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AT YEAR-END

| Total assets | $\$ 577,242$ | $\$ 476,823$ | $21 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total net loans | 460,689 | 395,921 | $16 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Total deposits | 483,620 | 391,059 | $24 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Shareholders' equily | 62,607 | 51,307 | $9 \%$ | $10 \%$ |

PER COMMON SHARE

| Earnings per common share | \$2.34 | \$2.11 | 11\% | 11\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diluted earnings per common shaxe | 2.31 | 2.05 | 13\% | 12\% |
| Dividends declared | 0.76 | 0.72 | 6\% | 16\% |
| Book value | 14.41 | 13.26 | 9\% | 10\% |
| Closing price | 36.63 | 28.25 | 30\% | 8\% |

SELECTED RATIOS

| Return on average assels | $2.01 \%$ | $2.05 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Return on average sharcholders' equily | $17.26 \%$ | $16.95 \%$ |
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## Dear Fellow Shareholders:

This past year was a good one for Bryn Mawr Bank Corporation and its main subsidiary, The Bryn Mawr Trust Company. Both net income and fully diluted earnings per share set new records, coming in at $\$ 10,207,000$ and $\$ 2.31$ respectively. These figures represent increases of $12 \%$ and $13 \%$ over the previous year. Our financial performance ratios, a return-on-assets of $2.01 \%$ and a return-on-equity of $17.26 \%$, put us among the highest performing banks in the country.

At Bryn Mawr Trust, we have four primary corc-competencies. Let me touch briefly on each of these areas.

- BMT Mortgage Company, our mortgage banking unit, set a new milestone by originating over $\$ 625$ million of residential loans. We can confidently say that we are the premier provider of residential mortgages in the Philadelphia area.
- Our Business Banking division experienced an excellent 2002 with both loan demand and loan quality remaining strong throughout the year. Our ability to offer sophisticated cash management services, along with customized credit facilities, helped us to attract a large number of new corporate clients.
- The Retail Banking group, which includes our branch system and consumer lending activities, had a terrific twelve months with deposits increasing over $24 \%$ during the year. We opened an additional limited-service office in Rosemont Preshyterian Village, which brings to seven the number of life-care communities we now serve. $\Lambda_{\text {so, }}$ during the latter part of 2003, we will be opening a large, full-service branch on West Chester Pike in Newtown Square, our seventh such facility.
- Our Wealth Management division provides high-quality trust and investment management services to individuals, businesses, and non-profit entities nationwide. Despite the declining stock market, a large number of additional clients were added last year and revenues for the last two quarters of 2002 exceeded revenues reported for the same two quarters in 2001.

We were pleased with the performance of our "Share-the-Client" program, which completed its first full year of operation in 2002. The premise of the program is that our clients enjoy their existing
relationships with Bryn Mawr Trust and would be pleased to have their other financial needs handled by us as well. Over 2,700 dents, served by one part of our organization, were referred to other divisions within the Bank where they could take advantage of other high-quality products and services. The effect of this program on our bottom line has been immediate.

During 2003, we will continue our stock repurchase program under the guidelines approved by our Board of Directors. During 2002, we repurchased over $\$ 7$ million of BMBC stock, thus helping to boost our important earnings-per-share figure. In addition, dividends were increased for the tenth consecutive year,

All of us as shareholders are fortunate to have a knowledgeable, dedicated and involved Board
 of Directors - a necessity if we are to remain a high-performing bank.

Every year brings new challenges with it. The current low interest rate environment, which negatively impacts our net interest margins, and the anemic stock market certainly will have an effect on our performance in 2003. However, I can promise you that all of us at Bryn Mawr Trust will do our best to have yet another record setting year for you, our shareholders. $\propto$

Sincerely,


Execulive Managemenl. Sealed:
Robert J. Ricciardi and John G. Roman. Standing: $\lambda \operatorname{lison}_{\text {Is }}$. Gers, Joseph G. Keefer and Joseph W. Rebl

## Performance Higiligitis

For the Year 2002, Bryn Mawr Bank Corporation registered its eighth consecutive year of record earnings. Among the most notable achievements of the year, residential mortgage profitability increased by $72 \%$, total net loans grew by $16 \%$, and total deposits increased $24 \%$. $\infty$

## Our Heritige

For over 114 years, the Corporation's principal subsidiary, The Bryn Mawr Trust Company has been a stalwart, solid financial institution, sleadfastly dedicated to the local community. The organization remained strong and

independent even during periods of volatile cconomic changes that have precipilated other financial service providers being taken over, merged, or even compleety going out of existence. During 2002, Bryn Mawr Trust was honored for its quality service, sound business practices and overall valuc to the community.

The Main Line Chamber of Commerce honored The Bryn Mawr Trust Company with its 2002 Business of the Year Award. In conjunction with this accolade, the Bank also received a cilation from the

Pernsylvania House of Representalives congratulating Bryn Mawr Trust for this great honor and for enhancing the quality of life of the community that il scrves.

Bauer Financial, a service that rates the safety of financial institutions
throughout the nation, gave Bryn Mawr Trust its highest rating, which is given to only the healthiest banks based on earnings, capital, and the quality of loan portfolios.

Early in 2002, Bryn Mawr Bank Corporation was designated the 9 th most profitable publicly traded bank holding company in the nation by American Banker, the principal newspaper of the banking industry. $\infty$

## Focus on the Client

Our goal is to be the premier client-focused bank and wealth services organization in the Philadelphia area. We continually strive to set the highest standards for quality service and client satisfaction through superior staff, teamwork, and the pursuit of excellence. Exceptional service, which is the key value-added component of our continued success, must continue to be synonymous with Bryn Mawr Trust.

The key components that embody exceptional


BMT Mortgage Company. Regina Hurley, William F. Mannion, Jr., Patrick J. Keenan and Sarah Fanjul client service can best be expressed by three simple words - prompt, professional, and friendly.

- PROMPT - quick, efficient, responsive and accurate.
- PROFESSIONAL - quality products and services, knowledgeable staff, and of course professional demeanor and dress.
- FRIENDLY - human, warm, caring, courteous and always thankful for the client's business.

These three characteristics typify quality service at Bryn Mawr Trust. $\infty$

## Reaching Out

Bryn Mawr Trust continues to play a leading role in support of community activitics including cducation, health, senior citizens' concerns, cultural events, and civic affairs.

Bryn Mawr Trust professionals serve on the boards and in other leadership roles in more than thirty civic and community organizations. More than a dozen professional organizations benefit from the dedicated service of our stalf members.

Thanks to the elforts of a dedicated group of employees, a Community Bulletin Board was instituted through the company's internal communications network, whereby staff members may inform fellow employees of volunteer and

Commercial Banking.
Carmen L. Fiorerlino, Martin F. Gallagher, Jr. and J. David Felorson
other opportunities to support local charitable causes that are meaningful to their lives. $\infty$

## "Sllare-Thi:-Client"

The cross-selling program instituted in the last quarter of 2001, proved to be a resounding success, producing over 2,200 referrals to Bryn Mawr Trust products and services during 2002. "Share-the-Client" is a continuing process enabling our staff to better serve our clients and to develop new business. Our staff is keenly aware that growing additional revenue streams is critically important to our continued success. $\infty$

## A Resource for Information

Our experienced professionals provide a complete array of wealth management services including investment management, trust and fiduciary services, custody and executor services, tax advisory, and employee benefit programs. This group also takes on the challenge of keeping the public, as well as the professional financial community, up-to-date on the latest developments affecting the economy and personal finances. Each quarter, the investment advisors publish the Economic Forecast and Market Review, which analyzes current economic conditions.

In 2002, Wealth Management sponsored a series of professional workshops for lawyers, accountants and other financial professionals. Topics included:

- Tax-Deferred Savings after EGTRRA,
- Conservation Easements: A Tool for Tax and Estate Planning, and
- Planning for the Costs of College: An Overview of Section 529 Plans.

In late 2002, the public was invited to a special presentation entitled "Is Your Portfolio Ready for War?" $\propto \infty$

## Tiie All-important "Back Room"

There were many advances in the operational and administrative areas of the organization to keep expenses


Wealth Management. Lisa M. Brinton, F. Peter Brodie and Renee E. Robbins under control while taking advantage of technology to continually improve our level of client service. In order to increase the efficiency of our Operational services, the Trust Operations unit was merged with the Banking Operations unit and relocated from its previous quarters at 10 South Bryn Mawr Avenue to the Operations Center in Wayne. $\infty$

## IExpanding our Footprint

Plans are well underway for a new branch facility opening in Newtown Square in the fall of 2003. A striking design by Casaccio Architects of Havertown will provide an approximately 3,700 square foot facility combining the latest in self-service technology with the personal service, long a hallmark of Bryn Mawr Trust.

In addition to standard delivery channels such as teller service and safe deposil boxes, the branch will feature the latest amenities including facilities for self-service banking lransactions

Archilect's drawing:
Newtomn Square Branch and an after-hours lobby which includes an ATM and a Merchant Banking Center providing rolled coin and cash dispensers.

Along with a generous parking area, the branch will have a

Retail Banking, Richard J. Fuchs,
Linda N. Kahloy and
Barbara J. Newormer
state-of-the-art drive-up center featuring three lanes equipped with the most sophisticated mechanical delivery system available. The drive-up facility will also
 feature an ATM and night depository providing 24-hour driveup service.

The Newtown Square branch will be managed by Vice President Barbara Newcomer, a seasoned banking professional who has been with Bryn Mawr Trust for almost four years and has over twelve years of diversified experience in the financial services industry. We view the establishment of this facility as testimony to our commitment to expand our services to the residents and businesses in this area of Delaware County. $\infty$

## CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS

801 Lancaster Avenue
Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania 19010-3396
610-525-1700
www.bmtc.com
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## ANNUAL MEETING

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Bryn Mawr Bank Corporation will be held in Wyndharn Alumnae House, Bryn Mawr College, Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania, on Tuesday, April 15, 2003, at 2:00 p.m.

## AUDITORS

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Two Commeres Square
2001 Marlect Strect
Philadel Phia, Rennsylvania 19103-7042

## LEGAL COUNSEL

Monteverde, McAlce \& Hurd, P.C.
Onc Pennu Center at Suhurban Slulion
161\% John 「. Kennedy Boulevard
Suite 1500
Philadelphtia, Pernnsylvania 19103-1815

## STOCK LISTING

Bryn Mawr Bank Corporation common stock is traded over-the-counter and is listed on the NASDAQ National Market System under the symbol BMTC.

## REGISTRAR 8 TRANSFER AGENT

Mellon Investor Scrvices LLCP
P.O. Box 3315

South llaukertack, NJ 01606
www mellorr-investoncom

## FORM 10-K

A copy of the Corporation's Form $10-\mathrm{K}$, induding financial statement schedules as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, is available without charge to sharcholders upon written request to Robert J. Ricciardi, Secretary, Bryn Mawr Bank Corporation, 801 Lancaster Avenue, Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania 19010-3396, or via e-mail to bricciardi@hmtc.com.

## FQUAL EMPLOYMFNT OPPORTUNITY

The Corporation conlinues its commitment to equal opportunity employment and does nol discriminate a ajainst minorities or women with respect to recruitment, hiring, training, or promotion. It is the policy of the Corporation to comply volunlarily with the practices of Nffirmative Action.
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## Selected Financial Data

| For the years ended December 31, | (in thousands, except for share and per share data) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 |  | 2001* |  | 2000 * |  | 1999 |  | 1998* |  |
| Interest income | \$ | 29,412 | \$ | 30,311 | \$ | 31,985 | \$ | 28,317 | \$ | 26,082 |
| Interest expense |  | 4,484 |  | 6,302 |  | 7,072 |  | 5,857 |  | 5,976 |
| Net interest income |  | 24,928 |  | 24,009 |  | 24,913 |  | 22,460 |  | 20,106 |
| Loan loss provision |  | 1,000 |  | 1,200 |  | 250 |  | 250 |  | 150 |
| Net interest income after loar loss provision |  | 23,928 |  | 22,809 |  | 24,663 |  | 22,210 |  | 19,956 |
| Other income . . . . |  | 26,127 |  | 21,410 |  | 17,772 |  | 18,086 |  | 14,610 |
| Other expenses |  | 34,323 |  | 30,323 |  | 29,741 |  | 28,456 |  | 24,229 |
| Income before income taxes |  | 15,732 |  | 13,896 |  | 12,694 |  | 11,840 |  | 10,337 |
| Applicable income taxes |  | 5,525 |  | 4,770 |  | 4,433 |  | 3,879 |  | 3,480 |
| Net income | \$ | 10,207 | \$ | 9,126 | \$ | 8,261 | \$ | 7,961 | \$ | 6,857 |
| Per share data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings per common share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 2.34 | \$ | 2.11 | \$ | 1.92 | \$ | 1.83 | \$ | 1.58 |
| Diluted | \$ | 2.31 | \$ | 2.05 | \$ | 1.85 | \$ | 1.75 | \$ | 1.51 |
| Dividends declared | \$ | 0.76 | \$ | 0.72 | \$ | 0.68 | \$ | 0.60 | \$ | 0.465 |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding |  | 4,353,195 |  | $4,325,520$ |  | 4,292,838 |  | 4,349,403 |  | 4,327.29? |
| Dilutive potential common shares |  | 65,010 |  | 127,090 |  | 161,408 |  | 193,915 |  | 225,708 |
| Adjusted weighted-average shares |  | ,418,205 |  | 4,452,610 |  | 4,454,246 |  | 4,543,318 |  | 4,553,005 |



[^1]
## Management's Discussion and Analysis

The following is a discussion of the consolidated results of operations of Bryn Mawr Bank Corporation and its subsidiaries (the "Corporation") for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2002, as well as the financial condition of the Corporation as of December 31, 2002 and 2001. The Bryn Mawr Trust Company (the "Bank"), Bryn Mawr Brokerage Company, Inc. ("B M Brokerage"), and Joseph W. Roskos \& Co. ("JWR \& Co.") are wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Corporation. Bryn Mawr Finance, Inc. ("B M Finance") is a wholly owned subsidiary of JWR \& Co., Insurance Counsellors of Bryn Mawr, Inc. ("ICBM") and Bryn Mawr Settlement Services, Inc. ("BMSS") are wholly owned subsidiaries of the Bank. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the Corporation's consolidated financial statements beginning on page 34 .

## Special Caltionary notice Regarding Forward Looking Statements

Certain of the statements contained in this report may constitute forward-looking statements for the purposes of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and may involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause actual results, performance or achievements of the Corporation to be materially different from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements include statements with respect to the Corporation's financial goals, business plans, business prospects, credit quality, credit risk, reserve adequacy, liquidity, origination and sale of residential mortgage loans, impairment of goodwill, the effect of changes in accounting standards, and market and pricing trends loss. The words "expect," "anticipate," "intended," "plan," "believe," "seek," "estimate," and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. The Corporation's actual results may differ materially from the results anticipated by the forward-looking statements due to a variety of factors, including without limitations:

- the effect of future economic conditions on the Corporation and its customers, including economic factors which affect consumer confidence in the securities markets, wealth creation, investment and savings patterns, and the Corporation's interest rate risk exposure and credit risk;
- changes in the securities markets with respect to the market values of financial assets and the stability of particular securities markets;
- governmental monetary and fiscal policies, as well as legislation and regulatory changes;
- changes in accounting requirements or interpretations;
- the risks of changes in interest rates on the level and composition of deposits, loan demand, and the value of loan collateral and securities, as well as interest rate risk;
- the effects of competition from other commercial banks, thrifts, mortgage companies, consumer finance companies, credit unions, securities brokerage firms, insurance companies, money-market and mutual funds and other institutions operating in the Corporation's trade market area and elsewhere including institutions operating locally, regionally, nationally and internationally together with such competitors offering banking products and services by mail, telephone, computer and the Internet;
- any extraordinary events (such as the September 11, 2001 events and the U.S. Government's response to those events);
- the Corporation's success in continuing to generate new business in its existing markets, as well as its success in identifying and penetrating targeted markets and generating a profit in those markets in a reasonable time;
- the Corporation's ability to continue to generate investment results for customers and the ability to continue to develop investment products in a manner that meets customers needs;
- the Corporation's timely development of competitive new products and services in a changing environment and the acceptance of such products and services by customers;
- the Corporation's ability to originate and sell residential mortgage loans during a decreasing or low interest rate environment;
- the failure of assumptions underlying the establishment of reserves for loan losses and estimates in the value of collateral, and various financial assets and liabilities and technological changes being more difficult or expensive than anticipated; and
- the Corporation's success in managing the risks involved in the foregoing.
All written or oral forward-looking statements attributed to the Corporation are expressly qualified in their entirety by use of the foregoing cautionary statements. All forwardlooking statements included in this Report are based upon information presently available, and the Corporation assumes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement.


## Critical Accounting Policies

The Corporation's most critical accounting policy is the allowance for loan loss. The allowance for loan loss represents management's estimate of the losses that may occur. The allowance is consistently monitored to determine its adequacy. Ongoing review of credit standards, the level of delinquencies on loan products and loan segments, and the current state of the economy are included in this review.

Actual losses may differ from management's estimates. This is explained in more detail on page 23.
In December 2001, the Corporation adopted Statement of Finaucial Accounting Standard No. 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS No. 142"). In compliance with SFAS No. 142, the Corporation is no longer amortizing goodwill. Goodwill continues to be tested for impairment annually, using a valuation method requiring projected cash flows into future periods. Actual cash flows could differ from management's estimates; thereby affecting the determined value of the goodwill. The valuation analysis of goodwill in December 2002 determined that impairment of goodwill in the amount of $\$ 400,000$ existed. The impaired goodwill was written-down in the fourth quarter of 2002 .
As a part of its Mortgage Banking segment's loau servicing business, the Bank records the value of mortgage servicing rights ("MSRs") as an asset at the time of the sale of loans on which servicing is retained by the Bank. MSRs are amortized over the anticipated life of the respective loans being serviced. In an effort to avoid any potential impaiment of the MSRs, amortization is accelerated as the remaining lives of the respective loans shorten. When loans are paid off, any unamortized balances of the respective MSRs are written off against current Corporation net income. Periodically, an independent valuation of the MSR balances on the Bank's books is made to determine if any impairment of the MSRs exists. Should any impairment of the MSRs cxist, the balance of the MSRs would be written down by the amount of the impairment.

## New Accounting Pronouncements

During 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued four accounting standards (the "Accounting Standards"). The Accounting Standards are discussed in detail in Note 2 - Summary of Significamt Accounting Policies to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which are a part of this report.
Significant Items For 2002

## Dividend Increase

Based on a contimued growth in record earnings, the Corporation increased its quarterly dividend payment for 2002 by $6 \%$, from $\$ 0.18$ per share in 2001 to $\$ 0.19$ per share in 2002. The Corporation's dividend payout ratio was $32.48 \%$ of basic earnings per share for 2002 , compared to $34.12 \%$ for 2001.

## Continuation of the Stock Repurchase Program

Corporation management continues to believe that the repurchase of Corporation's stock is a beneficial use of Corporation capital. In October 2001, management was authorized to repurchase up to $5 \%$ of the ourstanding shares as of October 2001, while not spending more than $\$ 7,500,000$. Under that program, from November 2001
through September 2002, the Corporation successfully repurchased 216,826 shares of Corporation stock, at a cost of $\$ 7,248,000$, for an average cost of $\$ 33.43$ per share. In October 2002, Corporation management was authorized to purchase an additional $4 \%$ of the outstanding shares as of October 2002 or 175,000 shares. This latest stock repurchase program is authorized to extend for a five-year period, with annual reviews by the Corporation's Board of Directors. The Corporation's stock repurchasing activity, from 1997 through December 31, 2002 , resulted in the repurchase of a total of 594,786 shares of the Corporation's common stock, at a cost of $\$ 17,936,000$ for an average purchase price of $\$ 30.16$ per share. The use of the Corporation's capital to repurchase stock is a catalyst to increasing the Corporation's return on equity, as well as offsetting the dilutive effect on earnings per share of issuing stock options. While net income increased by $12 \%$ from 2001 to 2002 , diluted earnings per share grew by $13 \%$, primarily due to the repurchase of shares under these programs.

## Strong Asset Quality

The Corporation consistently strives to enhance the quality of its loan porffolio. The loan porffolio continues to grow and the average loan size has increased substantially which necessitates the constant review of both the credit standards and the loan loss reserve. The state of the economy is also taken into consideration in the evaluation of the adequacy of the loan loss reserve. During 2002 economic growth slowed considerably and the threat of war may further dampen futurc economic growth. In addition to evaluating the credit quality of the loan portfolio, the state of the economy at December 31, 2002 was considered in evaluating the adequacy of the loan loss reserve. Since 1997, nonperforming assets have decreased from $\$ 1,169,000$ to $\$ 45,000$ at year-end 2002. Other real estate owned ("OREO") balances amounting to $\$ 25,000$ at year-end 1997 had been totally eliminated by year-end 1999 and there were no such balances at December 31, 2002. The Corporation measures various ratios in basis points. Each basis point represents $1 / 100$ of $1 \%$ (the "Basis Points"). Nomperforming loans have decreased $96 \%$ over the prior five years to $\$ 45,000$ at year-end 2002. Nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans amounted to 1 basis point at December 31, 2002. Delinquencies, 30 days or more past due, amounted to 16 Basis Points of total outstanding loans at December 31, 2002. At Decermber 31, 2001 nonperforming loans, as a percentage of total loans amounted to 1 Basis Point and delinquencies amounted to 11 Basis Points. While progress has been made in decreasing the levels of delinquent and non-performing assets, the current state of the economy, the threat of war and its potential effect on the economy, as well as additional loan deterioration inherent within the loan porffolio, associated with a decline in the economy, has prompted an increase in the loan loss reserve.

## Mortgage Banking Segment Generates Record Origination and Sales of Residential Mortgage Loans

The continuing low interest rate environment spurred refinancing activity in the residential Mortgage Banking segment. Residential mortgage loan sales in the secondary mortgage market grew by $70 \%$ from $\$ 327,296,000$ in 2001 to $\$ 555,615,000$ for 2002 . This increase in loan sale activity accounted for an $85 \%$ increase in gains on related loan sales, from $\$ 5,218,000$ for 2001 to $\$ 9,647,000$ for 2002. This activity provides a revenue stream that is counter-cyclical to declines in net interest income caused by decreasing interest rates. Because of declining interest rates on an asset rate sensitive earning asset portfolio, as indicated in Table 4 Rate/Volume Analyses on page 22 of this report, net interest income, related to interest rates, decreased by $\$ 2,316,000$ from 2001 to 2002. However, continued growth in earning assets, primarily in the loan portfolio, was responsible for a $\$ 3,235,000$ increase in net interest income, offsetting this decline and providing an overall increase in net interest income of $\$ 919,000$ over 2001.

Historically, when interest rates begin to rise, the Corporation benefits from its asset interest rate sensitivity, increasing its net interest margins, while decreasing its revenues from the refinancing business activity. Should interest rates remain at the present lower levels, the possibility exists that the number of customers desiring to refinance residential mortgage loans could decrease. A potential decrease in residential mortgage loan refinances, prior to market interest rates moving upward, could have a detrimental impact on the Corporation's net income, due to a potential decrease in revenues from this counter-cyclical activity, without a corresponding increase in the Corporation's net interest income or net interest margin.

## Results of Operations

## Overview

The Corporation reported a $12 \%$ increase in net income to $\$ 10,207,000$ for the year ended December 31, 2002, the eighth consecutive year of record earnings for the Corporation. Net income for 2001 amounted to $\$ 9,126,000$.
Basic earnings per share amounted to $\$ 2.34$ in 2002, an $11 \%$ increase over $\$ 2.11$ for 2001. Diluted earnings per share increased by $13 \%$ to $\$ 2.31$ for 2002 from $\$ 2.05$ for 2001. The dilutive potential common shares added to the weighted-average shares outstanding were 65,010 and 127,090 for 2002 and 2001, respectively.
These record earnings results for 2002 were due to a number of factors. As presented on Table 1 - Line of Business Segment Analysis, profits from the Mortgage Banking segment grew by $72 \%$. Interest rates continued to remain low during 2002 , including residential mortgage interest rates.

These low rates helped produce a significant increase in the mortgage refinancing activity in the Mortgage Banking segment of the Corporation. Mortgage loan sales for 2002 were ahead of 2001 by $70 \%$ and is primarily responsible for a $79 \%$ increase in other income in the mortgage-banking segment. Continued low interest rates, compared to 2001, and a 50 basis point decline in the prime rate in the fourth quarter of 2002 were primarily responsible for a 51 basis point decrease in the banking segments net interest margin. However, a $14 \%$ increase in average outstanding earning assets in 2002, compared to 2001, more than offset the decreased margin and increased the banking segment's net interest income, year to year, by $5 \%$. This increase in net interest income is the primary reason for a $3 \%$ increase in the banking segment's segment profit for 2002, compared to 2001.

Despite a fee increase in the third quarter of 2002 , fees from Wealth Management services decreased by $\$ 117,000$ or $1 \%$ compared to similar revenues for 2001. An overall decline in the value of the stock market is primarily responsible for a decline in the value of assets under management, thereby lowering trust fees in 2002, compared to 2001. The year 2002 marked the first full year that the Wealth Management segment had the benefit of its wealth sales consultants. The results of their new business efforts and an increase in the fee schedules charged to clients in July 2002, were primarily responsible for increases in wealth management fees in each of the final two quarters of 2002 , compared to similar quarters in 2001 , increasing by $\$ 124,000$ and $\$ 86,000$, for the third and fourth quarters, respectively. The decline in market values in 2002 , compared to 2001 was partially responsible for a $17 \%$ decline in Wealth Management segment profits in 2002 compared to 2001.
Return on average assets ("ROA") for the year was $2.01 \%$, compared to $2.05 \%$ in 2001 , while return on average equity ("ROE") for 2002 was $17.25 \%$ compared to $16.95 \%$ in 2001.

## Earnings Performance

## Lines of Business

The Corporation continues to have four significant business segments or lines from which it derives its earnings, one of which is the Banking line of business. Additional earnings streams are obtained from its Wealth Management line of business and its Mortgage Banking line of business - the origination, servicing and sale of mortgage loans to the secondary mortgage market. The fourth segment, included in "All Other" in the following segmentation analysis, derives net revenues from financial services and products, offered through the Corporation's subsidiaries, as well as the Bank's subsidiaries, ICBM and BMSS and JWR \& Co.'s subsidiary B M Finance.

Following is a segmentation analysis of the results of operations for those lines of business for 2002 and 2001:
TABLE 1 - Line of Business Segment Analysis

| (dollars in thensunds) | 2002 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Banking | Wealth Management | Mortgage Banking | $\underset{\text { Other* }}{\text { AII }}$ | Consolidated |
| Net interest income . . . . . . . . . Less loan loss provision | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 24,697 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | \$ 二 | \$ 200 | $\$ 31$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 24,928 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ccccccc}\begin{array}{c}\text { Net interest incorne after } \\ \text { loan loss provision }\end{array} \ldots . . & 23,697 & - & 200 & 31 & 23,928\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other income: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fés for investment management and trust |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on |  |  |  |  |  |
| charges ............. 235 - 1,512 - 1,747 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gain on sale of other real estate owned Other operating income .. | 1,374 | 3 | - | 3,391 | 4,768 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Total other income } & \ldots \ldots . & \text { 3,437 } & \mathbf{8 , 6 2 3} & 11,159 & 3,391 & 26,610 \\ \text { Other expenses: }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries-other . | 1,918 | -291 | 692 | , 77 | 14,1978 |
| Fringe benefits | 2,437 | 706 | 88 | 298 | 3,529 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Occupancy } \ldots . . . . . . . & 3,072 & 580 & 172 & 427 & 4,251\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| expenses | 4,726 | 891 | 2,842 | 1,440 | 9,899 |
| Total other expenses | 19,975 | 5,932 | 4,882 | 4,017 | 34,806 |
| Segment profit (loss) | \$ 7,159 | \$2,691 | \$6,477 | \$(595) | \$15,732 |
| \% of segment profit (loss) .. | 46\% | 17\% | 41\% | (4\%) | 100\% |
|  | 2001 |  |  |  |  |
| (dollars in thousands) | Banking | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wealth } \\ \text { Mnuagement } \end{gathered}$ | Mortgage: Braking** | $\underset{\text { Other }}{ }{ }^{\text {All }}$ | Comsolidated** |
| Net interest income <br> Loan loss provisión | \$23,480 | - | \$ 243 | \$286 | \$24,009 |
| Net interest income after loan loss provision | 22.280 | - | 243 | 286 | 22,809 |
| Other income: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fees for investment management and trust services | - | 8,737 | - | - | 8,737 |
| Service charges on | 1,540 | - | - | - | 1,540 |
| Other fees and sarvice charges | 294 | - | 1,004 | - | 1,298 |
| Net gain on sale of loans | 3 | - | 5,218 | - | 5,221 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| estate owned $\qquad$ Other operating income | 1,547 | 11 |  | 3,476 | 5,034 |
| Total other income | 3,384 | 8.748 | 6,222 | 3,476 | 21,830 |
| Other expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries-regular | 8,201 | 3,010 | 883 | 1,954 | 14,048 |
| Salaries-other | 1,505 | 202 | 252 | 77 | 2.036 |
|  | 1,853 | 585 | 66 | 315 | 2,819 |
| Occupancy ... | 3,109 | 632 | 178 | 44 | 4,363 |
| Other operating expenses | 4,061 | 1,088 | 1,326 | 1,002 | 7,477 |
| Total other expenses | 18,729 | 5,517 | 2,705 | 3,792 | 30,743 |
| Segment profit (loss) | \$ 6,935 | \$3,231 | \$3,760 | \$ (30) | \$13,896 |
| \% of segment profit (loss) | 50\% | 23\% | 27\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Bryn Mawr Bank Corporation, Insurance Counsellors of Bryn Mawr, Inc. Bryn Mawr Brokerage Company, Inc., Bryn Mawr Finance, Inc., Bryn Mawr Settlement Services, Inc. <br> ** and Joseph W. Roskos \& Co. have all been aggregated in All Other. <br> ** Reclassified for comparative purposes. |  |  |  |  |  |

The table reflects operating profits or losses of each corporate line of husiness before income taxes and excluding intercompany interest income and expense, related to intercompany borrowings.
The Banking segment's percentage ol' operating profits stood at $46 \%$ for 2002 , compared to $50 \%$ for 2001 . The Wealth Managernent segment's percentage of operating profit was $17 \%$, down from $23 \%$ for 2001. The Mortgage Banking segment's share of operating profits increased from $27 \%$ in 2001 to $41 \%$ in 2002, while the "All Other" segment, including the Corporation and all non-banking subsidiaries, went from $0 \%$ in 2001 to ( $4 \%$ ) in 2002. The primary reason
for this decrease in the "All Other" segment was a $\$ 73,000$ loss for JWR \& Co. for 2002 , compared to a $\$ 293,000$ profit for 2001 and the write-down of $\$ 400,000$ in goodwill associated with JWR \& Co.

## Banking Line of Business

The Bank's average outstanding earming assets for 2002 of $\$ 455,142,000$ increased $14 \%$ from $\$ 400,650,000$ for 2001. Average outstanding loans grew by $15 \%$ in 2002 . The largest dollar increase in average outstanding loans occurred in commercial mortgage loans, up $\$ 44,258,000$ or $79 \%$ over 2001 average balances. As a result of a review of the commercial loan portfolio, it was determined that approximately $\$ 18,000,000$ in loans categorized as commercial loans were commercial mortgage loans. Therefore, $\$ 18,000,000$ in loan balances was transferred from commercial loans to commercial mortgage loans at the beginning of 2002 (the "Transferred Loans"). Average residential mortgage loan balances grew by $\$ 24,834,000$ or $56 \%$ in 2002 , compared to similar average outstanding balances in 2001. Average construction loan balances increased $\$ 10,330,000$ or $62 \%$ in 2002 , compared to the same period in 2001. Reflecting the effect of the Transferred Loans referred to previously, the average commercial loan balances were reduced by $\$ 18,000,000$ for 2002 , compared to 2001. This reduction in average loan balances was responsible for average outstanding commercial loan balances decreasing $\$ 11,613,000$ or $8 \%$ from 2001 average balances.
The average outstanding balances of the Bank's consumer loan portfolio decreased by $\$ 13,221,000$ or $13 \%$ from 2001's average outstanding balances. As residential mortgage loan interest rates remained low in 2002, many fixed rate home equity borrowers, in the consumer loan portfolio, chose to refinance their existing loan balances into lower rate residential first mortgage loans. Lower demand for indirect automobile loans, due to increased competition from automobile manufacturers for new automobile loans, was also responsible for the decrease in average consumer loan balances in 2002. The average oustanding balances of federal funds sold decreased by $3 \%$ in 2002 compared to 2001 levels. Average outstanding investments decreased by $11 \%$ for 2002 compared to 2001 . The decrease in average outstanding balances of both federal funds sold and investments partially finded the growth in the loan portfolio.
Average outstanding total deposits increased $13 \%$ in 2002 compared to 2001. The largest dollar increase occurred in the Bank's NOW account average balances, up $\$ 18,668,000$ or $19 \%$. Average outstanding non-interest bearing demand deposit balances increased by $\$ 15,072,000$ or $15 \%$, while average money market accoumt balances increased by $\$ 10,083,000$ or $20 \%$. Average outstanding savings deposits increased $7 \%$ or $\$ 2,732,000$, while the average balances of certificates of deposit ("CD"s) grew by $\$ 1,251,000$ or $2 \%$. In
order to meet loan funding and liquidity requirements, the Bank increased its reliance on short-term borrowings, increasing the combined average outstanding borrowings of short-term borrowings and federal funds purchased by $\$ 7,019,000$ or $53 \%$. The increases in the average balances of lower costing Now account, non-interest bearing demand deposit accounts and money market accounts are the primary reasons for the cost of funds decreasing 60 Basis Points. However, decreases in the prime rate during 2002, partially offset by increases in earning assets, specifically in higher yielding loans, are primarily responsible for a decrease in the net interest margin to $5.34 \%$ in 2002 from $5.85 \%$ for 2001. An expanded discussion of net interest income follows under the section entitled "Net Interest Income".
Other income from the Banking segment increased by $2 \%$ in 2002 compared to 2001. Service charges on deposit accounts increased $18 \%$ due primarily to both a decrease in the earnings credit applied to deposit accounts, resulting in additional service charge revenues for the Bank and an overall increase in the fee structure for service charges on checking accounts. Other operating income decreased by $11 \%$ in 2002. Included in 2001 was a non- recurring recovery of $\$ 345,000$. Exclusive of this recovery in 2001, other operating income increased $14 \%$.
Total other expenses of the Banking line of business increased by $7 \%$ in 2002 compared to 2001 levels. While regular salaries decreased by $5 \%$, other salaries, primarily incentives based on profitability and fringe benefits increased by $27 \%$ and $32 \%$, respectively. Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease in occupancy expense, while other current operating expenses increased by $16 \%$. Included in other operating expense in 2002 was a $\$ 134,000$ recovery of costs associated with a prior problem loan. Exclusive of these costs, other operating expense increased $7 \%$ year to year. The $5 \%$ increase in net interest income during 2002 was the main cause of the operating profits of the Banking line of business increasing $3 \%$ in 2002 compared to 2001.
Wealth Management Line of Business
The Wealth Management Division reported a $17 \%$ decrease in operating profit for 2002 compared to 2001 levels. A combination of a $\$ 415,000$ increase in total other expenses, due to increased salary and benefits expenses and a $\$ 117,000$ or $1 \%$ decrease in total investment management and trust fee income was primarily responsible for this decline in profitability. An overall decline in asset values related to a decline in the value of the stock market was the primary cause for this decrease. The market value of assets managed decreased from $\$ 1,707,000,000$ at December 31, 2001, to $\$ 1,551,000,000$ as of December 31, 2002.
Other expenses of the Wealth Management line of business increased by $\$ 415,000$ or $8 \%$ in 2002 over 2001 levels.
The primary reason for this increase was an increase in the Wealth Management staff, including a full year's cost of the
wealth sales consultants, hired in the fourth quarter of 2001. Regular salary expense increased $\$ 454,000$ or $15 \%$, while incentive based other salaries grew $\$ 89,000$ or $44 \%$. Related fringe benefit costs also rose, up $\$ 121,000$ or $21 \%$ from 2001 levels. Partially offsetting these expense increases was a $\$ 52,000$ or $8 \%$ decline in occupancy expenses and a $\$ 197,000$ or $18 \%$ decline in other operating expenses. During 2001, hiring costs were incurred to hire the wealth sales consultants and the wealth management head. No such costs were incurred in 2002 . Outside hiring costs decreased by $\$ 363,000$ in 2002 , compared to 2001 and is the primary reason for the decrease in other operating expenses year to year.

## Mortgage Banking Line of Business

The operating profit of the Bank's Mortgage Banking line of business increased $72 \%$ in 2002 compared to 2001. During 2002, mortgage interest rates continued to remain low, making refinancing more attractive to borrowers. In 2002, the Mortgage Banking line of business had a $70 \%$ increase in the dollar volume of loans sold to the secondary mortgage market and a 15 basis point increase in the yield on sales, compared to 2001 levels. The increase in the volume of loans sold is the main reason for the increase in the mortgage banking segment's profitability.
Following is a table showing the volume of residential mortgage loans originated and sold to the secondary mortgage market, the total net gains realized, and the yield on these loan sales:

TABLE 2 - Summary of Residential Loan Sale Activity

| (dollars in thousands) | 2002 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume of loans sold | \$555,615 | \$327,296 |
| Loan fees and net gains on sales | 9,647 | 5,218 |
| Yield on sales | 1.74\% | 1.59\% |

As of December 31, 2002, the Bank serviced $\$ 606,657,000$ in residential mortgage loans for others, compared to $\$ 406,093,000$ in loans serviced for others at year-end 2001.

## Bryn Mawr Bank Corporation

The Corporation is a one-bank holding company, generating intercompany revenues from the rental of Corporation owned properties to the Bank. The Corporation's expenses are primarily of an administrative nature. Based on a December 2002 discounted cash flow analysis of the value of goodwill on the Corporation's books, related to JWR \& Co., it was determined that an impairment of goodwill, in the amount of $\$ 400,000$ existed. Therefore, in the fourth quarter of 2002, the Corporation wrote-down its balance of goodwill by $\$ 400,000$ to $\$ 2,405,000$. The impairment was primarily due to a decline in revenues in 2002 , compared to previous years. Corporation management is taking steps to enhance future revenue streams and reduce expenses in future periods, and has made a change in JWR \& Co. management.

## Insurance Counsellors of Bryn Mawr, Inc.

In January 1998, the Bank established a new wholly owned subsidiary, ICBM (a full-service insurance agency), to enable the Bank to offer insurance products and related services to its customer base. ICBM offers a full line of life, property and casualty and commercial lines to its customer base. During 2001, ICBM entered into a marketing agreement, to share commissions earned on referrals from ICBM (the "Marketing Agreement") with a full service insurance agency located in the Corporation's inmediate market area (the "Agency"). During 2002, the Marketing Agreement was terminated by mutual consent with the Agency. Costs associated with the termination of the Marketing Agreement, as well as commissions shared under the Marketing Agreement, are the primary reasons for a loss of $\$ 51,000$ in 2002 , compared to a profit of $\$ 23,000$ in 2001.

## Bryn Mawr Settlement Services, Inc.

In January 2002, the Bank formed BMSS to be a limited partner in a limited liability partnership, established to provide itte search and abstract services to Bank customers refinancing their residential mortgage loans. BMSS entered into an agreement with Commonwealth Land Transfer Company, whereby BMSS would receive $70 \%$ of the profits, after expenses, from the partnership (the "Partnership Agreement"). Due to the large volume of mortgage loan refinancing in 2002, as described in the Mortgage Banking segment, BMSS earned $\$ 192,000$ in net income for 2002. There were no such earnings in 2001.

## Bryn Mawr Brokerage Company, Inc.

The Corporation established B M Brokerage in January 1999, in order to make brokerage services available to its client base through a networking agreement with UVest Finaucial Services Inc. During 2002, B M Brokerage reported a net loss of $\$ 5,000$ compared to a net profit of $\$ 15,000$ in 2001. Beginning in January 2003, B M Brokerage was made an inactive subsidiary. In the future, brokerage services will be provided by the Bank.
Joseph W. Roskos \& Co.
On April 1, 1999, the Corporation acquired JWR \& Co., effective Jauuary $\mathbf{1}, 1999$, for $\$ 4,195,000$, through a combination of Corporation stock and cash. Goodwill in the amount of $\$ 3,300,000$ was recorded on the Corporation's books, to be amortized over a 20 -year life. JWR \& Co . was acquired to expand the products and services being offered by the Corporation through its subsidiaries. JWR \& Co. provides family business office services to high-net-worth individuals, including accounting and tax preparation services, consulting and fiduciary support services. During 2002 , JWR \& Co. reported a net loss of $\$ 73,000$, compared to net profits of $\$ 292,000$ in 2001 and $\$ 295,000$ in 2000, before the inter-company interest expense, paid to its subsidiary, B M Finance. As previously mentioned, it was
determined that an impairment in the amount of $\$ 400,000$ existed. Therefore, in the fourth quarter of 2002 , the Corporation wrote down its balance of goodwill by $\$ 400,000$.

## Bryn Mawr Finance, Inc.

B M Finance was incorporated on December 20, 2001, as a wholly owned subsidiary of JWR \& Co Its primary purpose is to provide financing opportunities to the Corporation and its subsidiaries. Exclusive of inter-company interest income from JWR \& Co. and the Bank, B M Finance had losses of $\$ 8,000$ and $\$ 9,000$ for 2002 and 2001, respectively.

## Net Interest Income

During 2001, the Bank's prime rate decreased by 475 Basis Points, to $4.75 \%$ and remained there during 2002, until the fourth quarter of 2002 , when the prime rate decreased another 50 basis points. This decrease in the prime rate in 2002 , compared to 2001, compressing the net interest margin, was partially offset by a $14 \%$ increase in average outstanding earring assets. The result was a $3 \%$ or $\$ 899,000$ decrease in total interest income. A decline in interest rates paid on deposits during 2002, compared to 2001 deposit rates, and strong growth in the Bank's low cost and noninterest bearing deposits was primarily responsible for a $29 \%$ or $\$ 1,818,000$ decrease in interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2002. This resulted in an overall increase in net interest income of $4 \%$ or $\$ 919,000$ over the year ended December 31, 2001. Average earning assets grew $14 \%$ in 2002 , compared to 2001 levels. The average outstanding balances of federal funds sold decreased by $3 \%$ and average investments decreased by $11 \%$. The yield on earning assets decreased by 110 Basis Points, from $7.6 \%$ for 2001 to $6.5 \%$ for 2002.
Total average deposits increased $13 \%$. The largest increases occurred in the Bank's lower costing or non-interest bearing deposits. Average NOW account balances increased by $\$ 18,668,000$ or $19 \%$, average non-interest-bearing demand deposits increased by $\$ 15,072,000$ or $15 \%$ and average balances of money market accounts increased by $\$ 10,083,000$ or $20 \%$. Statement savings average balances grew by $\$ 2,732,000$ or $7 \%$ and CD balances grew by $\$ 1,251,000$ or $2 \%$. In an effort to increase liquidity, average outstanding short-term borrowings grew by $53 \%$ over similar balances for 2001. A combination of the ability to lower overall interest rates paid for interest-bearing deposits and short-term borrowings and strong growth in the low and no cost deposit balances was primarily responsible for a 60 basis point decrease in the average cost of finds for 2002, compared to 2001. The decrease in the yield on earning assets, partially offset by the decreased cost of funds was directly responsible for the Bank's net interest margin, defined as net interest income, exclusive of loan fees, as a percentage of average earning assets, decreasing from $5.85 \%$ for 2001 to $5.34 \%$ for 2002.

The following table shows an analysis of the composition of net interest income for each of the last three years. Interest income on loans includes fees on loans of $\$ 620,000, \$ 574,000$ and $\$ 625,000$ in 2002,2001 and 2000 respectively. The average loan balances include nonaccrual loans. All average balances are calculated on a daily basis. Yields on investment securities are not calculated on a tax-equivalent basis.
TABLE 3 - Analyses of Interest Rates and Interest Differential

|  | 2002 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (dollars in thousands) | Average Balance | Interest Income/ Expense | Average Rates Earned/ Paid | Average Balance | Interest Income/ Expense | Average Rates Earned/ Paid | Average Balance | Interest <br> Income/ <br> Expense | Average Rates Earned/ Paid |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 29,934 |  | -\% | \$ 25,006 | \$ - | -\% | \$ 22,345 | \$ - | - |
| Interest-bearing deposits with other banks* $\qquad$ | 3,651 | 54 | 1.5 | 623 | 21 | 3.4 | 1,623 | 90 | 5.5 |
| Federal funds sold* . . . . . . . . . . . . | 7,275 | 112 | 1.5 | 7,521 | 321 | 4.3 | 6,174 | 373 | 6.0 |
| Investment securities available for sale: Taxable* | 21,373 | 1,038 | 4.9 | 23,331 | 1,302 | 5.6 | 26,482 | 1,558 | 5.9 |
| Tax-exempt* | 939 | 39 | 4.2 | 1,604 | 66 | 4.1 | 2,495 | 108 | 4.3 |
| Total investment securities | 22,312 | 1,077 | 4.8 | 24,935 | 1,368 | 5.5 | 28,977 | 1,666 | 5.7 |
| Loans* | 421,904 | 28,169 | 6.7 | 367,571 | 28,601 | 7.8 | 346,921 | 29,856 | 8.6 |
| Less allowance for loan losses | $(5,459)$ | - | - | $(4,636)$ | - | - | $(4,352)$ | - | - |
| Net loans | 416,445 | 28,169 | 6.8 | 362,935 | 28,601 | 7.9 | 342,569 | 29,856 | 8.7 |
| Other assets | 27,365 | - | - | 24,479 | - | - | 23,897 | - | - |
| Total assets | \$506,982 | \$29,412 | - | \$445,499 | \$30,311 | - | \$425,585 | \$31,985 | - |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits, noninterest-bearing | \$117,949 | \$ - | -\% | \$102,877 | \$ - | -\% | \$ 96,215 | \$ - | -\% |
| Savings deposits | 221,725 | 1,556 | 0.7 | 190,242 | 2,023 | 1.1 | 192,141 | 2,697 | 1.4 |
| Time deposits | 79,332 | 2,509 | 3.2 | 78,081 | 3,768 | 4.8 | 70,819 | 3,596 | 5.1 |
| Short term borrowings | 18,609 | 386 | 2.1 | 11,959 | 467 | 3.9 | 10,342 | 693 | 6.7 |
| Federal funds purchased | 1,665 | 33 | 2.0 | 1,296 | 44 | 3.4 | 1,283 | 86 | 6.7 |
| Other liabilities | 8,551 | - | - | 7,202 | - | - | 6,765 | - | - |
| Total liabilities | 447,831 | 4,484 | - | 391,657 | 6,302 | - | 377,565 | 7,072 | - |
| Shareholders' equity | 59,151 | - | - | 53,842 |  | - | 48,020 | - | - |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$506,982 | \$ 4,484 | - | \$445,499 | \$ 6,302 | - | \$425,585 | \$ 7,072 | - |
| Total earning assets* | \$455,142 | - | - | \$400,650 | - | - | \$383,695 | - | - |
| Interest income to earning assets | - | - | 6.5 | - | - | 7.6 | - | - | 8.3 |
| Interest expense to earning assets | - | - | 1.0 | - | - | 1.6 | - | - | 1.8 |
| Net yield on interest-earning assets | - | - | 5.5 | - | - | 6.0 | - | - | 6.5 |
| Average effective rate paid on interestbearing liabilities | - | - | 1.4 | - | - | 2.2 | - | - | 2.6 |
| Average effective cost on total deposits and short borrowings | - | - | 1.0 | - | - | 1.6 | - | - | 1.9 |
| Net interest margin . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | - | - | 5.34 | - | - | 5.85 | - | - | 6.33 |
| Earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities | - | - | 1.42 | - | - | 1.42 | - | - | 1.40 |

*Indicates earning assets.

The following table shows the effect of changes in volumes and rates on interest income and interest expense. Variances which were not specifically attributable to volume or rate were allocated proportionately between volume and rate. Interest income on loans included increases (decreases) in fees on loans of $\$ 46,000$ in 2002 , $\$(51,000)$ in 2001 , and $\$ 160,000$ in 2000.
TABLE 4 - Rate/Volume Analyses

| (in thessands) | 2002 va. 2001 |  |  | 2001 vs. 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Increase/(decrease) | Volume | Rate | Total | Volume | Ral: | Lotal |
| Interest Income: Interest-bearing deposits with other banks. . . . . . . . . . . | \$ 51 | \$ (18) | \$ 33 | \$ (43) | \$ (26) | \$ (69) |
| Federal funds sold .. | (10) | (199) | (209) | 69 | (121) | (52) |
| Investment securities available for sale: Taxable | (106) | (158) | (264) | (179) | (77) | (256) |
| Tax-exempt | (29) | 2 | (27) | (37) | (5) | (42) |
| Loans | 3,917 | $(4,349)^{*}$ | (432) | 1,676 | $(2,931)^{*}$ | $(1,255)$ |
| Total interest income | 3,823 | $(4,722)$ | (899) | 1,486 | $(3,160)$ | $(1,674)$ |
| Interest expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings deposits | 330 | (797) | (467) | (30) | (644) | (674) |
| Time deposits | 57 | $(1,316)$ | $(1,259)$ | 379 | (207) | 172 |
| Short term borrowings | 191 | (272) | (81) | 96 | (322) | (226) |
| Fed funds purchased | 10 | (21) | (11) | 2 | (44) | (42) |
| Total interest expense | 588 | $(2,406)$ | $(1,818)$ | 447 | $(1,217)$ | (770) |
| Interest differential | \$3,235 | \$(2,316) | \$ 919 | \$1,039 | \$(1,943) | \$ (904) |

* Included in the loan rate variance was a (decrease) increase in interest income related to non-performing loans of $\$(73,000)$ and $\$ 55,000$ in. 2002 and 2001 , respectively. The variances due to rate include the effect of nonaccrual loans because no interest is earned on such loans.

The $3 \%$ decline in interest income for 2002 was primarily attributable to a 110 basis point decline in the average yield on earning assets, from $7.6 \%$ in 2001 to $6.5 \%$ for 2002. A $14 \%$ increase in average earning assets from $\$ 400,650,000$ for 2001 to $\$ 455,142,000$ for 2002 helped offset the decline in interest income due to decreases in interest rates. Primarily due to the decreases in the prime rate, interest income related to the rate variance decreased by $\$ 4,723,000$. A $\$ 3,824,000$ increasc in interest income, attributable to volume growth, was the result of a $15 \%$ increase in average outstanding loans. The average yield on loans decreased 110 basis points from $7.8 \%$ in 2001 to $6.7 \%$ in 2002. The average yicld on federal funds sold decreased 280 Basis Points to $1.5 \%$ for 2002 , compared to $4.3 \%$ for 2001 . The yield on the investment portfolio
decreased by 70 Basis Points from 5.5\% in 2001 to $4.8 \%$ in 2002.

While increased average outstanding deposits, short-termborrowing and federal funds purchased caused interest. expense to increase by $\$ 588,000$, the ability to lower rates paid on deposits caused a decrease in interest expense of $\$ 2,406,000$. The net result was an increase in net interest income of $\$ 919,000$.

As of December 31, 2002, outstanding loan balances increased $16 \%$ over December 31, 2001. The most significant loan growth occurred in commercial mortgage loans, which increased by $\$ 35,684,000$ or $52 \%$. This increase is inclusive of the $\$ 18,000,000$ in Transferred Loans from commercial and industrial loans to commercial mortgage loans in January 2002. Second mortgage loan balances, originated as a part of the Mortgage Banking segment, increased by $\$ 13,767,000$ or $62 \%$ and held-for-sale mortgage loans increased by $\$ 11,470,000$ or $69 \%$. Commercial and industrial loans, reflecting the Transferred Loans, thereby reducing commercial and industrial loan balances by $\$ 18,000,000$, grew by $\$ 3,075,000$ or $2 \%$. Construction loans grew by $\$ 7,111,000$ or $35 \%$. A $31 \%$ decrease in outstanding consumer loans is due primarily to continued run-off of indirect automobile loans, which was planned by the Bank, as well as the repayment by home equity loan borrowers, as they chose to refinance these balances into first mortgages. The yield on average earning assets decreased by 110 Basis Points from $7.6 \%$ in 2001 to $6.5 \%$ in 2002.

Average deposits increased $\$ 47,806,000$ or $13 \%$ during 2002. Average outstanding CD balances increased by $2 \%$. Average outstanding NOW account balances grew $19 \%$, average non-interest-bearing demand deposits were up by $15 \%$ and average outstanding money market account balances grew by $20 \%$. Savings account average balances and CD average halances decreased by $7 \%$ and $2 \%$, respectively. Average outstanding short-term borrowings and federal funds purchased increased by $53 \%$. The cost of funds for the Bank averaged $1.0 \%$ for 2002 compared to $1.6 \%$ for 2001 , a 60 basis point decrease.

## Loan Loss Provision

The Bank decreased its annual loan loss provision from $\$ 1,200,000$ for 2001 to $\$ 1,000,000$ for 2002. During 2001 the Bank had write-offs totaling $\$ 1,169,000$. The majority of these write-offs were for one commercial borrower. A small recovery was subsequently received on the charged-off loan. In 2002, the provision was made to allow for additional loan deterioration inherent within the existing loan portfolio, associated with a decline in the economy, as well as the growth in the loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses was $\$ 6,114,000$ and $\$ 4,928,000$ as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Delinquencies, as a percentage of
outstanding loans, amounted to 16 Basis Points and 11 Basis Points as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Nonperforming loans amounted to $\$ 45,000$ at December 31, 2002, practically even with $\$ 43,000$ at December 31, 2001. There was no OREO recorded on the Bank's books at either year-end. The allowance for loan losses, as a percentage of outstanding loans, was $1.31 \%$ as of December 31, 2002, compared to $1.23 \%$ as of December 31, 2001. Bank management has determined that the 2002 loan loss provision was sufficient to maintain an adequate level of the allowance for loan losses during 2002.

A summary of the changes in the allowance for loan losses and a breakdown of loan loss experience by major loan category for each of the past five years follows:

TABLE 5 - Allowance For Loan Losses


* The negative charge-off of $\$ 22,000$ in real estate - mortgage loans in 1999 reflects the adding back to the loan loss reserve of an amount previously charged off, in conjunction with the acquisition of other real estate owned.


## TABLE 6 - Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses

The table below allocates the balance of the allowance for loan losses by loan category and the corresponding percentage of loans to total loans for each loan category for the last five years:

|  | Decem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 |  | 2001 |  | 2000 |  | 1999 |  | 1998 |  |
|  |  | \% Loans to Total Loans | $\%$LoanstoTotalLoans |  | $\%$LoanstoTotalLoans |  | \%LoanstoTotalLoans |  | \%LoanstoTotalLoans |  |
| Balance at end of period applicable to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$2,940 | 36.4\% | \$2,729 | 39.1\% | \$1,193 | 41.5\% | \$ 151 | 39.7\% | \$ 427 | 31.8\% |
| Real estate-construction | 494 | 5.9 | 214 | 5.1 | 7 | 3.0 | 33 | 4.2 | 81 | 4.7 |
| Real estate-mortgage | 1,107 | 52.4 | 1,019 | 45.0 | 56 | 38.3 | 228 | 35.4 | 161 | 39.3 |
| Consumer | 907 | 5.3 | 316 | 10.8 | 248 | 17.2 | 279 | 20.7 | 301 | 24.2 |
| Unallocated | 666 | - | 650 |  | 2,816 |  | 3,709 | - | 3,130 |  |
| Total | \$6,114 | 100\% | \$4,928 | 100\% | \$4,320 | 100\% | \$4,400 | 100\% | \$4,100 | 100\% |

The loan loss reserve allocation reflects a reserve based on specific loan loss reserve allocations on loans reviewed individually as well as an average historical loan write-off percentage for loans in each specific loan category not individually reviewed and is also increased by an additional percentage to reflect current market conditions. Refer to page 38 for further discussion of the Corporation's loan review process.

## Other Income

The following table details other income for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the percent change from year to year:

## TABLE 7-Other Income

|  | 2002 | 2001* | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fees for trust servic | \$8,620 | \$ 8,737 | (1\%) |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 1,822 | 1,540 | 18\% |
| Other fees and service charges | 1,747 | 1,298 | 35\% |
| Net gain on sale of loans . ... | 9,653 | 5,221 | 85\% |
| Fees earned from family business office services | 2,229 | 2,564 | (13\%) |
| Investment advisory and brokerage fees | 216 | 296 | (27\%) |
| Insurance commission income | 275 | 252 | 9\% |
| Other operating income | 1,565 | 1,502 | 4\% |
| Total other income | \$26,127 | \$21,410 | 22\% |

## * Reclassified for comparative purposer.

In addition to net interest income, the Bank's three operating segments, as well as ICBM, BMSS, B M Brokerage, and JWR \& Co., generate various streams of fee-based income, including investment management and trust income, service charges on deposit accounts, loan servicing income, consulring fees and gains/losses on loan sales.
As discussed in the "Lines of Business" section on pages 17-19, the increase in other income in 2002 from 2001 levels was primarily a result of an increase in revenues from gains on the sale of residential mortgage loans in the secondary market.
Fees for investment management and trust services declined $\$ 117,000$ or $1 \%$ from year to year. Refer to the discussion under the heading "Wealth Management Line of Business on page 19 of this report.
Service charges on deposil accounts increased $\$ 282,000$ or $18 \%$, due primarily to a decrease in the carnings credit applied to offset charges to deposit accounts. This decrease in the earnings credit allowed the Bank to increase its actual fees charged over 2001 levels. The Bank also revised its fee schedule for services in 2002, thereby increasing fee income.
Other fees and service charges increased $\$ 449,000$ or $35 \%$, from $\$ 1,298,000$ for 2001 to $\$ 1,747,000$ for 2002. The primary reason for this increase was associated with the increase in residential refinancing activity, discussed in the Mortgage Banking segment. Residential mortgage loans were sold by the Bank with servicing retained. This generates a monthly servicing fec. During 2002, a significant increase in the loans sold with servicing retained was the primary reason for this increase.
As discussed in the "Mortgage Banking Line of Business" section, the $\$ 4,429,000$ or $85 \%$ increase in gains on the sale of residential mortgage loans was directly attributable
to a $\$ 228,319,000$ or $70 \%$ increase in the volume of residential mortgage loans sold, compared to 2001, as well as a 15 basis point increase in the yield on the sale of residential mortgage loans to the secondary mortgage market.
Fees from the JWR \& Co. family office business amounted to $\$ 2,229,000$ for 2002 , a $\$ 338,000$ or $13 \%$ decrease from fees earned in 2001. This decrease is directly atuributable to declining profit margins on existing clients, as well as a decrease in estate fees earned in 2002 , compared to 2001. Corporation management is taking steps to increase the profit margin and generate new business in future periods.
Investment advisory and brokerage fees declined $\$ 80,000$ or $27 \%$ from $\$ 296,000$ for 2001 to $\$ 216,000$ for 2002. The primary reason for this decline was the decision to discontinue the operations of Bryn Mawr Asset Management, Inc. ("BMAM") during 2001, causing an $\$ 82,000$ decline in investment advisory fees in 2002 compared to 2001.
Insurance commission income increased $\$ 23,000$ or $9 \%$ from $\$ 252,000$ for 2001 to $\$ 275,000$ for 2002. During 2002, ICBM paid the Agency, under the terms of the Marketing Agreement, $\$ 46,000$ in commissions. Exclusive of this payment, insurance commission income grew by $27 \%$.
Other operating income increased $\$ 63,000$ or $4 \%$ in 2002 from 2001. Included in 2001 revenues was a nonrecurring recovery of $\$ 345,000$. Exclusive of this nonrecurring recovery, other operating income increased by $35 \%$, primarily due to sweep fees paid to the Bank with respect to commercial and personal account balances, which were transferred to off-balance sheet mutual funds.

## Other Expenses

The following table details other expenses for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the percent change from year to year:
TABLE 8 - Total Other Expenses

|  | 2002 | 2001* | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries-regular | \$14,149 | \$14,048 | 1\% |
| Salaries-other . | 2,978 | 2,036 | 46\% |
| Employee benetits | 3,529 | 2,819 | 25\% |
| Occupancy expense | 1,942 | 2,111 | (8\%) |
| Furniture, fixtures, and equipment. | 1,914 | 1,964 | (3\%) |
| Advertising . . . . . . . . . | 1,065 | 959 | 11\% |
| Professional fees | 1,028 | 552 | 86\% |
| Computer processing | 613 | 596 | 3\% |
| Stationery and supplies | 341 | 360 | (5\%) |
| Insurance . . . . . . . . | 145 | 163 | (11\%) |
| Goodwill amortization | - | 165 | (100\%) |
| Writedown of impaired goodwill | 400 | - | 100\% |
| Amortization of MSRs | 1,617 | 580 | 179\% |
| Other operating expenses | 4,602 | 3,970 | 16\% |
| Total other expenses | \$34,323 | \$30,323 | 13\% |

Other expenses increased for the year ended December 31, 2002 , by $\$ 4,000,000$ or $13 \%$. Regular salaries, consisting of regular, part time and overtime salary expense, the largest component of other expenses, rose $1 \%$. Increases in regular salary expenses for 2002 were partially offset by the elimination of regular salary expense associated with BMAM, whose operations were discontinued at December 31, 2001. As of December 31, 2002, the Corporation's consolidated full-time equivalent staffing level was 264.0 compared to 256.0 as of December 31, 2001.

Other salaries increased $\$ 942,000$ or $46 \%$ from 2001 to 2002. The increase in 2002 was directly related to incentive-based compensation, which is directly related to corporate profitability.

Employee benefit costs increased $\$ 710,000$ or $25 \%$ in 2002 from 2001 levels. Of this increase, $\$ 623,000$ relates to the Corporation's pension plan and SERP, which combined, produced an expense of $\$ 549,000$ in 2002 compared to net income of $\$ 74,000$ in 2001. A combination of declining pension asset values and decreasing returns on the pension assets are primarily responsible for this increase in pension and SERP expenses. An economic environment at current levels in future periods, producing lower yields on the pension plan's assets, could result in additional increases to the pension expense in future periods. As presented in Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which are a part of this report, "Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits", a number of factors are responsible for the change from net pension income for 2001 to pension expense for 2002. Interest costs, associated with the pension plan, increased by $\$ 76,000$ for 2002, compared to 2001, and the amortization of the actuarial gain decreased by $\$ 348,000$, while the expected return on plan assets decreased by $\$ 151,000$. These three items account for $\$ 575,000$ of the $\$ 623,0000$ increase in pension expense from 2001 to 2002.
Occupancy expense decreased $8 \%$ or $\$ 169,000$, from $\$ 2,111,000$ for 2001 to $\$ 1,942,000$ for 2002 . Repairs and maintenance and insurance for the Bank decreased by $\$ 102,000$ and $\$ 45,000$, respectively, accounting for $\$ 147,000$ of the $\$ 169,000$ decrease. Furniture, fixtures and equipment expenses decreased by $\$ 50,000$ or $3 \%$ from 2001 to 2002 . Decreases in depreciation of furniture, fixtures and equipment and repair and maintenance costs are primarily responsible for this decrease.
Advertising expense increased $\$ 106,000$ or $11 \%$, reflecting increases in the Corporation's advertising campaign in 2002, compared to 2001, specifically radio advertising.

Professional fees increased by $\$ 476,000$ or $86 \%$. The primary reason for this increase was an increase of $\$ 276,000$ in Bank and Corporation legal fees, due to a non-recurring recovery of legal fees in 2001 of $\$ 221,000$.
Insurance expense decreased by $\$ 18,000$ or $11 \%$. Insurance expense is composed of the premiums paid to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") for deposit insurance, as well as the cost of the Corporation's business insurance coverage. FDIC insurance premiums remained even with 2001 levels. The cost of the Bank's business insurance remained almost level with 2001 expenses, due to a significant portion of the related premiums being negotiated for a 3 year term, of which 2002 was the second year.

Under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 142-"Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets", unless impairment of goodwill is indicated, goodwill will no longer be written off. Therefore, in 2002 goodwill was not amortized, compared to amortization of $\$ 165,000$ in 2001, all related to JWR \& Co The impairment test of the balance of goodwill related to the JWR \& Co. acquisition resulted in an impairment of $\$ 400,000$, taken in the fourth quarter of 2002.

The amortization of MSRs increased $\$ 1,037,000$ or $179 \%$ from 2001 to 2002. This increase is associated with the increase in the residential refinancing activity discussed in the Mortgage Banking segment. As residential mortgage loans that were sold by the Bank with servicing retained are repaid, as a part of a refinancing, the balance of the respective MSRs on the Bank's books must be fully amortized. During 2002, significant amortization of MSRs, related to the increased mortgage refinance activity, generated an expense of $\$ 1,617,000$, compared to $\$ 580,000$ in 2001.

Other operating expenses increased $\$ 632,000$ or $16 \%$ from $\$ 3,970,000$ in 2001 to $\$ 4,602,000$ in 2002. During 2001, the Bank reported a recovery of other expenses associated with a prior problem loan of $\$ 134,000$. Increased residential mortgage loan sale activity in 2002 also generated increased related expenses, such as appraisal fees, loan pair off fees, etc. Other operating expenses associated with the increased mortgage lending activity increased by $\$ 522,000$. Exclusive of the nonrecurring recovery in 2001 and the increased mortgage lending related expenses in 2002, other operating expenses decreased by $\$ 24,000$ or $1 \%$ in 2002, compared to 2001.

## Income Taxes

Federal income taxes for 2002 were $\$ 5,482,000$, compared to $\$ 4,770,000$ for 2001. This represents an effective tax rate of $34.8 \%$ and $34.3 \%$ for 2002 and 2001 , respectively. Income taxes for financial reporting purposes differ from the amount computed by applying the statutory rate to income before taxes, due primarily to taxexempt income from certain loans and investment securities. Included in 2002 applicable income taxes was $\$ 43,000$ in Pennsylvania State income taxes for BMSS. No such tax expense was incurred in 2001. See Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which are part of this report.

## FINANCIAL CONDITION

## Investment Securities

Management has elected to classily $100 \%$ of the investment portfolio as available for sale. Therefore, the investment portfolio was carried at its estimated market value of $\$ 22,242,000$ and $\$ 26,222,000$ as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The amortized cost of the portfolio as of December 31, 2002 was $\$ 21,894,000$, resulting in net unrealized gains of $\$ 348,000$. The amortized cost of the portfolio at December 31,2001 was $\$ 25,807,000$, resulting in net unrealized gains of $\$ 415,000$.

The maturity distribution and weighted average yields on a fully tax-equivalent basis of investment securities at December 31, 2002, are as follows:

## TABLE 9 - Investment Portfolio

| (dollars in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Misturing } \\ \text { during } \\ 2003^{5} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maturimig } \\ \text { from } \\ 2003 \\ \text { throwgh } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maturing } \\ & \text { from } \\ & 2007 \\ & \text { through } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maturing } \\ \text { after } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | T'otal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obligations of the U.S. Government and agencies: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Book value . . . . . . . . | \$1,016 | \$18,805 | \$ - | \$ - | \$19,821 |
| Weighted average yield | 5.1\% | 4.4\% | - | - | 4.5\% |
| State and political subdivisions: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Book value | - | 451 | - | - | 451 |
| Weighted average yield | - | 6.5\% | - | - | 6.5\% |
| Other investment securities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Book value | - | - | 110 | 1,860 | 1,970 |
| Weighted average yield | - | - | - | 3.7\% | 3.5\% |
| Total book value | \$1,016 | \$19,256 | \$110 | \$1,860 | \$22,242 |
| Weighted average yield | 5.1\% | 4.5\% | - | 3.7\% | 4.8\% |

In addition to $\$ 3,004,000$ in maturities during 2002, $\$ 15,000,000$ in investments was called and $\$ 336,000$ of Federal Ilome Loan stock was redeemed. During 2002, purchases of investment securities amounted to
$\$ 14,431,000$. Those transactions, and the $\$ 67,000$ decrease in umrealized gains on the investment portfolio and $\$ 4,000$ in net investment amortization during 2002 were primarily responsible for the $\$ 3,980,000$ or $15 \%$ decrease in the investment portfolio from December 31, 2001, to December 31, 2002. At December 31, 2002, approximately $89 \%$ of the investment portfolio consisted of fixed rate U.S. Government and U.S. Government Igency securitics. The Corporation does not own any derivative investments and does not plan to purchase amy of those investments in the foresecable future.

## Loans

For financial reporting purposes, both fixed and floating rate home equity loans, collateralized by mortgages, are included in other permanent morgage loans. Floating rate personal lines of credit loans (the Bank's "CreditLine" product) are included in consumer loans.
$\Lambda$ breakdown of the loan portfolio by major categories at December 31 for each of the last five years is as follows:
TABLE 10 - Loan Portfolio

|  | December 31 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands) | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| Real estate loans: Permanent mortgage loans |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 44,638 | \$177,479 | \$135,777 | \$134,495 | \$110,535 |
| Construction loans $\ldots$. | 27,514 | 20,416 | 10,642 | 14,398 | 13,204 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 170,114 | 167,452 | 147,398 | 119,835 | 89,368 |
| Consumer loans | 24,537 | 35,521 | 61,189 | 70,211 | 68,078 |
| Total | \$466,803 | \$400,868 | \$355,006 | \$338,939 | \$281,185 |

The maturity distribution of the loan portfolio, excluding loans secured by one-family residential property and consumer loans, at December 31, 2002, is shown below.

| (in (housands) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maturing } \\ & \text { during } \\ & 2000^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maturing } \\ \text { tromn } \\ 2004 \\ \text { through } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | Maturing <br> aftecr 2007 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial, financial, and agricultural | \$ 55,093 | \$ 39,184 | \$ 75,837 | \$170,114 |
| Real estate-construction | 8,324 | 19,190 | - | 27,514 |
| Real estate-other | 7,140 | 29,052 | 67,780 | 103,972 |
| Total | \$ 70,557 | \$ 87,426 | \$143,617 | \$301,600 |
| Interest sensitivity on the above loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans with predetermined rates | \$ 18,290 | \$ 36,845 | \$ 15,131 | \$ 70,266 |
| Loans with adjustable or floating rates | 163,454 | 64,688 | 3,192 | 231,334 |
| Total | \$181,744 | \$101,533 | \$ 18,323 | \$301,600 |

There are no scheduled prepayments on the loans included in the maturity distributions.

TABLE 11 - Loan Portfolio And Nonperforming Asset Analysis

|  | Loan Portfolio |  |  |  | Nonperforming Assets |  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Loan Loss } \\ \text { Reserve }\end{array}$ <br> Reserve for <br> Loan Loss <br> Allocation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands) | Current | $\begin{gathered} \text { Past Due } \\ 30 \text { to } 89 \\ \text { Days } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Past Due 90 Days or More | Total Loans | $\underset{\substack{\text { Non- } \\ \text { performing } \\ \text { Loans * }}}{\text { * }}$ | Other <br> Real Estate <br> Owned | Total NonPerforming Assets |  |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permanent mortgage loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | \$ 78,713 | \$409 | \$- | \$ 79,122 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ 377 |
| Commercial | 103,934 | 38 | - | 103,972 | - | - | - | 448 |
| Home equity | 61,426 | 99 | 19 | 61,544 | 19 | - | 19 | 282 |
| Total permanent mortgage loans | 244,073 | 546 | 19 | 244,638 | 19 | - | 19 | 1,107 |
| Construction mortgage loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | 20,924 | - | - | 20,924 | - | - | - | 376 |
| Commercial | 6,590 | - | - | 6,590 | - | - | - | 118 |
| Total construction mortgage loans | 27,514 | - | - | 27,514 | - | - | - | 494 |
| Total real estate loans | 271,587 | 546 | 19 | 272,152 | 19 | - | 19 | 1,601 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 170,080 | 34 | - | 170,114 | - | - | - | 2,940 |
| Total commercial and industrial loans | 170,080 | 34 | - | 170,114 | - | - | - | 2,940 |
| Consumer loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct. | 6,004 | 15 | 14 | 6,033 | 14 | - | 14 | 223 |
| Indirect | 10,752 | 91 | 6 | 10,849 | 6 | - | 6 | 401 |
| CreditLine | 7,644 | 5 | 6 | 7,655 | 6 | - | 6 | 283 |
| Total consumer loans | 24,400 | 111 | 26 | 24,537 | 26 | - | 26 | 907 |
| Unallocated reserve for loan loss | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 666 |
| Total | \$466,067 | \$691 | \$45 | \$466,803 | \$45 | \$- | \$45 | \$6,114 |

* Nonperforming loans are loans on which scheduled principal and/or interest is past due 90 days or more and loans less than 90 days past due which are deemed to be problem loans by management. Total nonperforming loans of \$45,000 includes the $\$ 45,000$ in loans past due 90 days or more, on which certain borrowers have paid interest regularly. There are no loans less than 90 days delinquent included in nonperforming loans.

The Bank's lending function is its principal income generating activity, and it is the Bank's policy to continue to serve the credit needs of its market area. Total loans at December 31, 2002 increased $16 \%$ to $\$ 466,803,000$ from $\$ 400,849,000$ as of December 31, 2001.
The largest growth in outstanding balances occurred in commercial mortgage loans, which added $\$ 18,000,000$ because of the addition of the Transferred Loans from the commercial and industrial loan balances. These balances increased by $52 \%$ or $\$ 35,684,000$ during 2002 , from $\$ 68,194,000$ at December 31, 2001, to $\$ 103,878,000$ at December 31, 2002. Exclusive of the Transferred Loans, commercial mortgage loans grew by $\$ 17,684,000$ or $26 \%$.

Second mortgage loans, originated as a function of the Mortgage Banking segment, increased $62 \%$ or $\$ 13,767,000$, from $\$ 22,058,000$ at December 31, 2001 to $\$ 35,825,000$ at year end 2002 .

Held-for-sale residential mortgage loans increased by $69 \%$ or $\$ 11,470,000$, from $\$ 16,556,000$ at December 21, 2001 to $\$ 28,026,000$ at year-end 2002 . The increased residential loan sale activity is the primary reason for the increase in held-for-sale balances, awaiting funding from the respective investors at each respective year-end.

Mortgage loans, including fixed rate home equity loans increased by $8 \%$ or $\$ 5,863,000$ from $\$ 70,937,000$ at December 31, 2001 to $\$ 76,800,000$ at year end 2001.

Commercial and industrial loan balances grew 2\% or $\$ 3,075,000$ from $\$ 167,452,000$ at December 31, 2001 to $\$ 170,527,000$ at December 31, 2002. This increase in loan volume includes the decrease in commercial and industrial loan balances because of the Transferred Loans of $\$ 18,000,000$, transferred to the commercial mortgage loan category. Excluding the effect of the Transferred Loans, commercial and industrial loans grew by $\$ 21,075,000$ or $13 \%$. Continued increased business development in the Bank's commercial lending market area is the primary reason for this growth.
Consumer loans, consisting of loans to individuals for household, automobile, family, and other consumer needs, as well as purchased indirect automobile paper from automobile dealers in the Bank's market area, decreased $\$ 10,970,000$ or $31 \%$, from $\$ 35,540,000$ at December 31, 2001, to $\$ 24,570,000$ at December 31, 2002. Competition from automobile manufacturers' credit facilities and lower costing financing from home equity loans continues to be a source of major competition for this product.

As of December 31, 2002 , the construction loan portfolio increased by $\$ 7,111,000$ or $35 \%$, from $\$ 20,493,000$ at December 31, 2001, to $\$ 27,607,000$ at December 31, 2002. As of December 31, 2002 and 2001, the construction lending portfolio had neither any nonperforming loans nor any loans delinquent 30 days or more.

## Off-Balance Sheet Commitments

The Bank has financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk that are necessary to help our customers meet their financing needs. These instruments have elements of credit risk that exceed the amount recognized in the consolidated statements of financial condition. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, subject to certain terms and conditions, of $\$ 174,256,000$ at December 31, 2002 and $\$ 138,805,000$ at December 31, 2001. Standby letters of credit were $\$ 9,186,000$ and $\$ 9,637,000$ as of December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001, respectively. Please refer to Note 14 for expanded discussion of off-balance sheet commitments.

## Mortgage Servicing Rights

As a part of its sale of residential mortgage loans to the secondary market, for those loans sold with the loan servicing retained by the Bank, the Bank records MSBs as an asset. The MSRs are amortized over the expected lives of the respective mortgage loans. Due to the recent lower interest rate enviromment, the Corporation has significantly increased its residential mortgage origination and sale activity, (hereby increasing the balances of MSRs being recorded on its books. As of December 31, 2002, MSRs recorded on the Corporation's books amounted to $\$ 3,956,000$, a $79 \%$ increase over $\$ 2,206,000$ in MSRs as of December 31, 2001. MSRs are recorded on the Bank's books at values determined by reviewing the current value of MSRs in the mortgage servicing market. The current value of new MSRs being recorded, as loans are sold with servicing retained, is reviewed periodically and any adjustment to the initial basis point values being recorded is done in a timely manner. The balance of residential mortgage loans serviced for others amounted to $\$ 606,657,000$ and $\$ 406,093,000$ as of December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001, respectively. Periodically, the book value of the MSRs on the Bank's books is tested for impairment. Should any impairment occur, the MSR balances are written down against the Bank's current income.

In a lower residential mortgage loan interest rate environment, should mortgage loans that were sold by the

Bank with the servicing retained be refinanced, the balance of the MSRs would be subject to accelerated amortization, thus reducing the Bank's income. Should the Bank refinance the loans, the accelerated amortization of the MSRs may be offset by the recording of new MSRs, increasing the gain on the loans sold, thereby reducing or eliminating the negative effect of the accelerated amortization of the MSRs. Should the Bank not refinance or replace the loans being paid off, the Bank's net income would be reduced to the extent of the aggregate accelerated amortization as the respective mortgage loans are paid off. Because of the Bank's significantly increased volume of MSRs, the adverse effect of writing off the aggregate accelerated amortization of the MSRs against the Bank's net income could be significant.

## Deposits

The Bank attracts deposits from within its market area by offering various deposit instruments, including savings accounts, NOW accounts, money market accounts, and certificates of deposit.
Total deposits increased $24 \%$ to $\$ 483,620,000$ at December 31, 2002, from $\$ 391,059,000$ at year-end 2001. Short-term borrowings remained level at $\$ 20,000,000$ at both December 31, 2001 and December 31, 2002. A more meaningful measure of the change in deposits and short-term borrowings is average daily balances. As illustrated in Table 12, average daily deposit balances increased $13 \%$. A combination of strong growth in low costing and non-interest bearing demand deposits, combined with the ability to lower overall interest rates paid for interest-bearing deposits and shortterm borrowings was primarily responsible for a 60 basis point decrease in the average cost of funds for 2002 , compared to 2001. The average cost of funds for 2002 was $1.0 \%$ compared to $1.6 \%$ for 2001 .
The following table presents the average daily balances of deposits and the percentage change for the years indicated:
TABLE 12 - Average Daily Balances of Deposits

| (dollurs in thousrands) | 2002 | 2001 | \% Change 2002 vs. 2001 | 2000 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Change } \\ 2001 \\ 25.2000 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demand deposits, non-interest-bearing | \$117,949 | \$102,877 | 14.7\% | \$ 96,215 | 6.9\% |
| Market rate accounts | 61,105 | 51,022 | 19.8\% | 50,633 | 0.8\% |
| NOW accounts | 117,864 | 99,196 | 18.8\% | 100,158 | (1.0\%) |
| Regular savings | 42,756 | 40,024 | 6.8\% | 41,350 | (3.2\%) |
|  | 221,725 | 190,242 | 16.5\% | 192,141 | (1.0\%) |
| Time deposits | 79,332 | 78,081 | 1.9\% | 70,819 | 10.3\% |
| Total | \$419,006 | \$371,200 | 12.9\% | \$359,175 | 3.3\% |

The following table shows the maturity of certificates of deposit of $\$ 100,000$ or greater as of December 31, 2002 :

\section*{TABLE 13 - Maturity of Certificates of Deposits of $\$ 100,000$ or Greater <br> (in thousands) <br> | Three months or less | \$6,525 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Three to six months |  |
| Six to twelve months | 1,804 |
| Greater than twelve months |  |
| Total | \$8,329 |

## Capital Adequacy

At December 31, 2002, total shareholders' equity of the Corporation was $\$ 62,607,000$, a $\$ 5,300,000$ or $9 \%$ increase over $\$ 57,307,000$ at December 31, 2001. Increasing the capital was the addition of earnings, after the payment of the dividends for the year, increased capital from the exercise of stock options, less shares repurchased in the Corporation's stock repurchase program and a decrease in the market value of the investment securities year to year. As of December 31, 2002, shareholders' equity included accumulated other comprehensive income on investment securities and the supplemental pension, net of deferred taxes, of $\$ 41,000$ compared to accumulated other comprehensive income on investment securities, net of deferred taxes, of $\$ 274,000$ at December 31, 2001. This change caused a $\$ 233,000$ decrease to shareholders' equity from December 31, 2001 to December 31, 2002.

The Corporation and the Bank are required to meet certain regulatory capital adequacy guidelines. Under these guidelines risk-based capital ratios measure capital as a percentage of risk-adjusted assets. Risk-adjusted assets are determined by assigning various weights to all assets and off-balance sheet arrangements, such as letters of credit and loan commitments, based on the associated risk.

The Bank's risk-based capital ratios at December 31, 2002 and 2001 are listed below. These ratios are all in excess of the minimum required capital ratios, also listed below.

TABLE 14 - Risk-Based Capital Ratios

|  | 2002 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Minimum |  | Minimum |
|  | Actual | Required | Actual | Required |
| Tier I capital ratio | 9.65\% | 4.00\% | 10.34\% | 4.00\% |
| Total capital ratio | 10.85 | 8.00 | 11.53 | 8.00 |

A significant increase in the Bank's total assets at December 31, 2002, compared to December 31, 2001, thereby increasing the denominator of both ratios
presented at a faster rate than the numerator, net income, is primarily responsible for the decreases on both ratios in 2002, compared to 2001. The FDIC has created a statutory framework for capital requirements that established five categories of capital strength, ranging from a high of "well-capitalized" to a low of "critically under capitalized". As of December 31, 2002 and 2001, the Bank exceeded the levels required to meet the definition of a "well-capitalized" bank. Management anticipates that the Corporation and the Bank will continue to be in compliance with all capital requirements and continue to be classified as "well-capitalized."

The Corporation's ability to declare dividends in the future is dependent on future earnings.

## Risk Elements

Risk elements, as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission in its Industry Guide 3, are composed of four specific categories: (1) nonaccrual, past due, and restructured loans, (2) problem loans, loans not included in the first category, but where information known by Bank management indicates that the borrower may not be able to comply with present payment terms, (3) foreign loans outstanding, and (4) loan concentrations. Table 11 presents a summary, by loan type, of the Bank's nonaccrual and past due loans as of December 31, 2002. It is the Bank's policy to promptly place nonperforming loans on nonaccrual status. Bank management knows of no outstanding loans that presently would meet the criteria for inclusion in the problem loan category, as indicated under specific category (2) referred to above. The Bank has no foreign loans, and loan concentrations are presented in Table 6 - Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses. Table 6 presents the percentage of outstanding loans, by loan type, compared to total loans outstanding as of December 31, 2002.

## Asset Quality

The Bank is committed to maintaining and developing quality assets. Loan growth is generated primarily within the Bank's market area, which includes Montgomery, Delaware, and Chester Counties. Loan and deposit growth is also generated in portions of Bucks and Philadelphia Counties. The development of quality loan growth is controlled through a uniform lending policy that defines the lending functions and goals, loan approval process, lending limits, and loan review.

Nonperforming loans were $\$ 45,000$ at December 31, 2002 , a $5 \%$ increase from $\$ 43,000$ at December 31, 2001. There were no OREO properties on the Bank's books as of December 31, 2002 or 2001.

Total nonperforming assets, which include non-aceruing and past due loans and other real estate owned, are presented in the table below for each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 2002.

## TABLE 15 - Nonperforming Assets

| (in thousands) | December 31 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| Loans past due 90 days or more not on nonaccrual status: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate-mortgage | \$19 | \$15 | \$18 | \$ 19 | \$ 84 |
| Consumer | 26 | 28 | 63 | 53 | 56 |
| Loans on which the accrual of interest has been discontinued: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | - | - | - | - | - |
| Peal estate-mortgage | - | - | - | 720 | 353 |
| Real estate - construction | - | - | - | - |  |
| Total nonperforming loans | 45 | 43 | 81 | 792 | 493 |
| Other real estate owned and insubstance foreclosed properties * |  | - | - | - | 271 |
| Total nonperforming assets . . . | \$45 | \$ 43 | \$81 | \$792 | \$764 |

All loans past due 90 days or more, except consumer loans and home equity mortgage loans, are placed on nonaccrual status and any accrued interest income is reversed from current interest income. Such factors as the type and size of the loan, the quality of the collateral, and historical creditworthiness of the borrower and/or guarantors are considered by management in assessing the collectibility of such loans. Interest foregone on nonaccrual status loans was $\$ 11,000$ for the year ended December 31, 2002. Interest earned and included in interest income on these loans prior to their nonperforming status amounted to $\$ 7,000$ in 2002.

* Refer to Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements.

The Bank maintains a Loan Review Committee (the "Committee") that periodically reviews the status of all non-accrual, impaired loans, and loans criticized by the Bank's regulators. An independent consultant is retained to review both the loan portfolio as well as the overall adequacy of the loan loss reserve. The methodology used to arrive at an appropriate allowance for loan loss involves a high degree of management judgement. It is the goal of
this loan loss reserve adequacy process to provide a loan loss reserve sufficient to address the Bank's risk of loan losses, in the existing loan portfolio. During the review of the loan loss reserve, the Committee considers allocations of the loan loss reserve to specific loans on a loam-by-loan basis. Asso considered is an inherent loan loss in specific, pools of similar loans, based on prior historical loss activity and the impact of the current economic environment. The sum of all analyzed loan components is compared to the loan loss reserve balance, and any adjustments deemed necessary to the loan loss reserve balance are made on a timely basis.
The Corporation is regulated and periodically inspected by The Federal Reserve Board. The Bank, a state member bank of the Federal Rescrve System and the Pernsylvania Department of Banking, is also regulated and periodically examined by both these entities. There are no recommendations by the regulators, which would have a material effect on the Corporation's or Bank's asset quality, liquidity, capital resources, or results of operations.

## Asset and Liability Management

Through its Asset/Liability Committee ("ALCO") and the application of Risk Management Policies and Procedures, the Bank seeks to minimize its exposure to interest rate risk as well as to maintain sufficient liquidity and capital compliance.

## Interest Rate Sensitivity

The difference between interest sensitive assets and interest sensitive deposits, stated in dollars, is referred to as the interest rate sensitivity gap. A positive gap is created when interest rate sensitive assets exceed interest rate sensitive deposits. A positive interest rate sensitive gap will result in a greater portion of assets compared to deposits repricing with changes in interest rates within specified time periods. The opposite effect results from a negative gap. In practice, however, there may be a lag in repricing some products in comparison to others. A positive gap in the short-term, 30 days or less, in a rising interest rate environment should produce an increase in net interest income. The converse is true of a negative gap in a rising interest rate environment.

As shown in the following table, the Bank is presently asset interest rate sensitive in the short-term, 30 days or less category.
TABLE 16 - Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis as of December 31, 2002

| (dollars in thousands) | Repricing Periods |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \text { to } 30 \\ \text { Days } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31 \text { to } 90 \\ \text { Days } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \text { to } 180 \\ \text { Days } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 181 \text { to } 365 \\ \text { Days } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Over } \\ 1 \text { Year } \end{gathered}$ | Non-Rate <br> Sensitive | Total |
| Assets: Interest-bearing deposits with other banks. | \$ 25,517 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 25,517 |
| Federal funds sold | 6,000 | - | - | - | - | - | 6,000 |
| Investment securities | 30 | 3,061 | 5,591 | 8,121 | 5,066 | 373 | 22,242 |
| Loans | 234,773 | 32,993 | 27,259 | 16,429 | 155,349 | $(6,114)$ | 460,689 |
| Cash and due from banks | - | - | - | - | - | 34,078 | 34,078 |
| Other assets | - | - | - | - | - | 20,772 | 20,772 |
| Total assets | \$266,320 | \$ 36,054 | \$ 32,850 | \$ 24,550 | \$160,415 | \$ 49,109 | \$569,298 |
| Liabilities and shareholders' equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand, noninterest-bearing | \$ 32,162 |  | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 115,506 | \$147,668 |
| Savings deposits | 13,156 | 26,311 | 10,861 | 21,721 | 177,201 | - | 249,250 |
| Time deposits | 9,838 | 9,409 | 13,436 | 16,732 | 43,453 | - | 92,868 |
| Other liabilities | 500 | - | - | 20,000 | - | 9,656 | 30,156 |
| Shareholders' equity | - | - | - | - | - | 49,356 | 49,356 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 55,656 | \$ 35,720 | \$ 24,297 | \$ 58,453 | \$220,654 | \$ 174,518 | \$569,298 |
| Gap | 210,664 | 334 | 8,553 | $(33,903)$ | $(60,239)$ | $(125,409)$ | - |
| Cumulative gap | 210,664 | 210,998 | 219,551 | 185,648 | 125,409 | - | - |
| Cumulative earning assets as a ratio of interest bearing liabilities | 479\% | 331\% | 290\% | 207\% | 132\% | 100\% | - |

The Corporation measures its interest rate risk as it effects its market value of portfolio equity using a discounted cash flow analysis to determine the net present value ("NPV") of its net assets (assets minus liabilities). NPV is calculated based on the net present value of estimated cash flows utilizing various assumptions. The Corporation's interest rate risk is measured as the change to its NPV as a result of a hypothetical immediate 100 and 200 basis point change in market interest rates. Based on this analysis at December 31, 2002, the Corporation would experience an 86 basis point decrease in its NPV as a percent of assets if interest rates decrease by 100 basis points in comparison to a flat rate scenario. Due to the abnormally low interest rate environment, decreases of 200 basis points have been omitted.

| Changes in Market Interest Rates | Market Value of Portfolio Equity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basis Points | \$ Amount | \$ Change | \% Change | NPV Ratio (a) |
| +200 | \$56,169 | \$ 6,813 | 13.80\% | 10.06\% |
| +100 | 53,015 | 3,659 | 7.41\% | 9.40\% |
| 0 | 49,356 | - | - | 8.66\% |
| -100 | 44,847 | $(4,509)$ | (9.14\%) | 7.80\% |

(a) Calculated as the estimated NPV divided by the present value of total assets.
The Bank uses income simulation models to measure its interest rate risk and to manage its interest rate sensitivity. The simulation models consider not only the impact of changes in interest rates on forecasted net interest income,
but also such factors as yield curve relationships, loan prepayments, and deposit withdrawals. As of year-end 2002, based on an analysis of the results from the simulation models, the Bank's interest rate risk was within the acceptable range as established by the Bank's Asset/ Liability Policies and Procedures.

While future interest rate movements and their effect on Bank revenue cannot be predicted, there are no trends, events, or uncertainties of which the Corporation's management is currently aware that will have, or are reasonably likely to have, a material effect on the Corporation's liquidity, capital resources, or results of operations in the future. In future periods, should interest rates begin to rise, the Corporation would benefit from its asset interest rate sensitivity, increasing its net interest margins, while decreasing its revenues from the residential refinancing environment. Should interest rates remain at the present lower levels, the possibility exists that the number of customers desiring to refinance residential mortgage loans could decrease. A potential decrease in residential mortgage loan refinances, prior to market interest rates moving upward, could have a detrimental impact on the Corporation's net income, due to a potential decrease in revenues from this counter-cyclical activity, without a corresponding increase in the Corporation's net interest income or net interest margin.

## Liquidity

The Bank's liquidity is maintained by managing its core deposits, purchasing federal funds, selling loans to the secondary market, and borrowing from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (the "FHLB").
The Bank's liquid assets include cash and cash equivalents as well as certain umpledged investment securities. Bank mamagement has developed a liquidity measure, incorporating its ability to borrow from the FIILB to meet liquidity necds and goals. Periodically, ALCO reviews the Bank's liquidity needs, incorporating the ability to borrow from the HHLB and reports these findings to the Risk Management Committee of the Bank's Board of Directors.
As presented in the Statement of Cash Flows on page 36 of this report, during 2002, cash used by operations amounted to $\$ 2,024,000$. This was a $\$ 12,231,000$ decrease from $\$ 10,207,000$ of net income. This decrease was due primarily to the net use of funds related to loan sale activity, which used $\$ 6,181,000$ of net funding in 2002. Cash used for investing activities amounted to $\$ 47,090,000$. Investment activity provided $\$ 3,909,000$ in cash, as the balance in the investment portfolio decreased by $15 \%$ at December 31, 2002, compared to December 31, 2001. The net funding of loans and purchase of indirect automobile paper used $\$ 49,934,000$ in funding. The cost of premises' improvements and the purchase of equipment used $\$ 1,111,000$.
Offsetting the decrease in funds from investing activities was an increase in funds from the Bank's financing activities, which provided $\$ 86,242,000$ in net cash, primarily the result of a $\$ 92,560,000$ increase in deposits. The Corporation used $\$ 46,000$ in repayment of its mortgage debt. The Corporation received $\$ 3,228,000$ in proceeds from the issuance of common stock, related to stock option exercises and used $\$ 6,183,000$ to repurchase common stock, pursuant to the Stock Repurchase Program, and $\$ 3,317,000$ to pay the dividends in 2002. The Corporation's cash and cash equivalents increased from December 31, 2001, to December 31, 2002, by $\$ 37,128,000$, from $\$ 28,673,000$ at December 31, 2001 to $\$ 65,801,000$ at December 31, 2002.

## Developments for 2003

The Bank is in the process of establishing a new full service branch in Newtown Square, Delaware County, the seventh such full service facility in the Bank's branch system. The anticipated opening of the branch is in late 2003.

The Corporation is presently considering the acquisition of an imaging document processing system. Corporation management has determined that the incremental cost of
converting to an imaging system, compared to upgrading is present processing system, in addition to bringing state-of-the-art document processing to the Corporation, would enhance its productivity by creating more efficiencies in the use of its human resources. The projected cost of the imaging system is $\$ 809,000$, to be depreciated over the useful life of the respective assets.

## 2001 VS. 2000 RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Net Income

Net income for the year ended December 31, 2001, was $\$ 9,126,000$, a $10 \%$ increase over net income of $\$ 8,261,000$ for the year ended December 31, 2000. Basic earnings per share rose from $\$ 1.92$ in 2000 to $\$ 2.11$ in 2001. Diluted earnings per share were $\$ 1.85$ for 2000 compared to $\$ 2.05$ in 2001. In 2000, the Corporation paid dividends of $\$ 0.68$ per share. In 2001, the Corporation paid dividends of $\$ 0.72$ per share.
Return on average assets was $1.94 \%$ for 2000 compared to $2.05 \%$ in 2001. Return on average equity was $17.20 \%$ in 2000 versus $16.95 \%$ in 2001.

## Net Interest Income

Average earning assets grew $4 \%$, primarily in higher yielding loans, which grew by $6 \%$. The growth in higher yielding earning assets was more than offset by a 475 basis point decline in the prime rate during 2001 , which was primarily responsible for a $\$ 1,674,000$ or $5 \%$ decrease in interest income.
Average outstanding deposits, short-term borrowing and federal funds purchased also increased by $3 \%$, with noninterest bearing checking accounts growing by $7 \%$, while other savings deposits decreased by $1 \%$. Average outstanding CDs increased by $10 \%$ and average shortterm borrowings increased by $14 \%$. The cost of funds for the Bank averaged $1.60 \%$ for 2001 compared to $1.90 \%$ for 2000 , a 30 basis point decrease.
Net interest income decreased 4\% and the net interest margin decreased from $6.33 \%$ for 2000 to $5.85 \%$ for 2001.

## Loan Loss Provision

The provision for loan losses amounted to $\$ 1,200,000$ for 2001 compared to $\$ 250,000$ in 2000 . During 2001 the Bank had write-offs totaling $\$ 1,169,000$. The majority of this write-off was to one commercial borrower. In order to maintain an adequate reserve after this significant charge off, the provision for loan loss was increased in 2001. The ratio of the allowance for loan losses to total outstanding loans was $1.23 \%$ and $1.22 \%$ at December 31, 2001 and 2000 , respectively.

## Other Income

Other income increased $\$ 3,638,000$ or $20 \%$ in 2001 from 2000 levels. This increase in other income was primarily a result of an increase in net gains on the sale of loans, up $\$ 3,981,000$ or $321 \%$ from $\$ 1,240,000$ at December 31, 2000 , compared to $\$ 5,221,000$ as of December 31, 2001. Due primarily to lower residential mortgage interest rates during 2001, compared to 2000 , residential mortgage refinancing activity increased, thereby raising the gains on the loan sales. Lower interest rates also provided the opportunity to increase service charges on checking account fees, which grew by $\$ 396,000$ or $35 \%$ from $\$ 1,144,000$ to $\$ 1,540,000$ at December 31, 2001.
Fees for investment management and trust services decreased by $\$ 236,000$ or $3 \%$, from $\$ 8,973,000$ for 2000 to $\$ 8,737,000$ for 2001. An overall decline in asset values related to a decline in the values of the stock market was the primary reason for this decrease. Other operating income increased by $\$ 526,000$ or $54 \%$, from $\$ 976,000$ for 2000 to $\$ 1,502,000$ for 2001 . This increase is primarily due the non-recurring recovery of $\$ 345,000$ in 2001, as well as an increase in sweep fees paid on commercial and personal accounts maintained in off-balance sheet mutual funds.

## Other Expenses

Other expenses increased by $\$ 95,000$ practically even with 2000 . Regular salaries increased $\$ 189,000$ or $1 \%$, from $\$ 13,859,000$ for 2000 to $\$ 14,048,000$ for 2001 , due primarily to staffing reductions accomplished during the last quarter of 2000 . Salaries -other, primarily incentive based, increased $\$ 993,000$ or $95 \%$, from $\$ 1,043,000$ for 2000 to $\$ 2,036,000$ for 2001 . The increase was directly related to incentive-based compensation, which is directly related to corporate profitability.
Employee benefit costs increased by $\$ 970,000$ or $52 \%$ from $\$ 1,849,000$ for 2000 to $\$ 2,819,000$ for 2001 . Of this increase, $\$ 770,000$ relates to increases in the cost of the Corporation's pension plan, due primarily to reductions in
the value of plan assets, as well as the lower projected returns on plan assets. Occupancy expenses increased $\$ 11,000$, while furniture, fixtures and equipment expenses decreased $\$ 176,000$ in 2001, compared to 2000.
Advertising expenses decreased $\$ 276,000$ or $22 \%$, from $\$ 1,235,000$ for 2000 to $\$ 959,000$ for 2001 , reflecting a reduction in the Corporation's advertising campaign in 2001, compared to 2000.
The cost of professional fees decreased by $\$ 1,115,000$ or $67 \%$, from $\$ 1,667,000$ for 2000 to $\$ 552,000$ for 2001. The primary reason for this decrease was an decrease of $\$ 351,000$ in legal fees and a $\$ 422,000$ decrease in professional fees associated with BMAM, due to the termination of BMAM's relationship with outside business solicitors during 2000 .
Insurance, including the Corporation's business coverage premiums and FDIC deposit insurance premiums, decreased by $\$ 363,000$ or $69 \%$, from $\$ 526,000$ for 2000 to $\$ 163,000$ for 2001 . The Corporation's business insurance premiums accounted for the decrease in 2001 compared to 2000 premium levels, due to lower premiums being negotiated for the 2001 insurance renewals.

During 2000, because of changes in management, the remaining balance of goodwill related to the acquisition of BMAM was written off the Corporation's books. This is the reason for the $\$ 141,000$ decrease in goodwill expense in 2001, compared to 2000.
Other operating expenses increased $\$ 582,000$ or $2 \%$ from 2000 to 2001. During 2001, the Bank reported a recovery of other expenses associated with a prior problem loan of $\$ 134,000$. Exclusive of this recovery, other operating expenses increased by $2 \%$ in 2001 , compared to 2000 .

## Income Taxes

The Federal income tax provision for 2001 was $\$ 4,770,000$, or a $34.3 \%$ effective rate, compared to $\$ 4,433,000$, or a $34.0 \%$ effective rate, for 2000 .

## Consolidated Balance Sheets

| As of December 31 | (In thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2001* |
| Assets |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 34,284 | \$ 28,157 |
| Interest-bearing deposits with other banks | 25,517 | 516 |
| Federal funds sold | 6,000 | - |
| Investment securities available for sale, at market value (amortized cost of \$21,894,000 and |  |  |
| \$25,807,000 at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively) | 22,242 | 26,222 |
| Portfolio loans | 4:88,777 | 384,293 |
| Loans held for sale, at fair market value | 28,026 | 16,556 |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | $(6,114)$ | (4,928) |
| Net loans | 460,689 | 395,921 |
| Premises and equipment, net ......................................................................... | 12,160 | 12,478 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 2,118 | 2,222 |
| Goodwill | 2,405 | 2,805 |
| Delerred federal income taxes | 863 | 514 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 3,956 | 2,206 |
| Other assets | 7,008 | 5,782 |
| Total assets . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | \$577,242 | \$476,823 |
| Liabilities |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |
| Demand, noninterest-bearing | \$141,502 | \$110,564 |
| Savings | 246,249 | 204,852 |
| Time | 95,869 | 75,643 |
| Total deposits | 483,620 | 391,059 |
| Short term borrowings | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Other liabilities | 11,015 | 8,457 |
| Total liabilities | 514,635 | 419,516 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 14) |  |  |
| Shareholders' equity |  |  |
| Common stock, par value $\$ 1$, authorized, $25,000,000$ shares, issued $5,541,108$ shares and $5,329,675$ shares as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively, and outstanding 4,356,474 shares and $4,322,121$ shares as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Paid-in capital in cxcess of par value | 11,243 | 6,676 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of deferred income taxes | 41 | 274 |
| Retained earnings | 63,389 | 56,499 |
|  | 80,214 | 68,779 |
| Less: Common stock in treasury, at cost - 1,184,634 and 1,007,554 shares at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively | $(17,607)$ | (11,472) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 62,607 | 57,307 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$557,242 | \$476,823 |

The accomparying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.
*Reclassified for comparative purposes.

## Consolidated Statements of Income

For the years ended December 31

| For the years ended December 31 | 2002 | 2001* | 2000* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest income: |  |  |  |
| Interest income: |  |  |  |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ 28,169 | \$ 28,601 | \$ 29,856 |
| Interest on federal funds sold | 112 | 321 | 373 |
| Interest and dividends on investment securities: |  |  |  |
| Taxable interest income . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,027 | 1,211 | 1,512 |
| Tax-exempt interest income | 39 | 66 | 108 |
| Dividend income | 65 | 112 | 136 |
| Total interest income | 29,412 | 30,311 | 31,985 |
| Interest expense on deposits | 4,065 | 5,791 | 6,300 |
| Interest expense on federal funds purchased | 33 | 44 | 86 |
| Interest expense on other borrowings | 386 | 467 | 686 |
| Total interest expense | 4,484 | 6,302 | 7,072 |
| Net interest income | 24,928 | 24,009 | 24,913 |
| Loan loss provision | 1,000 | 1,200 | 250 |
| Net interest income after loan loss provision | 23,928 | 22,809 | 24,663 |
| Other income: |  |  |  |
| Fees for investment management and trust services | 8,620 | 8,737 | 8,973 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts . . . . . . . . . . | 1,822 | 1,540 | 1,144 |
| Other fees and service charges .... | 1,747 | 1,298 | 1,069 |
| Net gain on sale of loans | 9,653 | 5,221 | 1,240 |
| Fees earned from family business office service | 2,229 | 2,564 | 2,429 |
| Investment advisory and brokerage fees . . . . . | 216 | 296 | 957 |
| Tax consulting fees | - | - | 793 |
| Insurance commission income | 275 | 252 | 191 |
| Other operating income | 1,565 | 1,502 | 976 |
| Total other income | 26,127 | 21,410 | 17,772 |
| Other expenses: |  |  |  |
| Salaries-regular | 14,149 | 14,048 | 13,859 |
| Salaries-other | 2,978 | 2,036 | 1,043 |
| Employee benefits | 3,529 | 2,819 | 1,849 |
| Occupancy expense . . . . . . . . | 1,942 | 2,111 | 2,100 |
| Furniture, fixtures, and equipment | 1,914 | 1,964 | 2,140 |
| Advertising . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,065 | 959 | 1,235 |
| Professional fees | 1,028 | 552 | 1,667 |
| Computer processing | 613 | 596 | 556 |
| Stationery and supplies | 341 | 360 | 391 |
| Insurance . . . . . . . . | 145 | 163 | 526 |
| Goodwill amortization | - | 165 | 324 |
| Writedown of impaired goodwill | 400 | - | - |
| Amortization of MSRs . . | 1,617 | 580 | 45 |
| Other operating expenses | 4,602 | 3,970 | 4,006 |
| Total other expenses | 34,323 | 30,323 | 29,741 |
| Income before income taxes | 15,732 | 13,896 | 12,694 |
| Applicable income taxes: |  |  |  |
| Federal | 5,482 | 4,770 | 4,433 |
| State | 43 | - | - |
| Net income | \$ 10,207 | \$ 9,126 | \$ 8,261 |
| Earnings per common share | \$ 2.34 | \$ 2.11 | \$ 1.92 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 2.31 | \$ 2.05 | \$ 1.85 |
| Dividends per share | \$ 0.76 | \$ 0.72 | \$ 0.68 |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding | 4,353,195 | 4,325,520 | 4,292,838 |
| Dilutive potential common shares . | 65,010 | 127,090 | 161,408 |
| Adjusted weighted-average shares . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4,418,205 | 4,452,610 | 4,454,246 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.
*Reclassified for comparative purposes.

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows



The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.
*Reclassified for comparative purposes.

## Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

(in thousands, except for shares of common stock)

For the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000
Balance, December 31, 1999
Shares of
Common

| Common <br> Stock <br> issued | Common <br> Stock | Paid-in <br> Capital | Retained <br> Earnings | Accumulated <br> Other <br> Comprehensive <br> Income | Treasury <br> Stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5,179,608$ | $\$ 5,180$ | $\$ 4,467$ | $\$ 45,149$ | $\$(389)$ | $\$(7,688)$ |
|  |  |  | 8,261 |  |  |
|  |  |  | $(2,922)$ |  |  |

Dividends declared, $\$ 0.68$ per share
$(2,922)$
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of income taxes of $\$ 179,000$

|  |  | 347 |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 196 |  |  |  |
| $(21,083)$ | $(21)$ | $(415)$ |  | $(1,677)$ |  |
| 45,194 | 45 | 356 |  | 81 |  |
| $5,203,719$ | $\$ 5,204$ | $\$ 4,604$ | $\$ 50,488$ | $\$(42)$ | $\$(9,284)$ |
|  |  |  | 9,126 |  |  |
|  |  | $(3,115)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 316 |  |  |

Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of income taxes of $\$ 163,000$
Tax benefit from gains on stock option exercise
Purchase of treasury stock
Retirement of treasury stock
Common stock issued
Balance, December 31, 2001
Net income
Dividends declared, $\$ 0.76$ per share
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of income taxes of ( $\$ 119,000$ )
Tax benefit from gains on stock option exercise
Purchase of treasury stock 707

Retirement of treasury stock
$\qquad$

| $(3,609)$ | $(4)$ | $(67)$ |  | $(2,259)$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 129,565 | 130 | 1,432 |  |  | 71 |
| $5,329,675$ | $\$ 5,330$ | $\$ 6,676$ | $\$ 56,499$ | $\$ 274$ | $\$(11,472)$ |
|  |  |  | 10,207 |  |  |
|  |  |  | $(3,317)$ |  |  |

Common stock issued
Balance, December 31, 2002 $\qquad$

| $(2,456)$ | $(2)$ | $(45)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 213,889 | 213 | 3,015 |
| $5,541,108$ | $\$ 5,541$ | $\$ 11,243$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

## 1. Basis of Presentation:

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Bryn Mawr Bank Corporation (the "Corporation"), The Bryn Mawr Trust Company (the
"Bank"), Insurance Counsellors of Bryn Mawr, Inc. ("ICBM"), Bryn Mawr Sctulement Services, Inc. ("BMSS") Bryn Mawr Brokerage Company, Inc. ("B M Brokerage"), Joseph W. Roskos \& Co. ("JWR \& Co") and Bryn Mawr Finance, Inc. ("BMF"). For all years presented, all adjusting entrics required for the fair presentation of the financial statements were made. All such adjustments were of a normal recurring nature. All significant intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated upon consolidation.

## 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

The accounting policies of the Corporation conform to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") and to general practices of the banking industry. The significant accounting policics are as follows:

## Cash and cash equivalents:

Cash and cash equivalents include cash and duc from banks, federal funds sold, and interest-bearing deposits with other banks with original maturities of threc months or less. Cash balances reserved to meet regulatory requirements of the Federal Reserve Board amounted to $\$ 11,033,000$ and $\$ 9,994,000$ at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

## Investment securities:

Management categorized all of its investment securitics as available for sale as part of its asset/liability management strategy since they may be sold in response to changes in interest rates, prepayments, and similar factors. Investments in this classification are reported at the current market value with net unrealized gains or losses, net of the applicable deferred tax effect, being added to or deducted from the Corporation's total shareholders' equity on the balance sheet. As of December 31, 2002, shareholders' equity was increased by $\$ 226,000$ due to unrealized gains (net of $\$ 122,000$ in deferred income taxes) of $\$ 348,000$ in the investment securities portfolio. As of December 31, 2001, shareholders' equity was increased by $\$ 274,000$ due to unrealized gains (net of $\$ 141,000$ in deferred income taxes) of $\$ 415,000$ in the investment securities portfolio.

## Loans:

Loans are generally reported at principal amount outstanding, net of unearned income. Loans held for sale
or securitization are valued on an aggregate basis at the lower of carrying amount or fair value.

Interest income on loans performing satisfactorily is recognized on the accrual method of accounting. Nonperforming loans are loans on which scheduled principal and/or interest is past due 90 days or more or loans less than 90 days past due which are deemed to be problem loans by management. All non-performing loans, except consumer loans, are placed on non-accrual status, and any outstanding interest receivable at the time the loan is deemed nonperforming is deducted from interest income. Consumer loan principal and interest balances deemed uncollectable are charged off on a timely basis against the loan loss reserve. The charge-off policy for all loans, including non-performing and impaired loans, considers such factors as the type and size of the loan, the quality of the collateral, and historical creditworthiness of the borrower.

As a part of its internal loan revicw process, management, when considering making a loan an impaired loan, considers a number of factors, such as a borrower's current financial strength, the value of related collateral and the ability to continue to meet the original contractual terms of a loan. Major risk classifications, used to aggregate loans include both credit risk or the risk of failure to repay a loan and concentration risk. A loan is not considered impaired if there is merely an insignificant delay or shortfall in the amounts of payments. An insignificant delay or shortfall is a temporary delay in the payment process of a loan. However, under these circumstances, the Bank expects to collect all amounts duc, including interest accrued at the contractual interest rate for the period of the delay.
When a borrower is decmed to be unable to meet the original terms of a loan, the loan is considered impaired. While all impaired loans are not necessarily considered non-performing loans, if a loan is delinquent for 90 days or more, it is considered both a non-performing and impaired loan. All of the Corporation's impaired loans, which amounted to $\$ 209,000$ and $\$ 21,000$ at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively, were put on a non-accrual basis and any outstanding accrued interest receivable on such loans, at the time they were put on a non-accrual status, was reversed from income.

Impaired loans are required to be measured based upon the present value of expected future cash flows, discounted at the loan's initial effective interest rate, at the loan's market price or fair value of the collateral, if the loan is collateral dependent. As of December 31, 2002 and 2001, no impaired loans were measured using the present value
of expected future cash flows or at the loan's market price. Impaired loans measured by the fair value of the loan's collateral amounted to $\$ 209,000$ and $\$ 21,000$, respectively.

If the loan valuation is less than the recorded value of the loan, an impairment reserve is established for the difference. The impairment reserve is established by an allocation of the reserve for loan losses depending on the adequacy of the reserve for loan losses. All impairment reserves established in either 2002 or 2001 were allocated from the existing reserve for loan losses. As of December 31, 2002 there was $\$ 209,000$ of impaired loans for which there is no related allowance for loan losses. There was no related allowance for loan loss on impaired loans, which totaled $\$ 21,000$ as of December 31, 2001. Average impaired loans during both 2002 and 2001 amounted to $\$ 90,000$ and $\$ 499,000$, respectively.
When a loan is classified as impaired, it is put on nonaccrual status and any income subsequently collected is credited to the outstanding principal balance. Therefore, no interest income was reported on outstanding loans while considered impaired in either 2002 or 2001 . Loans may be removed from impaired status and returned to accrual status when all principal and interest amounts contractually due are reasonably assured of repayment within an acceptable period of time and there is a sustained period of repayment performance by the borrower, with a minimum repayment of at least six months, in accordance with the contractual terms of interest and principal. Subsequent income recognition would be recorded under the existing terms of the loan. Based on the above criteria, no loan balances were removed from impaired status and returned to accrual status during 2002 , compared to $\$ 25,000$ in loan balances considered impaired being removed from impaired status during 2001.
Smaller balance, homogeneous loans, exclusively consumer loans, when included in non-performing loans, for practical consideration, are not put on a non-accrual status nor is the current accrued interest receivable reversed from income. Once deemed uncollectable, the outstanding loan is charged off through the loan loss reserve, on a timely basis.

## Loan loss provision:

The loan loss provision charged to operating expenses is driven by a systematic formula and those factors which, in management's judgement, deserve current recognition in estimating loan losses including the continuing evaluation of the loan portfolio and the Bank's past loan loss experience. The allowance for loan losses is an amount that management believes will be adequate to absorb losses inherent in existing loans.

## Other real estate owned:

Other real estate owned ("OREO") consists of properties acquired by foreclosure. These assets are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value at the time the loan is foreclosed less estimated cost to sell. The amounts recoverable from OREO could differ materially from the amounts used in arriving at the net carrying value of the assets because of future market factors beyond the control of the Bank. Costs to improve the property are capitalized, whereas costs of holding the property are charged to expense.

## Deferred loan fees:

The Bank defers all loan fees and related direct loan origination costs. Deferred loan fees and costs are capitalized and amortized as a yield adjustment over the life of the loan using the interest method.

## Premises and equipment:

Premises and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. The provision for depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives, as follows: premises -10 to 50 years, and equipment-3 to 20 years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the estimated useful life or the term of the lease.

Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense; major renewals and betterments are capitalized. Gains and losses on dispositions are reflected in current operations.

## Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation:

The Corporation has elected to use the intrinsic value method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. Following is a summary presentation for the previous 5-year period of net income and basic and diluted earnings per share, as reported, the stock-based employee compensation cost, net of related tax effects, that would have been included in the determination of net income, if the fair value method of accounting for stockbased employee compensation had been applied to all awards and proforma net income and basic and diluted earnings per share, had the fair value method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation been applied to all awards.

|  | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net | \$10,207 | \$9,126 | \$8,261 | \$7,961 | \$6,857 |
| Stock-based compensation cost, net of related taxes | 158 | 140 | 224 | 325 | 484 |
| Net income-proforma | \$10,049 | \$8,986 | \$8,037 | \$7,636 | \$6,373 |
| Basic earnings per shareas reported | \$ 2.34 | \$ 2.11 | \$ 1.92 | \$ 1.83 | \$ 1.58 |
| Diluted earnings per shareas reported | \$ 2.31 | \$ 2.05 | \$ 1.85 | \$ 1.75 | \$ 1.51 |
| Basic earnings per shareproforma | \$ 2.31 | \$ 2.08 | \$ 1.87 | \$ 1.76 | \$ 1.47 |
| Diluted earnings per shareproforma | \$ 2.27 | \$ 2.02 | \$ 1.80 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.40 |

## Income taxes:

The Corporation files a consolidated Federal income tax return with its subsidiaries. Certain items of income and expense (primarily pension and post retirement benefits, provision for loan loss and other reserves) are reported in different periods for tax purposes. Deferred taxes are provided on such temporary differences existing between financial and income tax reporting, subject to the deferred tax asset realization criteria required under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes" ("SFAS No. 109").

## Trust income:

The trust income is recognized on the cash basis of accounting. Reporting such income on a cash basis does not materially affect uet income.

## Goodwill:

The excess of cost over fair market value of net assets acquired through the purchase method of accounting (Goodwill) was being amortized on a straight-line basis over the period of the expected bencfit, which ranges from 10 to 20 years. Under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting standard No. 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS No. 142"), in years subsequent to 2001, goodwill will no longer be amortized but rather, will be periodically measured for impairment and if any expected benefit from an acquisition becomes impaired, the respective amount of impaired goodwill will be charged-off in the period of impairment.

## Mortgage Servicing Rights:

Mortgage servicing rights ("MSRs") are recorded when residential mortgage loan are sold with servicing retained by the Bank. MSRs are amortized over the anticipated life of the respective loans being serviced. In an effort to avoid any potential impairment of the MSRs, amortization is accelerated as the remaining lives of the respective loans shorten. When loans are paid off, any unarnortized balances of the respective MSRs are written off against current Corporation net income. Periodically, an independent valuation of the MSR balances on the Bank's books is made to determine if any impairment of the MSRs exists. Should any impairment of the MSRs exist, the balance of the MSRs would be written down by the amount of the impairment.

## Recently issued accounting standards:

In April 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("the FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 145, Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4 , 44, and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections as of April 2002. SFAS No. 145 rescinds Sl'AS No. 4, which required all gains and losses
from extinguishment of debt to be aggregated and, if material, classilied as an extraordinary item, net of the related income tax on the income statement. The provision of SFAS No. 145 related to SFAS No. 4 are applicable in fiscal years begiming after May 15, 2002 with early application encouraged. The provisions of SFAS No. 145 related to SFAS No. 13 are effective for transactions occurring after May 15, 2002, with early application encouraged. All other provisions of SFAS No. 145 are effective for financial statements issued on or after May 15,2002 , with early application encouraged. SFAS No. 145 will not have a material impact on the financial condition or results of operations of the Corporation.
In June 2002, the FASB Accounting Standard No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities" ("SFAS No 146"). SFAS No. 146 addresses financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal related activities. Those costs include termination benefits provided to current employees that are involuntarily terminated, the cost to terminate a contract that is not a capital lease and costs to consolidate facilities or relocate employees. SFAS No. 146 nullifies Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 94-3. "Tiability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Cost to Exit an Activity (including Certain Cost Incurred in a Restructuring)" ("Issuc 94-3"). Under Issue 94-3, a liability for an exit cost as defined in Issue 94-3 was recognized at the date of an entity's commitment to an exit plan. SFAS No. 146 requires that a liability for the cost associated with an exit or disposal activity be recognized and measured initially at fair value only when the liability is incurred. The provisions of SFAS No. 146 shall be effective for exit and disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002. Early application is encouraged. SFAS No. 146 will not have a material impact on the financial condition or results of operations of the Corporation.
In October 2002, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 147 "Acquisition of Certain Financial Institutions an amendment of FASB Statements No. 72 and 144 and FASB Interpretation No. 9" ("SFAS No. $147^{\prime \prime}$ ). Except for transactions between two or more mutual enterprises, SFAS No. 147 removes acquisitions of financial institutions from the scope of both Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 72 and Statement of FASB Interpretation No. 9 and requires that those transactions be accounted for in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 "Business Combinations" and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142 ("Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets"). In addition, SFAS No. 147 amends Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 144 "Accounting for the Jmpairment of Long-Lived Assets" to
include in its scope long-term customer-relationship intangible assets. The provisions for SFAS No. 147 shall be effective for transactions incurred on or after October 1, 2002. SFAS No. 147 will not have a material impact on the financial condition or results of operations of the Corporation.

In December 2002, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 148 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure-an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123" ("SFAS No. 148 "). SFAS No. 148 amends Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123 "Accounting for StockBased Compensation", to provide alternative methods of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, SFAS No. 148 amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stockbased employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. The transition guidance and annual disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 148 are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002, with earlier application permitted in certain circumstances. The Corporation has elected to continue using the intrinsic value method of accounting for stockbased employee compensation, therefore the adoption of SFAS No. 148 will not have a material impact on the financial condition or results of operations of the Corporation.

In November 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 45 (FIN 45), Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others. This interpretation expands the disclosures to be made by a guarantor in its financial statements about its obligations under certain guarantees and requires the guarantor to recognize a liability for the fair value of an obligation assumed under a guarantee. FIN 45 clarifies the requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, relating to guarantees. In general, FIN 45 applies to contracts or indemnification agreements that contingently require the guarantor to make payments to the guaranteed party based on changes in an underlying that is related to an asset, liability, or equity security of the guaranteed party.

Certain guarantee contracts are excluded from both the disclosure and recognition requirements of this interpretation, including, among others, guarantees relating to employee compensation, residual value guarantees under capital lease arrangements, commercial letters of credit, loan commitments, subordinated interests in an SPE, and guarantees of a company's own future
performance. Other guarantees are subject to the disclosure requirements of FIN 45 but not to the recognition provisions and include, among others, a guarantee accounted for as a derivative instrument under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 133, a parent's guarantee of debt owed to a third party by its subsidiary or vice versa, and a guarantee which is based on performance not price. The disclosure requirements of FIN 45 are effective for the Corporation as of December 31, 2002, and require disclosure of the nature of the guarantee, the maximum potential amount of future payments that the guarantor could be required to make under the guarantee, and the current amount of the liability, if any, for the guarantor's obligations under the guarantee.
The recognition requirements of FIN 45 are to be applied prospectively to guarantees issued or modified after December 31,2002. The Corporation does not expect the requirements of FIN 45 to have a material impact on results of operations, or financial position.

In January 2003, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. The objective of this interpretation is to provide guidance on how to identify a variable interest entity ("VIE") and determine when the assets, liabilities, noncontrolling interests, and results of operations of a VIE need to be included in a company's consolidated financial statements. A company that holds variable interests in an entity will need to consolidate the entity if the company's interest in the VIE is such that the company will absorb a majority of the VIE's expected losses and/or receive a majority of the entity's expected residual returns, if they occur. FIN 46 also requires additional disclosures by primary beneficiaries and other significant variable interest holders. The provisions of this interpretation became effective upon issuance. The Corporation does not expect the requirements of FIN 46 to have a material impact on results of operations, or financial position.

## 3. Investment Securities

The amortized cost and estimated market value of investments, all of which were classified as available for sale, are as follows:

As of December 31, 2002:

| (in thousands) | $\underset{\substack{\text { Cost }}}{\text { Amortized }}$ | Gross <br> Unrealized Cains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Estimated Market Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obligations of the U.S. Government and agencies | \$19,499 | \$321 | \$ - | \$19,820 |
| State \& political subdivisions. | 440 | 12 | - | 452 |
| Other securities | 1,955 | 15 | - | 1,970 |
| Total | \$21,894 | \$348 | \$ - | \$22,242 |

As of December 31, 2001:

| (in thuusands) | Amortized Cost | Gross <br> Unrealized Gains | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Unrealized } \\ \text { Losses } \end{gathered}$ | Lstimated Market Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obligations of the U.S. Government and agencies | \$22,502 | \$375 | \$- | \$22,877 |
| State \& political subdivisions. | 1,386 | 22 | - | 1,408 |
| Other securities | 1.919 | 18 | - | 1,937 |
| Total | \$25,807 | \$415 | \$- | \$26,222 |

At December 31, 2002, securities having a book value of $\$ 13,000,000$ were pledged as collateral for public funds, trust deposits, and other purposes.
The amortized cost and estimated market value of investment securities at December 31, 2002, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

| (in thousands) | 2002 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { Cost }}{\text { Amortized }}$ | Estimated Market Value |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,015 |
| Due after one year through five years | 18,939 | 19,256 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 110 | 110 |
| Due atter ten years |  |  |
| Other securities | 1,845 | 1,860 |
| Total | \$21,894 | \$22,242 |

There were no sales of debt securities during 2001, 2000 or 1999.

## 4. Loans:

Loans outstanding at December 31 are detailed by category as follows:

| (in thousands) | 2002 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |
| Permanent |  |  |
| commercial mortgage loans | .\$103,878 | \$ 68,194 |
| second mortgage loans | 35,825 | 22,058 |
| mortgage loans available for sale | 28,026 | 16,556 |
| mortgage loans | 76,800 | 70,937 |
| Construction loans | 27,604 | 20,493 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 170,527 | 167,452 |
| Loans to individuals for household, fam consumer expenditures | 24,537 | 35,521 |
| Subtotal | 467,197 | 401,211 |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | $(6,113)$ | $(4,928)$ |
| Net deferred loan fees | (394) | (343) |
| Loans, net | . $\$ 460,690$ | \$395,940 |
| Unadvanced loan funds | . $\$ 174,256$ | \$138,805 |
| Loans with predetermined rates | 173,832 | 197,558 |
| Loans with adjustable or floating rates | 292,971 | 203,291 |
| Total | . $\$ 466,803$ | \$400,849 |

All loans past due 90 days or more, except consumer loans, are placed on nonaccrual status. Nonperforming loans amounted to $\$ 45,000$ and $\$ 43,000$ at December 31, 2002 and 2001 , respectively. Forgone interest on nonaccrual loans was $\$ 11,000, \$ 84,000$, and $\$ 29,000$ in

2002,2001 , and 2000 , respectively. There were four impaired loan at December 31, 2002, amounting to $\$ 209,000$.

## 5. Allowance For Loan Losses:

The summary of the changes in the allowance for loan losses is as follows:

| (in thousands) | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, January 1 | \$4,928 | \$4,320 | \$4,400 |
| Charge-offs | (68) | $(1,169)$ | (399) |
| Recoveries | 254 | 577 | 69 |
| Net recoveries / (charge-offs) | 186 | (592) | (330) |
| Loan loss provision | 1,000 | 1,200 | 250 |
| Balance, December 31 | \$6,114 | \$ 4,928 | \$4,320 |

## 6. Premises And Equipment:

A summary of premises and equipment at December 31 is as follows:

| (in thousands) | 2002 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land | \$ 2,973 | \$ 2,973 |
| Buildings | 13,301 | 12,688 |
| Furniture and equipment | 13,627 | 13,058 |
| Leasehold improvements | 814 | 1,027 |
|  | 30,715 | 29,746 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 18,555 | 17,268 |
| Total | \$12,160 | \$12,478 |

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2002,2001 and 2000 amounted to $\$ 1,432,000$, $\$ 1,478,000$ and $\$ 1,489,000$, respectively. Future minimum rent commitments under various operating lcases are as follows:

| 2003 | 711,012 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | \$ 715,662 |
| 2005 | \$ 519,546 |
| 2006 | \$ 396,278 |
| 2007 | \$ 352,806 |
| Thereafter | \$7,882,310 |

As of December 31, 2002, the Corporation had borrowings outstanding of $\$ 513,256$. The borrowings are collateralized by a property with a book value of $\$ 1,557,630$. The weighted average interest rate on the borrowings was $8.50 \%$ in 2002 and 2001 , respectively.

## 7. Deposits:

Following is a summary of deposits as of December 31,

| (in thousands) | 2002 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regular Savings | \$ 45,129 | \$ 39,994 |
| NOW Accounts | 130,481 | 111,589 |
| Market rate accounts | 73,639 | 53,269 |
| Time deposits (less than \$100,000) | 84,540 | 52,278 |
| Time deposits, \$100,000 or more | 8,329 | 23,365 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 342,118 | 280,495 |
| Non-interest-bearing deposits | 141,502 | 110,564 |
| Total deposits | \$483,620 | \$391,059 |

The aggregate amount of deposit overdrafts included as loans as of December 31, 2002 and 2001 were $\$ 5,311,000$ and $\$ 1,104,000$, respectively.

Maturity of certificates of deposit:

| (in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { or more } \end{gathered}$ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maturing during: |  |  |
| 2003 | \$8,329 | \$41,194 |
| 2004 | - | 18,624 |
| 2005 | - | 23,550 |
| 2006 | - | 1,080 |
| 2007 and thereafter | - | 92 |
| Total | \$8,329 | \$84,540 |

## 8. Short Term Borrowings:

The Bank had outstanding short term borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh of $\$ 20,000,000$ as of December 31, 2002 with an interest rate of $1.94 \%$, which was repaid in January 2003. The Bank had outstanding borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh of $\$ 20,000,000$ as of December 31,2001 , with an interest rate of $3.86 \%$.

## 9. Disclosure About Fair Value Of Financial Instruments:

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 107, "Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments" ("SFAS No. 107"), requires disclosure of the fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the balance sheet, for which it is practicable to estimate such value. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other market value techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In that regard the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in many cases, could not be realized in immediate settlement of the instrument. SFAS No. 107 excludes certain financial instruments and all non-financial instruments from its disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented do not represent the underlying value of the Corporation.
The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value:

## Cash and cash equivalents:

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash and cash equivalents approximate their fair values.

## Investment securities:

Estimated fair values for investment securities are based on quoted market price, where available.

## Loans:

For variable rate loans that reprice frequently and which have no significant change in credit risk, estimated fair values are based on carrying values. Fair values of certain mortgage loans and consumer loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. The estimated fair value of nonperforming loans is based on discounted estimated cash flows as determined by the internal loan review of the Bank or the appraised market value of the underlying collateral, as determined by independent third party appraisers.

## Deposits:

The estimated fair values disclosed for noninterestbearing demand deposits, NOW accounts, and Market Rate accounts are, by definition, equal to the amounts payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). Fair values for certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of expected monthly maturities on the certificate of deposit. SFAS No. 107 defines the fair value of demand deposits as the amount payable on demand and prohibits adjusting estimated fair value from any value derived from retaining those deposits for an expected future period of time.

## Short Term Borrowings:

Due to the short term nature of the maturities the carrying amount of the borrowings approximates the fair value.

## Other liabilities:

Estimated fair values of long term mortgages, collateralized by one property included in premises and equipment, are based on discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for similar types of loans and amortizing the loan under existing amortization tables for each loan.

## Off-balance sheet instruments:

Estimated fair values of the Corporation's off-balance sheet instruments (standby letters of credit and loan commitments) are based on fees and rates currently charged to enter into similar loan agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing. Since fees and rates charged for off-balance sheet items are at market levels when set, there is no material difference between the stated amount and estimated fair values of off-balance sheet instruments. The carrying amount and estimated
fair value of the Corporation's financial instruments at December 31 are as follows:

| (in thousands) | 2002 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying Amound | Fstimated Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value |
| Financial assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks. | \$ 34,284 | \$ 34,284 | \$ 28,157 | \$ 28,157 |
| Interest-bearing deposits with other banks | 25,517 | 25,517 | 516 | 516 |
| Federal funds sold. . . . . . | 6,000 | 6,000 | - | - |
| Investment securities | 22,242 | 22,242 | 26,222 | 26,222 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 3,956 | 4,153 | 2,206 | 2,316 |
| Net loans | 460,688 | 462,046 | 395,921 | 395,719 |
| Total financial assets . . . . | \$552,687 | \$554,242 | \$453,022 | \$452,930 |
| Finançial liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits . . . . . | \$483,620 | \$485,011 | \$391,059 | \$391,543 |
| Short term borrowings | 20,000 | 20,080 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Other liabilities | 1,445 | 1,445 | 578 | 578 |
| Total financial liabilities | \$505,065 | \$506,536 | \$411,637 | \$412,121 |
| Off-balance sheet instruments . .. | \$183,442 | \$183,442 | \$148,442 | \$148,442 |

## 10. Applicable Federal Income Taxes:

The components of the net deferred tax asset as of
December 31 are as follows:

| (in thousumes) | 2002 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deferred tax assets: |  |  |
| Loan loss reserve | \$ 1,792 | \$1,338 |
| Pension and other postretirement benefits | 413 | 221 |
| Other reserves | 286 | 174 |
|  | 2,491 | 1,733 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: |  |  |
| Depreciation | (221) | (302) |
| Originated mortgage servicing rights | $(1,385)$ | (772) |
| Unrealized depreciation on investment securities | (122) | (145) |
| Total net deferred tax assets | \$ 763 | \$ 514 |

No valuation allowance was recorded as of December 31, 2002 and 2001.

The provisions for federal income taxes consist of the following:

| (in thousands) | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Currently payable | \$5,712 | \$4,862 | \$4,923 |
| Deferred | (230) | (92) | (490) |
| Total | \$5,482 | \$4,770 | \$4,433 |

Applicable federal income taxes differed from the amount derived by applying the statutory federal tax rate to income as follows:

| (in thousands) | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Computed |  |  |  |
| Tax expense (9) stated rate | \$5,506 | \$4,764 | \$4,316 |
| Benefit reductions in taxes resulting from tax-exempt income . ....... | (72) | (78) | (121) |
| Goodwill | 140 | 57 | 110 |
| Other, net | (92) | 27 | 128 |
| Actual tax expense | \$5,482 | \$4,770 | \$4,433 |

## 11. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

The Corporation sponsors two pension plans and a postretirement benefit plan for certain of its employees.
The following tables provide a reconciliation of the changes in the plans' benefits obligation and fair value of assets over the two-year period ending December 31, 2002 , and a statement of funded status as of December 31 of both years:

| (Dollars in thousands) | P'ension Benefits |  | Postretirement. Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| Reconciliation of Benefit Obligation and Plan Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Change in benefit obligation |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit obligation at January 1 | \$19,661 | \$16,301 | \$2,365 | \$1,444 |
| Service cost | 808 | 774 | 27 | 23 |
| Interest cost | 1,355 | 1,279 | 171 | 166 |
| Amendments | 111 | 59 | 17 | 7 |
| Actuarial (gain) loss | 753 | 1,813 | 184 | 921 |
| Benefits paid | (798) | (565) | (203) | (196) |
| Benefit obligation at December 31 ... | \$21,890 | \$19,661 | \$2,561 | \$2,365 |
| Change in plan assets |  |  |  |  |
| Fair value of plan assets at January 1 | \$19,968 | \$21,566 | \$ - | \$ |
| Actual return on plan assets $\qquad$ | $(2,284)$ | $(1,057)$ | - | - |
| Employer contribution | 129 | 24 | 186 | 190 |
| Plan participants' contribution | 520 |  | 17 | 6 |
| Benefits paid | (799) | (565) | (203) | (196) |
| Fair value of plan assets at December 31 | \$17,534 | \$19,968 | \$ - | \$ |

Funded Status Reconciliation and Key Assumptions

|  | Pension Benefits |  | Postretirement Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| Reconciliation of funded status |  |  |  |  |
| Funded Status | \$(4,356) | \$ 307 | \$(2,561) | \$(2,365) |
| Unrecognized net actuarial (gain) loss | 4,399 | (433) | 1,386 | 1,346 |
| Unrecognized prior service cost | 790 | 860 | - |  |
| Unrecognized transition obligation (asset) ..... | - | - | 259 | 285 |
| Prepaid accrued (benefit) cost | \$ 833 | \$ 734 | \$ (916) | \$ (734) |
|  | Pension B | enefits | Postret Ben | rement <br> fits |
|  | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| Amounts recognized in financial statements consists of: |  |  |  |  |
| Prepaid benefit cost/ (Accrued benefit liability) | \$ 197 | \$ 359 | \$ (916) | \$ (734) |
| Intangible asset prior service cost | 351 | 365 | - | - |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income $\qquad$ | 285 | 10 |  |  |
| Net amount recognized | \$ 833 | \$ 734 | \$ (916) | \$ (734) |

The Bank's Supplemental Employee Retirement Plan (the "SERP") was the only pension plan with an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets. The SERP's accumulated benefit obligation was $\$ 1,766,514$ as of December 31, 2002 and $\$ 1,381,770$ as of December 31, 2001. There are no plan assets in the SERP due to the nature of the SERP. The Corporation's plan for postretirement benefits other than pensions also has no plan assets.

The assumptions used in the measurement of the Corporation's benefit obligation are shown on the following table:

|  | Pension Benefits |  | Postretirement Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 |
| Weighted-average assumptions as of end of year |  |  |  |  |
| Discount rate | 6.75\% | 7.25\% | 6.75\% | 7.25\% |
| Expected return on plan assets | 9.25\% | 9.25\% | N/A | N/A |
| Rate of compensation increase | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | N/A | N/A |

The assumed health care cost trend rate for 2002 is $8 \%$, ultimately trending down to $6 \%$ over the next four years.

The following table provides the components of net periodic cost (income) for the plans for years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000:

|  | Pension Benefits |  |  | Posiretirement Benefits |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
| Service cost | \$ 808 | \$ 774 | \$ 733 | \$ 28 | \$ 23 |  |
| Interest cost. | 1,355 | 1,279 | 1,123 | 171 | 166 | 107 |
| Expected return on plan assets | $(1,807)$ | $(1,958)$ | $(1,962)$ | - | - | - |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 181 | 167 | 158 | - | - | - |
| Amortization of transition obligation (asset) | - | - | - | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| Amortization of net actuarial (gain) loss | 12 | (336) | (895) | 144 | 109 | 36 |
| Net periodic (benefit) cost | \$ 549 | \$ (74) | \$ (843) | \$369 | \$324 | \$176 |

## D. Sensitivity Analysis, Postretirement Benefits

Health care cost trend rate if changed by $1 \%$

|  | 1-Percentage Point Increase | 1-Percentage Point Decrease |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effect on total of service and interest cost components | \$ 25,586 | \$ $(14,706)$ |
| Effect on accumulated postretirement benefit obligation | 268,005 | 222,597 |

## 12. Stock Option Plan:

At December 31, 1988, the Corporation maintains a stock option and stock appreciation rights plan (the "Stock Option Plan"), which is described below. The Corporation applies APB Opinion 25 and related interpretations in accounting for the Stock Option Plan. Accordingly, no
compensation cost has been recognized for the Stock Option Plan. Had compensation for the Corporation's Stock Option Plan been determined based on the fair value at the grant date for awards in 2002, 2001 and 2000 , consistent with the optional provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "Accounting
for Stock Based Compensation", the Corporation's net income and carnings per share would have been reduced to the pro forma amounts indicated below:

|  | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income-as reported | \$10,207 | \$9,126 | \$8,261 |
| Net income pro forma | \$10,049 | \$8,986 | \$8,037 |
| Basic earnings per share-as <br> reported $\qquad$ \$ $2.34 \quad \$ 2.11 \quad \$ 1.92$ |  |  |  |
| Basic earnings per share- | \$ 2.31 | \$ 2.08 | \$ 1.8 |

The fair value of each option granted is estimated on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions used for grants in 2002, 2001 and 2000: dividend yield of 2.26 percent, expected volatility of 18.3 percent, expected life of six ycars and risk-free interest rates of $5.1,5.0$ and 6.5 percent, respectively.

The Plan had, prior to 1994, up to 216,000 authorized and unissued or Treasury shares of the Corporation's common stock reserved for issuance under the Plan. During 1994, the shareholders' approved an additional 217,720 shares for issuance under the Plan. The option to purchase shares of the Corporation's common stock was issued to key officers. During 1995, the shareholder's
approved the issuance of 80,000 shares, 20,000 to be granted to outside directors, for 4 years after each Annual Meeting. The option price was set at the last sale price for the stock on the 3rd business day following the Corporation's Annual Meeting. Options totaling 76,000 shares of Corporation stock were issued under the outside directors" plan. During 1998 and 2001, the shareholders approved the issuance of up to 217,606 and 192,663 respective shares available for issuance to both employees and directors. The price will be determined by the Corporation's Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors at the time the option is granted.
Options granted may either be "incentive stock options" within the meaning of the Internal Revenue Service code, or non-qualified options. The stock options are exercisable over a period determined by the Board of Directors; however, the option period will not commence earlier than one year or be longer than ten years from the date of the grant. The Plan provides that the option price at the date of grant will not be less than the fair market value of the Corporation's common stock. The following is a summary of transactions under the Plan:

|  | Shares Under Option | Available for Option | Price per Share | Weighted <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at December 31, 1999 | 429,280 | 83,326 | \$ 4.50-\$24.50 | \$14.08 |
| Options granted | 83,300 | $(83,300)$ | \$21.00-\$21.50 | \$21.05 |
| Options exercised | (38,000) | - | \$ 4.50-\$16.91 | \$ 6.04 |
| Options cancelled | $(2,100)$ | 2,100 | \$21.00-\$21.50 | - |
| Balance at December 31, 2000 | 472,480 | 2,126 | \$ 4.50-\$26.44 | \$16.22 |
| Options authorized | - | 192,663 | - - |  |
| Options granted | 77,800 | $(77,800)$ | \$24.90-\$30.30 | \$28.36 |
| Options exercised | $(123,880)$ | - | \$ 7.35-\$26.44 | \$11.44 |
| Options cancelled | $(12,250)$ | 12,250 | \$24.90-\$30.30 | \$29.86 |
| Balance at December 31, 2001 | 414,150 | 129,239 |  |  |
| Options granted | 67,250 | $(67,250)$ | \$32.50-\$36.63 | \$35.52 |
| Options exercised | $(211,433)$ | - | \$ 7.38-\$26.44 | \$14.93 |
| Options cancelled | $(14,350)$ | 14,350 | \$24.50-\$30.30 | \$29.88 |
| Balance at December 31, 2002 | 255,617 | 76,339 |  |  |

Information pertaining to options outstanding at December 31, 2002 is as follows:
Price range of shares under option at
December 31, 2002:

The weighted-average fair value of options granted during 2002,2001 and 2000 were $\$ 7.76, \$ 5.83$ and $\$ 4.61$, respectively.
The number of exercisable shares at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 were $151,722,348,600$ and 389,180 , respectively, with respective weighted average exercise prices of $\$ 23.20, \$ 17.80$ and $\$ 10.18$.
Stock appreciation rights may be granted in tandem with non-qualified stock options. No stock appreciation rights have been granted under the Plan. The options had a $\$ .03$ per share, $\$ .03$ per share and $\$ .05$ per share dilutive effect on earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

## 13. Related Party Transactions:

In the ordinary course of business, the Bank granted loans to principal officers, directors and their affiliates. Loan activity during 2002 and 2001 was as follows:
Following is a summary of these transactions:

| (in thousands) | 2002 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$4,140 | \$ 4,437 |
| Additions | 1,320 | 892 |
| Amounts collected | (434) | $(1,189)$ |
| Balance, end of year | \$5,026 | \$4,140 |

Related party deposits amounted to $\$ 1,124,000$ and $\$ 1,120,000$ at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

## 14. Financial Instruments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk and Concentration of Credit Risk:

The Corporation is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated statements of financial condition. The contractual amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Corporation has in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Corporation's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the counterparty to the financial instrument of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Corporation uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet financial instruments.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the agreement. Commitments generally
have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Some of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, and the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Total commitments to extend credit at December 31, 2002 are $\$ 174,256,000$. The Corporation evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Corporation upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral varies but may include accounts receivable, marketable securities, inventory, property, plant and equipment, residential real estate, and income-producing commercial properties.
Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to a customer for a third party. Such standby letters of credits are issued to support private borrowing arrangements. The credit risk involved in issuing standby letters of credit is similar to that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The collateral varies, but may include accounts receivable, marketable securities, inventory, property, plant and equipment, and residential real estate for those commitments for which collateral is deemed necessary. The Corporation's obligation under standby letters of credit as of December 31,2002 amounted to $\$ 9,186,000$. There were no outstanding bankers acceptances as of December 31, 2002.

As of December 31, 2002, the Corporation had no loans sold with recourse outstanding.
The Corporation grants construction, commercial, residential mortgage, and consumer loans to customers primarily in Southeastern Pennsylvania. Although the Corporation has a diversified loan portfolio, its debtors' ability to honor their contracts is substantially dependent upon the general economic conditions of the region.

## 15. Risks and Uncertainties:

The earnings of the Corporation depend on the earnings of the Bank. The Bank's earnings are dependent upon both the level of net interest income and non-interest revenue streams, primarily fees for trust services, that are earned annually. Accordingly, the earnings of the Corporation are subject to risks and uncertainties surrounding both its exposure to changes in the interest rate environment and movements in financial markets.
Most of the Bank's lending activity is with customers located in southeastern Pennsylvania. Lending is spread between commercial, consumer and real estate related loans, including construction lending. While these loan concentrations represent a potential concentration of
credit risk, the Bank's credit loss experience compares favorably to the Bank's peer group credit loss experience.
The financial statements of the Corporation are prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles that require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, as well as disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Significant estimates are made by management in determining the allowance for possible loan losses and the
carrying value of other real estate owned. Consideration is given to a variety of factors in establishing these estimates, including current economic conditions, the results of the internal loan review process, delinquency statistics, borrowers perceived financial and managerial strengths and the adequacy of supporting collateral, if collateral dependent, or the present value of future cash flows. Since the allowance for possible loan losses and the carrying value of other real estate owned are dependent, to a great cxtent, on general and other economic conditions beyond the Bank's control, it is at least reasonably possible that the estimates of the allowance for possible loan losses and the carrying value of other real estate owned could differ materially from currently reported values in the near term.

## 16. Goodwill:

Joseph W. Roskos \& Co. was acquired as of January 1, 1999. The transaction was accomplished on April 1, 1999 under the purchase method of accounting. Goodwill arising from this transaction was recorded on the balance sheet and was being amortized on a straight line basis over a 20 year period. Beginning in 2002, under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 142-Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets ("SFAS No 142"), all components of Goodwill are no longer to be amortized, but rather tested annually for any potential impairment. During the fourth quarter of 2002 , the balance of goodwill was tested for impairment. Based on a discounted cash flow analysis, reflecting lower projected revenue streams for Joscph W. Roskos \& Co. in future periods, it was determined that goodwill had impairment in the amount of $\$ 400,000$. Therefore, the balance of goodwill was written down by $\$ 400,000$ during the fourth quarter of 2002 to $\$ 2,405,000$.

| Name of Company Acquired: | Method of Accomnting | Date of Acquisition | Total <br> Purchase <br> Price | Arnount |  | Number of Shares Issued or Issuable | Goodwill |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Cash | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Common } \\ & \text { Stock } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Joseph W. Roskos \& Co | Purchase | 01/01/99 | \$4,195,000 | \$2,195,000 | 2,000,000 | 74,697 | \$3,300,000 |

Joseph W. Roskos \& Co. is a firm which offers family business office services, including accounting, consulting, tax services and fiduciary support to high-net-worth individuals and families.

## 17. Minimum Regulatory Capital Requirements:

Both the Corporation and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements, administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if taken, could have a direct material effect on the Corporations and the Bank's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Corporation and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of their assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgements by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors. Prompt corrective action provisions are not applicable to bank holding companies.

As set forth in the following table, quantitative measures have been established to ensure capital adequacy ratios required of both the Corporation and Bank, specifically to define the minimum respective capital ratios as follows: total capital to total assets (the leverage ratio) of $4 \%$; Tier I capital to risk weighted assets of $4 \%$ and Tier II capital to risk weighted assets of $8 \%$ Both the Corporation's and the Bank's Tier II capital ratios are calculated by adding back a portion of the loan loss reserve to the Tier I capital. Management believes, as of December 31, 2002 and 2001 that the Corporation and the Bank have met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject. Federal banking regulator's have defined specific capital categorics, based on an institution's capital ratios. The categories range for a best of "well capitalized" to a worst of "critically under capitalized". To be considered "well capitalized", an institution must have a total (Tier II) capital ratio of $10 \%$ or better. Both the Corporation and the Bank have been classified as "well capitalized" for both periods ending December 31, 2002 and 2001.

The Corporation's and the Bank's actual capital amounts and ratios as of December 31, 2002 and 2001 are presented in the following table:

|  | Actual |  | Minimum Capital Requirement |  |  | Minimum to be Well Capitalized Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Ratio | Amount |  | Ratio |  |
| December 31, 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (Tier II) Capital to Risk Weighted Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consolidated | \$66,283 | 12.86\% |  |  | 8.0\% | N/A |
| The Bank | 55,250 | 10.85\% | \$40,753 |  | 8.0\% | \$50,925 |
| Tier I Capital to Risk Weighted Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consolidated | 60,162 | 11.67\% |  |  | 4.0\% | N/A |
| The Bank | 49,129 | 9.64\% | 20,376 |  | 4.0\% | 30,555 |
| Total Capital to Total Assets (Leverage Ratio): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consolidated | 62,607 | 10.85\% |  |  | 4.0\% | N/A |
| The Bank | 49,171 | 8.63\% | 22,778 |  | 4.0\% | 28,465 |
| December 31, 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (Tier II) Capital to Risk Weighted Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consolidated . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | \$59,164 | 14.03\% |  |  | 8.0\% | N/A |
| The Bank | 47,969 | 11.53\% | \$33,289 |  | 8.0\% | \$41,611 |
| Tier I Capital to Risk Weighted Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consolidated | 54,228 | 12.86\% |  |  | 4.0\% | N/A |
| The Bank | 43,033 | 10.34\% | 16,644 |  | 4.0\% | 21,974 |
| Total Capital to Total Assets (Leverage Ratio): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consolidated | 57,307 | 12.02\% |  |  | 4.0\% | N/A |
| The Bank | 43,310 | 9.25\% | 18,728 |  | 4.0\% | 21,408 |
| 18. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarters ending 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (In thousands, except per share data) | 3/31 | 6/30 |  | 9/30 |  | 12/31 |
| Interest income | \$7,179 | \$7,247 |  | \$7,461 |  | \$7,525 |
| Interest expense | 1,154 | 1,013 |  | 1,089 |  | 1,228 |
| Net interest income | 6,025 | 6,234 |  | 6,372 |  | 6,297 |
| Provision for loan losses | 250 | 250 |  | 250 |  | 250 |
| Income before income taxes | 3,669 | 3,726 |  | 4,077 |  | 4,260 |
| Net income | 2,409 | 2,456 |  | 2,672 |  | 2,670 |
| Earnings per common share | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.56 |  | \$ 0.61 |  | \$ 0.61 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.54 | \$ 0.55 |  | \$ 0.60 |  | \$ 0.60 |
|  |  | Quarte | ending 200 |  |  |  |
| (In thousands, except per share data) | 3/31 | 6/30 |  | 9/30 |  | 12/31 |
| Interest income | \$7,635 | \$7,649 |  | \$7,636 |  | \$7,391 |
| Interest expense | 1,614 | 1,549 |  | 1,689 |  | 1,450 |
| Net interest income | 6,021 | 6,100 |  | 5,947 |  | 5,941 |
| Provision for loan losses | 500 | 200 |  | 200 |  | 300 |
| Income before income taxes | 3,238 | 3,383 |  | 3,746 |  | 3,529 |
| Net income . . . . | 2,188 | 2,159 |  | 2,470 |  | 2,309 |
| Earnings per common share | \$ 0.51 | \$ 0.50 |  | \$ 0.57 |  | \$ 0.53 |
| Diluted earnings per common share . | \$ 0.49 | \$ 0.48 |  | \$ 0.55 |  | \$ 0.52 |

## 19. Condensed Financial Statements:

The condensed financial statements of the Corporation (parent company only) as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2002 , are as follows:

Condensed Balance Sheets

| (in thousands) | 2002 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets; |  |  |
| Cash | \$ 3,006 | \$ 234 |
| Investments in subsidiaries, at equity in net |  |  |
| Premises and equipment, net | 3,663 | 3,762 |
| Goodwill | 2,405 | 2,805 |
| Other assets | 380 | 760 |
| Total assets | \$ 63,586 | \$ 58,162 |
| Liabilities and shareholders' equity: |  |  |
| Mortgages payable | \$ 513 | \$ 559 |
| Other liabilities | 466 | 296 |
| Total liabilities | 979 | 855 |
| Common stock, par value \$1, authorized |  |  |
| $25,000,000$ shares as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively, issued $5,541,108$ shares and $5,329,675$ shares as of |  |  |
| December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively and outstanding 4,356,474 shares and |  |  |
| 4,322,121 shares as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively | 5,541 | 5,330 |
| Paid-in capital in excess of par value | 11,243 | 6,676 |
| Unrealized investment appreciation |  |  |
| taxes . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 41 | 274 |
| Retained earnings | 63,389 | 56,499 |
| Less common stock in treasury, at cost- |  |  |
| 1,184,634 shares and 1,007,554 shares as of |  |  |
| December 31, 2002 and 2001 | $(17,607)$ | $(11,472)$ |
| Total shareholders' equity . . . . . . . . . . . . | 62,607 | 57,307 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity . . . | \$63,586 | \$ 58,162 |

## Condensed Statements of Income

| (in thousands) | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dividends from The Bryn Mawr Trust |  |  |  |
| Company | \$ 4,816 | \$3,116 | \$9,646 |
| Interest and other income | 236 | 236 | 236 |
| Total operating income | 5,052 | 3,352 | 9,882 |
| Expenses | 991 | 707 | 1,008 |
| Income before equity in undistributed income of subsidiaries | 4,061 | 2,645 | 8,874 |
| Equity in undistributed income of subsidiaries | 6,025 | 6,377 | (765) |
| Income before income taxes | 10,086 | 9,022 | 8,109 |
| Federal income tax benefit | 121 | 104 | 152 |
| Net income | \$10,207 | \$9,126 | \$8,261 |

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

| (in thousands) | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$10,207 | \$9,126 | \$8,261 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Equity in undistributed income (losses) of subsidiaries | $(6,025)$ | $(6,377)$ | 765 |
| Depreciation expense | 99 | 98 | 99 |
| Amortization of goodwill | - | 165 | 324 |
| Writedown of impaired goodwill | 400 |  |  |
| Other | 1,915 | 408 | 339 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 6,596 | 3,420 | 9,788 |
| Investing Activities: Investment in Subsidiaries | Investing Activities: |  | $(4,885)$ |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 2,494 | (376) | (4,885) |
| Financing activities: |  |  |  |
| Dividends paid | $(3,317)$ | $(3,115)$ | $(2,922)$ |
| Repayment of mortgage debt | (46) | (42) | (37) |
| Repurchase of treasury stock | $(6,183)$ | $(2,259)$ | $(1,677)$ |
| Proceeds from issuance of stock | 3,228 | 1,562 | 76 |
| Net cash used by financing activities | (6,318) | $(3,854)$ | (4,560) |
| Change in cash and cash equivalents | 2,772 | (810) | 343 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 234 | 1,044 | 701 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 3,006 | \$ 234 | \$ 1,044 |

These statements should be read in conjunction with the other notes related to the consolidated financial statements.

As a bank and trust company subject to the Pennsylvania Banking Code (the "Banking Code") of 1965 as amended, the Bank is subject to legal limitations as to the amount of dividends that can be paid to its shareholder, the Corporation. The Banking Code restricts the payment of dividends by the Bank to the amount of its retained earnings. As of December 31, 2002, the Bank's retained earnings amounted to $\$ 49,356,000$. Therefore, as of December 31, 2002, dividends available for payment to the Corporation are limited to $\$ 49,356,000$. Since the primary source of dividend funding for the Corporation's dividend payments to its shareholders is the Bank's dividends, the Corporation is effectively limited as to the amount of dividends that it may pay to an amount equal to the limits placed on the Bank, as discussed above.

## 20. Segment Information:

The Corporation's principal operating segments are structured around the financial services provided its customers. The banking segment gathers deposits and makes funds available for loans to its customers. The Banks Wealth Management segment provides both corporate and individual investment management and trust products and services. The Bank's mortgage banking segment originates and sells residential mortgage loans to the secondary mortgage market.
Segment information for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000 is as follows:

|  | 2002 |  |  |  |  | 2001* |  |  |  |  | 2000 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands) | Banking | Wealth Management | Mortgage Banking | All <br> Other | Consolidated | Banking | Wealth Managemerit | Mortgage Banking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Other } \end{aligned}$ | Consolidated | Banking | Wealth Management | Mortgage Banking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Other } \end{aligned}$ | Consolidated |
| Net interest income ....... | \$ 24,697 | - | \$ 200 | \$ 31 | \$ 24,928 | \$ 23,480 | \$ - | \$ 243 | \$ 286 | \$ 24,009 | \$ 24,684 | \$ - | \$ 216 | \$ 17 | \$ 24,917 |
| Less loan loss provision.. | 1,000 | - | - | - | 1,000 | 1,200 | - | - | - | 1,200 | 250 | - | - | - | 250 |
| Net interest income after loan loss provision....... | 23,697 | - | 200 | 31 | 23,928 | 22,280 | - | 243 | 286 | 22,809 | 24,434 | - | 216 | 17 | 24,667 |
| Other income: <br> Fees for investment management and trust services .... | - | 8,620 | - | - | 8,620 | - - | 8,737 | - | - | 8,737 | - | 8,973 | - | - | 8,973 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts .... | 1,822 | - | - | - | 1,822 | 1,540 | - | - | - | 1,540 | 1,144 | - | - | - | 1,144 |
| Other fees and service charges. | 235 | - | 1,512 | - | 1,747 | 294 | - | 1,004 | - | 1,298 | 295 | - | 774 | - | 1,069 |
| Net gain on sale of loans $\qquad$ | 6 | - | 9,647 | - | 9,653 | 3 | - | 5,218 | - | 5,221 | 8 | - | 1,232 | - | 1,240 |
| Gain on sale of other real estate owned | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | - | - | 14 |
| Other operating income | 1,374 | 3 | - | 3,391 | 4,768 | 1,547 | 11 | - | 3,476 | 5,034 | 925 | - | - | 4,789 | 5,714 |
| Total other income | 3,437 | 8,623 | 11,159 | 3,391 | 26,610 | 3,384 | 8,748 | 6,222 | 3,476 | 21,830 | 2,386 | 8,973 | 2,006 | 4,789 | 18,154 |
| Other expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries-regular .. | 7,822 | 3,464 | 1,088 | 1,775 | 14,149 | 8,201 | 3,010 | 883 | 1,954 | 14,048 | 7,826 | 3,175 | 538 | 2,320 | 13,859 |
| Salaries-other . . . | 1,918 | 291 | 692 | 77 | 2,978 | 1,505 | 202 | 252 | 77 | 2,036 | 750 | 211 | 30 | 52 | 1,043 |
| Fringe benefits ... | 2,437 | 706 | 88 | 298 | 3,529 | 1,853 | 585 | 66 | 315 | 2,819 | 850 | 616 | 70 | 313 | 1,849 |
| Occupancy ...... | 3,072 | 580 | 172 | 427 | 4,251 | 3,109 | 632 | 178 | 444 | 4,363 | 3,401 | 472 | 142 | 502 | 4,517 |
| Other operating expenses | 4,726 | 891 | 2,842 | 1,440 | 9,899 | 4,061 | 1,088 | 1,326 | 1,002 | 7,477 | 5,505 | 880 | 379 | 2,095 | 8,859 |
| Total other expenses | 19,975 | 5,932 | 4,882 | 4,017 | 34,806 | 18,729 | 5,517 | 2,705 | 3,792 | 30,743 | 18,332 | 5,354 | 1,159 | 5,282 | 30,127 |
| Segment profit (loss) | 7,159 | 2,691 | 6,477 | (595) | 15,732 | 6,935 | 3,231 | 3,760 | (30) | 13,896 | 8,488 | 3,619 | 1,063 | (476) | 12,694 |
| Intersegment (revenues) expenses | (15) | 181 | , | (166) | - | 374 | 181 | - | (555) | - | 138 | 181 | - | (319) | 12,60 |
| Segment profit after eliminations .. | \$ 7,144 | \$2,872 | \$ 6,477 | \$ (761) | \$ 15,732 | \$ 7,309 | \$3,412 | \$ 3,760 | \$ (585) | \$ 13,896 | \$ 8,626 | \$3,800 | \$ 1,063 | \$ (795) | \$ 12,694 |
| $\%$ of segment profit (loss) .... | 46\% | 18\% | 41\% | (5\%) | 100\% | 53\% | 24\% | 27\% | (4\%) | ) $100 \%$ | 68\% | 30\% | 8\% | (6\%) | 100\% |
| Total assets at December 31 .... | \$481,866 | \$1,117 | \$86,288 | \$7,971 | \$577,242 | \$400,348 | \$1,267 | \$66,504 | \$8,704 | \$476,823 | \$392,028 | \$ 457 | \$38,123 | \$13,817 | \$444,425 |
| Capital expenditures $\qquad$ | \$ 718 | \$ 58 | \$ 134 | \$ 71 | \$ 981 | \$ 569 | \$ 991 | \$ 26 | \$ 48 | \$ 1,634 | \$ 2,108 | \$ 47 | \$ 16 | \$ 359 | \$ 2,530 |
| Depreciation and amortization $\qquad$ | \$ 1,046 | \$ 176 | \$ 31 | \$ 172 | \$ 1,425 | \$ 1,138 | \$ 211 | \$ 31 | \$ 169 | \$ 1,549 | \$ 1,314 | \$ 153 | \$ 34 | \$ 520 | \$ 2,021 |

Bryn Mawr Bank Corporation, Insurance Counsellors of Bryn Mawr, Inc., Bryn Mawr Settlement Services, Inc., Bryn Mawr Brokerage Company Inc., Bryn Mawr Finance, Inc. and Joseph W. Roskos \& Co have all been aggregated in All Others.

[^2]
## Report of Independent Accountants

## To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Bryn Mawr Bank Corporation:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Bryn Maw Bank Corporation and its subsidiaries ("Corporation") at December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2002 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation's management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements the Corporation adopted the Statement of the Financial Accounting Standard No. 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" effective January 1, 2002.


Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
January 16, 2003

Bryn Mawr Bank Corporation

## 801 Lancaster Avenul


[^0]:    The approximate number of holders of record of cormon stock as of December 31, 2002 was 398 .
    The shares are traded on the over-the-sounter market, and the price infurroation was obtained from The National Associution of Securities Dealers (NA: D).

[^1]:    * Reclassified for comparative purposes.

[^2]:    *-Reclassified for comparative purposes.

