third party investors. The trust's only assets as of December 31, 2008 were the $\$ 128.7$ million principal balance of the debentures issued by the Company and the related interest receivable of $\$ 746,000$ that were acquired by the trust using proceeds from the issuance of preferred securities and common stock. The trust meets the definition of a VIE, but the Company is not the primary beneficiary of the trust. Accordingly, the trust is not consolidated in the Company's financial statements. The subordinated debentures issued by the Company to the trust are included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as "Subordinated debt."

The Company holds interests in three trust preferred capital security issuances. Although these investments may meet the definition of a VIE, the Company is not the primary beneficiary. The Company accounts for its interest in these investments as available-for-sale securities. The Company's maximum exposure to loss is limited to its investment in these VIEs, which at December 31, 2008 had a total book value of $\$ 305,000$ and fair value of \$172,000.

The Company has a significant limited partner interest in 12 low-income housing tax credit partnerships and limited liability corporations, which were acquired at various times from 1997 to 2004. These entities meet the definition of a VIE. Since the Company is not the primary beneficiary of the entities, it will continue to account for its interest in these partnerships using the cost method. Exposure to loss as a result of its involvement with these entities is limited to the approximately $\$ 5.2$ million book basis of the Company's investment, less $\$ 609,000$ that the Company is obligated to pay but has not yet funded.

## 22. ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company measures, monitors, and discloses certain of its assets and liabilities on a fair value basis. Fair value is used on a recurring basis to account for trading securities, securities available-for-sale, mortgage servicing rights, derivative assets, and derivative liabilities. In addition, fair value is used on a non-recurring basis to apply lower-of-cost-or-market accounting to foreclosed real estate; evaluate assets or liabilities for impairment, including collateral-dependent impaired loans, goodwill, and other intangibles; and for disclosure purposes. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Depending on the nature of the asset or liability, the Company uses various valuation techniques and input assumptions when estimating fair value, all of which are in accordance with SFAS No. 157.

SFAS No. 157 requires the Company to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value into three broad levels based on the reliability of the input assumptions. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to level 1 measurements and the lowest priority to level 3 measurements. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are defined as follows:

- Level 1 - Unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities traded in active markets.
- Level 2 - Observable inputs other than level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar instruments; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.
- Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

The categorization of where an asset or liability falls within the hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

## Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value

The following table provides the hierarchy level and fair value for each major category of assets and liabilities measured at fair value at December 31, 2008.

## Fair Value Measurements

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |  | Total |
| Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trading securities | \$ 12,358 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 12,358 |
| Securities available-for-sale ${ }^{(1)}$ | - | 2,157,468 | 58,718 |  | 2,216,186 |
| Mortgage servicing rights ${ }^{(2)}$ | - | - | 1,461 |  | 1,461 |
| Total assets | \$ 12,358 | \$ 2,157,468 | \$ 60,179 |  | 2,230,005 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Derivative liabilities ${ }^{(2)}$ | \$ | \$ 2,628 | \$ | \$ | 2,628 |
| Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateral-dependent impaired loans net of reserve for loan losses ${ }^{(3)}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . | \$ | \$ | \$ 26,642 | \$ | 26,642 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Includes other miscellaneous marketable equity securities with an aggregate carrying value totaling $\$ 2.9$ million that is assumed to approximate fair value.
${ }^{(2)}$ Mortgage servicing rights are included in other assets, and derivative liabilities are included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.
${ }^{(3)}$ Represents the carrying value of loans for which adjustments are based on the appraised or market-quoted value of the collateral.

## Valuation Methodology

The following describes the valuation methodologies used by the Company for assets and liabilities measured at fair value, including the general classification of the assets and liabilities pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Trading Securities - Trading securities represent diversified investment securities held in a grantor trust under deferred compensation arrangements in which plan participants may direct amounts earned to be invested in securities other than Company common stock. Trading securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses included in noninterest income. The fair value of trading securities is based on quoted market prices in active exchange markets and, therefore, is classified in level 1 of the valuation hierarchy.

Securities Available-for-Sale - Substantially all available-for-sale securities are fixed income instruments that are not quoted on an exchange, but may be traded in active markets. The fair value of these securities is based on quoted market prices obtained from external pricing services or dealer market participants where trading in an active market exists. In obtaining such data from external pricing services, the Company has evaluated the methodologies used to develop the fair values in order to determine whether such valuations are representative of an exit price in the Company's principal markets. The Company's principal markets for its securities portfolio are the secondary institutional markets, with an exit price that is predominantly reflective of bid level pricing in those markets. Examples of such securities measured at fair value are U.S. Treasury and Agency securities,
municipal bonds, collateralized mortgage obligations, and other mortgage-backed securities. These securities are generally classified in level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. In certain cases where there is limited activity or less transparency for inputs to the valuation, securities are classified in level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. For instance, in the valuation of certain collateralized mortgage and debt obligations and high-yield debt securities, the determination of fair value may require benchmarking to similar instruments or analyzing default and recovery rates.

Due to the illiquidity in the secondary market for the Company's trust-preferred CDOs, especially since the disruption in the credit markets, the Company determined that dealer quotes did not reflect the best estimate of fair value. Therefore the Company, with the assistance of a structured credit valuation firm, developed a valuation model to estimate the value of these securities using discounted cash flows and has classified these investments in level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

The model has been validated through back testing. It relies on independently verifiable historical balance sheet data, empirical default data, equity volatility, and current market values to estimate the likelihood any entity comprising the collateral underlying the individual CDO will default on its trust-preferred obligation. Using simulation techniques, cash flows are then projected for each entity's obligation under the CDO and used to estimate the ultimate cash flow to the Company. These cash flows are then modeled and assigned based upon the contractual terms of the CDO , discounted to their present values, and used to derive the estimated fair value of the individual CDO.

The model is dependent upon the following key assumptions based on the Company's judgment regarding how a market participant would assess these:

- Estimated default rate - Based upon historical data obtained from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and outside sources, a target default level was developed as of the measurement date;
- Deferral of cash flows - A deferral of cash flow by any entity within the collateral pool is assumed to be a default;
- Equity volatility - Equity volatility is used to measure market risk over the life of the underlying entity's obligation based on a 6-year historical equity volatility period.
- Discount rate - The discount rate used for valuing cash flows was derived by the Company by first establishing the implied discount rate at a time when a relatively active market existed for such securities and adjusting it for an estimate of the additional risk premium currently demanded by market participants. At December 31, 2008, the Company used a discount rate based on a 3-month Treasury rate plus 1,000 basis points.

These assumptions have a direct and significant impact on the resulting fair values. For instance, for every 100 basis points change in the discount rate, the total fair value for the seven CDOs changes by approximately $\$ 4.0$ million

Certain securities available-for-sale are carried at cost, including other miscellaneous marketable equity securities. The carrying value of these cost investments approximates fair value.

## Carrying Value of Level 3 Securities Available-for-Sale

(Dollar amounts in thousands)


In the table above, the net losses recognized in earnings for securities available-for-sale represent non-cash impairment charges recognized during 2008 on certain CDOs that were deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, net of the gain realized on the sale of an asset-backed CDO previously written down.

Collateral-Dependent Impaired Loans - The carrying value of impaired loans is disclosed in Note 5, "Reserve for Loan Losses and Impaired Loans." The Company does not record loans at fair value on a recurring basis. However, from time to time, fair value adjustments are recorded on these loans to reflect (1) partial write-downs that are based on the current appraised or market-quoted value of the underlying collateral or (2) the full charge-off of the loan carrying value. In some cases, the properties for which market quotes or appraised values have been obtained are located in areas where comparable sales data is limited, outdated, or unavailable. Accordingly, fair value estimates, including those obtained from real estate brokers or other third-party consultants, for collateral-dependent impaired loans are classified in level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 114, collateral-dependent impaired loans with a carrying value of $\$ 46.9$ million, less transfers to foreclosed real estate of $\$ 6.5$ million, were written down to their fair value of $\$ 26.6$ million, resulting in a provision for loan losses of $\$ 13.8$ million, which was included in earnings during 2008.

Mortgage Servicing Rights - The Company records its mortgage servicing rights at fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets, an amendment of SFAS No. 140. Mortgage servicing rights do not trade in an active market with readily observable prices. Accordingly, the Company determines the fair value of mortgage servicing rights by estimating the present value of the future cash flows associated with the mortgage loans being serviced. Mortgage servicing rights are included in other assets in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. Key economic assumptions used in measuring the fair value of mortgage servicing rights at December 31, 2008 included a weighted-average prepayment speed of $14.2 \%$ and a weighted-average discount rate of $11.5 \%$. While market-based data is used to determine the input assumptions, the Company incorporates its own estimates of assumptions market participants would use in determining the fair value of mortgage servicing rights and classifies them in level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

## Carrying Value of Mortgage Servicing Rights

(Dollar amounts in thousands)


Derivative Assets and Derivative Liabilities - The interest rate swaps entered into by the Company are executed in the dealer market and priced based on market quotes obtained from the counterparty that transacted the derivative contract. The market quotes were developed by the counterparty using market observable inputs, which primarily include the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") for swaps. As the fair value estimates for interest rate swaps are primarily based on LIBOR, which is a market observable input, derivatives are classified in level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. For its derivative assets and liabilities, the Company also considers nonperformance risk, including the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties, when evaluating whether the market quotes from the counterparty are representative of an exit price. The Company has a policy of executing derivative transactions only with counterparties above a certain credit rating. Credit risk is also mitigated through the pledging of collateral when certain thresholds are reached. The likelihood of the Company's default is considered remote and its credit rating has remained stable over the past recent history. For these reasons, nonperformance risk is considered extremely low and accordingly, any such credit risk adjustments to the Company's derivative assets and liabilities would be immaterial.

## Fair Value Disclosure of Other Assets and Liabilities

U.S. GAAP requires disclosure of the estimated fair values of certain financial instruments, both assets and liabilities, on and off-balance sheet, for which it is practical to estimate the fair value. Because the estimated fair values provided herein exclude disclosure of the fair value of certain other financial instruments and all non-financial instruments, any aggregation of the estimated fair value amounts presented would not represent the underlying value of the Company. Examples of non-financial instruments having significant value include the future earnings potential of significant customer relationships and the value of the Company's trust division operations and other fee-generating businesses. In addition, other significant assets including property, plant, and equipment and goodwill are not considered financial instruments and, therefore, have not been valued.

Various methodologies and assumptions have been utilized in management's determination of the estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments, which are detailed below. The fair value estimates are made at a discrete point in time based on relevant market information. Because no market exists for a significant portion of these financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected economic
conditions, loss experience, and risk characteristics of the financial instruments. These estimates are subjective, involve uncertainties, and cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

In addition to the valuation methodology explained above for financial instruments recorded at fair value, the following methods and assumptions were used in estimating the fair value of financial instruments that are carried at cost in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Short-Term Financial Assets and Liabilities - For financial instruments with a shorter-term or with no stated maturity, prevailing market rates, and limited credit risk, the carrying amounts approximate fair value. Those financial instruments include cash and due from banks, funds sold and other short-term investments, mortgages held for sale, bank owned life insurance, accrued interest receivable, and accrued interest payable.

Securities Held-to-Maturity - The fair value of securities held-to-maturity is based on quoted market prices or dealer quotes. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices for similar securities.

Loans - The fair value of loans was estimated using present value techniques by discounting the future cash flows of the remaining maturities of the loans, and, when applicable, prepayment assumptions were considered based on historical experience and current economic and lending conditions. The discount rate was based on the LIBOR yield curve, with rate adjustments for liquidity and credit risk. The primary impact of credit risk on the present value of the loan portfolio, however, was accommodated through the use of the reserve for loan losses, which is believed to represent the current fair value of probable future losses for purposes of the fair value calculation.

Deposit Liabilities - The fair values disclosed for demand deposits, savings deposits, NOW accounts, and money market deposits are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). The fair value for fixed-rate time deposits was estimated using present value techniques by discounting the future cash flows based on the LIBOR yield curve, plus or minus the spread associated with current pricing.

Borrowed Funds - The fair value of repurchase agreements and FHLB advances is estimated by discounting the agreements based on maturities using the rates currently offered for repurchase agreements of similar remaining maturities. The carrying amounts of federal funds purchased, federal term auction facilities, and other borrowed funds approximate their fair value due to their short-term nature.

Subordinated Debt - The fair value of subordinated debt was determined using available market quotes.

Standby Letters of Credit - The fair value of standby letters of credit represent deferred fees arising from the related off-balance sheet financial instruments. These deferred fees approximate the fair value of these instruments and are based on several factors, including the remaining terms of the agreement and the credit standing of the customer.

Commitments - Given the limited interest rate exposure posed by the commitments outstanding at year-end due to their general variable nature, combined with the general short-term nature of the commitment periods entered into, termination clauses provided in the agreements, and the market rate of fees charged, the Company has estimated the fair value of commitments outstanding to be immaterial.

## Financial Instruments

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |
|  | Carrying <br> Amount |  | Estimated Fair Value |  | Carrying Amount |  | Estimated Fair Value |  |
| Financial Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 106,082 | \$ | 106,082 | \$ | 193,792 | \$ | 193,792 |
| Funds sold and other short-term investments |  | 8,226 |  | 8,226 |  | 1,045 |  | 1,044 |
| Mortgages held for sale |  | - |  | - |  | 394 |  | 394 |
| Trading account securities |  | 12,358 |  | 12,358 |  | 18,352 |  | 18,352 |
| Securities available-for-sale |  | 2,216,186 |  | 2,216,186 |  | 2,080,046 |  | 2,080,046 |
| Securities held-to-maturity |  | 84,306 |  | 84,592 |  | 97,671 |  | 97,931 |
| Loans, net of reserve for loan losses |  | 5,266,194 |  | 5,231,925 |  | 4,901,872 |  | 4,948,443 |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 43,247 |  | 43,247 |  | 48,971 |  | 48,971 |
| Investment in bank owned life insurance |  | 198,533 |  | 198,533 |  | 203,535 |  | 203,535 |
| Derivative assets |  | - |  | - |  | 1,260 |  | 1,260 |
| Financial Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | \$ | 5,585,754 | \$ | 5,583,943 | \$ | 5,778,861 | \$ | 5,784,191 |
| Borrowed funds |  | 1,698,334 |  | 1,703,940 |  | 1,264,228 |  | 1,265,425 |
| Subordinated debt |  | 232,409 |  | 171,307 |  | 230,082 |  | 212,533 |
| Accrued interest payable |  | 10,550 |  | 10,550 |  | 16,843 |  | 16,843 |
| Derivative liabilities |  | 2,628 |  | 2,628 |  | - |  | - |
| Standby letters of credit |  | 700 |  | 700 |  | 669 |  | 669 |

## 23. SUPPLEMENTARY CASH FLOW INFORMATION

## Supplemental Disclosures to the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| Income taxes paid | \$ | 20,800 | \$ | 40,133 | \$ | 27,498 |
| Interest paid to depositors and creditors |  | 168,903 |  | 240,418 |  | 217,314 |
| Non-cash transfers of loans to foreclosed real estate |  | 27,342 |  | 8,931 |  | 3,650 |
| Dividends declared but unpaid |  | 10,953 |  | 15,045 |  | 14,779 |
| Non-cash transfer of loans to securities available-for-sale |  | - |  | - |  | 105,976 |

## 24. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company, through the Bank, has made loans and had transactions with certain of its directors and executive officers. However, all such loans and transactions were made in the ordinary course of business on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons and did not involve more than the normal risk of collectibility or present other unfavorable features. The Securities and Exchange Commission has determined that, with respect to the Company and its significant subsidiaries, disclosure of borrowings by directors and executive officers and certain of their related interests should be made if the loans are greater than $5 \%$ of stockholders' equity, in the aggregate. These loans aggregating $\$ 2.4$ million at December 31, 2008 and $\$ 4.8$ million at December 31, 2007 were not greater than 5\% of stockholders' equity at December 31, 2008 or 2007, respectively.

## 25. CONDENSED PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following represents the condensed financial statements of First Midwest Bancorp, Inc., the Parent Company.

Statements of Financial Condition<br>(Parent Company only)<br>(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 |
| Assets |  |  |
| Cash and interest-bearing deposits | \$ 209,195 | \$ 79,699 |
| Investment in and advances to subsidiaries | 921,548 | 861,841 |
| Goodwill | 10,358 | 10,358 |
| Other assets | 30,079 | 43,843 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,171,180 | \$ 995,741 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity |  |  |
| Subordinated debt | \$ 232,409 | \$ 230,082 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 30,492 | 41,684 |
| Stockholders' equity - common | 715,949 | 723,975 |
| Stockholders' equity - preferred | 192,330 | - |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 1,171,180 | \$ 995,741 |

## Statements of Income <br> (Parent Company only) <br> (Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Income |  |  |  |
| Dividends from subsidiaries | \$ - | \$123,520 | \$154,660 |
| Interest income | 1,330 | 3,624 | 2,379 |
| Securities transactions and other | $(5,155)$ | 1,443 | 1,369 |
| Total income | $(3,825)$ | 128,587 | 158,408 |
| Expenses |  |  |  |
| Interest expense | 14,969 | 14,969 | 13,719 |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 1,732 | 9,122 | 8,148 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | - | - | 372 |
| Other expenses | 4,688 | 3,900 | 7,085 |
| Total expenses | 21,389 | 27,991 | 29,324 |
| (Loss) income before income tax benefit and equity in und of subsidiaries | $(25,214)$ | 100,596 | 129,084 |
| Income tax benefit | 8,916 | 9,009 | 9,202 |
| (Loss) income before undistributed income of subsidiaries | $(16,298)$ | 109,605 | 138,286 |
| Equity in undistributed income of subsidiaries . | 65,634 | $(29,446)$ | $(21,040)$ |
| Net income | 49,336 | 80,159 | 117,246 |
| Preferred dividends | (712) | - | - |
| Net income applicable to common shares | \$ 48,624 | \$ 80,159 | \$117,246 |

## Statements of Cash Flows

(Parent Company only)
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| Operating Activities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 49,336 | \$ | 80,159 | \$ | 117,246 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity in undistributed income from subsidiaries | $(65,634)$ |  | 29,446 |  | 21,040 |
| Depreciation of premises, furniture, and equipment | 8 |  | 10 |  | 30 |
| Net losses on sales of fixed assets | 2 |  | 23 |  | - |
| Tax benefit related to share-based compensation | 1,370 |  | 1,201 |  | 1,112 |
| Net amortization of other intangibles | - |  | - |  | 372 |
| Net decrease (increase) in other assets | 17,618 |  | $(4,353)$ |  | $(1,857)$ |
| Net (decrease) increase in other liabilities | $(5,961)$ |  | 1,935 |  | (970) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | $(3,261)$ |  | 108,421 |  | 136,973 |
| Investing Activities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchases of securities available-for-sale | - |  | $(2,200)$ |  | $(1,123)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available-for-sale | - |  | - |  | 4 |
| Purchase of other assets, net of sales | (15) |  | 25 |  | (17) |
| Acquisition, net of cash acquired | - |  | - |  | $(306,005)$ |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (15) |  | $(2,175)$ |  | $(307,141)$ |
| Financing Activities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from the issuance of subordinated debt | - |  | - |  | 99,887 |
| Proceeds from the issuance of preferred stock | 193,000 |  | - |  | - |
| Proceeds from the issuance of common stock | - |  | - |  | 143,623 |
| Net purchases of treasury stock | (138) |  | $(61,733)$ |  | (844) |
| Cash dividends paid | $(60,298)$ |  | $(58,499)$ |  | $(53,757)$ |
| Exercise of stock options and restricted stock activity | 245 |  | 4,721 |  | 4,610 |
| Excess tax (expense) benefit related to share-based compensation | (37) |  | 361 |  | 924 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 132,772 |  | $(115,150)$ |  | 194,443 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 129,496 |  | $(8,904)$ |  | 24,275 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 79,699 |  | 88,603 |  | 64,328 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 209,195 | \$ | 79,699 | \$ | 88,603 |

# ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE 

Not applicable.

## ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this report (the "Evaluation Date"), the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and its Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 and 15d-15 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). Based on that evaluation, the President and Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of the Evaluation Date, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms. There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2008 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## Management's Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Accordingly, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth in "Internal Control Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, management has determined that the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008 is effective based on the specified criteria.

Ernst \& Young LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the Company's consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has issued an attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008. The report, which expresses an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, is included in this Item under the heading "Attestation Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm."

## Attestation Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

## Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
First Midwest Bancorp, Inc.:
We have audited First Midwest Bancorp, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). First Midwest Bancorp, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated statements of financial condition of First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders’ equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008 of First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. and our report dated February 27, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

## Emot + Young LLP

Ernst \& Young LLP
Chicago, Illinois
February 27, 2009

## ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

## PART III <br> ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The Company's executive officers are elected annually by the Company's Board of Directors, and the Bank's executive officers are elected annually by the Bank's Board of Directors. Certain information regarding the Company's and the Bank's executive officers is set forth below.

| Name (Age) | Position or Employment for Past Five Years | Executive Officer Since <br> Sinc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michael L. Scudder (48) | President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since 2008. Previously, since 2007, Mr. Scudder served as the Company's President and Chief Operating Officer as well as Group Executive Vice President of the Bank, before which he served as the Company's Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company and Group Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Bank. | 2002 |
| Kent S. Belasco (57) | Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer of the Bank. | 2004 |
| Victor P. Carapella (59) | Executive Vice President and Commercial Banking Group Manager of the Bank since 2008; prior thereto, Sales Manager since 2001; | 2008 |
| Paul F. Clemens (56) | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company; prior thereto, Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer, and Principal Accounting Officer of the Company since 2006; prior thereto, Chief Financial Officer of the western Michigan market of Fifth Third Bank. | 2006 |
| James P. Hotchkiss (52) | Executive Vice President and Treasurer of the Bank since 2004; prior thereto, Senior Vice President and Teasurer of the Bank since 2000; prior thereto, Director of International Money Markets of Bank of Montereal. | 2004 |
| Michael J. Kozak (57) | Executive Vice President and Chief Credit Officer of the Bank since 2004; prior thereto, Senior Vice President, Regional Credit Officer of the Bank. | 2004 |
| Cynthia A. Lance (40) | Executive Vice President and Corporate Secretary since 2007; prior thereto, Assistant General Counsel of CBOT Holdings, Inc. since 2006, and Assistant General Counsel of NYSE Group, Inc. (formerly Archipelago Holdings, Inc.) from 2004 to 2006; and prior thereto, corporate attorney for the Chicago law office of Sonnenschein, Nath and Rosenthal. | 2007 |
| Thomas J. Schwartz (59) | Executive Vice President of the Company since 2007; also, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank since 2008; prior thereto, President and Chief Operating Officer of the Bank since 2007; prior thereto, Group President, Commercial Banking of the Bank. | 2002 |
| Janet M. Viano (53) | Group President, Retail Banking of the Bank. | 2002 |
| Stephanie R. Wise (41) | Executive Vice President, Business and Institutional Services since 2003; prior thereto, Executive Vice President, E-Commerce Division Manager of the Bank. | 2004 |

Information relating to our directors, including our audit committee and audit committee financial experts and the procedures by which stockholders can recommend director nominees, will be in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 20, 2009, which will be filed within 120 days of the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 (the "2009 Proxy Statement") and is incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information relating to our executive officer and director compensation will be in the 2009 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information relating to security ownership of certain beneficial owners of our common stock and information relating to the security ownership of our management will be in the 2009 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information regarding certain relationships and related transactions and director independence will be in the 2009 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Information regarding principal accountant fees and services will be in the 2009 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

## PART IV

## ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1) Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements of the Registrant and its subsidiaries are filed as a part of this document under "Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA."

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of December 31, 2008 and 2007.

Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006.
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## (a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules

The schedules for the Registrant and its subsidiaries are omitted because of the absence of conditions under which they are required, or because the information is set forth in the consolidated financial statements or the notes thereto.
(a)(3) Exhibits

See Exhibit Index beginning on the following page.

## EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit
Number
3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of First Midwest Bancorp, Inc.
3.2 Restated By-laws of First Midwest Bancorp, Inc.
4.1 Amended and Restated Rights Agreement dated November 15, 1995, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibits (1) through (3) of the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 21, 1995.
4.2 First Amendment to Rights Agreements dated June 18, 1997, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4 of the Company's Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 30, 1997.
4.3 Amendment No. 2 to Rights Agreements dated November 14, 2005, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Company's Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 16, 2005.
4.4 Amendment No. 3 to Rights Agreements dated December 8, 2008, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of the Company's Amendment No. 4 to the Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 9, 2008.
4.5 Certificate of Designation for Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock Series B dated December 5, 2008, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 9, 2008.
4.6 Form of Warrant for Purchase of Shares of Common Stock, dated December 5, 2008, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 9, 2008.
4.7 Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust of First Midwest Capital Trust I dated November 18, 2003 is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2004.
4.8 Indenture dated as of November 18, 2003 is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2004.
4.9 Series A Capital Securities Guarantee Agreement dated November 18, 2003 is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2004.
10.1 Letter Agreement by and between First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. and the United States Department of the Treasury dated December 5, 2008, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 9, 2008.
10.2 Senior Executive Letter Agreement under the TARP Capital Purchase Program by and between First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. and the United States Department of the Treasury dated December 5, 2008, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 9, 2008.
10.3 First Midwest Savings and Profit Sharing Plan as Amended and Restated effective January 1, 2008.
10.4 Short-term Incentive Compensation Plan is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 4, 2006.
10.5 First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 7, 2003.
10.6 Amendment to the First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 4, 2006.
10.7 First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. Amended and Restated Non-Employee Directors Stock Plan dated May 21, 2008.
10.8 Restated First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. Nonqualified Stock Option-Gain Deferral Plan effective January 1, 2008 is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 28, 2008.
10.9 Restated First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-employee Directors effective January 1, 2008 is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 28, 2008.
10.10 Restated First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. Nonqualified Retirement Plan effective January 1, 2008 is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 28, 2008.
10.11 Form of Non-Employee Director Restricted Stock grant between the Company and directors of the Company pursuant to the First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. Amended and Restated Non-Employee Directors Stock Plan is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on May 28, 2008.
10.12 Form of Nonqualified Stock Option grant between the Company and directors of the Company pursuant to the First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on May 12, 2008.
10.13 Form of Nonqualified Stock Option grant between the Company and certain officers of the Company pursuant to the First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 12, 2008.
10.14 Form of Restricted Stock Unit grant between the Company and certain officers of the Company pursuant to the First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 28, 2008.
10.15 Form of Restricted Stock grant between the Company and certain officers of the Company pursuant to the First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 28, 2008.
10.16 Form of CEO Promotion Restricted Stock grant dated December 22, 2008 between the Company and Michael L. Scudder pursuant to the First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan.
10.17 Form of Indemnification Agreement executed between the Company and certain officers and directors of the Company is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 9, 2007.
10.18 Form of Class I Employment Agreement is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 9, 2007.
10.19 Form of Class IA Employment Agreement is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 9, 2007.
10.20 Form of Class II Employment Agreement between the Company and certain executive officers is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 9, 2007.
10.21 Retirement and Consulting Agreement and Continuing Participant Agreement to the First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan executed between the Company and a former executive of the Company is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 7, 2003.
10.22 Retirement and Consulting Agreements executed between the Company and a former executive of the Company is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 9, 2007.
10.23 Summary of Executive Compensation
10.24 Summary of Director Compensation

11 Statement re: Computation of Per Share Earnings - The computation of basic and diluted earnings per share is included in Note 13 of the Company's Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in "ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA" on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.
12 Statement re: Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
14.1 Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 14.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 28, 2008.
14.2 Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 14.2 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 28, 2008.

21 Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
23 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.
31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.
$32.1{ }^{(1)}$ Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.
32.2 (1) Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.


February 27, 2009
Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in their capacities indicated on February 27, 2009.

## Signatures

| /S/ ROBERT P. O'MEARA | Chairman of the Board |
| :---: | :---: |
| Robert P. O'Meara |  |
| /s/ MICHAEL L. SCUDDER | President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director |
| Michael L. Scudder |  |
| /S/ PAUL F. CLEMENS | Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Principal Accounting Officer |
| Paul F. Clemens |  |
| /S/ BARBARA A. BOIGEGRAIN | Director |
| Barbara A. Boigegrain |  |
| /S/ VERNON A. BRUNNER | Director |
| Vernon A. Brunner |  |
| /S/ BRUCE S. CHELBERG | Director |
| Bruce S. Chelberg |  |
| /S/ JOHN F. CHLEBOWSKI, JR. | Director |
| John F. Chlebowski, Jr. |  |
| /S/ JOSEPH W. ENGLAND | Director |
| Joseph W. England |  |
| /S/ BROTHER JAMES GAFFNEY, FSC | Director |
| Brother James Gaffney, FSC |  |
| /S/ THOMAS M. GARVIN | Director |
| Thomas M. Garvin |  |
| /S/ PATRICK J. MCDONNELL | Director |
| Patrick J. McDonnell |  |

Signatures
/S/ JOHN E. ROONEY
Director
John E. Rooney
/S/ ELLEN A. RUDNICK
Director
Ellen A. Rudnick
/S/ THOMAS J. SCHWARTZ
Executive Vice President and Director
Thomas J. Schwartz
/S/ JOHN L. STERLING
Director
John L. Sterling
/S/ J. STEPHEN VANDERWOUDE Director
J. Stephen Vanderwoude

## CERTIFICATION

I, Michael L. Scudder, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of First Midwest Bancorp Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 27, 2009


## CERTIFICATION

I, Paul F. Clemens, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of First Midwest Bancorp Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 27, 2009


Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

## CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, the undersigned officer of First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. (the "Company"), hereby certifies that:
(1) The Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.


Dated: February 27, 2009
A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

Exhibit 32.2

## CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, the undersigned officer of First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. (the "Company"), hereby certifies that:
(1) The Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.


Dated: February 27, 2009
A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.
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Dividend Payments

Direct Deposit

## Dividend Reinvestment/ Stock Purchase

## Transfer Agent/ Stockholder Services

## Investor and Stockholder Contact

## SEC Reports and General Information

## Cautionary Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995

First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. common stock is traded in the Nasdaq Global Select Market tier of the Nasdaq Stock Market under the symbol FMBI. The stock abbreviation appears as "FstMdWBcp" and "FMidBc" in some leading on-line and print listings.

Anticipated dividend payable dates are in January, April, July, and October subject to the approval by the Board of Directors.

Stockholders may have their dividends deposited directly to their savings, checking, or money market account at any financial institution. Information concerning Dividend Direct Deposit may be obtained from the Company or its transfer agent.

Stockholders may fully or partially reinvest dividends and invest up to $\$ 5,000$ quarterly in First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. common stock without incurring any brokerage fees. Information concerning Dividend Reinvestment may be obtained from the Company or its transfer agent.

Stockholders with inquiries regarding stock accounts, dividends, change of ownership or address, lost certificates, consolidation of accounts, or registering shares electronically through the Direct Registration System should contact the transfer agent:

## BNY Mellon Shareowner Services

480 Washington Boulevard
Jersey City, New Jersey 07310
(888) 581-9376
www.bnymellon.com/shareowner

## Investor Relations

First Midwest Bancorp, Inc.
One Pierce Place, Suite 1500
Itasca, Illinois 60143
(630) 875-7533
investor.relations@firstmidwest.com

First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. files an annual report with the Securities and Exchange Commission on Form 10-K and three quarterly reports on Form 10-Q. Requests for such reports and general inquiries regarding stock and dividend information, quarterly earnings, and news releases may be directed to Investor Relations at the above address or can be obtained through the Investor Relations section of the Company's website, www.firstmidwest.com.

In this document we have included statements that may constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are not historical facts but instead represent only our belief regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and outside of our control. By identifying these statements for you, we are alerting you to the possibility that actual results and our financial condition may differ, possibly materially, from the anticipated results and financial condition indicated in these forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause our results to differ, possibly materially from those in the forward looking statements are discussed in the Section entitled "Risk Factors" in the enclosed Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 and our other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission from time to time. Forward-looking statements represent our management's best judgment as of the date hereof based on currently available information. Except as required by law, we undertake no duty to update the contents of this document after the date hereof.

First Midwest Bancorp, Inc.
One Pierce Place
Suite 1500
Itasca, IL 60143
630.875 .7450
firstmidwest.com
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